

# TEST REPORT



## TEST REPORT FROM RFI GLOBAL SERVICES LTD

Test of: X-MET7000 & X-MET7500

FCC ID: ZYH-W2CBW003

To: OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)  
IEEE 1528: 2003

Test Report Serial No:  
RFI-SAR-RP87793JD02A V2.0

Version 2.0 supersedes all previous versions

This Test Report is Issued Under The Authority  
Of Chris Guy, Head of Global Approvals:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C. Guy'.

(APPROVED SIGNATORY)

Checked By: Richelieu Quoi

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. Quoi'.

(APPROVED SIGNATORY)

Issue Date:

28 June 2012

Test Dates:

08 May 2012 to 09 May 2012

This report is issued in portable document format (PDF). It is only a valid copy of the report if it is being viewed in PDF format with the following security options not allowed: Changing the document, Selecting text and graphics, Adding or changing notes and form fields.

This report may not be reproduced other than in full, except with the prior written approval of RFI Global Services Ltd. The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.

The Bluetooth® word mark and logos are owned by the Bluetooth SIG, Inc. and any use of such marks by RFI Global Services Ltd. is under license. Other trademarks and trade names are those of their respective owners.

RFI Global Services Ltd,  
Pavilion A, Ashwood Park, Ashwood Way, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG23 8BG, UK  
Tel. +44 (0) 1256 312000 Fax +44 (0) 1256 312001  
web: [www.rfi-global.com](http://www.rfi-global.com) email: [contactus@rfi-global.com](mailto:contactus@rfi-global.com)



---

This page has been left intentionally blank.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. Customer Information .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. Equipment Under Test (EUT) .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3. Test Specification, Methods and Procedures .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4. Deviations from the Test Specification.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>5. Operation and Configuration of the EUT during Testing .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>6. Summary of Test Results .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>7. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>8. Measurement Uncertainty .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Appendix 1. Test Equipment Used.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Appendix 2. Measurement Methods.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Appendix 3. SAR Distribution Scans .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Appendix 4. Photographs .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Appendix 5. Validation of System .....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Appendix 6. Simulated Tissues .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Appendix 7. DASY4 System Details .....</b>	<b>58</b>

### 1. Customer Information

<b>Company Name:</b>	Oxford Instruments Industrial Products Limited
<b>Address:</b>	Industrial Analysis, Halifax Road, High Wycombe, Bucks, HP12 3SE United Kingdom

## 2. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

### 2.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Description:	Hand Held EDXRF (Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Analyser)
Brand Name:	X-MET7500
Model Name or Number:	XMDS 2726
Serial Number:	750024
IMEI Number:	Not Applicable
Hardware Version Number:	None Stated
Software Version Number:	1.6
Hardware Revision of GSM Module:	None Stated
Software Revision of GSM Module:	None Stated
FCC ID Number:	ZYH-W2CBW003
Industry Canada Certification Number:	9963A-W2CBW003
Country of Manufacture:	Malaysia
Date of Receipt:	02 May 2012

### 2.2. Description of EUT

The Equipment Under Test is a Handheld EDXRF (Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence) Analyser with Wi-Fi and *Bluetooth* bands. The EUT has WLAN 802.11 b/g and Bluetooth mode capabilities. The EUT has 'Oxford Instrument Pentapin' high resolution detector and 45 kV Rh target X-ray tube (max 50 $\mu$ A).

### 2.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT

There were no modifications incorporated in the EUT during the course of testing.

