

### **PCTEST**

7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654 http://www.pctest.com



# **HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY**

**Applicant Name:** LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc.

111 Sylvan Avenue, North Building Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 United States

Date of Testing: 6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020 Test Site/Location: PCTEST, Columbia, MD, USA Test Report Serial No.: 1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF Date of Issue:

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM

APPLICANT: LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A, INC.

Scope of Test: Audio Band Magnetic Testing (T-Coil)

Application Type: Certification
FCC Rule Part(s): CFR §20.19(b)
HAC Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05

285076 D02 T-Coil testing for CMRS IP v03

7/22/2020

**DUT Type:** Portable Handset **Model:** LM-G900TM

Additional Model(s): LMG900TM, G900TM

**Test Device Serial No.:** Pre-Production Sample [S/N: 00284]

C63.19-2011 HAC Category: T3 (SIGNAL TO NOISE CATEGORY)

Note: This revised Test Report (S/N: 1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2011 and has been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report. North American Bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.







FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*  Hold to be part of & secured	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 1 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 1 of 92

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	DUT DESCRIPTION	4
3.	ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES	6
4.	METHOD OF MEASUREMENT	8
5.	VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	18
6.	VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION	22
7.	OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION	26
8.	FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS	31
9.	T-COIL TEST SUMMARY	32
10.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	46
11.	EQUIPMENT LIST	47
12.	TEST DATA	48
13.	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	78
14.	CONCLUSION	85
15.	REFERENCES	86
16.	TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	88

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST:	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 2 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 2 of 92

# 1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658¹ to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide and 30 million people in the United States suffer from hearing loss.

#### **Compatibility Tests Involved:**

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.



Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid in-vitu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST . Hour to be part of § received	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 3 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 3 01 92

1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF | 6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020 | Portable Handset | © 2020 PCTEST | REV 3.5.M

# 2. DUT DESCRIPTION



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM

Applicant: LG Electronics U.S.A, Inc.

111 Sylvan Avenue, North Building

Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

**United States** 

Model: LM-G900TM

Additional Model(s): LMG900TM, G900TM

Serial Number: 00284 HW Version: Rev.C

SW Version: G900TM08e

Antenna: Internal Antenna

DUT Type: Portable Handset

#### I. LTE Band Selection

This device supports the following pairs of LTE bands with similar frequencies: LTE B12 & B17, B66 & B4, and B26 & B5. These pairs of LTE bands have the same target powers, share the same transmission paths, and do not support ULCA operations. Since the supported frequency span for the smaller LTE bands are completely covered by the larger LTE bands, only the larger LTE bands (LTE B12, B66, & B26) were evaluated for hearing aid compliance.

#### II. Accessory Testing

This device has been additionally evaluated with the dual display accessory. Since this accessory has no additional transmitters, only the overall worst-case standalone configuration was evaluated.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 4 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 4 01 92

# Table 2-1 ZNFG900TM HAC Air Interfaces

			ZIV	FG900 IM HAC Air Interfac	,es	
Air-Interface	Band (MHz)	Type Transport	HAC Tested	Simultaneous But Not Tested	Name of Voice Service	Audio Codec Evaluated
	835	vo	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice <sup>1</sup>	EVRC
CDMA	1900	VO	res	res. Wiri Oi Bi	CIVINS VOICE	EVNC
	EvDO	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
	850	vo	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice <sup>1</sup>	EFR
GSM	1900					2
	GPRS/EDGE	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
	850					
UMTS	1700	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	CMRS Voice <sup>1</sup>	NB AMR
	1900					
	HSPA	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
	680 (B71)		Yes <sup>3</sup>			
	700 (B12)					
	700 (B17)					
	780 (B13)					
LTE (FDD)	850 (B5)	VD		Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE <sup>1</sup> , Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	VOLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
` ,	850 (B26)		Yes		,	
	1700 (B4)					
	1700 (B66)					
	1900 (B2)					
	1900 (B25)					
LTE (TDD)	2600 (B41)	VD	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	VoLTE <sup>1</sup> , Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	VoLTE: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
	680 (n71)		Yes <sup>3,4</sup>			
NR (FDD)	1700 (n66)	VD	Yes <sup>4</sup>	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
	1900 (n25)					
NR (TDD)	2600 (n41)	VD	Yes <sup>4</sup>	Yes: WIFI or BT	Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	OPUS
	2450					
	5200 (U-NII 1)					VOWER NO AND MO AND EVE
WIFI	5300 (U-NII 2A)	VD	Yes	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, LTE, or NR	VoWIFI <sup>2</sup> , Google Duo <sup>2</sup>	VoWIFI: NB AMR, WB AMR, EVS Google Duo: OPUS
	5500 (U-NII 2C)					
	5800 (U-NII 3)					
BT	2450	DT	No	Yes: CDMA, GSM, UMTS, LTE, or NR	N/A	N/A
Type Transport  Notes:  1. Reference level in accordance with 7.4.2.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 and July 2012 C63 VoLTE Interpretation.  2. Reference level is -20dBm0 in accordance with FCC KDB 285076 D02  3. LTE B71 & NR n71, while outside the scope of ANSI C63.19 and FCC HAC regulations, were additionally tested according to existing HAC procedures with currently available test equipment.  4. NR was evaluated using an interim procedure outlined Section 7.1.5						

<sup>4.</sup> NR was evaluated using an interim procedure outlined Section 7.II.5.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 5 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 5 01 92

**REV 3.5.M** 

#### ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES 3.

#### I. MAGNETIC COUPLING

### **Axial and Radial Field Intensity**

All orientations of the magnetic field, in the axial and radial position along the measurement plane shall be  $\geq$  -18 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz in a 1/3 octave band filter per §8.3.1.

### **Frequency Response**

The frequency response of the axial component of the magnetic field shall follow the response curve specified in EIA RS-504-1983, over the frequency range 300 Hz - 3000 Hz per §8.3.2.





Figure 3-1 Magnetic field frequency response for Wireless Devices with an axial field ≤-15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz



Magnetic Field frequency response for wireless devices with an axial field that exceeds -15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 6 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		rage 6 01 92

© 2020 PCTEST **REV 3.5.M** 

### **Signal Quality**

The table below provides the signal quality requirement for the intended audio magnetic signal from a wireless device. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. The only criterion that can be measured is the RF immunity in T-coil mode. This is measured using the same procedure as the audio coupling mode at the same levels.

The signal quality of the axial and radial components of the magnetic field was used to determine the T-coil mode category.

Category	Telephone RF Parameters		
Category	Wireless Device Signal Quality [(Signal + Noise)-to-noise ratio in dB]		
T1	0 to 10 dB		
T2	10 to 20 dB		
Т3	20 to 30 dB		
T4	> 30 dB		
Table 3-1  Magnetic Coupling Parameters			

Note: The FCC limit for SNNR is 20dB and the test data margins will indicate a margin from the FCC limit for compliance.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 7 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 7 01 92

# 4. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

# I. Test Setup

The equipment was connected as shown in an acoustic/RF hemi-anechoic chamber:




Figure 4-1
Validation Setup with Helmholtz Coil




Figure 4-2 **T-Coil Test Setup** 

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 8 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		rage o oi 92

# II. Scanning Mechanism

Manufacturer: TEM

Accuracy: ± 0.83 cm/meter

Minimum Step Size: 0.1 mm

Maximum speed 6.1 cm/sec

Line Voltage: 115 VAC

Line Frequency: 60 Hz


Material Composite: Delrin (Acetal)

Data Control: Parallel Port

Dynamic Range (X-Y-Z): 45 x 31.75 x 47 cm

Dimensions: 36" x 25" x 38" Operating Area: 36" x 49" x 55"

Reflections: < -20 dB (in anechoic chamber)



**Figure 4-3** RF Near-Field Scanner

#### III. ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice


Manufacturer: ITU-T

Active Frequency Range: 100 Hz – 8 kHz

Stimulus Type: Male and Female, no spaces

Single Sample Duration: 20.96 seconds

Activity Level: 100%



Spectral Characteristic of full P.50




Figure 4-5
Temporal Characteristic of full P.50

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 0 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 9 of 92



ABM2 Measurement Block Diagram:



Figure 4-6 Magnetic Measurement Processing Steps

#### IV. **Test Procedure**

- 1. Ambient Noise Check per C63.19 §7.3.1
  - Ambient interference was monitored using a Real-Time Analyzer between 100-10,000 Hz with 1/3 octave filtering.
  - "A-weighting" and Half-Band Integration was applied to the measurements.
  - Since this measurement was measured in the same method as ABM2 measurements, this level was verified to be more than 10 dB below the lowest measurement signal (which is the highest ABM2 measurement for a T4 WD). Therefore the maximum noise level for a T4 WD with an ABM1 = -18 dBA/m is:

- 2. Measurement System Validation (See Figure 4-1)
  - a. The measurement system including the probe, pre-amplifier and acquisition system were validated as an entire system to ensure the reliability of test measurements.
  - b. ABM1 Validation

The magnetic field at the center of the Helmholtz coil is given by the equation (per C63.19 Annex D.10.1):

$$H_c = \frac{NI}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}} = \frac{N(\frac{V}{R})}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}}$$

Where H<sub>c</sub> = magnetic field strength in amperes per meter N = number of turns per coil

For the Helmholtz Coil. N=20: r=0.13m: R=10.193Ω and using V=29mV:

$$H_c = \frac{20 \cdot (\frac{0.029}{10.193})}{0.13 \cdot \sqrt{1.25^3}} = 0.316 \, A/m \approx -10 \, dB (A/m)$$

Therefore a pure tone of 1kHz was applied into the coils such that 29mV was observed across the resistor. The voltmeter used for measurement was verified to be capable of measurements in the audio band range. This theoretically generates an expected field of -10 dB(A/m) in the center of the Helmholtz coil which was used to validate the probe measurement at -10dB(A/m). This was verified to be within ± 0.5 dB of the -10dB(A/m) value (see Page 44).

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 10 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 10 01 92

c. Frequency Response Validation

The frequency response through the Helmholtz Coil was verified to be within 0.5 dB relative to 1kHz, between 300 – 3000 Hz using the P.50 signal as shown below:



Figure 4-7 Frequency Response Validation

#### d. ABM2 Measurement Validation

WD noise measurements are filtered with A-weighting and Half-Band Integration over a frequency range of 100Hz – 10kHz to process ABM2 measurements. Below is the verification of the system processing A-weighting and Half-Band integration between system input to output within 0.5 dB of the theoretical result:

Table 4-1
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

	HBI, A -	HBI, A -	
f (Hz)	Measured	Theoretical	dB Var.
	(dB re 1kHz)	(dB re 1kHz)	
100	-16.180	-16.170	-0.010
125	-13.257	-13.250	-0.007
160	-10.347	-10.340	-0.007
200	-8.017	-8.010	-0.007
250	-5.925	-5.920	-0.005
315	-4.045	-4.040	-0.005
400	-2.405	-2.400	-0.005
500	-1.212	-1.210	-0.002
630	-0.349	-0.350	0.001
800	0.071	0.070	0.001
1000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1250	-0.503	-0.500	-0.003
1600	-1.513	-1.510	-0.003
2000	-2.778	-2.780	0.002
2500	-4.316	-4.320	0.004
3150	-6.166	-6.170	0.004
4000	-8.322	-8.330	0.008
5000	-10.573	-10.590	0.017
6300	-13.178	-13.200	0.022
8000	-16.241	-16.270	0.029
10000	-19.495	-19.520	0.025

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 11 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 11 of 92







Figure 4-8
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

The ABM2 result is a power sum from 100Hz to 10kHz with half-band integration and A-weighting. To verify the power sum measurement, a power sum over the full band was measured and verified to track with the source level (See Figure 4-9). Therefore the setup in this step was used to verify the power sum post-processing for ABM2 measurements. See below block diagram:



The power summed output results for a known input were compared to the multi-meter results to verify any deviation in the post-processing implemented with the power-sum.

