




## SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

### Shenzhen Contel Electronics Technology Co., Ltd.

3/F, R2-A, High-tech Industrial Park, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China

**FCC ID: YAPTAB730**

<b>Report Type:</b> Original Report	<b>Product Type:</b> 7" Tablet MID
<b>Test Engineer:</b> <u>Sandy Wang</u> 	
<b>Report Number:</b> <u>RSZ120618006-20</u>	
<b>Report Date:</b> <u>2012-07-12</u>	
<b>Reviewed By:</b> Reviewed By:  <b>Test Laboratory:</b> Test Laboratory:	<u>Alvin Huang</u> RF Leader   Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 <a href="http://www.baclcorp.com.cn">www.baclcorp.com.cn</a>

**Note:** This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. This report **must not** be used by the customer to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the Federal Government.

\* This report contain data that are not covered by the NVLAP accreditation and are marked with an asterisk “★” (Rev.2)

Attestation of Test Results				
EUT Information	Company Name	Shenzhen Contel Electronics Technology Co., Ltd.		
	EUT Description	7" Tablet MID		
	FCC ID	YAPTAB730		
	Model Number	TAB-730		
	Test Date	2012.07.11		
Frequency	Max. SAR Level(s) Measured	Limit(W/Kg)		
WiFi(802.11b)	0.224 W/kg 1g Body Tissue	1.6		
Applicable Standards	<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 : 2005</b> IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fileds,3 kHz to 300 GHz.			
	<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.3 : 2002</b> IEEE Recommended Practice for Measurements and Computations of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields With Respect to Human Exposure to SuchFields,100 kHz—300 GHz.			
	<b>OET BULLETIN 65 SUPPLEMENT C</b> Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure To Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields			
	<b>IEEE1528:2003</b> IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques			
<b>Note:</b> This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC OET 65 Supplement C and IEEE 1528-2003.				
<b>The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.</b>				

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>EUT DESCRIPTION .....</b>	<b>5</b>
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION .....	5
<b>REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDEINES.....</b>	<b>6</b>
SAR LIMITS .....	7
<b>FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION .....</b>	<b>16</b>
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION .....	16
<b>SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION.....</b>	<b>17</b>
LIQUID VERIFICATION .....	17
SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION.....	19
SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA .....	20
<b>EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>22</b>
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR.....	22
CHEEK/TOUCH POSITION .....	23
EAR/TILT POSITION .....	23
TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS.....	24
SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE.....	25
<b>CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT.....</b>	<b>26</b>
PROVISION APPLICABLE .....	26
TEST PROCEDURE .....	26
TEST RESULTS: .....	26
<b>SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS.....</b>	<b>27</b>
EUT SCAN RESULTS .....	29
<b>APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES.....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS .....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS .....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>APPENDIX F – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>58</b>

**DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY**

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision
0	RSZ120618006-20	Original Report	2012-07-12

## EUT DESCRIPTION

This report has been prepared on behalf of Shenzhen Contel Electronics Technology Co., Ltd. and their product, FCC ID: YAPTAB730, Model: TAB-730 or the EUT (Equipment Under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report. The EUT is a 7" Tablet.

### Technical Specification

<b>Product Type</b>	Portable
<b>Exposure Category:</b>	Population / Uncontrolled
<b>Antenna Type(s):</b>	Internal Antenna
<b>Body-Worn Accessories:</b>	Headset
<b>Face-Head Accessories:</b>	None
<b>Operation Mode :</b>	WiFi 802.11b/g/n-20/n-40
<b>Frequency Band:</b>	WiFi(802.11b/11g/802.11n-20) : 2412MHz-2462MHz WiFi(802.11n-40) : 2422MHz-2452MHz
<b>Conducted RF Power:</b>	WiFi(802.11b) : 17.24dBm WiFi(802.11g): 16.85dBm WiFi(802.11n-20): 15.42dBm WiFi(802.11n-40): 14.03dBm
<b>Dimensions (L*W*H):</b>	199mm (L)× 126mm (W)× 12mm (H)
<b>Weight:</b>	347.5g
<b>Power Source:</b>	3.7VDC/ 3000mAh Rechargeable Battery
<b>Normal Operation:</b>	Body-worn

Note: The device does not support as wireless router hotspot function.

## REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUIDELINES

---

### FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

### CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

## SAR Limits

### FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

EXPOSURE LIMITS	SAR (W/kg)	
	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0

### CE Limit (10g Tissue)

EXPOSURE LIMITS	SAR (W/kg)	
	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) & 2 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

## FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

Additionally, Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) is a National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) accredited laboratory, under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accredited Program (Lab Code 200707-0).



The current scope of accreditations can be found at <http://ts.nist.gov/Standards/scopes/2007070.htm>

## DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM

These measurements were performed with ALSAS 10 Universal Integrated SAR Measurement system from APREL Laboratories.

### ALSAS-10U System Description

ALSAS-10-U is fully compliant with the technical and scientific requirements of IEEE 1528, IEC 62209, CENELEC, ARIB, ACA, and the Federal Communications Commission. The system comprises of a six axes articulated robot which utilizes a dedicated controller.

ALSAS-10U uses the latest methodologies. And FDTD modeling to provide a platform which is repeatable with minimum uncertainty.

### Applications

Predefined measurement procedures compliant with the guidelines of CENELEC, IEEE, IEC, FCC, etc are utilized during the assessment for the device. Automatic detection for all SAR maxima are embedded within the core architecture for the system, ensuring that peak locations used for centering the zoom scan are within a 1mm resolution and a 0.05mm repeatable position. System operation range currently available up-to 6 GHz in simulated tissue.

### Area Scans

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm<sup>2</sup> step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

### Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the ALSAS-10U software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup> is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21.5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 35mm in the Z axis.



## ALSAS-10U Interpolation and Extrapolation Uncertainty


The overall uncertainty for the methodology and algorithms used during the SAR calculation was evaluated using the data from IEEE 1528 based on the example f3 algorithm:

$$f_3(x, y, z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2} \cdot \left( e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a + 2z)^2} \right)$$

## Isotropic E-Field Probe

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



SAR is assessed with a calibrated probe which moves at a default height of 5mm from the center of the diode, which is mounted to the sensor, to the phantom surface (in the Z Axis). The 5mm offset height has been selected so as to minimize any resultant boundary effect due to the probe being in close proximity to the phantom surface.

The following algorithm is an example of the function used by the system for linearization of the output from the probe when measuring complex modulation schemes.

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

## Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification

<b>Calibration Method</b>	Frequency Dependent Below 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in a TEM Cell Above 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in waveguide
<b>Sensitivity</b>	0.70 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ to 0.85 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
<b>Dynamic Range</b>	0.0005 W/kg to 100 W/kg
<b>Isotropic Response</b>	Better than 0.1 dB
<b>Diode Compression Point (DCP)</b>	Calibration for Specific Frequency
<b>Probe Tip Diameter</b>	< 2.9 mm
<b>Sensor Offset</b>	1.56 (+/- 0.02 mm)
<b>Probe Length</b>	289 mm
<b>Video Bandwidth</b>	@ 500 Hz: 1 dB @ 1.02 kHz: 3 dB
<b>Boundary Effect</b>	Less than 2.1% for distance greater than 0.58 mm
<b>Spatial Resolution</b>	The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe

## Boundary Detection Unit and Probe Mounting Device

ALSAS-10U incorporates a boundary detection unit with a sensitivity of 0.05mm for detecting all types of surfaces. The robust design allows for detection during probe tilt (probe normalize) exercises, and utilizes a second stage emergency stop. The signal electronics are fed directly into the robot controller for high accuracy surface detection in lateral and axial detection modes (X, Y, & Z).

The probe is mounted directly onto the Boundary Detection unit for accurate tooling and displacement calculations controlled by the robot kinematics. The probe is connect to an isolated probe interconnect where the output stage of the probe is fed directly into the amplifier stage of the Daq-Paq.

## Daq-Paq (Analog to Digital Electronics)

ALSAS-10U incorporates a fully calibrated Daq-Paq (analog to digital conversion system) which has a 4 channel input stage, sent via a 2 stage auto-set amplifier module. The input signal is amplified accordingly so as to offer a dynamic range from 5 $\mu\text{V}$  to 800mV. Integration of the fields measured is carried out at board level utilizing a Co-Processor which then sends the measured fields down into the main computational module in digitized form via an RS232 communications port. Probe linearity and duty cycle compensation is carried out within the main Daq-Paq module.

