



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045 USA  
Tel. +1.410.290.6652 / Fax +1.410.290.6654  
http://www.pctestlab.com



## SAR EVALUATION REPORT

**Applicant Name:**  
LG Electronics MobileComm USA, Inc.  
10101 Old Grove Road  
San Diego, CA 92131  
United States

**Date of Testing:**  
03/27/12 - 04/03/12  
**Test Site/Location:**  
PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA  
**Document Serial No.:**  
0Y1203280355.ZNF

**FCC ID:** ZNFUS730

**APPLICANT:** LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.

**DUT Type:** Portable Handset  
**Application Type:** Certification  
**FCC Rule Part(s):** CFR §2.1093  
**Model(s):** US730, LG-US730, LW730, LG-LW730  
**Test Device Serial No.:** Pre-Production [S/N: SAR #1]

Band & Mode	Tx Frequency	Conducted Power [dBm]	SAR		
			1 gm Head (W/kg)	1 gm Body-Worn (W/kg)	1 gm Hotspot (W/kg)
Cell. CDMA/EVDO	824.70 - 848.31 MHz	24.60	0.81	1.01	1.12
AWS CDMA/EVDO	1711.25 - 1753.75 MHz	24.28	0.54	1.02	0.92
PCS CDMA/EVDO	1851.25 - 1908.75 MHz	24.17	1.19	1.20	1.19
2.4 GHz WLAN	2412 - 2462 MHz	15.94	0.54	0.09	0.12
Bluetooth	2402 - 2480 MHz	9.44	N/A		
<b>Simultaneous SAR per KDB 690783 D01:</b>			1.44	1.29	1.31

Note: Powers in the above table represent output powers for the SAR test configurations and may not represent the highest output powers for all configurations for each mode.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (2001), IEEE 1528-2003 and in applicable Industry Canada Radio Standards Specifications (RSS); for North American frequency bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested.

*PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been subject to a denial of Federal benefits that includes FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862*

Randy Ortanez  
President



<b>FCC ID:</b> ZNFUS730		<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 0Y1203280355.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	<b>DUT Type:</b> Portable Handset		Page 1 of 33

# T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

---

1	DEVICE UNDER TEST .....	3
2	INTRODUCTION .....	5
3	SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP .....	6
4	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT .....	7
5	DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS .....	8
6	TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS FOR HANDSETS .....	9
7	FCC RF EXPOSURE LIMITS.....	12
8	FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES.....	13
9	RF CONDUCTED POWERS.....	16
10	SYSTEM VERIFICATION.....	18
11	SAR DATA SUMMARY .....	20
12	FCC MULTI-TX AND ANTENNA SAR CONSIDERATIONS.....	26
13	EQUIPMENT LIST .....	29
14	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES .....	30
15	CONCLUSION.....	31
16	REFERENCES .....	32

<b>FCC ID:</b> ZNFUS730	 <b>PCTEST</b> <small>ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.</small>	<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>	 <b>LG</b>	<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 0Y1203280355.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	<b>DUT Type:</b> Portable Handset	Page 2 of 33	

# 1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

## 1.1 Device Overview

Band & Mode	Tx Frequency
Cell. CDMA/EVDO	824.70 - 848.31 MHz
AWS CDMA/EVDO	1711.25 - 1753.75 MHz
PCS CDMA/EVDO	1851.25 - 1908.75 MHz
2.4 GHz WLAN	2412 - 2462 MHz
Bluetooth	2402 - 2480 MHz

## 1.2 DUT Antenna Locations




Figure 1-1  
DUT Antenna Locations

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 3 of 33

**Table 1-1  
Mobile Hotspot Sides for SAR Testing**

Mode	Back	Front	Top	Bottom	Right	Left
Cell. EVDO	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
AWS EVDO	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
PCS EVDO	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.4 GHz WLAN	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes

Note: Particular DUT edges were not required to be evaluated for Wireless Router SAR if the edges were greater than 2.5 cm from the transmitting antenna according to FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06 guidance, page 2. The antenna document shows the distances between the transmit antennas and the edges of the device.

### 1.3 SAR Test Exclusions Applied

#### (A) WIFI/BT

The separation between the main antenna and the Bluetooth and WLAN antennas is 94 mm. RF Conducted Power of Bluetooth Tx is 8.79 mW. RF Conducted Power of WLAN is 39.99 mW.

Please refer to DSS EMC report filed for this EUT for a complete set of Bluetooth powers.

2.4 GHz WIFI and Bluetooth share the same antenna path and cannot transmit simultaneously.

Per KDB Publication 648474, **Bluetooth SAR was not required** based on the maximum conducted power, the Bluetooth/WLAN to main antenna separation distance and Body-SAR of the main antenna.

### 1.4 Power Reduction for SAR

There is no power reduction for any band/mode implemented in this device for SAR purposes.

### 1.5 Guidance Applied

- FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [June 2001]
- IEEE 1528-2003
- FCC KDB 941225 (2G/3G and Hotspot)
- FCC KDB 248227 (802.11)
- FCC KDB 648474 (Simultaneous)

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 4 of 33

## 2 INTRODUCTION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices. [1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz [3] and Health Canada RF Exposure Guidelines Safety Code 6 [24]. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave [4] is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

### 2.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density ( $\rho$ ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Equation 2-1).

Equation 2-1  
SAR Mathematical Equation

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

where:

- $\sigma$  = conductivity of the tissue-simulating material (S/m)
- $\rho$  = mass density of the tissue-simulating material ( $\text{kg/m}^3$ )
- E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 5 of 33

## 3 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

### 3.1 Automated SAR Measurement System

Measurements are performed using the DASY automated dosimetric SAR assessment system. The DASY is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of a high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, desktop computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the SAM phantom containing the head or body equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot, performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). See [www.speag.com](http://www.speag.com) for more information about the specification of the SAR assessment system.



**Figure 3-1**  
SAR Measurement System



**Figure 3-2**  
Near-Field Probe

**Table 3-1**  
Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter

Frequency (MHz)	835	835	1750	1750	1900	1900	2450	2450
Tissue	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Ingredients (% by weight)								
Bactericide	0.1	0.1						
DGBE			47	31	44.92	29.44	7.99	26.7
HEC	1	1						
NaCl	1.45	0.94	0.4	0.2	0.18	0.39	0.16	0.1
Sucrose	57	44.9						
Triton X-100							19.97	
Water	40.45	53.06	52.6	68.8	54.9	70.17	71.88	73.2


FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 6 of 33

## 4 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT

### 4.1 Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:

1. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head or body was measured at a distance no greater than 5.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the device-head interface and the horizontal grid resolution was 15mm and 15mm for frequencies < 3 GHz in the x and y directions respectively. When applicable, for frequencies above 3 GHz, a 10 mm by 10 mm resolution was used.
2. The point SAR measurement was taken at the maximum SAR region determined from Step 1 to enable the monitoring of SAR fluctuations/drifts during the 1 gram cube evaluation. SAR at this fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
3. Based on the area scan data, the peak area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 30mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring at least 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see references or the DASY manual online for more details):
  - a. The data was extrapolated to the surface of the outer-shell of the phantom. The combined distance extrapolated was the combined distance from the center of the dipoles 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe housing plus the 1.2 mm distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point. The extrapolation was based on a least-squares algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the z-axis (normal to the phantom shell).
  - b. After the maximum interpolated values were calculated between the points in the cube, the SAR was averaged over the spatial volume (1g or 10g) using a 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was then integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were obtained through interpolation, in order to calculate the averaged SAR.
  - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 2, was re-measured after the zoom scan was complete to calculate the SAR drift. If the drift deviated by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements were repeated.



**Figure 4-1**  
**Sample SAR Area Scan**


FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 7 of 33

# 5

## DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS

### 5.1 EAR REFERENCE POINT

Figure 5-2 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point “M” is the reference point for the center of the mouth, “LE” is the left ear reference point (ERP), and “RE” is the right ERP. The ERP is 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 5-1. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 5-2). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].




**Figure 5-1**  
Close-Up Side view of ERP

### 5.2 HANDSET REFERENCE POINTS

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the “test device reference point” located along the “vertical centerline” on the front of the device aligned to the “ear reference point” (See Figure 5-3). The “test device reference point” was then located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the “vertical centerline” was bisecting the front surface of the handset at it’s top and bottom edges, positioning the “ear reference point” on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.



**Figure 5-2**  
Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom



**Figure 5-3**  
Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 8 of 33

## 6 TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS FOR HANDSETS

### 6.1 Device Holder

The DASY device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\epsilon = 3$  and loss tangent  $\delta = 0.02$ .