Table 4-2
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

WN Input (dBV)	Power Sum (dBV)	Multimeter-Full (dBV)	Dev (dB)
-60	-60.36	-60.2	0.16
-50	-50.19	-50.13	0.06
-40	-40.14	-40.03	0.11
-30	-30.13	-30.01	0.12
-20	-20.12	-20	0.12
-10	-10.14	-10	0.14

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 12 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 12 01 92

© 2020 PCTEST REV 3.5.M 6/30/2020





Figure 4-10 **ABM2 Power Sum Validation** 

- 3. Measurement Test Setup
  - a. Fine scan above the WD (TEM)
    - i. A multitone signal was applied to the handset such that the phone acoustic output was stable within 1dB over the probe settling time and with the acoustic output level at the C63.19 specified levels (below). The measurement step size was in 2 mm increments at a distance of 10 mm between the surface of the wireless device as shown below (note that in Figure 4-12, the grid is not to scale but merely a graphical representation of the coordinate system in use):



- ii. After scanning, the planar field maximum point was determined. The position of the probe was moved to this location to setup the test using the SoundCheck system.
- iii. These steps were repeated for all T-coil orientations (axial and radial) per Figure 4-14 after a T-coil orientation was fully measured with the SoundCheck system.
- b. Speech Signal Setup to Base Station Simulator
  - i. C63.19 Table 7-1 states audio reference input levels for various technologies:

Standard	Technology	Input Level (dBm0)
TIA/EIA/IS-2000	CDMA	-18
J-STD-007	GSM (217)	-16
T1/T1P1/3GPP	UMTS (WCDMA)	-16
IDENTM	TDMA (22 and 11 Hz)	-18

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 13 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 13 01 92

- ii. See Section 5 and 6 for more information regarding CMW500 audio level settings for Voice Over LTE (VoLTE), and Voice Over WIFI (VoWIFI) testing.
- iii. See Section 7 for more information regarding audio level settings for Over-The-Top (OTT) Voice Over IP (VoIP) Testing.
- c. Real-Time Analyzer (RTA)
  - i. The Real-Time Analyzer was configured to analyze measurements using 1/3 Octave band weighted filtering.
- d. WD Radio Configuration Selection
  - i. The device was chosen to be tested in the worst-case ABM2 condition (See Section 8 for more information regarding worst-case configurations for CDMA and UMTS. LTE configuration information can be found in Section 5 and 7. NR configuration information can be found in Section 7. WIFI configuration information can be found in Section 6 and 7.)
  - ii. Supported GSM vocoders were investigated for the worst-case ABM2 condition. GSM-EFR was deemed the worst-case condition for the GSM air interface.
- 4. Signal Quality Data Analysis
  - a. Narrow-band Magnetic Intensity
    - i. The standard specifies a 1kHz 1/3 octave band minimum field intensity for a sine tone. The ABM1 measurements were evaluated at 1kHz with 1/3 octave band filtering over an averaged period of 10 seconds.
  - b. Frequency Response
    - i. The appropriate frequency response curve was measured to curves in Figure 3-1 or Figure 3-2 between 300 3000 Hz using digital linear averaging (limit lines chosen according to measurement found in step 4a). A linear average over 3x the length of the artificial voice signal (3x sampling) was performed. A 10 second delay was configured in the measurement process of the stimulus to ensure handset vocoder latency effects and echo cancellation devices (if any) were appropriately stabilized during measurements.
    - ii. The appropriate post-processing was applied according to the system processing chain illustrated in Figure 4-7. All R10 frequencies were plotted with respect to 0dB at 1kHz value and aligned with respect to the EIA-504 mask.
    - iii. The margin is represented by the closest measured data point on the curve to the EIA-504 limit lines, in dB.
  - c. Signal Quality Index
    - i. Ensuring the WD was at maximum RF power, maximum volume, backlight off, display on, maximum contrast setting, keypad lights on (when possible) with no audio signal through the vocoder, the WD was measured over at least 100 Hz 10,000 Hz, maximized over 5 seconds with a 50ms sample time for the ABM2 measurement (5 second time period is used in noise measurements under standards such as IEEE 269, etc.).
    - ii. After applying half-band integration and A-weighting to the result, a power sum was applied over each 1/3 octave bandwidth frequency for an ABM2 value.
    - iii. This result was subtracted from the ABM1 result in step a, to obtain the Signal Quality.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg 14 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 14 of 92

#### V. **Test Setup**




Figure 4-13 **Audio Magnetic Field Test Setup** 

Environmental conditions such as temperature and relative humidity are monitored to ensure there are no impacts on system specifications. Proper voltage and power line frequency conditions are maintained with three phase power sources. Environmental noise and reflections are monitored through system checks.

#### VI. **Deviation from C63.19 Test Procedure**

Non-conducted RF connection due to inaccessible RF ports.

# VII. Air Interface Technologies Tested

All air interfaces which support voice capabilities over a managed CMRS or pre-installed OTT VoIP applications were tested for T-coil unless otherwise noted. See Table 2-1 for more details regarding which modes were tested.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST:	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 15 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 15 01 92

### VIII. Wireless Device Channels and Frequencies

#### 1. 2G/3G Modes

The frequencies listed in the table below are those that lie in the center of the bands used for cellular telephony. Low, middle and high channels were tested in each band for FCC compliance evaluation to ensure the maximum emission is captured across the entire band. Only middle channels were evaluated for data modes.

Table 4-3
Center Channels and Frequencies

Test frequencies & associated channels				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)			
Secondary Cellular 8	20			
564 (CDMA)	820.10			
Cellular 850				
384 (CDMA)	836.52			
190 (GSM)	836.60			
4183 (UMTS)	836.60			
AWS 1750				
1412 (UMTS)	1730.40			
PCS 1900				
600 (CDMA)	1880			
661 (GSM)	1880			
9400 (UMTS)	1880			

#### 2. 4G (LTE) Modes

The middle channel for every band and bandwidth combination was tested for each probe orientation. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. Low-mid and mid-high channels are additionally tested for LTE TDD. The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case bands according to Tables 7-6 & 7-7 were additionally evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. See Tables 9-5 to 9-13 and Tables 9-21 and 9-22 for LTE bandwidths and channels.

#### 3. 5G (NR) Modes

The middle channel and supported bandwidths from the worst-case band according to Table 7-12, in addition to NR n41 was evaluated with OTT VoIP for each probe orientation. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. See Tables 9-23 and 9-24 for NR bandwidths and channels.

#### 4. WIFI

The middle channel for each IEEE 802.11 standard was tested for each probe orientation. The 2.4GHz IEEE 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels. The 5GHz IEEE 802.11 standard from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. See Tables 9-14 to 9-17 and Tables 9-25 to 9-28 for WIFI standards and channels.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST:	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 16 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 10 01 92

#### IX. **Test Flow**

The flow diagram below was followed (From C63.19):




Figure 4-14 C63.19 T-Coil Signal Test Process

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 17 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		rage 17 01 92

# 5. VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

# I. Test System Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

### 1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoLTE over IMS is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoLTE over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 5-1
Test Setup for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil Measurements

### 2. Audio Level Settings

According to the July 2012 interpretations by the C63 Committee regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing, -16dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level\*. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -16dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoLTE over IMS connection.

\* http://c63.org/documents/misc/posting/new\_interpretations.htm

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST: Noted to be part of @ secured	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 18 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Faye 10 01 92

© 2020 PCTEST REV 3.5.M 6/30/2020

# II. DUT Configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-coil Testing

# 1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the modulation and RB configuration to be used for testing. The effects of modulation and RB configuration were found to be independent of band and bandwidth; therefore, only one band and bandwidth were used for this investigation. 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different radio configurations:

Table 5-1
VoLTE over IMS SNNR by Radio Configuration

				O CITITIO	<u>,</u>				
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	0	6.11	-37.65	43.76
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	50	5.94	-37.75	43.69
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	1	99	6.23	-37.72	43.95
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	0	6.08	-42.58	48.66
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	25	6.07	-42.09	48.16
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	50	50	6.53	-42.25	48.78
66	1745.0	132322	20	QPSK	100	0	6.01	-42.14	48.15
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	0	6.20	-28.35	34.55
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	50	6.51	-28.65	35.16
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	99	6.52	-29.11	35.63
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	0	6.46	-40.98	47.44
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	25	6.31	-40.52	46.83
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	50	50	6.10	-40.79	46.89
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	100	0	6.16	-42.04	48.20
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	0	6.58	-30.04	36.62
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	50	6.42	-30.31	36.73
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	1	99	6.14	-29.64	35.78
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	0	5.90	-41.27	47.17
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	25	6.00	-41.21	47.21
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	50	50	5.99	-41.63	47.62
66	1745.0	132322	20	64QAM	100	0	6.16	-41.36	47.52

### 2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration was used for this investigation. The WB AMR 23.85kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoLTE over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

Table 5-2

AMR Codec Investigation – VoLTE over IMS

Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	6.34	7.37	6.48	6.44			132322
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-28.25	-28.16	-28.71	-28.50	Axial	LTE B66 20MHz	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai		
S+N/N (dB)	34.59	35.53	35.19	34.94			

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 19 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 19 01 92

Table 5-3
EVS Codec Investigation - VoLTE over IMS

Codec Setting:	EVS Primary SWB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary SWB 9.6kbps	EVS Primary WB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps	EVS Primary NB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary NB 5.9kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	7.55	6.37	7.66	6.54	7.59	6.64			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-28.72	-28.75	-28.61	-28.29	-28.71	-28.65	Axial	LTE B66 20MHz	132322
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai		
S+N/N (dB)	36.27	35.12	36.27	34.83	36.30	35.29			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"




Figure 5-2
Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

#### 3. LTE TDD Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation for VoLTE over IMS

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case Uplink-Downlink configuration for VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing.

Per 3GPP TS 36.211, the total frame length for each TDD radio frame of length  $T_f$  = 307200  $\cdot$   $T_s$  = 10 ms, where  $T_s$  is a number of time units equal to 1/(15000 x 2048) seconds. Additionally, each radio frame consists of 10 subframes, each of length 30720  $\cdot$   $T_s$  = 1 ms, and subframes can be designated as uplink (U), downlink (D), or special subframe (S), depending on the Uplink-Downlink configuration as indicated in Table 4.2-2 of 3GPP TS 36.211. In the transmission duty factor calculation, the special subframe configuration with the shortest UpPTS duration within the special subframe is used and will be applied for measurement. From 3GPP TS 36.211 Table 4.2-1, the shortest UpPTS is 2192  $\cdot$  Ts which occurs in the normal cyclic prefix and special subframe configuration 4.

See table below outlining the calculated transmission duty cycles for each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-4
Uplink-Downlink Configurations for Type 2 Frame Structures

Uplink-downlink configuration	Downlink-to-Uplink Switch-point periodicity	Subframe number										Calculated Transmission
configuration	Switch-point periodicity	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Duty Cycle (%)
0	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	U	61.4%
1	5 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	S	U	U	D	41.4%
2	5 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	S	U	D	D	21.4%
3	10 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	30.7%
4	10 ms	D	S	U	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	20.7%
5	10 ms	D	S	U	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	10.7%
6	5 ms	D	S	U	U	U	D	S	U	U	D	51.4%

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 20 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 20 01 92

#### a. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

Power Class 3 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 3, all configurations (0-6) are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 0 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-5 Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	0	6.09	-21.34	27.43
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	6.04	-21.50	27.54
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	6.44	-21.68	28.12
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	6.12	-24.91	31.03
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	6.12	-24.89	31.01
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	5.93	-24.19	30.12
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	6	6.12	-21.42	27.54

#### b. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink Configuration Investigation

Power Class 2 was evaluated with the following radio configuration: channel 40620, 20MHz BW, 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset. For Power Class 2, configurations 1-5 are supported. The configuration which resulted in the worst SNNR was used for full testing. Uplink-Downlink configuration 1 was used as the worst-case configuration for Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS T-Coil testing. See table below for the SNNR comparison between each Uplink-Downlink configuration:

Table 5-6 Power Class 2 VoLTE over IMS SNNR by UL-DL Configuration

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
[ 1411 12 ]		[1411.12]					[UD(A/III)]	[UD(A/III)]	Jubi
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	1	5.86	-18.03	23.89
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	2	6.07	-18.65	24.72
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	3	6.09	-21.54	27.63
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	4	6.30	-21.45	27.75
2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	5	6.38	-21.45	27.83

Note: LTE TDD B41 Power Class 2 only supports UL-DL configurations 1-5, not 0 or 6.

#### c. Conclusion

Per the investigations above, UL-DL Configuration 0 was used for Power Class 3 VoLTE over IMS and UL-DL 1 was used to evaluate Power Class 2 Vol.TE over IMS.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 21 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 21 01 92

#### 6. **VOWIFI TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION**

#### I. Test System Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing

### 1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoWIFI over IMS, or CMRS WIFI Calling, is shown below. The callbox used when performing VoWIFI over IMS T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.




Figure 6-1 Test Setup for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil Measurements

#### 2. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02 released by the FCC OET regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for VoWIFI over IMS T-Coil testing, -20dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level<sup>2</sup>. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoWIFI over IMS connection.