#### 2.4. Accessories

The following accessories were supplied with the EUT during testing:

Description:	Battery
Brand Name:	Oxford Instruments
Model Name or Number:	XLBS 2604
Serial Number:	84070
Cable Length and Type:	Not Applicable
Country of Manufacture:	Finland
Connected to Port	Unique to manufacturer

Description:	Battery
Brand Name:	Oxford Instruments
Model Name or Number:	XLBS 2604
Serial Number:	84013
Cable Length and Type:	Not Applicable
Country of Manufacture:	Finland
Connected to Port	Unique to manufacturer

Description:	Holster
Brand Name:	Oxford Instruments
Model Name or Number:	X-MET7000
Serial Number:	None Stated
Cable Length and Type:	Not Applicable
Country of Manufacture:	Not Applicable
Connected to Port	Not Applicable

Description:	Belt
Brand Name:	Oxford Instruments
Model Name or Number:	X-MET7000
Serial Number:	None Stated
Cable Length and Type:	Not Applicable
Country of Manufacture:	Not Applicable
Connected to Port	Not Applicable

2.5. Additional Information Related to Testing			
Equipment Category	WiFi802.11b/g and Bluetooth		
Type of Unit	Portable Transceiver		
Intended Operating Environment:	Commercial, Light Industry, Heavy Industry		
Transmitter Maximum Output Power Characteristics:	Wi-Fi 802.11b/g	Communication Test S/W was configured to allow the EUT to transmit at a maximum power of up to 13.15 dBm.	
	Bluetooth	< 3dBm	
Transmitter Frequency Range:	Wi-Fi 802.11b/g	2412 to 2462 MHz	
	Bluetooth	2402 to 2480 MHz	
Transmitter Frequency Allocation of EUT When Under Test:	Channel Number	Channel Description	Frequency (MHz)
	1	Low	2412.0
	6	Middle	2437.0
	11	High	2462.0
	0	Low	2402.0
	39	Middle	2441.0
	78	High	2480.0
Modulation(s):	CCK (Wi-Fi): 0 Hz		
Modulation Scheme (Crest Factor):	CCK (Wi-Fi): 1		
Antenna Type:	Internal integral		
Antenna Length:	Unknown		
Number of Antenna Positions:	2 (Wi-Fi and Bluetooth)		
Power Supply Requirement:	14.4V		
Battery Type(s):	Li-Ion		

### 3. Test Specification, Methods and Procedures

#### 3.1. Test Specification

Reference:	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)
Title:	Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields.
Purpose of Test:	To determine whether the equipment met the basic restrictions as defined in OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01) using the SAR averaging method as described in the test specification above.

#### 3.2. Methods and Procedures Reference Documentation

The methods and procedures used were as detailed in:

Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating compliance with FCC Guidelines for human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields", OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C, FCC, Washington, D.C, 20554, 2001.

Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger and Neils Kuster, "Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transaction on microwave theory and techniques, Vol. 44, pp. 105-113, January 1996.

Neils Kuster, Ralph Kastle and Thomas Schmid, "Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions of communications, Vol. E80-B, No.5, pp. 645-652, May 1997.

KDB 248227 D01 "SAR measurements for 802.11a/b/g v01r02"

KDB 447498 D01 "Mobile Portable RF Exposure v04."

#### 3.3. Definition of Measurement Equipment

The measurement equipment used complied with the requirements of the standards referenced in the methods & procedures section above. Appendix 1 contains a list of the test equipment used.

#### 4. Deviations from the Test Specification

Test was performed as per "KDB 447498 D01 Mobile Portable RF Exposure v04, KDB 248227 D01 "SAR measurements for 802.11a/b/g v01r02" and according to the body-worn procedures in IEEE Std 1528-2003 and OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01.

SAR test was performed on X-MET7500 as this was the unit that operate at 45kV. The X-MET7000 and X-MET7500 has no RF differences in the Bluetooth and Wi-Fi circuitry. They both use the Wi2Wi, W2CBW003, therefore test has only been performed only on the X-MET7500 to verify the SAR values emitted by the series.

The sample used for SAR assessment was as per section 2 of this report.

## 5. Operation and Configuration of the EUT during Testing

### 5.1. Operating Modes

The EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s) unless otherwise stated:

- WiFi802.11b/g Data allocated mode using manufacturer customised software to excise mode 'b' and 'g' with maximum power of up to 13.15 dBm for 'b' mode and 11.60 dBm for 'g'.

### 5.2. Configuration and Peripherals

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s) unless otherwise stated:

- EUT was tested in Body-worn configuration with 1mm separation distance to flat section of the phantom. The Left hand side and Right hand side of EUT configurations for body-worn orientations where the corresponding edge(s) is closest to the user with the most conservative exposure condition.