### 6.2 Positioning for Cheek/Touch

1. The test device was positioned with the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 6-1), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.




Figure 6-1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position

2. The handset was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE & LE until the handset touches the ear.
3. While maintaining the handset in this plane, the handset was rotated around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (reference plane).
4. The phone was then rotated around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) was symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, the handset was rotated about the line NF until any point on the handset made contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek) (See Figure 6-2).

### 6.3 Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the “Cheek/Touch Position”:

1. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was retracted parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15degree.
2. The phone was then rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degree.
3. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was moved parallel to the reference plane until any part of the phone touches the head. (In this position, point A was located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone would then be reduced. The tilted position was obtained when any part of the phone was in contact of the ear as well as a second part of the phone was in contact with the head (see Figure 6-2).

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 9 of 33




Figure 6-2 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15° Tilt Position




Figure 6-3 Side view w/ relevant markings



Figure 6-4 Body SAR Sample Photo (Not Actual EUT)

## 6.4 SAR Evaluations near the Mouth/Jaw Regions of the SAM Phantom

Antennas located near the bottom of a phone may require SAR measurements around the mouth and jaw regions of the SAM head phantom. This typically applies to clam-shell style phones that are generally longer in the unfolded normal use positions or to certain older style long rectangular phones.

Under these circumstances, the following procedures apply, adopted from the FCC guidance on SAR handsets document publication 648474. The SAR required in these regions of SAM should be measured using a flat phantom. **Rectangular shaped phones** should be positioned with its bottom edge positioned from the flat phantom with the same distance provided by the cheek touching position using SAM. The ear reference point (ERP, as defined for SAM) of the phone should be positioned ½ cm from the flat phantom shell. **Clam-shell phones** should be positioned with the hinge against a smooth edge of the flat phantom where the upper half of the phone is unfolded and extended beyond the phantom side wall. The lower half of the phone is secured in the test device holder at a fixed distance below the flat phantom determined by the minimum separation along the lower edge of the phone in the cheek touching position using SAM. Any case with substantial variation in separation distance along the lower edge of a clam shell is discussed with the FCC for best-to-use methodology.

The latest IEEE 1528 committee developments propose the usage of a tilted phantom when the antenna of the phone is mounted at the bottom or in all cases the peak absorption is in the chin region. Both SAM heads of the TwinSAM-Chin20 are rotated 20 degrees around the NF line. Each head can be removed individually from the table for emptying and cleaning.




Figure 6-5 Twin SAM Chin20

## 6.5 Body-Worn Accessory Configurations

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration (see Figure 6-4). A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device.

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 10 of 33

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are tested with the device with each accessory. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration with a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. Test position spacing was documented. Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom in head fluid. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessories, including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

## 6.6 Wireless Router Configurations

Some battery-operated handsets have the capability to transmit and receive internet connectivity through simultaneous transmission of WIFI in conjunction with a separate licensed transmitter. The FCC has provided guidance in KDB Publication 941225 D06 where SAR test considerations for handsets ( $L \times W \geq 9 \text{ cm} \times 5 \text{ cm}$ ) are based on a composite test separation distance of 10 mm from the front, back and edges of the device with antennas 2.5 cm or closer to the edge of the device, determined from general mixed use conditions for this type of devices. Since the hotspot SAR results may overlap with the body-worn accessory SAR requirements, the more conservative configurations can be considered, thus excluding some body-worn accessory SAR tests.

When the user enables the personal wireless router functions for the handset, actual operations include simultaneous transmission of both the WIFI transmitter and another licensed transmitter. Both transmitters often do not transmit at the same transmitting frequency and thus cannot be evaluated for SAR under actual use conditions. Therefore, SAR must be evaluated for each frequency transmission and mode separately and summed with the WIFI transmitter according to KDB 648474 publication procedures. The "Portable Hotspot" feature on the handset was NOT activated, to ensure the SAR measurements were evaluated for a single transmission frequency RF signal.

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>	 <b>LG</b>	<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 0Y1203280355.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	<b>DUT Type:</b> Portable Handset	Page 11 of 33	

# 7 FCC RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

## 7.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

## 7.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

**Table 7-1  
SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6**

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>General Population</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Brain	1.6	8.0
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR Whole Body	0.08	0.4
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.0	20

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 12 of 33

## 8 FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

Power measurements were performed using a base station simulator under digital average power.

### 8.1 Procedures Used to Establish RF Signal for SAR

The following procedures are according to FCC KDB Publication 941225 D01 "SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices" v02, October 2007.

The device was placed into a simulated call using a base station simulator in a RF shielded chamber. Establishing connections in this manner ensure a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluating SAR [4]. Devices under test were evaluated prior to testing, with a fully charged battery and were configured to operate at maximum output power. In order to verify that the device was tested throughout the SAR test at maximum output power, the SAR measurement system measures a "point SAR" at an arbitrary reference point at the start and end of the 1 gram SAR evaluation, to assess for any power drifts during the evaluation. If the power drift deviated by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements were repeated.

### 8.2 SAR Measurement Conditions for CDMA2000

The following procedures were performed according to FCC KDB Publication 941225 D01 "SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices" v02, October 2007.

#### 8.2.1 Output Power Verification

See 3GPP2 C.S0011/TIA-98-E as recommended by "SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices" v02, October 2007. Maximum output power is verified on the High, Middle and Low channels according to procedures in section 4.4.5.2 of 3GPP2 C.S0011/TIA-98-E. SO55 tests were measured with power control bits in the "All Up" condition.

1. If the mobile station (MS) supports Reverse TCH RC 1 and Forward TCH RC 1, set up a call using Fundamental Channel Test Mode 1 (RC=1/1) with 9600 bps data rate only.
2. Under RC1, C.S0011 Table 4.4.5.2-1, Table 8-1 parameters were applied.
3. If the MS supports the RC 3 Reverse FCH, RC3 Reverse SCH<sub>0</sub> and demodulation of RC 3,4, or 5, set up a call using Supplemental Channel Test Mode 3 (RC 3/3) with 9600 bps Fundamental Channel and 9600 bps SCH0 data rate.
4. Under RC3, C.S0011 Table 4.4.5.2-2, Table 8-2 was applied.
5. FCHs were configured at full rate for maximum SAR with "All Up" power control bits.

**Table 8-1**  
Parameters for Max. Power for RC1

Parameter	Units	Value
$I_{or}$	dBm/1.23 MHz	-104
$\frac{Pilot E_c}{I_{or}}$	dB	-7
$\frac{Traffic E_c}{I_{or}}$	dB	-7.4

**Table 8-2**  
Parameters for Max. Power for RC3

Parameter	Units	Value
$I_{or}$	dBm/1.23 MHz	-86
$\frac{Pilot E_c}{I_{or}}$	dB	-7
$\frac{Traffic E_c}{I_{or}}$	dB	-7.4

#### 8.2.2 Head SAR Measurements

SAR for head exposure configurations is measured in RC3 with the DUT configured to transmit at full rate using Loopback Service Option SO55. SAR for RC1 is not required when the maximum average output of each channel is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in RC3. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel in RC1 using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that channel in RC3.

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 13 of 33

### 8.2.3 Body SAR Measurements

SAR for body exposure configurations is measured in RC3 with the DUT configured to transmit at full rate on FCH with all other code channels disabled using TDSO / SO32. SAR for multiple code channels (FCH + SCH<sub>n</sub>) is not required when the maximum average output of each RF channel is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured with FCH only. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel (FCH + SCH<sub>n</sub>) with FCH at full rate and SCH<sub>0</sub> enabled at 9600 bps using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that channel with FCH only. When multiple code channels are enabled, the DUT output may shift by more than 0.5 dB and lead to higher SAR drifts and SCH dropouts. Body SAR was measured using TDSO / SO32 with power control bits in the “All Up”

Body SAR in RC1 is not required when the maximum average output of each channel is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in RC3. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel in RC1; with Loopback Service Option SO55, at full rate, using the body exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that channel in RC3.

### 8.2.4 Handsets with EVDO

For handsets with Ev-Do capabilities, when the maximum average output of each channel in Rev. 0 is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in RC3 (1x RTT), body SAR for EV-DO is not required. Otherwise, SAR for Rev. 0 is measured on the maximum output channel at 153.6 kbps using the body exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that channel in RC3. SAR for Rev. A is not required when the maximum average output of each channel is less than that measured in Rev. 0 or less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in RC3. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel for Rev. A using a Reverse Data Channel payload size of 4096 bits and a Termination Target of 16 slots defined for Subtype 2 Physical Layer configurations. A Forward Traffic Channel data rate corresponding to the 2-slot version of 307.2 kbps with the ACK Channel transmitting in all slots would be configured in the downlink for both Rev. 0 and Rev. A.