<sup>2</sup> FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

1 00 Office of Engineer	ing and recimology RDD, 2	200070 DOZ 1-OOII TC3tilly for OWING II	voo, ocpicilibei 10, 2	2017
FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST . Road to be port of \$ removed	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 22 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 22 01 92

© 2020 PCTEST **REV 3.5.M** 

#### **DUT Configuration for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil Testing** II.

# 1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed on all applicable data rates and modulations to determine the radio configuration to be used for testing. See tables below for SNNR comparison between radio configurations in each IEEE 802.11 standard:

> Table 6-1 IEEE 802.11b SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate [Mbps]	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
IEEE 802.11b	6	DSSS	1	2.81	-24.71	27.52
IEEE 802.11b	6	DSSS	2	2.90	-25.64	28.54
IEEE 802.11b	6	CCK	5.5	2.85	-23.87	26.72
IEEE 802.11b	6	CCK	11	3.23	-24.06	27.29

Table 6-2 IEEE 802.11g/a SNNR by Radio Configuration

Mode	Channel	Modulation	Data Rate	ABM1	ABM2	SNNR			
			[Mbps]	[dB(A/m)]	[dB(A/m)]	[dB]			
IEEE 802.11g	6	BPSK	6	3.19	-25.08	28.27			
IEEE 802.11g	6	BPSK	9	3.05	-25.88	28.93			
IEEE 802.11g	6	QPSK	12	2.92	-25.83	28.75			
IEEE 802.11g	6	QPSK	18	3.12	-26.12	29.24			
IEEE 802.11g	6	16QAM	24	2.93	-26.43	29.36			
IEEE 802.11g	6	16QAM	36	3.24	-26.86	30.10			
IEEE 802.11g	6	64QAM	48	3.13	-26.16	29.29			
IEEE 802.11g	6	64QAM	54	3.01	-26.24	29.25			

Table 6-3 IEEE 802 11n/ac 20MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

IEEE 602.1111/ac 20MHZ BW SNNK by Radio Configuration								
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	BPSK	0	2.73	-27.75	30.48	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	QPSK	1	2.83	-23.75	26.58	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	QPSK	2	2.69	-25.97	28.66	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	16QAM	3	2.61	-27.04	29.65	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	16QAM	4	2.78	-27.94	30.72	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64QAM	5	2.83	-27.98	30.81	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64QAM	6	2.83	-25.64	28.47	
IEEE 802.11n	20	40	64QAM	7	2.70	-25.46	28.16	
IEEE 802.11ac	20	40	256QAM	8	2.96	-27.02	29.98	

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 23 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 23 01 92

Table 6-4 IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz BW SNNR by Radio Configuration

TEEL GOZ: THING TOWNIZ BY CIVILL BY Radio Configuration								
Mode	Bandwidth [MHz]	Channel	Modulation	MCS Index	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	BPSK	0	2.88	-26.18	29.06	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	QPSK	1	2.98	-26.21	29.19	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	QPSK	2	3.05	-25.07	28.12	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	16QAM	3	2.77	-25.45	28.22	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	16QAM	4	3.11	-25.35	28.46	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64QAM	5	3.11	-25.95	29.06	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64QAM	6	2.81	-25.98	28.79	
IEEE 802.11n	40	38	64QAM	7	2.75	-26.00	28.75	
IEEE 802.11ac	40	38	256QAM	8	2.89	-25.51	28.40	
IEEE 802.11ac	40	38	256QAM	9	2.92	-26.25	29.17	

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 24 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 24 01 92

6/30/2020

#### 2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration was used for this investigation. The WB AMR 23.85kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoWIFI over IMS T-coil testing. See below table for comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

> Table 6-5 AMR Codec Investigation - VoWIFI over IMS

Aint oddc iivestigation – vovii i over iiio									
Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	2.73	3.82	2.97	3.00			1555 000 441		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-24.93	-24.71	-25.63	-25.00	Axial				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axiai	2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b	6	
S+N/N (dB)	27.66	28.53	28.60	28.00					

Table 6-6 **EVS Codec Investigation – VoWIFI over IMS** 

Codec Setting:	EVS Primary SWB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary SWB 9.6kbps	EVS Primary WB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary WB 5.9kbps	EVS Primary NB 13.2kbps	EVS Primary NB 5.9kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	4.10	2.72	4.22	3.21	4.04	3.10					
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-25.11	-25.01	-25.65	-25.16	-25.10	-25.21	Avial	2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b	6	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axial	2.4002			
S+N/N (dB)	29.21	27.73	29.87	28.37	29.14	28.31					

Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast




Figure 6-2 **Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram** 

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST Toward to be part of the recovery	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 25 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 25 01 92

#### OTT VOIP TEST SYSTEM AND DUT CONFIGURATION 7.

#### Test System Setup for OTT VoIP T-Coil Testing I.

### 1. OTT VoIP Application

Google Duo is a pre-installed application on the DUT which allows for VoIP calls in a held-to-ear scenario. Duo uses the OPUS audio codec and supports a bitrate range of 6kb/s to 75kb/s. All air interfaces capable of a data connection were evaluated with Google Duo.

### 2. Equipment Setup

A CMW500 callbox was used to perform OTT VoIP T-coil measurements. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was connected to the internet and allowed for an IP data connection on the DUT. An auxiliary VoIP unit was used to initiate an OTT VoIP call to the DUT. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for the configuration and monitoring of the OTT VoIP codec bitrate during a call. Both high and low bitrate settings were evaluated in to determine the worst-case configuration.

#### 3. Audio Level Settings

According to KDB 285076 D02, the average speech level of -20dBm0 shall be used for protocols not specifically listed in Table 7.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 or the ANSI C63.19-2011 VoLTE interpretation<sup>3</sup>. The auxiliary VoIP unit allowed for monitoring the signal input level to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -20dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the OTT VoIP call.

Note: The green highlighted text is approved by FCC under the TCB PAG Re-Use Policy 388624 D01 IV. D. for T-Coil Testing for WI-FI calling and Google Duo.

#### II. **DUT Configuration for OTT VolP T-Coil Testing**

### 1. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed for each applicable data mode to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The effects of codec configuration were found to be independent of radio configuration; therefore, only one radio configuration for each applicable data mode was used for these investigations. The 75kbps codec setting was used for the audio codec on the auxiliary VoIP unit for OTT VoIP T-Coil testing. See below tables for comparisons between codec data rates on all applicable data modes:

> Table 7-1 Codec Investigation – OTT VoIP (EvDO)

Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	12.92	12.63		600
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-37.95	-38.62	A.d.	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	- Axial	
S+N/N (dB)	50.87	51.25		

<sup>3</sup> FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017

1 CC Office of Engineer	ing and recimology RDB, A	2000/0 DOZ 1-COII TESHING TOI CIVING II	voo, oeptember 15, 2	2017
FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 26 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Faye 20 01 92

Table 7-2 Codec Investigation - OTT VoIP (EDGE)

Codec investigation – OTT voil (LDGL)							
Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel			
ABM1 (dBA/m)	12.86	13.26		661			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-28.15	-28.53	Axial				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	001			
S+N/N (dB)	41.01	41.79					

Table 7-3 Codec Investigation - OTT VoIP (HSPA)

Touch mires against the train training						
Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Channel		
ABM1 (dBA/m)	12.95	12.96		9400		
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-36.88	-37.51	Axial			
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai			
S+N/N (dB)	49.83	50.47				


Table 7-4 Codec Investigation - OTT VoIP (LTE)

Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band / BW	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	13.03	12.86				
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-28.13	-28.51	المشاء	LTE B66	420200	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axial	20MHz	132322	
S+N/N (dB)	41.16	41.37				

Table 7-5 Codec Investigation - OTT VoIP (WIFI)

		Hoongan	<u> </u>	1011 (1111	· · /	
Codec Setting:	75kbps	6kbps	Orientation	Band	Standard	Channel
ABM1 (dBA/m)	12.94	12.78				
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-25.91	-26.46	Axial	2.4GHz	IEEE 802.11b	6
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Axiai	2.4602	IEEE 802.11D	0
S+N/N (dB)	38.85	39.24				

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- Radio Configurations can be found in Section 9.II.H



**Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram** 

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	Test Dates: DUT Type:		€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 27 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 27 01 92

#### 2. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (LTE)

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE FDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE FDD Band 12 was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE FDD bands:

Table 7-6 OTT VoIP (LTE FDD) SNNR by LTE Band

		•		,	•	~,	~		
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
71	680.5	133297	20	16QAM	1	0	12.89	-26.33	39.22
12	707.5	23095	10	16QAM	1	0	12.97	-24.45	37.42
13	782.0	23230	10	16QAM	1	0	13.06	-30.44	43.50
26	831.5	26865	15	16QAM	1	0	13.09	-32.52	45.61
66	1745.0	132322	20	16QAM	1	0	13.17	-28.49	41.66
2	1880.0	18900	20	16QAM	1	0	13.02	-29.29	42.31
25	1882.5	26365	20	16QAM	1	0	12.94	-28.58	41.52

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case LTE TDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. LTE TDD Band 41 (Power Class 2) was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different LTE TDD bands:

Table 7-7 OTT VoIP (LTE TDD) SNNR by LTE Band

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
41 (PC3)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	13.17	-21.45	34.62
41 (PC2)	2593.0	40620	20	16QAM	1	0	13.08	-18.11	31.19

### 3. LTE FDD Uplink Carrier Aggregation for OTT VolP

LTE FDD ULCA was evaluated to ensure LTE FDD standalone was the worst-case scenario. The configurations in Table 7-8 were determined from Table 7-6 and satisfy the configuration requirements as defined in 3GPP 36.101.

Table 7-8 LTE FDD SNNR for OTT VolP Uplink Carrier Aggregation

				PCC							SCC						
Combination	PCC Band	PCC Bandwidth [MHz]	PCC (UL) Channel	PCC (UL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	PCC UL# RB	PCC UL RB Offset	SCC Band	SCC Bandwidth [MHz]	SCC (UL) Channel	SCC (UL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	SCC UL# RB	SCC UL RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
12A-66A	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	LTE B66	20	132322	1745.0	16QAM	1	0	12.74	-28.93	41.67
66A-12A	LTE B66	20	132322	1745.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	12.81	-32.92	45.73
2A-12A	LTE B2	20	18900	1880.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	12.64	-32.65	45.29
12A-2A	LTE B12	10	23095	707.5	16QAM	1	0	LTE B2	20	18900	1880.0	16QAM	1	0	12.71	-31.56	44.27

## 4. LTE TDD Uplink Carrier Aggregation for OTT VolP

LTE TDD ULCA was evaluated to ensure LTE TDD standalone was the worst-case scenario. The configurations in Table 7-9 were determined from Table 7-7 and satisfy the configuration requirements as defined in 3GPP 36.101.

Table 7-9 LTE TDD SNNR for OTT VolP Uplink Carrier Aggregation

				PCC							SCC						
Combination	PCC Band	PCC Bandwidth [MHz]	PCC (UL/DL) Channel	PCC (UL/DL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	PCC UL# RB	PCC UL RB Offset	SCC Band	SCC Bandwidth [MHz]	SCC (UL/DL) Channel	SCC (UL/DL) Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	SCC UL# RB	SCC UL RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
CA_41C (PC3)	LTE B41	20	40620	2593.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B41	20	40422	2573.2	16QAM	1	99	12.87	-22.69	35.56
CA_41C (PC2)	LTE B41	20	40620	2593.0	16QAM	1	0	LTE B41	20	40422	2573.2	16QAM	1	99	12.80	-20.04	32.84

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	Test Dates: DUT Type:		€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 28 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 20 01 92

#### 5. Interim Procedure for evaluation OTT VoIP (NR)

The following procedure is used to evaluate OTT VoIP (NR) given equipment limitations.

- a. This procedure is applicable for OTT VoIP (NR) voice calls that use the same protocol, codec(s), and reference level as OTT VoIP (LTE) (i.e. -20dBm0).
- b. Establish the ABM1<sub>NR</sub> value by using the ABM1<sub>LTE</sub> magnetic intensity for an LTE call using a correlating LTE band through existing procedures and test equipment.
- c. Establish an ABM2<sub>NR</sub> value using factory test mode (FTM) to simulate a NR connection for the desired NR band and channel under test.
- d. The following information is documented in Section 9:
  - i. ABM2<sub>LTE</sub> and ABM2<sub>NR</sub> for respective tests.
  - ii. Calculate SNNR:
    - 1. ABM1 = ABM1<sub>LTE</sub>
    - 2.  $ABM2 = ABM2_{NR}$
    - 3. SNNR<sub>NR</sub> = [ABM1<sub>LTE</sub> ABM2<sub>NR</sub>] 3dB
      - a. A 3dB margin is built in to ensure conservative results with this interim procedure.

The above is only applicable for OTT VoIP scenarios, this device does not support VoNR over IMS.

The manufacturer has confirmed the handset as designed is expected to exhibit similar audio intensity levels between an OTT VoIP call placed over a 4G LTE and a 5G Sub-6GHz data connection.

### 6. Radio Configuration for OTT VoIP (NR)

An investigation was performed to determine the waveform, modulation, and RB configuration to be used for testing. Due to equipment limitations, the procedure outlined in 7.II.5 was used to evaluate the SNNR for each radio configuration below. CP-OFDM QPSK, 1RB, 1RB offset was determined to be the worst-case configuration for the handset and will be used for full testing in Section 9.