#### Body Configuration

- a) The EUT was placed in a normal operating position where the centre of EUT was aligned with the centre reference point on the flat section of the 'SAM' phantom.
- b) With the EUT touching the phantom at an imaginary centre line. The EUT was aligned with a marked plane (X and Y axis) consisting of two lines.
- c) For the touch-safe position the EUT was gradually moved towards the flat section of the 'SAM' phantom until any point of the EUT touched the phantom.
- d) For position(s) greater than 0mm separation the EUT was positioned as per the touch-safe position, and then the vertical height was decreased/adjusted as required.
- e) SAR measurements were evaluated at maximum power and the unit was operated for an appropriate period prior to the evaluation in order to minimise the drift.
- f) The device was keyed to operate continuously in the transmit mode for the duration of the test.
- g) The location of the maximum spatial SAR distribution (hot spot) was determined relative to the EUT and its antenna.
- h) The EUT was transmitting at full power throughout the duration of the test powered by a fully charged battery

## 6. Summary of Test Results

Test Name	Specification Reference	Result
Specific Absorption Rate-Wi-Fi 2450 Body-worn Configuration 1g	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)	Complied

Note: Simultaneous Transmission was not evaluated, as EUT does not support this feature.

### Summary of Test Results (Continued)

#### SAR Individual Transmitter Evaluation

device, mode	Frequency, (MHz)	P <sub>x</sub> (mW)	P <sub>REF</sub> (mW)	single SAR, W/kg	Remarks
WLAN, WiFi802.11b/g	2450	21	12	0.606	Routine Evaluation
BT, Bluetooth	2400	~ 2	12	:=0	{P <sub>BT</sub> ≤ 2P <sub>REF</sub> }

#### 6.1. Location of Tests

All the measurements described in this report were performed at the premises of RFI Global Services Ltd, Pavilion A, Ashwood Park, Ashwood Way, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG23 8BG United Kingdom

## 7. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results

### 7.1. General Comments

This section contains test results only.

Measurement uncertainties are evaluated in accordance with current best practice. Our reported expanded uncertainties are based on standard uncertainties, which are multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor to provide a statistical confidence level of approximately 95%. Please refer to section 8 for details of measurement uncertainties.

## 7.2. Test Results

For All SAR measurement in this report the SAR limit tested to is 1.6 W/kg

### 7.2.1. Specific Absorption Rate - Wi-Fi 2450 Body-worn Configuration 1g Test Summary:

Tissue Volume:	1g
Maximum Level (W/kg):	0.606

#### Environmental Conditions:

Temperature Variation in Lab (°C):	24.0 to 24.0
Temperature Variation in Liquid (°C):	23.2 to 23.2

#### Results:

EUT Position	Phantom Configuration	Channel Number	Measured Avg. Power (dBm)	MPR (dB)	Measured Level (W/kg)	Note	Modulation
Left Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (OVAL 3mm)	6	12.40	N/A	0.001	1, 2	DBPSK
Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (OVAL 3mm)	6	12.40	N/A	0.572	1, 2	DBPSK
Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (OVAL 3mm)	1	9.70	N/A	0.371	1, 2	DBPSK
Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (OVAL 3mm)	11	13.15	N/A	0.606	1, 2	DBPSK
Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (OVAL 3mm)	6	10.40	N/A	0.231	1, 3	BPSK
Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (OVAL 3mm)	1	7.70	N/A	0.127	1, 3	BPSK
Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom	Flat (OVAL 3mm)	11	11.60	N/A	0.243	1, 3	BPSK

**Specific Absorption Rate - Wi-Fi 2450 Body-worn Configuration 1g (Continued)**

EUT Position	Phantom Configuration	Channel Number	Measured Avg. Power (dBm)	MPR (dB)	Measured Level (W/kg)	Note	Modulation
Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom with Holster and Belt	Flat (OVAL 3mm)	11	13.15	N/A	0.421	1, 2, 4	DBPSK

**Note(s):**

1. SAR measurements were performed with the closest edge of the EUT at a separation distance of 1mm from the 'OVAL' phantom flat section.
2. 802.11b 1Mbps
3. 802.11g 6Mbps
4. Worst case configuration and channel from 802.11b and 802.11g is applied to Holster and Belt.