### 8.2.5 Body SAR Measurements for EVDO Hotspot

Hotspot Body SAR is measured using Subtype 0/1 Physical Layer configurations for Rev. 0 per KDB Publication 941225 D01 procedures for “1x Ev-Do data Devices”. SAR for Subtype 2 Physical layer configurations is not required for Rev. A when the maximum average output of each RF channels is less than that measured in Subtype 0/1 Physical layer configurations. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel for Rev. A using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for the RF channels in Rev. 0. The AT is tested with a Reverse Data Channel rate of 153.6 kbps in Subtype 0/1 Physical Layer configurations; and a Reverse Data Channel payload size of 4096 bits and Termination Target of 16 slots in Subtype 2 Physical Layer configurations. Both FTAP and FETAP are configured with a Forward Traffic Channel data rate corresponding to the 2-slot version of 307.2 kbps with the ACK Channel transmitting in all slots. AT power control should be in “All Bits Up” conditions for TAP/ETAP

SAR is not required for 1x RTT for Ev-Do devices that also support 1x RTT voice and/or data operations, when the maximum average output of each channel is less than 1/4 dB higher than that measured in Subtype 0/1 Physical Layer configurations for Rev. 0. Otherwise, CDMA “Body-SAR Measurement” procedures for “CDMA 2000 1x Handsets” were applied.

## 8.3 SAR Testing with 802.11 Transmitters

Normal network operating configurations are not suitable for measuring the SAR of 802.11 b/g/n transmitters. Unpredictable fluctuations in network traffic and antenna diversity conditions can introduce undesirable variations in SAR results. The SAR for these devices should be measured using chipset

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 14 of 33

based test mode software to ensure the results are consistent and reliable. See KDB Publication 248227 for more details.

### 8.3.1 General Device Setup

Chipset based test mode software is hardware dependent and generally varies among manufacturers. The device operating parameters established in test mode for SAR measurements must be identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters. The test frequencies should correspond to actual channel frequencies defined for domestic use.

### 8.3.2 Frequency Channel Configurations [27]

For 2.4 GHz, the highest average RF output power channel between the low, mid and high channel at the lowest data rate was selected for SAR evaluation in 802.11b mode. 802.11g/n modes and higher data rates for 802.11b were additionally evaluated for SAR if the output power of the respective mode was 0.25 dB or higher than the powers of the SAR configurations tested in the 802.11b mode.

If the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the highest output channel was less than 1.6 W/kg or if the 1g averaged SAR was less than 0.8 W/kg, SAR testing was not required for the other test channels in the band.

FCC ID: ZNFUS730		SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset	Page 15 of 33

# 9 RF CONDUCTED POWERS

## 9.1 CDMA Conducted Powers

Band	Channel	Frequency	SO55 [dBm]	SO55 [dBm]	TDSO SO32 [dBm]	TDSO SO32 [dBm]	1x EvDO Rev. 0 [dBm]	1x EvDO Rev. A [dBm]
	F-RC	MHz	RC1	RC3	FCH+SCH	FCH	(RTAP)	(RETAP)
Cellular	1013	824.7	24.68	24.56	24.58	24.53	24.60	24.53
	384	836.52	24.53	24.53	24.40	24.42	24.38	24.33
	777	848.31	24.55	24.48	24.33	24.37	24.30	24.28
AWS	25	1711.25	24.38	24.28	24.29	24.28	24.26	24.25
	450	1732.5	24.17	24.21	24.11	24.14	24.13	24.09
	875	1753.75	24.25	24.18	24.12	24.15	24.09	24.07
PCS	25	1851.25	24.16	24.04	24.08	24.09	24.07	24.01
	600	1880	24.25	24.16	24.09	24.14	24.11	24.08
	1175	1908.75	24.11	24.17	23.97	24.03	23.94	23.91

Note: RC1 is only applicable for IS-95 compatibility.

Per KDB Publication 941225 D01:

1. Head SAR was tested with SO55 RC3. SO55 RC1 was not required since the average output power was not more than 0.25 dB than the SO55 RC3 powers.
2. Body-Worn SAR was tested with 1x RTT with TDSO / SO32 FCH Only. Ev-Do and TDSO / SO32 FCH+SCH SAR tests were not required since the average output power was not more than 0.25 dB higher than the TDSO / SO32 FCH only powers.
3. CDMA Wireless Router SAR is measured using Subtype 0/1 Physical Layer configurations for Rev. 0 according to KDB 941225 D01 procedures for data devices. If the average output power of Subtype 2 for Rev. A is less than the Rev. 0 power levels, then Rev. A SAR is not required. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel for Rev. A using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that RF channel in Rev. 0. SAR is not required for 1x RTT for Ev-Do hotspot devices when the maximum average output of each channel is less than 1/4 dB higher than that measured in Subtype 0/1 Physical Layer configurations for Rev. 0.



**Figure 9-1**  
Power Measurement Setup

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 16 of 33

## 9.2 WLAN Conducted Powers

**Table 9-1**  
**IEEE 802.11b Average RF Power**

Mode	Freq [MHz]	Channel	Conducted Power [dBm]			
			Data Rate [Mbps]			
			1	2	5.5	11
802.11b	2412	1	15.39	15.41	15.39	15.53
802.11b	2437	<b>6</b>	<b>15.94</b>	16.02	15.82	15.73
802.11b	2462	11	15.38	15.56	15.72	15.64

**Table 9-2**  
**IEEE 802.11g Average RF Power**


Mode	Freq [MHz]	Channel	Conducted Power [dBm]							
			Data Rate [Mbps]							
			6	9	12	18	24	36	48	54
802.11g	2412	1	12.78	12.75	12.78	12.78	12.94	13.06	12.77	12.85
802.11g	2437	6	12.72	12.66	12.60	12.69	12.57	12.62	12.55	12.68
802.11g	2462	11	12.64	12.64	12.62	12.67	12.57	12.76	12.46	12.46

**Table 9-3**  
**IEEE 802.11n Average RF Power**

Mode	Freq [MHz]	Channel	Conducted Power [dBm]							
			Data Rate [Mbps]							
			6.5	13	20	26	39	52	58	65
802.11n	2412	1	11.68	11.70	11.56	11.62	11.60	11.41	11.50	11.43
802.11n	2437	6	11.42	11.56	11.58	11.46	11.83	11.69	11.54	11.58
802.11n	2462	11	11.67	11.46	11.74	11.70	11.68	11.67	11.64	11.63

Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB Publication 248227 and April 2010 FCC/TCB Meeting Notes:

- For 2.4 GHz, highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate for IEEE 802.11b were selected for SAR evaluation. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11g/n) were not investigated since the average output powers over all channels and data rates were not more than 0.25 dB higher than the tested channel in the lowest data rate of IEEE 802.11b mode.
- When the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the maximum output channel is <1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on other channels is not required. Otherwise, the other default (or corresponding required) test channels were additionally tested using the lowest data rate.
- The bolded data rate and channel above were tested for SAR.



**Figure 9-2**  
**Power Measurement Setup**

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset	Page 17 of 33	

# 10 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

## 10.1 Tissue Verification

**Table 10-1  
Measured Tissue Properties**

Calibrated for Tests Performed on:	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp During Calibration (C°)	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Measured Conductivity, $\sigma$ (S/m)	Measured Dielectric Constant, $\epsilon$	TARGET Conductivity, $\sigma$ (S/m)	TARGET Dielectric Constant, $\epsilon$	% dev $\sigma$	% dev $\epsilon$
3/31/2012	835H	23.6	820	0.883	40.34	0.898	41.571	-1.67%	-2.96%
			835	0.898	40.05	0.900	41.500	-0.22%	-3.49%
			850	0.912	39.93	0.916	41.500	-0.44%	-3.78%
4/3/2012	1750H	23.1	1710	1.286	40.82	1.348	40.136	-4.60%	1.70%
			1750	1.327	40.66	1.370	40.100	-3.14%	1.40%
			1790	1.359	40.46	1.394	40.020	-2.51%	1.10%
3/27/2012	1900H	22.4	1850	1.414	38.92	1.400	40.000	1.00%	-2.70%
			1880	1.454	38.80	1.400	40.000	3.86%	-3.00%
			1910	1.468	38.80	1.400	40.000	4.86%	-3.00%
4/2/2012	2450H	22.8	2401	1.838	38.65	1.758	39.298	4.55%	-1.65%
			2450	1.887	38.40	1.800	39.200	4.83%	-2.04%
			2499	1.944	38.29	1.852	39.135	4.97%	-2.16%
3/30/2012	835B	22.9	820	0.960	53.39	0.969	55.284	-0.93%	-3.43%
			835	0.970	53.41	0.970	55.200	0.00%	-3.24%
			850	0.979	53.17	0.988	55.154	-0.91%	-3.60%
4/3/2012	1750B	23.4	1710	1.458	52.27	1.460	53.540	-0.14%	-2.37%
			1750	1.488	52.17	1.490	53.430	-0.13%	-2.36%
			1790	1.518	52.08	1.510	53.330	0.53%	-2.34%
3/28/2012	1900B	22.0	1850	1.492	51.38	1.520	53.300	-1.84%	-3.60%
			1880	1.539	51.29	1.520	53.300	1.25%	-3.77%
			1910	1.560	51.18	1.520	53.300	2.63%	-3.98%
3/31/2012	1900B	22.1	1850	1.514	51.28	1.520	53.300	-0.39%	-3.79%
			1880	1.553	51.24	1.520	53.300	2.17%	-3.86%
			1910	1.586	51.12	1.520	53.300	4.34%	-4.09%
3/30/2012	2450B	22.2	2401	1.916	51.46	1.903	52.765	0.68%	-2.47%
			2450	1.991	51.30	1.950	52.700	2.10%	-2.66%
			2499	2.061	51.17	2.019	52.638	2.08%	-2.79%