Table 7-10
NR OTT VolP SNNR by Radio Configuration (CP-OFDM)

Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Waveform	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	SNNR <sub>NR</sub> [dB]
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	12.89	-37.13	50.02
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	53	12.89	-37.90	50.79
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	104	12.89	-37.18	50.07
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	53	0	12.89	-37.90	50.79
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	53	26	12.89	-37.80	50.69
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	53	53	12.89	-38.42	51.31
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	106	0	12.89	-38.65	51.54
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	1	12.89	-37.58	50.47
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	53	12.89	-38.31	51.20
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	1	104	12.89	-37.24	50.13
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	53	0	12.89	-37.61	50.50
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	53	26	12.89	-37.85	50.74
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	53	53	12.89	-38.34	51.23
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	16QAM	106	0	12.89	-37.86	50.75
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	12.89	-37.30	50.19
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	53	12.89	-38.65	51.54
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	1	104	12.89	-37.69	50.58
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	53	0	12.89	-38.14	51.03
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	53	26	12.89	-37.57	50.46
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	53	53	12.89	-37.98	50.87
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	64QAM	106	0	12.89	-37.93	50.82
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	1	1	12.89	-37.91	50.80
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	1	53	12.89	-37.93	50.82
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	1	104	12.89	-38.01	50.90
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	53	0	12.89	-37.55	50.44
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	53	26	12.89	-38.18	51.07
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	53	53	12.89	-38.28	51.17
n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	256QAM	106	0	12.89	-37.92	50.81

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	me: Test Dates: DUT Type:		€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dags 20 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 29 of 92

Table 7-11
NR OTT VoIP SNNR by Radio Configuration (DFT-s-OFDM)

		11 00		V Dy INA	410 0011	ngare	1011	ייפיוום		
Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Waveform	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	SNNR <sub>NR</sub> [dB]
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	1	1	12.89	-38.58	51.47
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	1	53	12.89	-38.14	51.03
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	1	104	12.89	-37.81	50.70
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	50	0	12.89	-38.43	51.32
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	50	28	12.89	-38.47	51.36
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	50	56	12.89	-39.02	51.91
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	π/2-BPSK	100	0	12.89	-38.74	51.63
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	12.89	-38.01	50.90
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	1	53	12.89	-37.82	50.71
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	1	104	12.89	-38.82	51.71
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	50	0	12.89	-38.01	50.90
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	50	28	12.89	-37.50	50.39
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	50	56	12.89	-37.93	50.82
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	QPSK	100	0	12.89	-38.33	51.22
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM		1	12.89	-38.44	51.33
n71	680.5		20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	53	12.89	-37.82	50.71
n71	680.5	136100 136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	1	104	12.89	-37.55	50.71
								12.89		
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	50 50	0		-38.30	51.19
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM		28	12.89	-38.18	51.07
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	50	56	12.89	-38.04	50.93
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	16QAM	100	0	12.89	-37.92	50.81
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	1	12.89	-38.23	51.12
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	53	12.89	-38.67	51.56
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	1	104	12.89	-37.86	50.75
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	50	0	12.89	-37.99	50.88
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	50	28	12.89	-38.08	50.97
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	50	56	12.89	-37.85	50.74
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	64QAM	100	0	12.89	-38.25	51.14
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	1	1	12.89	-37.75	50.64
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	1	53	12.89	-38.14	51.03
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	1	104	12.89	-37.69	50.58
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	50	0	12.89	-38.12	51.01
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	50	28	12.89	-37.95	50.84
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	50	56	12.89	-38.31	51.20
n71	680.5	136100	20	DFT-s-OFDM	256QAM	100	0	12.89	-37.96	50.85

An investigation was performed to determine the worst-case NR FDD band to be used for OTT VoIP testing. NR n25 was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different NR FDD bands:

Table 7-12
OTT VoIP (NR FDD) SNNR by Band

	Band	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Waveform	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	SNNR <sub>NR</sub> [dB]
	n71	680.5	136100	20	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	12.89	-37.08	49.97
Ī	n66	1745.0	349000	40	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	13.03	-36.57	49.60
	n25	1882.5	376500	40	CP-OFDM	QPSK	1	1	12.94	-36.34	49.28

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST:	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 30 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 30 01 92

#### 8. FCC 3G MEASUREMENTS


#### **CDMA Test Configurations** I.

Radio Configuration 3, Service Option 3 was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset due to vocoder gating from the EVRC logic.

FCC 3G ABM Measurements for ZNFG900TM (CDMA)

Configuration:	RC1/SO3	RC3/SO3	RC4/SO3	Orientation	Channel	
ABM1 (dBA/m)	8.14	8.40	8.15			
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-23.80	-21.47	-21.92		600	
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axial	600	
S+N/N (dB)	31.94	29.87	30.07			

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- Power Control Bits = "All Up"



**Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram** 


#### II. **UMTS Test Configurations**

AMR at 12.2kbps, 13.6kbps SRB was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset.

> Table 8-2 **Codec Investigation - UMTS**

Codec Setting:	AMR 12.2kbps	AMR 7.95kbps	AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Channel		
ABM1 (dBA/m)	6.20	6.21	.21 5.88				
ABM2 (dBA/m)	-41.33	-41.85	-42.15				
Frequency Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Axial	9400		
S+N/N (dB)	47.53	48.06	48.03				

- Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- TPC="All 1s"



**Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram** 

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 31 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 31 01 92

Table 9-1 **Consolidated Tabled Results** 

_		<u> </u>	isoliu	ateu i	abieu	Resul	เอ		
			esponse rgin	- 3	netic / Verdict		SNNR dict	Margin from FCC Limit	C63.19-2011
C62 10	9 Section	8.3	3.2	8.3	3.1	8.3	3.4	(dB)	Rating
003.18	3 Section	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial	Axial	Radial		
	Secondary Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
CDMA	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-7.42	Т3
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	Secondary Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
EvDO (OTT VoIP)	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-24.55	T4
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
GSM	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-6.20	Т3
COM	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-0.20	13
EDGE	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-18.76	T4
(OTT VoIP)	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-10.70	14
	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
UMTS	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-26.79	T4
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	Cellular	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
HSPA (OTT VoIP)	AWS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-27.61	T4
	PCS	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B71	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B12	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B13	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE FDD	B26	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-11.82	T4
	B66	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B2	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	B25	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
LTE FDD (OTT VoIP)	B12	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-17.11	T4
LTE TDD	B41 (PC3)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-3.05	Т3
LIETOD	B41 (PC2)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-3.05	13
LTE TDD (OTT VoIP)	B41 (PC2)	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-7.74	Т3
NR FDD (OTT VoIP)	n25	NA	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-22.40	Т4
NR TDD (OTT VolP)	n41 (PC2)	NA	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-20.86	T4
	IEEE 802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
WLAN	IEEE 802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-5.50	Т3
···	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-5.50	13
	IEEE 802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	IEEE 802.11b	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
WLAN	IEEE 802.11g	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-13.51	T4
(OTT VoIP)	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-13.31	1 -4
	IEEE 802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
	IEEE 802.11a	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
U-NII	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-4.09	Т3
	IEEE 802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
11 5.00	IEEE 802.11a	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		
U-NII (OTT VoIP)	IEEE 802.11n	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	-15.57	T4
,	IEEE 802.11ac	PASS	NA	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 32 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 32 01 92

© 2020 PCTEST **REV 3.5.M** 

# I. Raw Handset Data

Table 9-2
Raw Data Results for CDMA

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		476	8.20	-22.45		1.92	30.65	20.00	-10.65	T4	
	Axial	564	7.91	-22.19	-64.34	1.85	30.10	20.00	-10.10	T4	0.8, 2.6
Secondary		684	7.95	-23.14		1.96	31.09	20.00	-11.09	T4	
Cellular		476	-0.22	-32.39			32.17	20.00	-12.17	T4	
	Radial	564	-0.57	-33.19	-63.52	N/A	32.62	20.00	-12.62 <b>T4</b>	T4	0.8, 2.0
		684	-0.04	-34.36			34.32	20.00	-14.32	T4	
		1013	8.00	-23.05		1.86	31.05	20.00	-11.05	T4	
Cellular	Axial	384	7.98	-22.46	-64.34	1.90	30.44	20.00	-10.44	T4	0.8, 2.6
		777	7.91	-21.32		1.87	29.23	20.00	-9.23	Т3	
Celiulai		1013	-0.72	-34.05			33.33	20.00	-13.33	T4	
	Radial	384	-0.34	-31.19	-63.52	N/A	30.85	20.00	-10.85	T4	0.8, 2.0
		777	-0.16	-30.76			30.60	20.00	-10.60	T4	
		25	7.74	-21.87		1.92	29.61	20.00	-9.61	Т3	
	Axial	600	8.02	-21.25	-64.34	1.89	29.27	20.00	-9.27	Т3	0.8, 2.6
PCS		1175	8.15	-19.27		1.85	27.42	20.00	-7.42	Т3	
100		25	-0.55	-30.47			29.92	20.00	-9.92	Т3	
	Radial	600	-0.88	-30.15	-63.52	52 N/A	29.27	20.00	-9.27	Т3	0.8, 2.0
		1175	-0.09	-28.06			27.97	20.00	-7.97	T3	

Table 9-3
Raw Data Results for GSM

						4110 101					
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		128	7.17	-19.03		1.70	26.20	20.00	-6.20	Т3	
	Axial	190	6.98	-19.31	-64.34	1.60	26.29	20.00	-6.29	Т3	0.8, 2.6
GSM850		251	7.14	-19.18		1.64	26.32	20.00	-6.32	Т3	
GSIVIOSU		128	-1.58	-34.67			33.09	20.00	-13.09	T4	
Radial	190	-1.70	-34.59	-63.52	-63.52 N/A	32.89	20.00	-12.89	T4	0.8, 2.0	
		251	-1.64	-32.78			31.14	20.00	-11.14	T4	
		512	7.22	-23.08		1.68	30.30	20.00	-10.30	T4	
	Axial	661	7.14	-23.12	-64.34	1.62	30.26	20.00	-10.26	T4	0.8, 2.6
CCM1000		810	7.09	-23.65		1.66	30.74	20.00	-10.74	T4	
GSM1900 Rac		512	-1.54	-35.35			33.81	20.00	-13.81	T4	
	Radial	661	-1.53	-35.37	-63.52	N/A	33.84	20.00	-13.84	T4	0.8, 2.0
		810	-1.46	-36.53		35.07	20.00	-15.07	T4		

Table 9-4
Raw Data Results for UMTS

					ita ixesu						
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		4132	6.20	-43.89		1.95	50.09	20.00	-30.09	T4	
	Axial	4183	6.18	-42.54	-64.34	2.00	48.72	20.00	-28.72	T4	0.8, 2.6
UMTS V		4233	6.18	-41.87		1.94	48.05	20.00	-28.05	T4	
UNITSV		4132	-2.26	-52.81			50.55	20.00	-30.55	T4	
	Radial	4183	-2.29	-52.76	-63.52	N/A	50.47	20.00	-30.47	T4	0.8, 2.0
		4233	-2.29	-52.57			50.28	20.00	-30.28	T4	
		1312	6.16	-42.93		1.94	49.09	20.00	-29.09	T4	
	Axial	1412	6.19	-43.33	-64.34	1.93	49.52	20.00	-29.52		0.8, 2.6
UMTS IV		1513	6.18	-43.10		1.94	49.28	20.00	-29.28	T4	
OWISIV		1312	-2.28	-51.18			48.90	20.00	-28.90	T4	
	Radial	1412	-2.28	-51.22	-63.52	N/A	48.94	20.00	-28.94	T4	0.8, 2.0
		1513	-2.26	-51.10			48.84	20.00	-28.84	T4	
		9262	6.20	-41.84		1.93	48.04	20.00	-28.04	T4	
	Axial	9400	6.12	-41.78	-64.34	1.92	47.90	20.00	-27.90	T4	0.8, 2.6
UMTS II		9538	6.18	-40.61		1.95	46.79	20.00	-26.79	T4	
OWISH		9262	-2.13	-53.33			51.20	20.00	-31.20	T4	
	Radial	9400	-2.07	-53.40	-63.52		51.33	20.00	-31.33	T4	0.8, 2.0
		9538	-2.17	-53.09			50.92	20.00	-30.92	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 33 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 33 01 92

### Table 9-5 **Raw Data Results for LTE B71**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates								
		20MHz	133297	6.18	-28.09		1.95	34.27	20.00	-14.27	T4									
	Axial	15MHz	133297	6.14	-27.31	-64.34	1.97	33.45	20.00	-13.45	T4	0.8. 2.6								
Axiai	10MHz	133297	6.02	-29.61	-04.34	2.00	35.63	20.00	-15.63	T4	0.0, 2.0									
LTE Band 71	LTC D4 74	5MHz	133297	6.38	-31.09		2.00	37.47	20.00	-17.47	T4									
LIE Ballu / I		20MHz	133297	-2.54	-38.87			36.33	20.00	-16.33	T4									
	Radial	15MHz	133297	-2.50	-40.24	62.52	62.52	62.52	00.50	62.52	62.52	62.52	62.52	-63.52	N/A	37.74	20.00	-17.74	T4	0.8. 2.0
	Radial	10MHz	133297	-2.15	-42.63	=03.32	-63.52 NA	40.48	20.00	-20.48	T4	0.0, 2.0								
		5MHz	133297	-2.48	-42.53	1	40.05	20.00	-20.05	T4										

#### Table 9-6 **Raw Data Results for LTE B12**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates												
		10MHz	23095	5.89	-26.19		1.93	32.08	20.00	-12.08	T4													
		5MHz	23155	5.82	-26.50		1.95	32.32	20.00	-12.32	T4													
Axial	5MHz	23095	6.25	-25.57	-64.34	1.78	31.82	20.00	-11.82	T4	0.8, 2.6													
	5MHz	23035	6.09	-29.27		2.00	35.36	20.00	-15.36	T4	0.0, 2.0													
LTE Band 12	LTE D 40	3MHz	23095	6.01	-26.69	.69	1.92	32.70	20.00	-12.70	T4													
LIE Ballu 12		1.4MHz	23095	6.31	-27.57		1.99	33.88	20.00	-13.88	T4													
		10MHz	23095	-2.55	-37.84			35.29	20.00	-15.29	T4													
	Radial	5MHz	23095	-2.54	-37.63	-63.52	-63.52 N/A	62.52	62.52	62.52	62.52	62.52	62.52	62.52	62.52	62.52	62.52	7.63	N/A	35.09	20.00	-15.09	T4	0.8. 2.0
Radial	Naulai	3MHz	23095	-2.53	-38.72			IVA	36.19	20.00	-16.19	T4	0.0, 2.0											
		1.4MHz	23095	-2.58	-40.54			37.96	20.00	-17.96	T4													