**7.2.2. Conducted Average Power Measurement**

Channel Number	Frequency (MHz)	TX Power before Test (dBm)	Note
1	2412.0	9.70	<b>2.4GHz 802.11b (1Mbps)</b>
6	2437.0	12.40	
11	2462.0	13.15	
1	2412.0	9.60	<b>2.4GHz 802.11b (11Mbps)</b>
6	2437.0	12.10	
11	2462.0	12.80	
1	2412.0	7.70	<b>2.4GHz 802.11g (6Mbps)</b>
6	2437.0	10.40	
11	2462.0	11.60	
1	2412.0	5.40	<b>2.4GHz 802.11g (54Mbps)</b>
6	2437.0	8.40	
11	2462.0	9.30	

## 8. Measurement Uncertainty

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently, the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value of the measurand (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor, such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document "approximately" is interpreted as meaning "effectively" or "for most practical purposes".

Test Name	Confidence Level	Calculated Uncertainty
Specific Absorption Rate-Wi-Fi 2450 Body Configuration 1g	95%	19.90

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty, the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

## 8.1. Specific Absorption Rate-Wi-Fi 2450 Body Configuration 1g

Type	Source of uncertainty	+ Value	- Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	C <sub>l</sub> (10 <sup>3</sup> )	Standard Uncertainty		u <sub>i</sub> or u <sub>eff</sub>
							+ u (%)	- u (%)	
B	Probe calibration	6.000	6.000	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	6.000	6.000	∞
B	Axial Isotropy	0.250	0.250	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.250	0.250	∞
B	Hemispherical Isotropy	1.300	1.300	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	1.300	1.300	∞
B	Spatial Resolution	0.500	0.500	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.289	0.289	∞
B	Boundary Effect	0.769	0.769	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.444	0.444	∞
B	Linearity	0.600	0.600	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.346	0.346	∞
B	Detection Limits	0.200	0.200	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.115	0.115	∞
B	Readout Electronics	0.160	0.160	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.160	0.160	∞
B	Response Time	0.000	0.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.000	0.000	∞
B	Integration Time	0.000	0.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	0.000	0.000	∞
B	RF Ambient conditions	3.000	3.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.732	1.732	∞
B	Probe Positioner Mechanical Restrictions	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Probe Positioning with regard to Phantom Shell	2.850	2.850	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	1.645	1.645	∞
B	Extrapolation and integration / Maximum SAR evaluation	5.080	5.080	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.933	2.933	∞
A	Test Sample Positioning	2.570	2.570	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	2.570	2.570	10
A	Device Holder uncertainty	0.154	0.154	normal (k=1)	1.0000	1.0000	0.154	0.154	10
B	Phantom Uncertainty	4.000	4.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.309	2.309	∞
B	Drift of output power	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	1.0000	2.887	2.887	∞
B	Liquid Conductivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6400	1.848	1.848	∞
A	Liquid Conductivity (measured value)	4.900	4.900	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6400	3.136	3.136	5
B	Liquid Permittivity (target value)	5.000	5.000	Rectangular	1.7321	0.6000	1.732	1.732	∞
A	Liquid Permittivity (measured value)	4.920	4.920	normal (k=1)	1.0000	0.6000	2.952	2.952	5
Combined standard uncertainty				t-distribution			10.15	10.15	>250
Expanded uncertainty				k = 1.96			19.90	19.90	>250