Note: KDB Publication 450824 was ensured to be applied for probe calibration frequencies greater than or equal to 50 MHz of the DUT frequencies.

The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software to perform interpolation via the DASY software to determine actual dielectric parameters at the test frequencies (per IEEE 1528 6.6.1.2). The SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above since the DASY software rounds to three significant digits.

## 10.2 Measurement Procedure for Tissue verification

- 1) The network analyzer and probe system was configured and calibrated.
- 2) The probe was immersed in the sample which was placed in a nonmetallic container. Trapped air bubbles beneath the flange were minimized by placing the probe at a slight angle.
- 3) The complex admittance with respect to the probe aperture was measured
- 4) The complex relative permittivity, for example from the below equation (Pournaropoulos and Misra):

$$Y = \frac{j2\omega\epsilon_r\epsilon_0}{[\ln(b/a)]^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_0^\pi \cos\phi' \frac{\exp[-j\omega r(\mu_0\epsilon_r'\epsilon_0)^{1/2}]}{r} d\phi'd\rho'd\rho$$

Where Y is the admittance of the probe in contact with the sample, the primed and unprimed coordinates refer to source and observation points, respectively,  $r^2 = \rho^2 + \rho'^2 - 2\rho\rho'\cos\phi'$ ,  $\omega$  is the angular frequency, and  $j = \sqrt{-1}$ .


FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 18 of 33

### 10.3 Test System Verification

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to  $\pm 10\%$  of the manufacturer SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration.

**Table 10-2  
System Verification Results**

System Verification TARGET & MEASURED											
Tissue Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Date:	Amb. Temp (°C)	Liquid Temp (°C)	Input Power (W)	Dipole SN	Probe SN	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	1 W Target SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	1 W Normalized SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
835	Head	03/31/2012	22.8	22.6	0.100	4d026	3022	0.964	9.460	9.640	1.90%
1750	Head	04/03/2012	24.5	22.7	0.100	1051	3209	3.5	37.000	35.000	-5.41%
1900	Head	03/27/2012	23.9	21.9	0.100	502	3258	3.97	39.200	39.700	1.28%
2450	Head	04/02/2012	23.8	22.0	0.100	719	3209	5.51	53.800	55.100	2.42%
835	Body	03/30/2012	23.1	22.7	0.100	4d026	3022	0.985	9.660	9.850	1.97%
1750	Body	04/03/2012	24.6	22.8	0.100	1051	3209	3.85	37.000	38.500	4.05%
1900	Body	03/28/2012	24.2	22.2	0.100	5d141	3258	4.17	41.400	41.700	0.72%
1900	Body	03/31/2012	23.3	22.9	0.040	5d141	3258	1.7	41.400	42.500	2.66%
2450	Body	03/30/2012	24.2	22.1	0.100	719	3209	5.53	51.300	55.300	7.80%



**Figure 10-1  
System Verification Setup Diagram**



**Figure 10-2  
System Verification Setup Photo**

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 19 of 33

# 11 SAR DATA SUMMARY

## 11.1 Standalone Head SAR Data

Table 11-1  
Cell. CDMA Head SAR Results

MEASUREMENT RESULTS								
FREQUENCY		Mode/Band	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	Device Serial Number	SAR (1g)
MHz	Ch.							(W/kg)
824.70	1013	Cell. CDMA	24.56	0.02	Right	Touch	SAR #1	0.759
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	24.53	-0.18	Right	Touch	SAR #1	0.807
848.31	777	Cell. CDMA	24.48	0.03	Right	Touch	SAR #1	0.799
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	24.53	0.01	Right	Tilt	SAR #1	0.477
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	24.53	-0.03	Left	Touch	SAR #1	0.673
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	24.53	0.07	Left	Tilt	SAR #1	0.441
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population					Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram			

Table 11-2  
AWS CDMA Head SAR Results

MEASUREMENT RESULTS								
FREQUENCY		Mode/Band	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	Device Serial Number	SAR (1g)
MHz	Ch.							(W/kg)
1732.50	450	AWS CDMA	24.21	-0.01	Right	Touch	SAR #1	0.327
1732.50	450	AWS CDMA	24.21	0.03	Right	Tilt	SAR #1	0.251
1732.50	450	AWS CDMA	24.21	-0.13	Left	Touch	SAR #1	0.540
1732.50	450	AWS CDMA	24.21	-0.07	Left	Tilt	SAR #1	0.281
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population					Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram			

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 20 of 33

**Table 11-3  
PCS CDMA Head SAR Results**

<b>MEASUREMENT RESULTS</b>									
FREQUENCY		Mode/Band	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	Device Serial Number	SAR (1g)	
MHz	Ch.							(W/kg)	
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	24.16	-0.13	Right	Touch	SAR #1	0.551	
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	24.16	0.08	Right	Tilt	SAR #1	0.347	
1851.25	25	PCS CDMA	24.04	0.15	Left	Touch	SAR #1	1.030	
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	24.16	0.06	Left	Touch	SAR #1	1.100	
1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	24.17	0.05	Left	Touch	SAR #1	1.190	
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	24.16	0.03	Left	Tilt	SAR #1	0.362	
<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population</b>						<b>Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram</b>			

**Table 11-4  
2.4 GHz WLAN Head SAR Results**

<b>MEASUREMENT RESULTS</b>											
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	SAR (1g)	
MHz	Ch.									(W/kg)	
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	DSSS	15.94	0.01	Right	Touch	SAR #1	1	0.536	
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	DSSS	15.94	0.09	Right	Tilt	SAR #1	1	0.302	
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	DSSS	15.94	0.06	Left	Touch	SAR #1	1	0.245	
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	DSSS	15.94	0.01	Left	Tilt	SAR #1	1	0.187	
<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population</b>						<b>Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram</b>					

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 21 of 33

## 11.2 Standalone Body-Worn SAR Data

**Table 11-5  
Licensed Transmitter Body-Worn SAR Results**

<b>MEASUREMENT RESULTS</b>									
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Device Serial Number	Side	SAR (1g)
MHz	Ch.								(W/kg)
824.70	1013	Cell. CDMA	TDSO / SO32	24.53	0.02	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	1.010
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	TDSO / SO32	24.42	0.03	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	0.980
848.31	777	Cell. CDMA	TDSO / SO32	24.37	-0.03	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	0.862
1711.25	25	AWS CDMA	TDSO / SO32	24.28	0.02	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	0.870
1732.50	450	AWS CDMA	TDSO / SO32	24.14	-0.01	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	1.020
1753.75	875	AWS CDMA	TDSO / SO32	24.15	-0.03	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	0.930
1851.25	25	PCS CDMA	TDSO / SO32	24.09	0.08	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	1.180
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	TDSO / SO32	24.14	0.02	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	1.200
1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	TDSO / SO32	24.03	0.00	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	1.080
<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT</b>						<b>Body</b>			
<b>Spatial Peak</b>						<b>1.6 W/kg (mW/g)</b>			
<b>Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population</b>						<b>averaged over 1 gram</b>			

**Table 11-6  
WLAN Body-Worn SAR Results**

<b>MEASUREMENT RESULTS</b>										
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	SAR (1g)
MHz	Ch.									(W/kg)
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	DSSS	15.94	-0.17	1.0 cm	SAR #1	1	back	0.090
<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT</b>						<b>Body</b>				
<b>Spatial Peak</b>						<b>1.6 W/kg (mW/g)</b>				
<b>Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population</b>						<b>averaged over 1 gram</b>				