#### Table 9-7 **Raw Data Results for LTE B13**

	Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		Avial	10MHz	23230	6.38	-30.49	-64.34	1.92	36.87 20	20.00	-16.87	T4	0.8, 2.6
	LTE Band 13 —	Axial	5MHz	23230	5.99	-29.14	-64.34	1.99	35.13	20.00	-15.13	T4	
		Radial	10MHz	23230	-2.58	-39.61	-63.52			20.00	-17.03	T4	0.8. 2.0
			5MHz	23230	-2.19	-40.27		N/A	38.08	20.00	-18.08	T4	0.0, 2.0

### Table 9-8 **Raw Data Results for LTE B26**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		15MHz	26865	5.84	-33.10		2.00	38.94	20.00	-18.94	T4	
		10MHz	26865	6.08	-30.78		1.99	36.86	20.00	-16.86	T4	
	Axial	5MHz	26865	6.16	-29.49	-64.34	2.00	35.65	20.00	-15.65	T4	0.8, 2.6
		3MHz	26865	6.04	-29.30		2.00	35.34	20.00 20.00 20.00	-15.34	T4	
LTE Band 26		1.4MHz	26865	5.83	-30.82		2.00	36.65	20.00	-16.65	T4	
LI E Ballu 20	Radial	15MHz	26865	-2.30	-40.92			38.62	20.00	-18.62	T4	
		10MHz	26865	-2.56	-43.28	-63.52		40.72	20.00	-20.72	T4	
		5MHz	26865	-2.36	-40.35		N/A	37.99	20.00	-17.99	T4	0.8, 2.0
		3MHz	26865	-2.65	-40.25				37.60	20.00	-17.60	T4
		1.4MHz	26865	-2.21	-41.27			39.06	20.00	-19.06	T4	

### Table 9-9 **Raw Data Results for LTE B66**

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	132322	6.02	-28.37		2.00	34.39	20.00	-14.39	T4	
		15MHz	132322	6.06	-28.94		1.70	35.00	20.00	-15.00	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	132322	5.79	-28.48	-64.34	1.97	34.27	20.00	FCC Limit (dB)	T4	0006
	Axiai	5MHz	132322	6.14	-28.69	-04.34	1.93	34.83	20.00	-14.83	C Linit Rating (dB)	0.8, 2.6
		3MHz	132322	6.23	-28.88		1.95	35.11	20.00	-14.83 T4 -15.11 T4 -16.01 T4		
LTE Band 66		1.4MHz	132322	6.18	-29.83		1.97	36.01	20.00	-16.01		
LIE Ballu 66		20MHz	132322	-2.55	-40.20			37.65	20.00	-17.65	T4	
		15MHz	132322	-2.14	-39.58			37.44	20.00	-17.44	T4	
	Radial	10MHz	132322	-2.33	-39.61	62.52	N/A	37.28	20.00	-17.28	7.65 T4 7.44 T4	0.8. 2.0
	radiai	5MHz	132322	-2.58	-38.62		IWA	36.04	20.00	-16.04	T4	0.0, 2.0
		3MHz	132322	-2.33	-39.75			37.42	20.00	-17.42	T4	
		1.4MHz	132322	-2.68	-41.32			38.64	20.00	-18.64	T4	

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST:	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	① LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 34 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 34 01 92

Table 9-10
Raw Data Results for LTE B25

					~~~.~	Juito it	· - · -					
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	26365	5.93	-29.85		1.99	35.78	20.00	-15.78	T4	
		15MHz	26365	6.29	-30.10		2.00	36.39	20.00	-16.39	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	26365	5.81	-29.07	-64.34	2.00	34.88	20.00	-14.88	T4	0.8, 2.6
	Axiai	5MHz	26365	6.28	-26.84	-04.34	2.00	33.12	20.00	-13.12	T4	0.6, 2.6
		3MHz	26365	5.99	-28.16		2.00	34.15	20.00	-14.15	T4	
		1.4MHz	26365	6.00	-29.17		2.00	35.17	20.00	-15.17	T4	
LTE Band 25		20MHz	26365	-2.26	-40.48			38.22	20.00	-18.22	T4	
LIE Ballu 25		15MHz	26365	-2.52	-39.06			ponse (dB) (dB) (dB) (dB) (eB) (eB) (eB) (eB) (eB) (eB) (eB) (e	T4			
		10MHz	26365	-2.41	-38.44				T4			
	Dadial	5MHz	26665	-2.59	-35.90	62.52	NVA	33.31	20.00	-13.31	T4	0.8, 2.0
	Radial	5MHz	26365	-2.61	-37.11	-03.52	-63.52 N/A	34.50	20.00	-14.50	T4	0.6, 2.0
		5MHz	26065	-2.78	-37.64			34.86	20.00	-14.86	T4	
		3MHz	26365	-2.50	-37.66			35.16	20.00	-15.16	T4	
		1.4MHz	26365	-2.67	-38.93			36.26	20.00	-16.26	T4	

Table 9-11
Raw Data Results for LTE B2

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	18900	6.28	-29.87		2.00	36.15	20.00	-16.15	T4	
		15MHz	18900	5.81	-29.93		1.96	35.74	20.00	-15.74	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	18900	6.27	-28.13	-64.34	2.00	34.40	20.00	-14.40	T4	0.8, 2.6
	Axial	5MHz	18900	5.81	-27.28	-04.34	2.00	33.09	20.00	-13.09	T4	0.6, 2.6
		3MHz	18900	6.34	-28.21		1.98	34.55	20.00	-14.55	T4	
LTE Band 2	Pand 2	1.4MHz	18900	6.14	-29.70		1.99	35.84	20.00	-15.84	T4	
LIE Ballu 2	Band 2	20MHz	18900	-2.21	-40.45			38.24	20.00	-18.24	T4	
		15MHz	18900	-2.47	-40.17			37.70	20.00	-17.70	T4	
	Dadial	10MHz	18900	-2.76	-39.35	-63.52	N/A	36.59	20.00	-16.59	T4	0.8, 2.0
	Radial	5MHz	18900	-2.33	-37.59	-03.52	IVA	35.26	20.00	-15.26	T4	0.0, 2.0
		3MHz	18900	-2.29	-37.97			35.68	20.00	-15.68	T4	
		1.4MHz	18900	-2.62	-39.48			36.86	20.00	-16.86	T4	

Table 9-12
Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 3

				TOW DO	ita ixes	uito it	"	<b>7</b>	WCI OIC	133 0			
	Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
			20MHz	40620	6.12	-21.69		1.99	27.81	20.00	-7.81	Т3	
		Axial	15MHz	40620	5.95	-21.22	-64.34	2.00	27.17	20.00	-7.17	Т3	0.8. 2.6
	Axia	Axiai	10MHz	40620	6.33	-21.31	-04.34	1.98	27.64	20.00	-7.64	Т3	0.6, 2.6
	TE Band 41	and 41	5MHz	40620	5.99	-21.23		2.00	27.22	20.00	-7.22	T3	
-	I E Dallu 41	Radial	20MHz	40620	-2.79	-29.17	-63.52		26.38	20.00	-6.38	T3	
			15MHz	40620	-2.31	-28.31		.52 N/A	26.00	20.00	-6.00	Т3	0.8. 2.0
			10MHz	40620	-2.49	-28.23		INA	25.74	20.00	-5.74	T3	0.6, 2.0
			5MHz	40620	-2.52	-28.41			25.89	20.00	-5.89	T3	

Table 9-13
Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 2

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	40620	6.08	-18.21		1.93	24.29	20.00	-4.29	T3	
		15MHz	41490	5.78	-17.36		2.00	23.14	20.00	-3.14	Т3	
		15MHz	41055	6.22	-17.21		2.00	23.43	20.00	-3.43	Т3	
	Axial	15MHz	40620	5.91	-17.99	-64.34	1.99	23.90	20.00	-3.90	Т3	0.8, 2.6
	Axiai	15MHz	40185	6.10	-18.96	-04.34	2.00	25.06	20.00	-5.06	Т3	0.6, 2.6
	Rand 41	15MHz	39750	6.05	-21.52		2.00	27.57	20.00	-7.57	Т3	
		10MHz	40620	6.09	-18.17		1.94	24.26	20.00	-4.26	Т3	
LTE Band 41		5MHz	40620	5.81	-18.23		1.98	24.04	20.00	-4.04	Т3	
LIE Ballu 41		20MHz	40620	-2.47	-26.39		1.00	23.92	20.00	-3.92	T3	
	3and 41	15MHz	41490	-2.48	-25.76			23.28	20.00	-3.28	Т3	
		15MHz	41055	-2.55	-25.86			23.31	20.00	-3.31	Т3	
	Radial	15MHz	40620	-2.20	-25.25	-63.52	N/A	23.05	20.00	-3.05	Т3	0.8, 2.0
	radiai	15MHz	40185	-2.10	-25.53	-03.52	IVA	23.43	20.00	-3.43	Т3	0.0, 2.0
		15MHz	39750	-2.53	-28.73			26.20	20.00	-6.20	Т3	
		10MHz	40620	-2.41	-26.59		•	24.18	20.00	-4.18	Т3	
		5MHz	40620	-2.44	-26.44			24.00	20.00	-4.00	Т3	

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST  Total to be part of @ recovery	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 35 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 35 01 92

**Table 9-14 Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI** 

	Frequency Margin from												
Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates		
		1	3.07	-24.50		1.82	27.57	20.00	-7.57	T3			
	Axial	6	2.82	-24.30	-64.34	1.84	27.12	20.00	-7.12	Т3	0.8, 2.6		
IEEE		11	3.11	-22.39		1.84	25.50	20.00	-5.50	Т3			
802.11b		1	-5.51	-31.95			26.44	20.00	-6.44	Т3			
	Radial	6	-5.56	-33.01	-63.52	N/A	27.45	20.00	-7.45	Т3	0.8, 2.0		
	Radiai	11	-5.51	-33.80			28.29	20.00	-8.29	Т3			
IEEE	Axial	6	2.72	-25.60	-64.34	1.91	28.32	20.00	-8.32	Т3	0.8, 2.6		
802.11g	Radial	6	-5.30	-38.72	-63.52	N/A	33.42	20.00	-13.42	T4	0.8, 2.0		
IEEE	Axial	6	2.99	-24.31	-64.34	1.81	27.30	20.00	-7.30	T3	0.8, 2.6		
802.11n	Radial	6	-5.62	-36.18	-63.52	N/A	30.56	20.00	-10.56	T4	0.8, 2.0		

**Table 9-15** Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11a

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
	Axial	20MHz	1	40	3.10	-24.38	-64.34	1.81	27.48	20.00	-7.48	Т3	0.8, 2.6
IEEE 802	.11a												
	Radial	20MHz	1	40	-5.62	-36.53	-63.52	N/A	30.91	20.00	-10.91	T4	0.8, 2.0

**Table 9-16** Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFLIFFF 802 11n

			Raw	Dala r	Result	SIOF	GHZ W		:⊏ ou∠.	1111			
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		40MHz	1	38	3.08	-25.13		1.83	28.21	20.00	-8.21	T3	
		20MHz	1	36	3.04	-26.20		1.90	29.24	20.00	-9.24	T3	
		20MHz	1	40	3.12	-24.25		1.80	27.37	20.00	-7.37	T3	]
		20MHz	1	48	3.10	-25.81		1.84	28.91	20.00	-8.91	Т3	
	Axial	40MHz	2A	54	2.96	-25.96	-64.34	1.84	28.92	20.00	-8.92	T3	0.8, 2.6
	Axidi	20MHz	2A	56	2.87	-25.74	-04.34	1.61	28.61	20.00	-8.61	T3	0.0, 2.0
		40MHz	2C	118	2.89	-26.01		1.87	28.90	20.00	-8.90	T3	
		20MHz	2C	120	2.79	-25.20		1.82	27.99	20.00	-7.99	Т3	
		40MHz	3	151	3.10	-26.07		1.84	29.17	20.00	-9.17	Т3	
IEEE		20MHz	3	157	3.06	-26.88		1.81	29.94	20.00	-9.94	Т3	
802.11n													
002.1111		40MHz	1	38	-5.50	-30.70	T		25.20	20.00	-5.20	T3	
		20MHz	1	40	-5.44	-30.45			25.01	20.00	-5.01	Т3	
		40MHz	2A	54	-5.47	-30.50			25.03	20.00	-5.03	Т3	
		20MHz	2A	56	-5.49	-30.67			25.18	20.00	-5.18	T3	
	Radial	40MHz	2C	118	-5.65	-30.85	-63.52	N/A	25.20	20.00	-5.20	T3	0.8, 2.0
	NaUlal	20MHz	2C	100	-5.66	-30.30	-03.52	IWA	24.64	20.00	-4.64	T3	0.0, 2.0
		20MHz	2C	120	-5.73	-29.82			24.09	20.00	-4.09	Т3	
		20MHz	2C	144	-5.59	-29.91			24.32	20.00	-4.32	T3	
		40MHz	3	151	-5.48	-30.67			25.19	20.00	-5.19	T3	
		20MHz	3	157	-5.53	-30.91			25.38	20.00	-5.38	T3	