## Appendix 1. Test Equipment Used

RFI No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Last Calibrated	Cal. Interval (Months)
A034	Narda 20W Termination	Narda	374BNM	8706	Calibrated as part of system	-
A1097	SMA Directional Coupler	Midisco	MDC6223-30	None	Calibrated as part of system	-
A1137	3dB Attenuator	Narda	779	04690	Calibrated as part of system	-
A1174	Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent Technologies	85070C	Us99360072	Calibrated before use	-
A1328	Handset Positioner	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Modification	SD 000 H01 DA	-	-
A1182	Handset Positioner	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	V3.0	None	-	-
A1184	Data Acquisition Electronics	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	DAE3	394	26 Jan 2012	12
A2077	Probe	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	ET3 DV4	3814	22 Sep 2011	12
A1322	2450 MHz Dipole Kit	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	D2450V2	725	08 Feb 2011	24
A1238	SAM Phantom	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	SAM b	001	Calibrated before use	-
A1497	Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	zhl-42w (sma)	e020105	Calibrated as part of system	-
A1498	OVAL Phantom	MCL	3mm	None	Calibrated before use	-
A215	20 dB Attenuator	Narda	766-20	9402	Calibrated as part of system	-
A1531	Antenna	AARONIA AG	7025	02458	-	-
C1145	Cable	Rosenberger MICRO-COAX	FA147A F003003030	41843-1	Calibrated as part of system	-
C1146	Cable	Rosenberger MICRO-COAX	FA147A F030003030	41752-1	Calibrated as part of system	-
G0528	Robot Power Supply	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	DASY4	None	Calibrated before use	-
G0591	Robot Power Supply	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	DASY4	None	Calibrated before use	-
G087	PSU	Thurlby Thandar	CPX200	100701	Calibrated before use	-
M1047	Robot Arm	Staubli	RX908 L	F00/SD8 9A1/A/01	Calibrated before use	-

RFI No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Last Calibrated	Cal. Interval (Months)
M1653	Robot Arm	Staubli	RX908 L	F01/5J8 6A1/C/01	Calibrated before use	-
M1159	Signal Generator	Agilent Technologies	E8241A	US42110332	Internal Checked 14 Apr 2012	4
M1071	Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	HP8590E	3647U00514	(Monitoring use only)	-
M1044	Diode Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z1	893350/019	26 May 2011	12
M265	Diode Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z1	893350/017	26 May 2011	12
M263	Dual Channel Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz	NRVD	826558/004	25 May 2011	12
M509	Thermometer	Testo 110 Immersion Probe & Thermometer	Testo 110	03100047	25 May 2011	12
M1270	Digital Thermometer	RS	N/A	N/A	Internal Checked 13 May 2011	12
S256	SAR Lab	RFI	Site 56	N/A	Calibrated before use	-

Note: All the above assets were in calibration during the course of testing.

### A.1.1. Calibration Certificates

This section contains the calibration certificates and data for the Probe(s) and Dipole(s) used, which are not included in the total number of pages for this report.

The following information is justification to why the listed dipoles calibration period has been extended. This address FCC KDB 450824 D02

Cal Date	Dipole Calibration History									
	Dipole SN: 725, Frequency 2450 MHz									
	Head Parameters					Body Parameters				
	1g (W/Kg)	10g (W/Kg)	Return loss (dB)	Real ( $\Omega$ )	Imaginary ( $\Omega$ )	1g (W/Kg)	10g (W/Kg)	Return loss (dB)	Real ( $\Omega$ )	Imaginary ( $\Omega$ )
27-Jun-12	Lab Annual Check of dipole	-20.83	55.82	6.47		Lab Annual Check of dipole	-20.86	56.20	8.60	
08-Feb-11	52.90	24.70	-20.50	45.60	7.90	51.90	24.10	-20.20	49.50	9.70
08-Jan-09	52.10	24.30	-23.70	54.40	5.30	52.20	24.70	-23.40	49.00	6.70
17-Jan-07	53.30	24.80	-22.10	52.40	7.70	53.30	24.50	-21.80	47.80	7.70
04-Jan-05	54.5	24.70	-22.30	53.50	7.20	52.90	24.50	-22.20	48.50	7.50
17-Jan-03	54.70	24.50	-22.60	53.00	7.00	52.10	24.10	-21.70	49.00	8.10
Standard Deviation	1.10	0.20	1.18	3.56	0.95	0.59	0.27	1.10	3.09	1.03
Mean Value	53.50	24.60	22.01			52.48	24.38	21.69		
Relative standard deviation %	2.05%	0.81%	5.37%			1.13%	1.10%	5.09%		

Note:

1. The dipole history shows that the measured SAR relative standard deviation was all less than 10% for the calibration period. The return loss relative standard deviation was all less than 10 %. And the real and imaginary impedance standard deviation is within 5 ( $\Omega$ ).