Note: For WLAN Mode, Hotspot SAR Data was considered to determine body-worn SAR compliance per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 22 of 33

### 11.3 Standalone Wireless Router SAR Data

Table 11-7  
Licensed Transmitter Hotspot SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS									
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Device Serial Number	Side	SAR (1g)
MHz	Ch.								(W/kg)
824.70	1013	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.60	-0.07	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	1.110
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.38	-0.02	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	1.120
848.31	777	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.30	-0.06	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	0.993
824.70	1013	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.60	0.11	1.0 cm	SAR #1	front	0.932
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.38	0.09	1.0 cm	SAR #1	front	0.967
848.31	777	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.30	-0.11	1.0 cm	SAR #1	front	0.861
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.38	-0.09	1.0 cm	SAR #1	bottom	0.168
824.70	1013	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.60	0.14	1.0 cm	SAR #1	right	0.779
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.38	-0.04	1.0 cm	SAR #1	right	0.906
848.31	777	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.30	0.21	1.0 cm	SAR #1	right	0.796
824.70	1013	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.60	0.02	1.0 cm	SAR #1	left	0.717
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.38	-0.06	1.0 cm	SAR #1	left	0.838
848.31	777	Cell. CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.30	0.09	1.0 cm	SAR #1	left	0.706
1732.50	450	AWS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.13	0.12	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	0.770
1711.25	25	AWS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.26	0.15	1.0 cm	SAR #1	front	0.797
1732.50	450	AWS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.13	0.02	1.0 cm	SAR #1	front	0.892
1753.75	875	AWS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.09	0.13	1.0 cm	SAR #1	front	0.918
1732.50	450	AWS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.13	-0.04	1.0 cm	SAR #1	bottom	0.636
1732.50	450	AWS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.13	-0.02	1.0 cm	SAR #1	right	0.089
1732.50	450	AWS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.13	-0.04	1.0 cm	SAR #1	left	0.280
1851.25	25	PCS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.07	0.02	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	1.070
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.11	-0.06	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	1.070
1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	23.94	0.06	1.0 cm	SAR #1	back	0.998
1851.25	25	PCS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.07	0.15	1.0 cm	SAR #1	front	1.110
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.11	0.02	1.0 cm	SAR #1	front	1.190
1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	23.94	0.04	1.0 cm	SAR #1	front	1.050
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.11	0.04	1.0 cm	SAR #1	bottom	0.741
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.11	0.01	1.0 cm	SAR #1	right	0.205
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	EVDO Rev. 0	24.11	0.00	1.0 cm	SAR #1	left	0.665
<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT</b>						<b>Body</b>			
<b>Spatial Peak</b>						<b>1.6 W/kg (mW/g)</b>			
<b>Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population</b>						<b>averaged over 1 gram</b>			

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 23 of 33

**Table 11-8  
WLAN Hotspot SAR Data**

<b>MEASUREMENT RESULTS</b>										
<b>FREQUENCY</b>		<b>Mode</b>	<b>Service</b>	<b>Conducted Power [dBm]</b>	<b>Power Drift [dB]</b>	<b>Spacing</b>	<b>Device Serial Number</b>	<b>Data Rate (Mbps)</b>	<b>Side</b>	<b>SAR (1g)</b>
<b>MHz</b>	<b>Ch.</b>									<b>(W/kg)</b>
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	DSSS	15.94	-0.17	1.0 cm	SAR #1	1	back	0.090
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	DSSS	15.94	-0.15	1.0 cm	SAR #1	1	front	0.120
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	DSSS	15.94	-0.12	1.0 cm	SAR #1	1	top	0.070
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	DSSS	15.94	-0.16	1.0 cm	SAR #1	1	left	0.085
<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT</b>						<b>Body</b>				
<b>Spatial Peak</b>						<b>1.6 W/kg (mW/g)</b>				
<b>Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population</b>						averaged over 1 gram				

### 11.4 SAR Test Notes

**General Notes:**

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used were according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [June 2001].
2. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. The standard battery was used.
3. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
4. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same liquid, probe and DAE as the SAR tests in the same time period.
5. Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C and Public Notice DA-02-1438, if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
6. Device was tested using a fixed spacing for body-worn accessory testing. A separation distance of 10 mm was considered because the manufacturer has determined that there will be body-worn accessories available in the marketplace for users to support this separation distance.

**CDMA Notes:**

1. Head SAR for CDMA2000 mode was tested under RC3/SO55 per KDB Publication 941225 D01.
2. Body-Worn SAR was tested with 1x RTT with TDSO / SO32 FCH Only. Ev-Do and TDSO / SO32 FCH+SCH SAR tests were not required since the average output power was not more than 0.25 dB higher than the TDSO / SO32 FCH only powers.
3. CDMA Wireless Router SAR is measured using Subtype 0/1 Physical Layer configurations for Rev. 0 according to KDB 941225 D01 procedures for data devices. Since the average output power of Subtype 2 for Rev. A was less than the Rev. 0 power levels, Rev. A SAR was not required. SAR was measured on the maximum output channel for Rev. A using the exposure configuration that resulted in the highest SAR for that RF channel in Rev. 0. SAR was not required for 1x RTT for Ev-Do hotspot devices because the maximum average output of each channel was less than 1/4 dB higher than that measured in Subtype 0/1 Physical Layer configurations for Rev. 0.
4. AWS CDMA SAR was measured with a probe calibrated at 1750 MHz and is valid for measuring SAR from ± 50 MHz. The 1750MHz specific liquid was verified with specific probe calibration factors as required per FCC KDB Publication 450824 D01.

<b>FCC ID:</b> ZNFUS730	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>	 <b>LG</b>	<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 0Y1203280355.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	<b>DUT Type:</b> Portable Handset	Page 24 of 33	

WLAN Notes:

1. Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB Publication 248227 and April 2010 FCC/TCB Meeting Notes for 2.4 GHz WIFI: Highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate was selected for SAR evaluation in 802.11b. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11g/n) were not investigated since the average output powers over all channels and data rates were not more than 0.25 dB higher than the tested channel in the lowest data rate of IEEE 802.11b mode.
2. WLAN transmission was verified using an uncalibrated spectrum analyzer.
3. Since the maximum extrapolated peak SAR of the zoom scan for the maximum output channel is <1.6 W/kg and the 1g averaged SAR is <0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on other channels was not required.
4. Per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06, when the same wireless modes and device transmission configurations are required for body-worn accessories and hotspot mode, it is not necessary to additionally test body-worn accessory SAR for the same device orientation. Therefore, the hotspot data for the back side configuration additionally shows body-worn compliance at the same distance.

Hotspot Notes:

1. Top Edge for the licensed transmitter was not tested since the antenna distance from the edge was greater than 2.5 cm per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06 guidance (see Section 1.2).
2. Bottom and Right Edges for the WLAN transmitter was not tested since the antenna distance from the edges were greater than 2.5 cm per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06 (see Section 1.2).
3. During SAR Testing for the Wireless Router conditions per KDB 941225 D06, the actual Portable Hotspot operation (with actual simultaneous transmission of a transmitter with WIFI) was not activated (See Section 6.6.)

<b>FCC ID:</b> ZNFUS730	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 0Y1203280355.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	<b>DUT Type:</b> Portable Handset	Page 25 of 33	

## 12 FCC MULTI-TX AND ANTENNA SAR CONSIDERATIONS

### 12.1 Introduction

The following procedures adopted from “FCC SAR Considerations for Cell Phones with Multiple Transmitters” FCC KDB Publication 648474 are applicable to handsets with built-in unlicensed transmitters such as 802.11b/g/n and Bluetooth devices which may simultaneously transmit with the licensed transmitter.

### 12.2 FCC Power Tables & Conditions

	2.45	5.15 - 5.35	5.47 - 5.85	GHz
$P_{Ref}$	12	6	5	mW

Device output power should be rounded to the nearest mW to compare with values specified in this table.