### **Table 9-17** Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11ac

	Naw Bata Nosaits for Softe Will File Boz. 1 fac													
Mod	le	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		Axial	40MHz	1	38	2.97	-25.77	-64.34	1.58	28.74	20.00	-8.74	Т3	0.8, 2.6
	_		20MHz	1	40	2.65	-25.58		1.85	28.23	20.00	-8.23	Т3	
802.11ac														
302.1	·ac	Radial	40MHz	1	38	-5.36	-32.32	-63.52	N/A	26.96	20.00	-6.96	T3	0.8, 2.0
			20MHz	1	40	-5.58	-32.06			26.48	20.00	-6.48	Т3	0.0, 2.0

# **Table 9-18** Raw Data Results for EvDO (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
Secondary Cellular	Axial	564	12.74	-34.48	-64.07	1.71	47.22	20.00	-27.22	T4	0.8, 2.6
EvDO	Radial	564	4.51	-42.32	-63.52	N/A	46.83	20.00	-26.83	T4	0.8, 2.0
Cellular	Axial	384	12.88	-35.03	-64.07	1.79	47.91	20.00	-27.91	T4	0.8, 2.6
EvDO	Radial	384	4.55	-42.96	-63.52	N/A	47.51	20.00	-27.51	T4	0.8, 2.0
PCS	Axial	600	12.88	-38.21	-64.07	1.71	51.09	20.00	-31.09	T4	0.8, 2.6
EvDO	Radial	600	4.60	-39.95	-63.52	N/A	44.55	20.00	-24.55	T4	0.8, 2.0

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 36 of 92	
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 30 01 92	

# Table 9-19 Raw Data Results for EDGE (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates		
EDGE850	Axial	190	13.11	-25.65	-64.07	1.42	38.76	20.00	-18.76	T4	0.8, 2.6		
EDGE030	Radial	190	4.72	-34.10	-63.52	N/A	38.82	20.00	-18.82	T4	0.8, 2.0		
EDGE1900	Axial	661	12.93	-28.11	-64.07	1.45	41.04	20.00	-21.04	T4	0.8, 2.6		
LDGE 1900	Radial	661	4.47	-34.90	-63.52	N/A	39.37	20.00	-19.37	T4	0.8, 2.0		

# Table 9-20 Raw Data Results for HSPA (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
HSPA V	Axial	4183	12.69	-36.67	-64.07	1.76	49.36	20.00	-29.36	T4	0.8, 2.6
HOPA V	Radial	4183	4.46	-43.47	-63.52	N/A	47.93	20.00	-27.93	T4	0.8, 2.0
HSPA IV	Axial	1412	12.71	-36.54	-64.07	1.74	49.25	20.00	-29.25	T4	0.8, 2.6
HOPAIV	Radial	1412	4.56	-43.05	-63.52	N/A	47.61	20.00	-27.61	T4	0.8, 2.0
HSPA II	Axial	9400	12.80	-37.13	-64.07	1.77	49.93	20.00	-29.93	T4	0.8, 2.6
IISFAII	Radial	9400	4.28	-43.89	-63.52	N/A	48.17	20.00	-28.17	T4	0.8, 2.0

Table 9-21
Raw Data Results for LTE B12 (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		10MHz	23130	13.08	-26.44		1.82	39.52	20.00	-19.52	T4	
		10MHz	23095	13.04	-24.07		1.80	37.11	20.00	-17.11	T4	
	Axial	10MHz	23060	12.95	-24.72	-64.34	1.83	37.67	20.00	-17.67	T4	0.8, 2.6
	Aviai	5MHz	23095	12.81	-25.23	-04.34	1.85	38.04	20.00	-18.04	T4 0.0, 2.	0.6, 2.6
		3MHz	23095	12.78	-25.23		1.82	38.01	20.00	-18.01	T4	
LTE Band 12		1.4MHz	23095	12.86	-27.16		1.83	40.02	20.00	-20.02	T4	
LIE Ballu 12		10MHz	23095	4.48	-37.55			42.03	20.00	-22.03	T4	
		5MHz	23155	4.44	-37.30			41.74	20.00	-21.74	T4	
	Radial	5MHz	23095	4.48	-36.05	62.52	N/A	40.53	20.00	-20.53	T4	0.8, 2.0
	Raulai	5MHz	23035	4.40	-40.14	-63.52	IVA	44.54	20.00	-24.54	T4	0.6, 2.0
		3MHz	23095	4.36	-36.82			41.18	20.00	-21.18	T4	
		1.4MHz	23095	4.40	-38.12			42.52	20.00	-22.52	T4	

# Table 9-22 Raw Data Results for LTE B41 Power Class 2 (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		20MHz	40620	13.10	-17.68		1.78	30.78	20.00	-10.78	T4	
		15MHz	41490	12.72	-16.34		1.84	29.06	20.00	-9.06	Т3	
		15MHz	41055	12.59	-15.15		1.80	27.74	20.00	-7.74	Т3	
	Axial	15MHz	40620	12.65	-16.82	-64.34	2.00	29.47	20.00	-9.47	Т3	0006
	Axiai	15MHz	40185	12.80	-17.18	-04.34	2.00	29.98	20.00	-9.98	Т3	0.8, 2.6
		15MHz	39750	13.10	-16.30		1.81	29.40	20.00	-9.40	Т3	
		10MHz	40620	12.87	-17.15		1.84	30.02	20.00	-10.02	T4	
LTE Band 41		5MHz	40620	12.61	-17.26		1.80	29.87	20.00	-9.87	Т3	
LIE Ballu 41		20MHz	40620	4.51	-24.78			29.29	20.00	-9.29	Т3	
		15MHz	41490	4.46	-25.25			29.71	20.00	-9.71	Т3	
		15MHz	41055	4.51	-25.30			29.81	20.00	-9.81	Т3	
	Radial	15MHz	40620	4.53	-24.64	-63.52	N/A	29.17	20.00	-9.17	Т3	0.8, 2.0
	Radiai	15MHz	40185	4.48	-24.84	-03.52	IVA	29.32	20.00	-9.32	Т3	0.6, 2.0
		15MHz	39750	4.48	-27.23	23 75		31.71	20.00	-11.71	T4	
		10MHz	40620	4.55	-25.75			30.30	20.00	-10.30	T4	
		5MHz	40620	4.48	-25.06			29.54	20.00	-9.54	Т3	

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 37 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 37 01 92

**Table 9-23** Raw Data Results for NR FDD n25 (OTT VoIP)

									<del> </del>	1 4011				
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N <sub>NR</sub> (dB)	S+N/N <sub>NR</sub> -3 dB (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		40MHz	376500	12.94	-36.49	-28.58			49.43	46.43	20.00	-26.43	T4	
		30MHz	376500	12.94	-37.44	-28.58			50.38	47.38	20.00	-27.38	T4	
		25MHz	376500	12.94	-36.53	-28.58			49.47	46.47	20.00	-26.47	T4	
		20MHz	376500	12.94	-36.98	-28.58			49.92	46.92	20.00	-26.92	T4	
	Axial	15MHz	376500	12.94	-35.41	-28.58	-64.07	N/A	48.35	45.35	20.00	-25.35	T4	0.8, 2.6
		10MHz	382000	12.94	-33.42	-28.58			46.36	43.36	20.00	-23.36	T4	
		10MHz	376500	12.94	-34.62	-28.58			47.56	44.56	20.00	-24.56	T4	
		10MHz	371000	12.94	-35.17	-28.58			48.11	45.11	20.00	-25.11	T4	
NR n25		5MHz	376500	12.94	-35.29	-28.58			48.23	45.23	20.00	-25.23	T4	
14141120		40MHz	376500	4.36	-43.03	-36.82			47.39	44.39	20.00	-24.39	T4	
		30MHz	376500	4.36	-43.32	-36.82			47.68	44.68	20.00	-24.68	T4	
		25MHz	376500	4.36	-43.46	-36.82			47.82	44.82	20.00	-24.82	T4	
		20MHz	376500	4.36	-42.65	-36.82			47.01	44.01	20.00	-24.01	T4	
	Radial	15MHz	376500	4.36	-42.40	-36.82	-63.52	N/A	46.76	43.76	20.00	-23.76	T4	0.8, 2.0
		10MHz	376500	4.36	-41.95	-36.82			46.31	43.31	20.00	-23.31	T4	1
		5MHz	382500	4.36	-41.04	-36.82	2		45.40	42.40	20.00	-22.40	T4	
		5MHz	376500	4.36	-41.92	-36.82			46.28	43.28	20.00	-23.28	T4	
		5MHz	370500	4.36	-42.56	-36.82			46.92	43.92	20.00	-23.92	T4	

**Table 9-24** Raw Data Results for NR TDD n41 (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	ABM1 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>NR</sub> [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 <sub>LTE</sub> [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N <sub>NR</sub> (dB)	S+N/N <sub>NR</sub> - 3 dB (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		100MHz	528000	12.59	-28.94	-15.15			41.53	38.53	20.00	-21.53	T4	
		100MHz	523302	12.59	-28.76	-15.15			41.35	38.35	20.00	-21.35	T4	
		100MHz	518598	12.59	-28.27	-15.15			40.86	37.86	20.00	-20.86	T4	
		100MHz	513900	12.59	-28.57	-15.15			41.16	38.16	20.00	-21.16	T4	
		100MHz	509202	12.59	-29.23	-15.15			41.82	38.82	20.00	-21.82	T4	
	Axial	90MHz	518598	12.59	-29.11	-15.15	-64.07	N/A	41.70	38.70	20.00	-21.70	T4	0.8, 2.6
	Avai	80MHz	518598	12.59	-29.25	-15.15	-04.07	IVA	41.84	38.84	20.00	-21.84	T4	0.0, 2.0
		60MHz	518598	12.59	-28.84	-15.15			41.43	38.43	20.00	-21.43	T4	
		50MHz	518598	12.59	-28.57	-15.15			41.16	38.16	20.00	-21.16	T4	
		40MHz	518598	12.59	-29.51	-15.15			42.10	39.10	20.00	-22.10	T4	
		15MHz	518598	12.59	-29.23	-15.15			41.82	38.82	20.00	-21.82	T4	
		10MHz	518598	12.59	-29.48	-15.15			42.07	39.07	20.00	-22.07	T4	
NR n41		100MHz	518598	4.46	-38.66	-25.25			43.12	40.12	20.00	-23.12	T4	
NIC II-I		90MHz	518598	4.46	-37.46	-25.25			41.92	38.92	20.00	-21.92	T4	
		80MHz	518598	4.46	-37.20	-25.25			41.66	38.66	20.00	-21.66	T4	
		60MHz	518598	4.46	-38.01	-25.25			42.47	39.47	20.00	-22.47	T4	
		50MHz	518598	4.46	-38.82	-25.25			43.28	40.28	20.00	-23.28	T4	
		40MHz	518598	4.46	-39.08	-25.25			43.54	40.54	20.00	-23.54	T4	
	Radial	30MHz	518598	4.46	-38.48	-25.25	-63.52	N/A	42.94	39.94	20.00	-22.94	T4	0.8, 2.0
	Radiai	20MHz	518598	4.46	-37.78	-25.25	-03.32	IVA	42.24	39.24	20.00	-22.24	T4	0.0, 2.0
		15MHz	536502	4.46	-38.03	-25.25			42.49	39.49	20.00	-22.49	T4	]
		15MHz	527550	4.46	-38.15	-25.25			42.61	39.61	20.00	-22.61	T4	]
		15MHz	518598	4.46	-37.10	-25.25			41.56	38.56	20.00	-21.56	T4	
		15MHz	509652	4.46	-37.47	-25.25			41.93	38.93	20.00	-21.93	T4	
		15MHz	500700	4.46	-37.46	-25.25			41.92	38.92	20.00	-21.92	T4	]
		10MHz	518598	4.46	-38.59	-25.25			43.05	40.05	20.00	-23.05	T4	

**Table 9-25** Raw Data Results for 2.4GHz WIFI (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates	
		1	13.22	-24.53		1.92	37.75	20.00	-17.75	T4		
	Axial	6	12.81	-26.23	-64.34	1.70	39.04	20.00	-19.04	T4	0.8, 2.6	
IEEE		11	13.02	-23.51		1.75	36.53	20.00	-16.53	T4		
802.11b		1	4.12	-29.39			33.51	20.00	-13.51	T4		
	Radial	6	4.53	-29.03	-63.52	N/A	33.56	20.00	-13.56	T4	0.8, 2.0	
		11	4.52	-30.85			35.37	20.00	-15.37	T4		
IEEE	Axial	6	12.83	-26.52	-64.34	1.78	39.35	20.00	-19.35	T4	0.8, 2.6	
802.11g	Radial	6	4.28	-35.14	-63.52	N/A	39.42	20.00	-19.42	T4	0.8, 2.0	
IEEE	Axial	6	12.96	-27.04	-64.34	1.76	40.00	20.00	-20.00	T4	0.8, 2.6	
802.11n	Radial	6	4.39	-36.23	-63.52	N/A	40.62	20.00	-20.62	T4	0.8, 2.0	

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 38 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 30 01 92