27-SEPT-2011  
checked by R. Hiltl

Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
C Service suisse d'étalonnage  
S Servizio svizzero di taratura  
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client RFI

Certificate No: EX3-3814\_Sep11

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object EX3DV4 - SN:3814

Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-01.v8, QA CAL-12.v7, QA CAL-14.v3, QA CAL-23.v4,  
QA CAL-25.v4  
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: September 22, 2011

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature  $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$  and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01369)	Apr-12
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01367)	Apr-12
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01370)	Apr-12
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	29-Dec-10 (No. ES3-3013_Dec10)	Dec-11
DAE4	SN: 654	3-May-11 (No. DAE4-654_May11)	May-12
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-10)	In house check: Oct-11

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	R&D Director	

Issued: September 22, 2011

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

### Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM $x,y,z$	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM $x,y,z$
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization $\varphi$	$\varphi$ rotation around probe axis
Polarization $\vartheta$	$\vartheta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- $NORMx,y,z$ : Assessed for E-field polarization  $\vartheta = 0$  ( $f \leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$  MHz: R22 waveguide).  $NORMx,y,z$  are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of  $NORMx,y,z$  does not affect the  $E^2$ -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- $NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency\_response$  (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- $DCPx,y,z$ : DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- $PAR$ : PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- $Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z; VRx,y,z$ :  $A, B, C$  are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters*: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \leq 800$  MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$  MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to  $NORMx,y,z * ConvF$  whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm 50$  MHz to  $\pm 100$  MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

# Probe EX3DV4

SN:3814

Manufactured: September 2, 2011  
Calibrated: September 22, 2011

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems  
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3814

### Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm $(\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2)^{\text{A}}$	0.52	0.51	0.44	$\pm 10.1\%$
DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>	100.8	96.5	101.1	

### Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name	PAR		A dB	B dB	C dB	VR mV	Unc <sup>E</sup> (k=2)
10000	CW	0.00	X	0.00	0.00	1.00	121.7	$\pm 2.7\%$
			Y	0.00	0.00	1.00	115.0	
			Z	0.00	0.00	1.00	105.3	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

<sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

<sup>E</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3814

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) <sup>C</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>F</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
450	43.5	0.87	9.55	9.55	9.55	0.12	1.00	± 13.4 %
750	41.9	0.89	9.26	9.26	9.26	0.80	0.67	± 12.0 %
900	41.5	0.97	8.75	8.75	8.75	0.71	0.73	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	8.13	8.13	8.13	0.80	0.62	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	7.78	7.78	7.78	0.80	0.61	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	7.02	7.02	7.02	0.80	0.60	± 12.0 %

<sup>C</sup> Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

<sup>F</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3814

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media


f (MHz) <sup>c</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>f</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>f</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
450	56.7	0.94	10.39	10.39	10.39	0.04	1.00	± 13.4 %
750	55.5	0.96	9.28	9.28	9.28	0.80	0.65	± 12.0 %
900	55.0	1.05	8.92	8.92	8.92	0.80	0.65	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	7.58	7.58	7.58	0.80	0.67	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	7.31	7.31	7.31	0.80	0.68	± 12.0 %
2150	53.1	1.66	7.38	7.38	7.38	0.80	0.65	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	7.15	7.15	7.15	0.80	0.50	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	7.02	7.02	7.02	0.80	0.50	± 12.0 %
3700	51.0	3.55	6.35	6.35	6.35	0.26	1.68	± 13.1 %
5200	49.0	5.30	4.19	4.19	4.19	0.60	1.95	± 13.1 %
5500	48.6	5.65	3.86	3.86	3.86	0.60	1.95	± 13.1 %
5800	48.2	6.00	3.94	3.94	3.94	0.60	1.95	± 13.1 %