**Figure 12-1**  
**Output Power Thresholds for Unlicensed Transmitters**

	Individual Transmitter	Simultaneous Transmission
<b>Licensed Transmitters</b>	<u>Routine evaluation required</u>	<b>SAR not required:</b> <u>Unlicensed only</u>
<b>Unlicensed Transmitters</b>	<p><u>When there is no simultaneous transmission –</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o output <math>\leq 60/f</math>: SAR not required</li> <li>o output <math>&gt; 60/f</math>: stand-alone SAR required</li> </ul> <p><u>When there is simultaneous transmission –</u> <u>Stand-alone SAR not required when</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o output <math>\leq 2 \cdot P_{Ref}</math> and antenna is <math>\geq 5.0</math> cm from other antennas</li> <li>o output <math>\leq P_{Ref}</math> and antenna is <math>\geq 2.5</math> cm from other antennas</li> <li>o output <math>\leq P_{Ref}</math> and antenna is <math>&lt; 2.5</math> cm from other antennas, each with either output power <math>\leq P_{Ref}</math> or 1-g SAR <math>&lt; 1.2</math> W/kg</li> </ul> <p><u>Otherwise stand-alone SAR is required</u></p> <p><u>When stand-alone SAR is required</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o test SAR on highest output channel for each wireless mode and exposure condition</li> <li>o if SAR for highest output channel is <math>&gt; 50\%</math> of SAR limit, evaluate all channels according to normal procedures</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o when stand-alone 1-g SAR is not required and antenna is <math>\geq 5</math> cm from other antennas</li> </ul> <p><u>Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o when the sum of the 1-g SAR is <math>&lt; 1.6</math> W/kg for all simultaneous transmitting antennas</li> <li>o when SAR to peak location separation ratio of simultaneous transmitting antenna pair is <math>&lt; 0.3</math></li> </ul> <p><b>SAR required:</b> <u>Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</u></p> <p>antenna pairs with SAR to peak location separation ratio <math>\geq 0.3</math>; test is only required for the configuration that results in the highest SAR in stand-alone configuration for each wireless mode and exposure condition</p> <p><b>Note: simultaneous transmission exposure conditions for head and body can be different for different style phones; therefore, different test requirements may apply</b></p>

**Figure 12-2**  
**SAR Evaluation Requirements for Multiple Transmitter Handsets**

According to Figure 12-1 and Figure 12-2, simultaneous transmission analysis of SAR may be required for this device for the licensed and unlicensed transmitters. Possible simultaneous transmissions for this device were numerically summed using stand-alone SAR data and are shown in the following tables.

Per KDB Publication 648474, standalone Bluetooth SAR tests were not required. Standalone SAR tests for WLAN were required. See Section 1.3(A) for more information.

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	<b>PCTEST</b> <small>ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.</small>	<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>	<b>LG</b>
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager  Page 26 of 33

## 12.3 Head SAR Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

**Table 12-1**  
**Simultaneous Transmission Scenario (Held to Ear)**

Simult Tx	Configuration	Cell. CDMA SAR (W/kg)	2.4 GHz WLAN SAR (W/kg)	$\Sigma$ SAR (W/kg)	Simult Tx	Configuration	AWS CDMA SAR (W/kg)	2.4 GHz WLAN SAR (W/kg)	$\Sigma$ SAR (W/kg)
Head SAR	Right Cheek	0.807	0.536	<b>1.343</b>	Head SAR	Right Cheek	0.327	0.536	<b>0.863</b>
	Right Tilt	0.477	0.302	0.779		Right Tilt	0.251	0.302	0.553
	Left Cheek	0.673	0.245	0.918		Left Cheek	0.540	0.245	0.785
	Left Tilt	0.441	0.187	0.628		Left Tilt	0.281	0.187	0.468

Simult Tx	Configuration	PCS CDMA SAR (W/kg)	2.4 GHz WLAN SAR (W/kg)	$\Sigma$ SAR (W/kg)
Head SAR	Right Cheek	0.551	0.536	1.087
	Right Tilt	0.347	0.302	0.649
	Left Cheek	1.190	0.245	<b>1.435</b>
	Left Tilt	0.362	0.187	0.549

The above tables represent a held to ear voice call potentially simultaneously operating with 2.4 GHz WLAN.

## 12.4 Body-Worn Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

**Table 12-2**  
**Simultaneous Transmission Scenario (Body-Worn at 1.0 cm)**

Configuration	Mode	CDMA SAR (W/kg)	2.4 GHz WLAN SAR (W/kg)	$\Sigma$ SAR (W/kg)
Back Side	Cell. CDMA	1.010	0.090	1.100
Back Side	AWS CDMA	1.020	0.090	1.110
Back Side	PCS CDMA	1.200	0.090	<b>1.290</b>

The above tables represent a body-worn voice call potentially simultaneously operating with 2.4 GHz WLAN.

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 27 of 33

## 12.5 Hotspot SAR Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

**Table 12-3**  
**Simultaneous Transmission Scenario (Hotspot at 1.0 cm)**

Simult Tx	Configuration	Cell. EVDO SAR (W/kg)	2.4 GHz WLAN SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	Simult Tx	Configuration	AWS EVDO SAR (W/kg)	2.4 GHz WLAN SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Body SAR	Back	1.120	0.090	<b>1.210</b>	Body SAR	Back	0.770	0.090	0.860
	Front	0.967	0.120	1.087		Front	0.918	0.120	<b>1.038</b>
	Top	-	0.070	0.070		Top	-	0.070	0.070
	Bottom	0.168	-	0.168		Bottom	0.636	-	0.636
	Right	0.906	-	0.906		Right	0.089	-	0.089
	Left	0.838	0.085	0.923		Left	0.280	0.085	0.365

Simult Tx	Configuration	PCS EVDO SAR (W/kg)	2.4 GHz WLAN SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Body SAR	Back	1.070	0.090	1.160
	Front	1.190	0.120	<b>1.310</b>
	Top	-	0.070	0.070
	Bottom	0.741	-	0.741
	Right	0.205	-	0.205
	Left	0.665	0.085	0.750

Note: Per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06, the edges with antennas more than 2.5 cm are not required to be evaluated for SAR (“-”). The above tables represent a portable hotspot condition.

## 12.6 Simultaneous Transmission Conclusion

The above numerical summed SAR was below the SAR limit. Therefore, the above analysis is sufficient to determine that simultaneous transmission cases will not exceed the SAR limit. No volumetric SAR summation is required per FCC KDB Publication 648474.

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 0Y1203280355.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	<b>DUT Type:</b> Portable Handset	Page 28 of 33	

# 13 EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Agilent	8594A	(9kHz-2.9GHz) Spectrum Analyzer	N/A		N/A	3051A00187
Agilent	8648D	(9kHz-4GHz) Signal Generator	10/10/2011	Annual	10/10/2012	3613A00315
Agilent	8753E	(30kHz-6GHz) Network Analyzer	4/21/2011	Annual	4/21/2012	JP38020182
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	10/10/2011	Annual	10/10/2012	GB46110872
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	10/20/2011	Annual	10/20/2012	GB46310798
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	10/14/2011	Annual	10/14/2012	GB41450275
Agilent	E8257D	(250kHz-20GHz) Signal Generator	4/8/2011	Annual	4/8/2012	MY45470194
Gigatronics	80701A	(0.05-18GHz) Power Sensor	10/12/2011	Annual	10/12/2012	1833460
Gigatronics	8651A	Universal Power Meter	10/12/2011	Annual	10/12/2012	8650319
Pasternack	PE2208-6	Bidirectional Coupler	6/3/2011	Annual	6/3/2012	N/A
Pasternack	PE2209-10	Bidirectional Coupler	6/3/2011	Annual	6/3/2012	N/A
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	6/1/2011	Annual	6/1/2012	833855/0010
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	4/19/2011	Annual	4/19/2012	107826
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	11/4/2009	Annual	11/4/2010	109892
Rohde & Schwarz	NRVD	Dual Channel Power Meter	4/8/2011	Biennial	4/8/2013	101695
SPEAG	D1900V2	1900 MHz SAR Dipole	2/22/2012	Annual	2/22/2013	502
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	8/19/2011	Annual	8/19/2012	719
SPEAG	D835V2	835 MHz SAR Dipole	8/15/2011	Annual	8/15/2012	4d026
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	4/20/2011	Annual	4/20/2012	665
SPEAG	ES3DV2	SAR Probe	8/25/2011	Annual	8/25/2012	3022
Tektronix	RSA-6114A	Real Time Spectrum Analyzer	4/7/2011	Annual	4/7/2012	B010177
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	5/19/2011	Annual	5/19/2012	859
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	3/16/2012	Annual	3/16/2013	3209
Rohde & Schwarz	SMIQ03B	Signal Generator	4/6/2011	Annual	4/6/2012	DE27259
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	2/14/2012	Annual	2/14/2013	5318
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	2/14/2012	Annual	2/14/2013	5442
Anritsu	ML2438A	Power Meter	2/14/2012	Annual	2/14/2013	1190013
Anritsu	ML2438A	Power Meter	2/14/2012	Annual	2/14/2013	98150041
Agilent	8648D	Signal Generator	4/5/2011	Annual	4/5/2012	3629U00687
Anritsu	ML2438A	Power Meter	10/13/2011	Annual	10/13/2012	1070030
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	2/14/2012	Annual	2/14/2013	5821
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	2/14/2012	Annual	2/14/2013	8013
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	2/14/2012	Annual	2/14/2013	2400
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	2/14/2012	Annual	2/14/2013	GB43304447
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Tester	4/21/2011	Annual	4/21/2012	US41140256
Anritsu	MA2411B	Pulse Sensor	10/13/2011	Annual	10/13/2012	1027293
Anritsu	ML2495A	Power Meter	10/13/2011	Annual	10/13/2012	1039008
Amplifier Research	5S1G4	5W, 800MHz-4.2GHz	CBT		N/A	2191
Mini-Circuits	BW-N20W5+	DC to 18 GHz Precision Fixed 20 dB Attenuator	CBT		N/A	N/A
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	2/12/2012	Annual	2/12/2013	GB45360985
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	2/21/2012	Annual	2/21/2013	3258
SPEAG	D1750V2	1750 MHz SAR Dipole	5/24/2011	Annual	5/24/2012	1051
MiniCircuits	SLP-2400+	Low Pass Filter	CBT		CBT	R8979500903
Narda	BW-S3W2	Attenuator (3dB)	CBT		CBT	120
Mini-Circuits	NLP-2950+	Low Pass Filter DC to 2700 MHz	CBT		CBT	N/A
Mini-Circuits	NLP-1200+	Low Pass Filter DC to 1000 MHz	CBT		CBT	N/A
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	2/14/2012	Annual	2/14/2013	GB43163447
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	1/18/2012	Annual	1/18/2013	1272
SPEAG	D1900V2	1900 MHz SAR Dipole	7/11/2011	Annual	7/11/2012	5d141
Agilent	85070E	Dielectric Probe Kit	3/8/2012	Annual	3/8/2013	MY44300633
Anritsu	MT8820C	Radio Communication Tester	11/11/2011	Annual	11/11/2012	6200901190
MiniCircuits	VLF-6000+	Low Pass Filter	CBT		CBT	N/A
MiniCircuits	VLF-6000+	Low Pass Filter	CBT		CBT	N/A
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	2/9/2012	Annual	2/9/2013	GB43460554
Speag	DAK-3.5	Dielectric Assessment Kit	12/1/2011	Annual	12/1/2012	1031
Narda	4014C-6	4 - 8 GHz SMA 6 dB Directional Coupler	CBT		CBT	N/A
Intelligent Weigh	PD-3000	Electronic Balance	3/27/2012	Annual	3/27/2013	11081534
Control Company	36934-158	Wall-Mounted Thermometer	1/4/2012	Biennial	1/4/2014	122014488
Control Company	61220-416	Long-Stem Thermometer	10/12/2011	Biennial	10/12/2013	111860844
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench (8" lb)	40973	Triennial	42068	N/A