# Table 9-26 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11a (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
.eee	Axial	20MHz	1	40	13.14	-28.66	-64.34	1.66	41.80	20.00	-21.80	T4	0.8, 2.6
IEEE 802.11a													
802.11a	Radial	20MHz	1	40	4.56	-32.45	-63.52	N/A	37.01	20.00	-17.01	T4	0.8, 2.0

# Table 9-27 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11n (OTT VoIP)

Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
		40MHz	1	38	13.16	-26.59		1.85	39.75	20.00	-19.75	T4	
		20MHz	1	36	12.84	-25.05		1.70	37.89	20.00	-17.89	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	12.77	-25.06		1.75	37.83	20.00	-17.83	T4	
		20MHz	1	48	12.80	-28.65		1.72	41.45	20.00	-21.45	T4	
	Avial	40MHz	2A	54	13.32	-26.25	-64.34	1.79	39.57	20.00	-19.57	T4	0.8, 2.6
IEEE		20MHz	2A	56	12.80	-30.02		1.71	42.82	20.00	-22.82	T4	0.0, 2.0
802.11n		40MHz	2C	118	13.21	-27.17		1.83	40.38	20.00	-20.38	T4	
002.1111		20MHz	2C	120	13.27	-27.10		1.75	40.37	20.00	-20.37	T4	
		40MHz	3	151	13.17	-27.62		1.66	40.79	20.00	-20.79	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	13.11	-26.09		1.67	39.20	20.00	-19.20	T4	
	Radial	40MHz	1	38	4.57	-32.36	-63.52	NVA	36.93	20.00	-16.93	T4	0.8, 2.0
	radial	20MHz	1	40	4.55	-33.00		N/A	37.55	20.00	-17.55	T4	0.0, 2.0

# Table 9-28 Raw Data Results for 5GHz WIFI IEEE 802.11ac (OTT VoIP)

								Frequency			Margin from		
Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	U-NII	Channel	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011 Rating	Test Coordinates
	Axial	40MHz	1	38	13.29	-28.53	-64.34	1.83	41.82	20.00	-21.82	T4	0.8, 2.6
	Axiai	20MHz	1	40	12.96	-26.39	-04.34	1.74	39.35	20.00	-19.35	T4	0.8, 2.6
		40MHz	1	38	4.72	-31.55			36.27	20.00	-16.27	T4	
		20MHz	1	40	4.59	-32.87			37.46	20.00	-17.46	T4	
IEEE		40MHz	2A	54	4.52	-35.26			39.78	20.00	-19.78	T4	
802.11ac		20MHz	2A	56	4.43	-33.04			37.47	20.00	-17.47	T4	
002.1140	Radial	40MHz	2C	118	4.62	-32.95	-63.52	N/A	37.57	20.00	-17.57	T4	0.8, 2.0
	Radiai	20MHz	2C	120	4.44	-31.47	-03.52	IWA	35.91	20.00	-15.91	T4	0.6, 2.0
		40MHz	3	151	4.52	-32.43			36.95	20.00	-16.95	T4	
		20MHz	3	149	4.53	-32.21			36.74	20.00	-16.74	T4	
		20MHz	3	157	4.54	-31.03			35.57	20.00	-15.57	T4	
		20MHz	3	165	4.51	-32.22			36.73	20.00	-16.73	T4	

# **Table 9-29**

## Raw Data Results for Overall Worst-Case Evaluation using Dual Display Accessory

	Mode	Orientation	Bandwidth	Channel	Accessory	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	Ambient Noise [dB(A/m)]	Frequency Response Margin (dB)	S+N/N (dB)	FCC Limit (dB)	Margin from FCC Limit (dB)	C63.19-2011	Test Coordinates
		Axial	15MHz	41490	Dual Display - Open	5.74	-20.29	-64.07	2.00	26.03	20.00	-6.03	Т3	0.8. 2.6
LTE I	E Band 41	Axidi	15MHz	41490	Dual Display - Closed	-2.00	-28.12		2.00	26.12	20.00	-6.12	T3	0.6, 2.6
	E DailG 41	Radial	15MHz	40620	Dual Display - Open	-2.15	-25.46	62.52	N/A	23.31	20.00	-3.31	T3	0.8. 2.0
		Radiai	15MHz	40620	Dual Display - Closed	-10.02	-32.56	-63.52	IWA	22.54	20.00	-2.54	T3	0.0, 2.0

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST . Thou to be part of the remove	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 39 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset	et	

#### II. **Test Notes**

#### A. General

- 1. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume; Max Contrast
- 2. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
- Hearing Aid Mode (Phone→Call Settings→Additional Settings→Hearing aids) was set to ON for Frequency Response compliance
- 4. Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voice
- 5. Bluetooth and WIFI were disabled while testing 2G/3G/4G/5G modes.
- 6. Licensed data modes and Bluetooth were disabled while testing WIFI modes.
- 7. The Margin from FCC limit column indicates a margin from the FCC limit for compliance (T3).
- 8. The overall worst-case configuration was additionally evaluated using the dual display accessory for both Axial and Radial probe orientations (see Table 9-29).

#### B. CDMA

- 1. Power Configuration: Power Control Bits = "All Up"
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: RC3/SO3 (CDMA EVRC)

## C. GSM

- 1. Power Configuration: GSM850: PCL=5, GSM1900: PCL=0;
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: EFR (GSM);

#### D. UMTS

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC= "All 1s";
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: AMR 12.2 kbps (UMTS);

#### E. LTE FDD

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
- 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
- 3. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 23.85kbps
- 4. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 12 at 5MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 25 at 5MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

## F. LTE TDD

- 1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
- 2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
- 3. Power Class 3 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 0
- 4. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 1
- 5. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 23.85kbps
  6. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 15MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 15MHz is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 40 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 40 01 92

## G. WIFI

- 1. Radio Configuration
  - a. IEEE 802.11b: CCK, 5.5Mbps
  - b. IEEE 802.11g/a: BPSK, 6Mbps
  - c. IEEE 802.11n/ac 20MHz: QPSK, MCS 1
  - d. IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz: QPSK, MCS 2
- 2. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 23.85kbps
- 3. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. IEEE 802.11b is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- 4. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. IEEE 802.11n 20MHz (U-NII 1) is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. IEEE 802.11n 20MHz (U-NII 2C) is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

#### H. OTT VolP


- 1. Vocoder Configuration: 75kbps
- 2. EvDO Configuration
  - a. Revision: A
- 3. EDGE Configuration
  - a. MCS Index: 7
  - b. Number of TX slots: 2
- 4. HSPA Configuration:
  - a. Release: 6
  - b. 3GPP 34.121 Subtest 1
- 5. LTE FDD Configuration:
  - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
  - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
  - c. LTE Band 12 was the worst-case band from Table 7-6 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
  - d. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 12 at 10MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. LTE Band 12 at 5MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
- 6. LTE TDD Configuration:
  - a. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
  - b. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset
  - c. Power Class 2 Uplink-Downlink configuration: 1
  - d. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) was the worst-case band from Table 7-7 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
  - e. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low, low-mid, high-mid, and high channels for those combinations. LTE Band 41 (Power Class 2) at 15MHz is the worst-case for both the Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- 7. NR FDD Configuration
  - a. Power Configuration: TxAGC is set such that the DUT operates at max power.
  - b. Radio Configuration: CP-OFDM, QPSK, 1RB, 1RB Offset
  - c. Due to equipment limitations, ABM1 measurements were not possible. Therefore, the procedure outlined in Section 7.II.5 was followed to obtain SNNR values. Additionally, Frequency Response measurements were not possible due to equipment limitations.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 41 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 41 of 92


- d. NR Band n25 was the worst-case band from Table 7-12 and was used to test both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
- e. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. NR n25 at 10MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. NR n25 at 5MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
- 8. NR TDD Configuration
  - a. Power Configuration: TxAGC is set such that the DUT operates at max power.
  - b. Radio Configuration: CP-OFDM, QPSK, 1RB, 1RB Offset
  - c. This device supports NR TDD n41 (PC3) & NR TDD n41 (PC2) which shares the same transmission paths. These two bands share the same frequencies and since NR TDD n41 (PC2) has the higher conducted powers than NR TDD n41 (PC3), only NR TDD n41 (PC2) was tested for Hearing Aid compliance.
  - d. Due to equipment limitations, ABM1 measurements were not possible. Therefore, the procedure outlined in Section 7.II.5 was followed to obtain SNNR values. Additionally, Frequency Response measurements were not possible due to equipment limitations.
  - e. The worst-case band and bandwidth combination for each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels for those combinations. NR n41 at 100MHz is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. NR n41 at 15MHz bandwidth is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.
- 9. WIFI Configuration:
  - a. Radio Configuration
    - i. IEEE 802.11b: CCK, 5.5Mbps
    - ii. IEEE 802.11g/a: BPSK, 6Mbps
    - iii. IEEE 802.11n/ac 20MHz: QPSK, MCS 1
    - iv. IEEE 802.11n/ac 40MHz; QPSK, MCS 2
  - b. The worst-case standard for 2.4GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on the low and high channels. IEEE 802.11b is the worst-case for both Axial and Radial probe orientations.
  - c. The worst-case standard for 5GHz WIFI in each probe orientation is additionally tested on higher U-NII bands as well as applicable low and high channels. IEEE 802.11n 20MHz (U-NII 1) is the worst-case for the Axial probe orientation. IEEE 802.11ac 20MHz (U-NII 3) is the worst-case for the Radial probe orientation.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM		HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 42 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF 6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020		Portable Handset	Faye 42 01 92	


# III. 1 kHz Vocoder Application Check



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -18 dBm0 for CDMA. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -16 dBm0 for GSM, UMTS, and VoLTE over IMS. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -20 dBm0 for VoWIFI over IMS and OTT VoIP. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST:  HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 43 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 43 01 92

# IV. T-Coil Validation Test Results

Table 9-30 Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results 6/29/2020

ltem	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.330	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-64.34	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB 0.70		PASS

Table 9-31 Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results 7/6/2020

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Axial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.325	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-64.07	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB 0.80		PASS
Radial			
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	-10 ± 0.5 dB	-10.368	PASS
Environmental Noise	< -58 dBA/m	-63.52	PASS
Frequency Response, from limits	> 0 dB	> 0 dB 0.80	

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM		HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 44 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF 6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020		Portable Handset	Page 44 of 92	

# V. ABM1 Magnetic Field Distribution Scan Overlays




Figure 9-1
T-Coil Scan Overlay Magnetic Field Distributions

# Notes:

- 1. Final measurement locations are indicated by a cursor on the contour plots.
- 2. See Test Setup Photographs for actual WD overlay.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 45 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 45 01 92

1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF 6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020 Portable Handset
© 2020 PCTEST REV 3.5.M

# 10. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

# Table 10-1 Uncertainty Estimation Table

Contribution	Data +/- %	Data +/- dB	Data Type	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty	Standard Uncertainty (dB)
ABM Noise	7.0%	0.29	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	7.0%	
RF Reflections	4.7%	0.20	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.7%	
Reference Signal Level	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Positioning Accuracy	10.0%	0.41	Uncertainty	Rectangular	1.73	5.8%	
Probe Coil Sensitivity	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Probe Linearity	2.4%	0.10	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	2.4%	
Cable Loss	2.8%	0.12	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	1.6%	
Frequency Analyzer	5.0%	0.21	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.9%	
System Repeatability	5.0%	0.21	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	5.0%	
WD Repeatability	9.0%	0.37	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	9.0%	
Positioner Accuracy	1.0%	0.04	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	0.6%	
Combined standard uncertainty, uc (k=1)							0.71
Expanded uncertainty (k=2), 95% confidence level						35.3%	1.31

#### Notes:

- 1. Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297.
- 2. All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid compatibility tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM		HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 46 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF 6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020		Portable Handset	Fage 40 01 92	

#### **EQUIPMENT LIST** 11.

# **Table 11-1 Equipment List**

	=qaipmont =iot						
Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number	
Control Company	4040	Temperature / Humidity Monitor	6/29/2019	Biennial	6/29/2021	192291470	
Dell	Latitude E6540	SoundCheck Acoustic Analyzer Laptop	4/24/2019	Biennial	4/24/2021	7BFNM32	
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	4/22/2019	Biennial	4/22/2021	PS2612	
RME	Fireface UC	Soundcheck Acoustic Analyzer External Audio Interface	4/24/2019	Biennial	4/24/2021	23528889	
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	2/4/2020	Annual	2/4/2021	162125	
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication Tester	5/21/2020	Annual	5/21/2021	128635	
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench (8" lb)	7/18/2019	Annual	7/18/2020	N/A	
TEM		HAC System Controller with Software	N/A		N/A	N/A	
TEM		HAC Positioner	N/A		N/A	N/A	
TEM	Helmholtz Coil	Helmholtz Coil	5/20/2019	Biennial	5/20/2021	925	
TEM	Axial T-Coil Probe	Axial T-Coil Probe	5/17/2019	Biennial	5/17/2021	TEM-1124	
TEM	Radial T-Coil Probe	Radial T-Coil Probe	5/17/2019	Biennial	5/17/2021	TEM-1130	

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 47 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 47 01 92

#### 12. TEST DATA

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST:	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 49 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 48 of 92

© 2020 PCTEST **REV 3.5.M** 



DUT: HH Coil - SN: 925 Type: HH Coil


Serial: 925

#### Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


## Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 05/20/2019

#### **Noise Spectrum**



## Frequency Response



## Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.33 dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-64.34 dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	700m dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 49 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 49 01 92