<sup>c</sup> Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

<sup>f</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

## Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field:  $\pm 6.3\%$  ( $k=2$ )

## Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\theta = 0^\circ$

f=600 MHz, TEM





f=1800 MHz, R22



Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 0.5\%$  (k=2)


## Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$

(TEM cell,  $f = 900$  MHz)




**Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment:  $\pm 0.6\%$  ( $k=2$ )**

## Conversion Factor Assessment



### Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid Error ( $\phi, \theta$ ), $f = 900$ MHz



## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3814

### Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	Not applicable
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	2 mm

Asset A1322 - Checked by RFB  
21/02/2011

Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
C Service suisse d'étalonnage  
S Servizio svizzero di taratura  
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client RFI

Certificate No: D2450V2-725\_Feb11

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object D2450V2 - SN: 725

Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-05.v8  
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits

Calibration date: February 08, 2011

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	06-Oct-10 (No. 217-01266)	Oct-11
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	06-Oct-10 (No. 217-01266)	Oct-11
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01158)	Mar-11
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01162)	Mar-11
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Apr-10 (No. ES3-3205_Apr10)	Apr-11
DAE4	SN: 601	10-Jun-10 (No. DAE4-601_Jun10)	Jun-11

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-10)	In house check: Oct-11

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Dimice Iliev	Laboratory Technician	

Approved by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: February 8, 2011

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

#### **Glossary:**

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

#### **Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

#### **Additional Documentation:**

- d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

#### **Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

## Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

<b>DASY Version</b>	DASY5	V52.6
<b>Extrapolation</b>	Advanced Extrapolation	
<b>Phantom</b>	Modular Flat Phantom V5.0	
<b>Distance Dipole Center - TSL</b>	10 mm	with Spacer
<b>Zoom Scan Resolution</b>	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
<b>Frequency</b>	2450 MHz ± 1 MHz	

## Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
<b>Nominal Head TSL parameters</b>	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
<b>Measured Head TSL parameters</b>	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	39.1 ± 6 %	1.73 mho/m ± 6 %
<b>Head TSL temperature during test</b>	(21.0 ± 0.2) °C	----	----

## SAR result with Head TSL

<b>SAR averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL</b>	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.0 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	52.0 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	52.9 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

<b>SAR averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL</b>	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.13 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	24.5 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.7 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

## Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
<b>Nominal Body TSL parameters</b>	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
<b>Measured Body TSL parameters</b>	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	52.2 ± 6 %	1.94 mho/m ± 6 %
<b>Body TSL temperature during test</b>	(21.0 ± 0.2) °C	----	----

## SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.0 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	52.0 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	51.9 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.04 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	24.2 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.1 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

## Appendix

### Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$45.6 \Omega + 7.9 \text{ j}\Omega$
Return Loss	- 20.5 dB

### Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$49.5 \Omega + 9.7 \text{ j}\Omega$
Return Loss	- 20.2 dB

### General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.152 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

### Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	October 16, 2002

# DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date/Time: 07.02.2011 14:34:55

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:725**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL U12 BB

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.74$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.53, 4.53, 4.53); Calibrated: 30.04.2010
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 10.06.2010
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY52, V52.6.1 Build (408)
- Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X, V14.4.2 Build (2595)


**Pin=250 mW /d=10mm, dist=3.0mm (ES-Probe)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) /Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 101.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.05 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.701 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 13 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.13 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 16.608 mW/g



## Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



## DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date/Time: 08.02.2011 12:48:13

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:725**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL U12 BB

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.95$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.31, 4.31, 4.31); Calibrated: 30.04.2010
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 10.06.2010
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- Measurement SW: DASY52, V52.6.1 Build (408)
- Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X, V14.4.2 Build (2595)


**Pin=250 mW /d=10mm, dist=3.0mm (ES-Probe)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) /Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 96.406 V/m; Power Drift = -0.08 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.401 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 13 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.04 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.121 mW/g



## Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



## Appendix 2. Measurement Methods

### A.2.1. Evaluation Procedure

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) evaluation was performed in the following manner:

- a) (i) The evaluation was performed in an applicable area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested. For devices worn about the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated at the centre frequency of the band at maximum power. The side, which produced the greatest SAR, determined which side of the phantom would be used for the entire evaluation. The positioning of the head worn device relative to the phantom was dictated by the test specification identified in section 3.1 of this report.  
(ii) For body worn devices or devices which can be operated within 20 cm of the body, the flat section of the SAM phantom was used were the size of the device(s) is normal. for bigger devices and base station the 2mm Oval phantom is used for evaluation. The type of device being evaluated dictated the distance of the EUT to the outer surface of the phantom flat section.
- b) The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY4 software. The exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 20mm x 20mm or appropriate resolution.
- c) A 5x5x7 matrix was performed around the greatest spatial SAR distribution found during the area scan of the applicable exposed region. SAR values were then calculated using a 3-D spline interpolation algorithm and averaged over spatial volumes of 1 and 10 grams.
- d) If the EUT had any appreciable drift over the course of the evaluation, then the EUT was re-evaluated. Any unusual anomalies over the course of the test also warranted a re-evaluation.

#### **A.2.2. Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Measurements to OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: (2001-01)**

Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields

SAR measurements were performed in accordance with Appendix D of the standard FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: 2001, IEEE 1528 and FCC KDB procedures, against appropriate limits for each measurement position in accordance with the standard. In some cases the FCC was contacted using a PBA or KDB process to ensure test is performed correctly.

The test was performed in a shielded enclosure with the temperature controlled to remain between +18.0°C and +25.0°C. The tissue equivalent material fluid temperature was controlled to give a maximum variation of  $\pm 2.0^{\circ}\text{C}$

Prior to any SAR measurements on the EUT, system validation and material dielectric property measurements were conducted. In the absence of a detailed procedure within the specification, system validation and material dielectric property measurements were performed in accordance with Appendix C and Appendix D of FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C: 2001 and FCC KDB publication 450824.

Following the successful system validation and material dielectric property measurements, a SAR versus time sweep shall be performed within 10 mm of the phantom inner surface. If the EUT power output is stable after three minutes then the measurement probe will perform a coarse surface level scan at each test position in order to ascertain the location of the maximum local SAR level. Once this area had been established, a 5x5x7 cube of 175 points (5 mm spacing in each axis  $\approx 27\text{g}$ ) will be centred at the area of concern. Extrapolation and interpolation will then be carried out on the 27g of tissue and the highest averaged SAR over a 10g cube determined.

Once the maximum interpolated SAR measurement is complete; the coarse scan is visually assessed to check for secondary peaks within 50% of the maximum SAR level. If there are any further SAR measurements required, extra 5x5x7 cubes shall be centred on each of these extra local SAR maxima.

At the end of each position test case a second time sweep shall be performed to check whether the EUT has remained stable throughout the test.

### **Appendix 3. SAR Distribution Scans**

This appendix contains SAR distribution scans which are not included in the total number of pages for this report.

<b>Scan Reference Number</b>	<b>Title</b>
SCN/87793JD02/001	Left Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom Wi-Fi 802.11b 1 Mbps CH6
SCN/87793JD02/002	Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom Wi-Fi 802.11b 1Mbps CH6
SCN/87793JD02/003	Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom Wi-Fi 802.11b 1Mbps CH1
SCN/87793JD02/004	Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom Wi-Fi 802.11b 1Mbps CH11
SCN/87793JD02/005	Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom Wi-Fi 802.11g 6Mbps CH6
SCN/87793JD02/006	Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom Wi-Fi 802.11g 6Mbps CH1
SCN/87793JD02/007	Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom Wi-Fi 802.11g 6Mbps CH11
SCN/87793JD02/008	Right Hand Side of EUT Facing Phantom with holster and belt Wi-Fi 802.11b 1Mbps CH11
SCN/87793JD02/009	System Performance Check 2450MHz Body 08 05 12
SCN/87793JD02/010	System Performance Check 2450MHz Body 09 05 12