Note: CBT (Calibrated Before Testing). Prior to testing, the measurement paths containing a cable, attenuator, coupler, amplifier, or filter were connected to a calibrated source (i.e. a signal generator) to determine the losses of the measurement path. The power meter offset was then adjusted to compensate for the measurement system losses. This level offset is stored within the power meter before measurements are made. This calibration verification procedure applies to the system verification and output power measurements. The calibrated reading is then taken directly from the power meter after compensation of the losses for all final power measurements.

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 29 of 33

# 14 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

Applicable for frequencies less than 3000 MHz.

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = c x f/e	i = c x g/e	k	
Uncertainty Component	IEEE 1528 Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c <sub>i</sub> 1gm	c <sub>i</sub> 10 gms	1gm u <sub>i</sub> (± %)	10gms u <sub>i</sub> (± %)	v <sub>i</sub>	
<b>Measurement System</b>										
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	∞	
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.25	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞	
Hemishperical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	∞	
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	0.4	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	∞	
Linearity	E.2.4	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞	
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	5.1	N	1	1.0	1.0	5.1	5.1	∞	
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	∞	
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	∞	
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	∞	
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞	
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	∞	
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞	
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	1.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.6	∞	
<b>Test Sample Related</b>										
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	287	
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.32	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.9	1.9	∞	
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	∞	
<b>Phantom &amp; Tissue Parameters</b>										
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.3	∞	
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞	
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	3.8	N	1	0.64	0.43	2.4	1.6	6	
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞	
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.5	N	1	0.60	0.49	2.7	2.2	6	
<b>Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)</b>							RSS	12.1	11.7	299
<b>Expanded Uncertainty</b> (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)							k=2	24.2	23.5	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-2003

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 30 of 33

## 15 CONCLUSION

### 15.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Industry Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [3]

<b>FCC ID:</b> ZNFUS730		<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 0Y1203280355.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	<b>DUT Type:</b> Portable Handset		Page 31 of 33

## 16 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.
- [4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [5] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, June 2001.
- [6] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2003, Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices.
- [7] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [8] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [10] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [11] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [12] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [13] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [14] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [15] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [16] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [17] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

FCC ID: ZNFUS730	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 LG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1203280355.ZNF	Test Dates: 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 32 of 33

- [18] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. Supplement C, Dec. 1997.
- [19] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [20] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [21] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [22] IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz), Feb. 2005.
- [23] Industry Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 4, March 2010.
- [24] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 2009
- [25] FCC Public Notice DA-02-1438. Office of Engineering and Technology Announces a Transition Period for the Phantom Requirements of Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65, June 19, 2002
- [26] FCC SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices KDB Publication 941225
- [27] SAR Measurement procedures for IEEE 802.11a/b/g KDB Publication 248227
- [28] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publication 648474
- [29] FCC Application Note for SAR Probe Calibration and System Verification Consideration for Measurements at 150 MHz – 3 GHz, KDB Publication 450824
- [30] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop Computers with Antennas Built-in on Display Screens, KDB Publication 616217
- [31] FCC SAR Measurement Requirements for 3 – 6 GHz, KDB Publication 865664
- [32] FCC Mobile Portable RF Exposure Procedure, KDB Publication 447498
- [33] FCC SAR Procedures for Dongle Transmitters, KDB Publication 447498
- [34] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de Setembro de 2009.
- [35] FCC SAR Test Considerations for LTE Handsets and Data Modems, KDB Publication 941225.
- [36] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz), Mar. 2010.
- [37] FCC Hot Spot SAR v01, KDB Publication 941225 D06.

<b>FCC ID:</b> ZNFUS730		<b>SAR EVALUATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>Document S/N:</b> 0Y1203280355.ZNF	<b>Test Dates:</b> 03/27/12 - 04/03/12	<b>DUT Type:</b> Portable Handset		Page 33 of 33

## APPENDIX A: SAR TEST DATA

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.899 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 22.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.6°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: Cellular CDMA, Right Head, Touch, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 32.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.179 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.05 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.807 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.607 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.850mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.899 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 22.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.6°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: Cellular CDMA, Right Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.013 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.583 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.477 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.365 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.502mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.899 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 22.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.6°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: Cellular CDMA, Left Head, Touch, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 29.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.029 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.811 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.673 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.511 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.703mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.899 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 22.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.6°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: Cellular CDMA, Left Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 23.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.072 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.542 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.441 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.336 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.463mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: AWS CDMA; Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1732.5 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.31 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 04-03-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.: °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.26, 5.26, 5.26); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: AWS CDMA, Right Head, Touch, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 17.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.011 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.497 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.327 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.207 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.356mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: AWS CDMA; Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1732.5 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.31 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Tgvv'F cvg<26/25/4234=C Co dlkpv'Vgo r <468ÅE=Vkuwg'Vgo r <440 ÅE

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.26, 5.26, 5.26); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: AWS CDMA, Right Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 5.70 V/m; Power Drift = 0.028 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.386 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.251 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.151 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.274mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: AWS CDMA; Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1732.5 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.31 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Vguv'F cvg<26/25/4234=C Co dlkpv'Vgo r <468AE=Vkuwg'Vgo r <440 AE

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.26, 5.26, 5.26); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: AWS CDMA, Left Head, Touch, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 21.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.129 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.839 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.540 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.341 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.581mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: AWS CDMA; Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1732.5 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.31 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 04-03-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.6°C; Tissue Temp: 22.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.26, 5.26, 5.26); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: AWS CDMA, Left Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 8.64 V/m; Power Drift = -0.065 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.430 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.281 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.176 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.294mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.45 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 03-27-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(5.17, 5.17, 5.17); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: PCS CDMA, Right Head, Touch, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 20.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.127 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.847 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.551 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.344 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.611mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.45 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 03-27-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(5.17, 5.17, 5.17); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: PCS CDMA, Right Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 17.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.076 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.557 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.347 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.211 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.377mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1908.75 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1908.75 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.468 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 03-27-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(5.17, 5.17, 5.17); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: PCS CDMA, Left Head, Touch, High.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 31.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.047 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.88 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.19 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.707 mW/g**