DUT: HH Coil - SN: 925 Type: HH Coil


Serial: 925

## Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


## Equipment:

- Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 05/20/2019

#### **Noise Spectrum**



## Frequency Response



### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.325	dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-64.07	dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m	dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 50 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 50 01 92

**REV 3.5.M** 



DUT: HH Coil - SN: 925 Type: HH Coil


Serial: 925

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


## Equipment:

- Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019
- Helmholtz Coil SN: 925; Calibrated: 05/20/2019

#### **Noise Spectrum**



## Frequency Response



### Results

Verification 1kHz Intensity	-10.368	dB	•	Max/Min	-9.5/-10.5
Verification ABM2	-63.52	dB	•	Maximum	-58.0
Frequency Response Margin	800m	dB	•	Tolerance curves	Aligned Data

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 51 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 51 01 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- . Mode: CDMA Secondary Cellular
- Channel: 564
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices
- Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 52 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 32 01 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: CDMA Cellular

Channel: 777

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices

Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 53 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 55 01 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: CDMA PCS

Channel: 1175

. Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices

· Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 54 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 34 01 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:


Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: GSM 850 Channel: 128

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices

Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 55 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 55 01 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- Mode: GSM 1900 Channel: 661
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices
- Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 56 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 50 01 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS Band V

Channel: 4233

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices

Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		€ LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 57 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 37 01 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS Band IV

Channel: 1312

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices

Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 58 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 56 01 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: UMTS Band II

Channel: 9538

· Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices

Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 50 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 59 of 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**


Mode: LTE FDD Band 12

Bandwidth: 5MHz

Channel: 23095

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices

Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 60 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		rage ou or 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- . Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)
- Bandwidth: 15MHz
- Channel: 41490
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices
- Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 61 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		rage of 0192

**REV 3.5.M** 



# DUT: ZNFG900TM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019


#### **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: 2.4GHz WFI Standard: IEEE 802.11b

Channel: 11

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices

Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (I-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 62 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 02 01 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 5GHz WIFI


Standard: IEEE 802.11n (U-NII 1)

Bandwidth: 20MHz

Channel: 40

Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices

Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg 62 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 63 of 92



Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

#### Equipment:

Probe: Axial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1124; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

#### **Test Configuration:**

- VolP Application: Google Duo Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)
- Bandwidth: 15MHz Channel: 41055
- Speech Signal: ITU-T P.50 Artificial Voices
- Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 64 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		raye 04 01 92



Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


## Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

# **Test Configuration:**

. Mode: CDMA Secondary Cellular

Channel: 476 Accessory: None



ABM1	-220m	dB	$\checkmark$	Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-32.39	dB	•	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	32.17	dB	•	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT		LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 65 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 05 01 92




Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

## Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

# **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: CDMA Cellular Channel: 777 Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 66 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 00 01 92




Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

## Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

## **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: CDMA PCS Channel: 1175 · Accessory: None



#### Results

ABM1	-90m	dB		Minimum	-18.0
ABM2	-28.07	dB	$\bigcirc$	Maximum	0.0
SNNR	27.97	dB	•	Minimum	20.0

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 67 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 07 01 92



Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

## Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

## **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: GSM 850 Channel: 251 Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 69 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 68 of 92



Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

## Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

## **Test Configuration:**

 Mode: GSM 1900 Channel: 512 Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 69 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 09 01 92




Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

## Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

# **Test Configuration:**

. Mode: UMTS Band V Channel: 4233 Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST: Houd to be port of @ recessor	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>⊕</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Daga 70 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 70 of 92




Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

## Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

# **Test Configuration:**

. Mode: UMTS Band IV Channel: 1513 Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 71 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage / 1 01 92




Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

## Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

# **Test Configuration:**

. Mode: UMTS Band II Channel: 9538 Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST .	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 72 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 72 of 92



### DUT: ZNFG900TM Type: Portable Handset

Serial: 00284


Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: LTE FDD Band 25 Bandwidth: 5MHz Channel: 26665 Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (1-COIL) TEST REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg 72 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 73 of 92



DUT: ZNFG900TM Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

### Equipment:


Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)

Bandwidth: 15MHz Channel: 40620

Accessory: Dual Display - Closed



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST .	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 74 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 74 of 92

**REV 3.5.M** 



### DUT: ZNFG900TM Type: Portable Handset

Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 2.4GHz WIFI Standard: IEEE 802.11b

Channel: 1 Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 75 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 75 01 92



## DUT: ZNFG900TM

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011

### Equipment:


Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

### **Test Configuration:**

Mode: 5GHz WIFI

Standard: IEEE 802.11n (U-NII 2C)

Bandwidth: 20MHz Channel: 120 Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 76 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 70 01 92



## **DUT: ZNFG900TM**

Type: Portable Handset Serial: 00284

Measurement Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011


### Equipment:

Probe: Radial T-Coil Probe – SN: TEM-1130; Calibrated: 05/17/2019

### **Test Configuration:**

· VolP Application: Google Duo Mode: LTE TDD Band 41 (PC2)

Bandwidth: 15MHz Channel: 40620 Accessory: None



FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	<b>(</b> LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 77 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 77 of 92

## 13. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 78 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 76 01 92

1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF 6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020 Portable Handset Page 78 of 92
© 2020 PCTEST REV 3.5.M



# **Certificate of Calibration**

for

AXIAL T COIL PROBE

Manufactured by:

TEM CONSULTING AXIAL T COIL PROBE

Model No: Serial No:

TEM-1124

Calibration Recall No: 29973

Submitted By:

Customer:

ANDREW HARWELL

Company:

PCTEST ENGINEERING LAB 6660-B DOBBIN ROAD

Address: 666

DIA MDA

COLUMBIA

MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No.

AXIAL T C TEM C

V K94 6/4/2019

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration.
The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above.
West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Approved by:

Calibration Date:

17-May-19

James Zhu

Certificate No:

29973 -1

Quality Manager ISO/IEC 17025:2005

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01 Cer

Certificate Page 1 of 1

ACCREDITED

Calibration
uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM

Filename:

1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF

6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020

HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT

CD LG

Approved by:
Quality Manager

Page 79 of 92

© 2020 PCTEST

REV 3.5.M



Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

ISO/IEC 17025: 2005

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

### REPORT OF CALIBRATION

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1124

I. D. No.: XXXX

Probe Sensitivity measured wit	h Helmhol	tz Coil			
Helmholtz Coil;			Before & after data same:	X	
the number of turns on each coil;	10	No.			
the radius of each coil, in meters;	0.204	m	Laboratory Environment:		
the current in the coils, in amperes.;	0.09	Α	Ambient Temperature:	20.7	°C
Helmholtz Coil Constant;	7.09	A/m/V	Ambient Humidity:	42.7	% RH
Helmholtz Coil magnetic field;	5.96	A/m	Ambient Pressure:	98.256	kPa
			Calibration Date:	17-May-2019	
Probe Sensitivity at	1000	Hz.	Calibration Due:	17-May-2020	
was	-60.41	dBV/A/m	Report Number:	29973	-1
	0.954	mV/A/m	Control Number:	29973	
Probe resistance	903	Ohms			


The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers:

683/290345-18

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure :

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Measurements performed by: ......

James Zhu

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

### Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST*  Trough to be part of 6 memorial	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 80 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		rage ou oi 92

### HCATEMC\_TEM-1124\_May-17-2019

### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

## Calibration Data Record

Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1124

**TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe** Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Test	Function	Tolerance		Measured values		
				Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-60.41		
			dB			
2.0	Probe Level Linearity		6	6.10		
		Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00		
			-6	-6.00		
			-12	-12.00		
			Hz			
3.0	Probe Frequency Response		100	-19.9		
			126	-17.9		
			158	-16.0		
			200	-14.0		
			251	-12.0		
			316	-10.0		
			398	-8.0		
			/ 501	-6.0		
			631	-3.9		
			794	-2.0		
		Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0		
			1259	2.0		
			1585	4.0		
			1995	5.9		
			2512	7.9		İ
			3162	9.9		
			3981	11.9		
			5012	13.9		
			6310	15.9		
			7943	18.0		
			10000	20.2		

alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Date
34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/290345-18	26-Jul-2019
	34401A 34401A 33120A	34401A S/N US360641 34401A S/N US361024 33120A S/N US360437	34401A S/N US360641 25-Jul-2018 34401A S/N US361024 25-Jul-2018 33120A S/N US360437 25-Jul-2018	34401A S/N US360641 25-Jul-2018 ,1010733 34401A S/N US361024 25-Jul-2018 ,1010733 33120A S/N US360437 25-Jul-2018 ,1010733

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

### Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogo 91 of 02
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 81 of 92



# **Certificate of Calibration**

for

### RADIAL T COIL PROBE

Manufactured by:

TEM CONSULTING

Model No:

RADIAL T COIL PROBE

Serial No: Calibration Recall No: TEM-1130 29973

#### Submitted By:

**Customer:** 

ANDREW HARWELL

Company: Address:

PCTEST ENGINEERING LAB

6660-B DOBBIN ROAD COLUMBIA

MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No.

RADIAL T TEM C

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

6/4/2019

Within (X)

tolerance of the indicated specification. See attached Report of Calibration.

The information supplied relates to the calibrated item listed above.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 17025.

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is Included.

Approved by:

Calibration Date:

17-May-19

Certificate No:

29973 -2

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1
West Caldwell

uncompromised calibration Laboratories, Inc.

U.S.A.

ACCREDITED

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

James Zhu

Quality Manager ISO/IEC 17025:2005

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM

HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT

LG

Approved by:
Quality Manager

Filename:

Test Dates:
DUT Type:
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF
6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020
Portable Handset

© 2020 PCTEST

REV 3.5.M



1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564



20.7

42.7

98.256

Calibration Date: 17-May-2019

Calibration Due: 17-May-2020

Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

Before & after data same: ...X...

Laboratory Environment:

Ambient Temperature:

Ambient Humidity:

Ambient Pressure:

Report Number:

Control Number:

## REPORT OF CALIBRATION

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1130

I. D. No.: XXXX

°C

29973 -2

29973

% RH

Calibration results: Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Coil Helmholtz Coil;

> the number of turns on each coil; 10 No. the radius of each coil, in meters; 0.204 m the current in the coils, in amperes.; 0.08 Α

> > Probe Sensitivity at

Helmholtz Coil Constant; 7.09 A/m/V Helmholtz Coil magnetic field; 5.94 A/m

> -60.37 dBV/A/m was 0.958 mV/A/m Probe resistance

1000


Hz.

895 Ohms The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

683/290345-18 This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers:

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell

Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure:

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures

intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

Measurements performed by: ......

James Zhu

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 3014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

### Page 1 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 83 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		raye 03 01 92

### HCRTEMC\_TEM-1130\_May-17-2019

### West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564 Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

## Calibration Data Record

for

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe Company: PCTest Engineering Labs

Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe

Serial No.: TEM-1130

Test	Function	Tolerance		Measured values		
				Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-60.37		
			dB			
2.0	Probe Level Linearity		6	6.00		
		Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00		
			-6	-6.10		
			-12	-12.10		ŀ
			Hz			
3.0	Probe Frequency Response		100	-20.0		
			126	-17.9		
			158	-16.0		
			200	-14.0		
			251	-12.0		
			316	-10.0		}
			398	-8.0		1
			501	-6.0		
			631	-4.0		
			794	-2.0		
		Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0		
			1259	1.9		
			1585	3.9		
			1995	5.9		
			2512	7.9		
			3162	9.9		
			3981	11.9		1
			5012	13.9		
			6310	15.9		
			7943	18.0		
			10000	20.1		

Instruments used for o	alibration:		Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Date
HP	34401A	S/N US360641	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	34401A	S/N US361024	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
HP	33120A	S/N US360437	25-Jul-2018	,1010733	26-Jul-2019
B&K	2133	S/N 1583254	25-Jul-2018	683/290345-18	26-Jul-2019

Cal. Date: 17-May-2019

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Tested by: James Zhu

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

### Page 2 of 2

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 84 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 64 01 92

### 14. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST:	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	(LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 85 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 05 01 92

### 15. REFERENCES

- ANSI C63.19-2011, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, May 2011
- 2. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05," September 13, 2017
- 3. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v03," September 13, 2017
- FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify
  Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard, June 6, 2006
- 5. FCC 3G Review Guidance, Laboratory Division OET FCC, May/June 2006
- Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May, 1997
- 7. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).
- 8. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, "IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.
- Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491
- 10. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.
- Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.
- 12. Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards. Technical Note 1013, July 1981.
- EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1<sup>st</sup> part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.
- EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.
- 15. EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.
- 16. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.
- 17. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.
- 18. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.
- 19. Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.
- Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.
- 21. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.
- 22. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST* Road to be part of § removed	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Dogg 96 of 00
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Page 86 of 92

- 23. Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., "Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones," Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.
- 24. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., "Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.
- 25. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I., and Chang, D. C., "A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.
- 26. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983
- 27. Skopec, M., "Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, "IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.
- 28. Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.
- 29. Victorian, T. A., "Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update," Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60
- 30. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.

FCC ID: ZNFG900TM	PCTEST	HAC (T-COIL) TEST REPORT	LG	Approved by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:		Page 87 of 92
1M2005180086-12-R1.ZNF	6/29/2020 - 7/8/2020	Portable Handset		Fage 67 01 92