0 dB = 1.25mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.45 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 03-27-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(5.17, 5.17, 5.17); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: PCS CDMA, Left Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 17.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.027 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.574 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.362 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.231 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.384mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.87 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 04-02-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.46, 4.46, 4.46); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Right Head, Touch, Ch 06, 1 Mbps**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 16.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.008 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.09 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.536 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.255 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.712mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.87 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 04-02-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.46, 4.46, 4.46); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Right Head, Tilt, Ch 06, 1 Mbps**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 12.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.088 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.639 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.302 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.145 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.385mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.87 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 04-02-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.46, 4.46, 4.46); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Left Head, Touch, Ch 06, 1 Mbps**


**Area Scan (7x11x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.059 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.435 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.245 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.141 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.299mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.87 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 04-02-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.46, 4.46, 4.46); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Left Head, Tilt, Ch 06, 1 Mbps**


**Area Scan (7x11x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 10.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.010 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.345 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.187 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.101 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.234mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.971 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.06, 6.06, 6.06); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: Cellular EVDO, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 36.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.018 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.39 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.12 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.852 mW/g**



0 dB = 1.18mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.971 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.06, 6.06, 6.06); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: Cellular EVDO, Body SAR, Front side, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 33.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.086 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.17 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.967 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.743 mW/g**



0 dB = 1.01mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.971 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.06, 6.06, 6.06); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: Cellular EVDO, Body SAR, Bottom Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 14.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.094 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.290 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.168 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.100 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.185mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.971 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.06, 6.06, 6.06); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: Cellular EVDO, Body SAR, Right Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 33.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.038 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.24 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.906 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.634 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.968mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.971 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.06, 6.06, 6.06); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: Cellular EVDO, Body SAR, Left Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 32.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.064 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.15 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.838 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.583 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.896mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: AWS CDMA; Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1732.5 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.47 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-03-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.6°C; Tissue Temp: 22.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: AWS CDMA, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (8x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 28.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.012 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.64 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.02 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.627 mW/g**



0 dB = 1.10mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: AWS CDMA; Frequency: 1753.75 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1753.75 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.49 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-03-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.6°C; Tissue Temp: 22.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: AWS EVDO, Body SAR, Front side, High.ch**


**Area Scan (8x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 28.0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.129 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.46 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.918 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.559 mW/g**



0 dB = 1.00mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: AWS CDMA; Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1732.5 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.47 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-03-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.6°C; Tissue Temp: 22.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: AWS EVDO, Body SAR, Bottom Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 23.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.044 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.05 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.636 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.367 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.693mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: AWS CDMA; Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1732.5 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.47 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-03-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.6°C; Tissue Temp: 22.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: AWS EVDO, Body SAR, Right Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 8.52 V/m; Power Drift = -0.022 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.141 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.089 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.054 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.097mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: AWS CDMA; Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1732.5 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.47 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-03-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.6°C; Tissue Temp: 22.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: AWS EVDO, Body SAR, Left Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 15.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.041 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.451 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.280 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.167 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.303mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.54 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-28-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.2 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.2 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: PCS CDMA, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 31.0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.023 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.90 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.2 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.745 mW/g**



0 dB = 1.27mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.55 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.3°C; Tissue Temp: 22.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: PCS EVDO, Body SAR, Front side, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 30.0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.015 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.89 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.19 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.723 mW/g**



0 dB = 1.28mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.55 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.3°C; Tissue Temp: 22.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: PCS EVDO, Body SAR, Bottom Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.040 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.23 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.741 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.423 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.806mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.55 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.3°C; Tissue Temp: 22.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: PCS EVDO, Body SAR, Right Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 13.0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.008 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.326 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.205 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.124 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.224mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.55 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.3°C; Tissue Temp: 22.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: PCS EVDO, Body SAR, Left Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 23.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.004 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.08 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.665 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.388 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.720mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.97 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.2°C; Tissue Temp: 22.1°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.23, 4.23, 4.23); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Body SAR, Ch 06, 1 Mbps, Back Side**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 6.85 V/m; Power Drift = -0.165 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.225 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.090 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.045 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.120mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.97 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.2°C; Tissue Temp: 22.1°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.23, 4.23, 4.23); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Body SAR, Ch 6, 1 Mbps, Front Side**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 7.79 V/m; Power Drift = -0.146 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.227 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.120 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.066 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.149mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.97 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.2°C; Tissue Temp: 22.1°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.23, 4.23, 4.23); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Body SAR, Ch 6, 1 Mbps, Top Edge**


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 6.22 V/m; Power Drift = -0.122 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.135 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.070 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.037 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.089mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: ZNFUS730; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: SAR#1**

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.97 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.2°C; Tissue Temp: 22.1°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.23, 4.23, 4.23); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Body SAR, Ch 6, 1 Mbps, Left Edge**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 6.54 V/m; Power Drift = -0.164 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.169 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.085 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.043 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.108mW/g

## APPENDIX B: SYSTEM VERIFICATION

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d026**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.898 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 22.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.6°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 835MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (7x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 0.964 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.625 mW/g**

Deviation = 1.90 %



0 dB = 1.04mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d026**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.898 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 22.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.6°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 835MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (7x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 0.964 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.625 mW/g**

Deviation = 1.90 %



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 1750 MHz; Type: D1750V2; Serial: 1051**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 1750 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.33 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-03-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.6°C; Tissue Temp: 22.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.26, 5.26, 5.26); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1750 MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 3.5 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.82 mW/g**

Deviation = -5.41 %



0 dB = 3.92mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 1750 MHz; Type: D1750V2; Serial: 1051**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 1750 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.33 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-03-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.6°C; Tissue Temp: 22.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.26, 5.26, 5.26); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1750 MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 3.5 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.82 mW/g**

Deviation = -5.41 %



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 502**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.46 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-27-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(5.17, 5.17, 5.17); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1900MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power: 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 3.97 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.05 mW/g**

Deviation: 1.28%



0 dB = 4.38mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 502**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.46 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-27-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(5.17, 5.17, 5.17); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1900MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power: 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 3.97 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.05 mW/g**

Deviation: 1.28%



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 719**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.89 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-02-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.46, 4.46, 4.46); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 2450MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 5.51 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.5 mW/g**

Deviation = 2.42 %



0 dB = 7.11mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 719**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.89 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-02-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.46, 4.46, 4.46); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 2450MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 5.51 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.5 mW/g**

Deviation = 2.42 %



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d026**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.97 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.06, 6.06, 6.06); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 835MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (7x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 0.985 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.649 mW/g**

Deviation = 1.97 %



0 dB = 1.07mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d026**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.97 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.06, 6.06, 6.06); Calibrated: 8/25/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 835MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (7x13x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 0.985 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.649 mW/g**

Deviation = 1.97 %



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 1750 MHz; Type: D1750V2; Serial: 1051**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1750 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.49 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-03-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.6° C; Tissue Temp: 22.8° C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1750 MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (6x6x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 3.85 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.02 mW/g**

Deviation = -4.05 %



0 dB = 4.34mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 1750 MHz; Type: D1750V2; Serial: 1051**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1750 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.49 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 52.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-03-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.6° C; Tissue Temp: 22.8° C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.83, 4.83, 4.83); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1750 MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (6x6x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 3.85 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.02 mW/g**

Deviation = -4.05 %



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d141**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.55 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-28-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.2 ° C; Tissue Temp: 22.2 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1900MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 4.17 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.16 mW/g**

Deviation = 0.72 %



0 dB = 4.62mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d141**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.55 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-28-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.2 ° C; Tissue Temp: 22.2 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1900MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 4.17 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.16 mW/g**

Deviation = 0.72 %



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d141**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.58 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.3°C; Tissue Temp: 22.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1900MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 16.0 dBm (40 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 1.7 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.876 mW/g**

Deviation = 2.66 %



0 dB = 1.90mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d141**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.58 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-31-2012; Ambient Temp: 23.3°C; Tissue Temp: 22.9°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 2/21/2012

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/18/2012

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1900MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 16.0 dBm (40 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 1.7 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.876 mW/g**

Deviation = 2.66 %



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 719**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.99 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.2°C; Tissue Temp: 22.1°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.23, 4.23, 4.23); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 2450MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 5.53 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.51 mW/g**

Deviation = 7.80 %



0 dB = 7.15mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 719**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.99 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 51.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 03-30-2012; Ambient Temp: 24.2°C; Tissue Temp: 22.1°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.23, 4.23, 4.23); Calibrated: 3/16/2012

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/20/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 2450MHz System Verification

**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 5.53 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.51 mW/g**

Deviation = 7.80 %