<b>ADC</b>	12 Bit
<b>Amplifier Range</b>	20 mV to 200 mV and 150 mV to 800 mV
<b>Field Integration</b>	Local Co-Processor utilizing proprietary integration algorithms
<b>Number of Input Channels</b>	4 in total 3 dedicated and 1 spare
<b>Communication</b>	Packet data via RS232

## Axis Articulated Robot

ALSAS-10U utilizes a six axis articulated robot, which is controlled using a Pentium based real-time movement controller. The movement kinematics engine utilizes proprietary (Thermo CRS) interpolation and extrapolation algorithms, which allow full freedom of movement for each of the six joints within the working envelope. Utilization of joint 6 allows for full probe rotation with a tolerance better than 0.05mm around the central axis.



<b>Robot/Controller Manufacturer</b>	Thermo CRS
<b>Number of Axis</b>	Six independently controlled axis
<b>Positioning Repeatability</b>	0.05 mm
<b>Controller Type</b>	Single phase Pentium based C500C
<b>Robot Reach</b>	710 mm
<b>Communication</b>	RS232 and LAN compatible

## ALSAS Universal Workstation

ALSAS Universal workstation allows for repeatability and fast adaptability. It allows users to do calibration, testing and measurements using different types of phantoms with one set up, which significantly speeds up the measurement process.

## Universal Device Positioner

The universal device positioner allows complete freedom of movement of the EUT. Developed to hold a EUT in a free-space scenario any additional loading attributable to the material used in the construction of the positioner has been eliminated. Repeatability has been enhanced through the linear scales which form the design used to indicate positioning for any given test scenario in all major axes. A 15° tilt indicator is included for the aid of cheek to tilt movements for head SAR analysis. Overall uncertainty for measurements have been reduced due to the design of the Universal device positioner, which allows positioning of a device in as near to a free-space scenario as possible, and by providing the means for complete repeatability.




## Phantom Types

The ALSAS-10U allows the integration of multiple phantom types. SAM Phantoms fully compliant with IEEE 1528, Universal Phantom, and Universal Flat.

### APREL SAM Phantoms

The SAM phantoms developed using the IEEE SAM CAD file. They are fully compliant with the requirements for both IEEE 1528 and FCC Supplement C. Both the left and right SAM phantoms are interchangeable, transparent and include the IEEE 1528 grid with visible NF and MB lines.



## APREL Laboratories Universal Phantom

The Universal Phantom is used on the ALSAS-10U as a system validation phantom. The Universal Phantom has been fully validated both experimentally from 800MHz to 6GHz and numerically using XFDTD numerical software.

The shell thickness is 2mm overall, with a 4mm spacer located at the NF/MB intersection providing an overall thickness of 6mm in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528.

The design allows for fast and accurate measurements, of handsets, by allowing the conservative SAR to be evaluated at on frequency for both left and right head experiments in one measurement.



## Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Ingredients (% by weight)	Frequency (MHz)									
	450		835		915		1900		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton x-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (s/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

## Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

Frequency (MHz)	Head Tissue		Body Tissue	
	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00


## EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

### Equipments List & Calibration Information

Equipment	Model	Calibration Date	S/N
CRS F3 robot	ALS-F3	N/A	RAF0805352
CRS F3 Software	ALS-F3-SW	N/A	N/A
CRS C500C controller	ALS-C500	N/A	RCF0805379
Probe mounting device & Boundary Detection Sensor System	ALS-PMDPS-3	N/A	120-00270
Universal Work Station	ALS-UWS	N/A	100-00157
Data Acquisition Package	ALS-DAQ-PAQ-3	2012-05-13	110-00212
Miniature E-Field Probe	ALS-E-020	2011-07-14	500-00283
Dipole,2450MHz	ALS-D-2450-S-2	2011-08-25	220-00758
Dipole Spacer	ALS-DS-U	N/A	250-00907
Device holder/Positioner	ALS-H-E-SET-2	N/A	170-00510
Left ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-L	N/A	130-00311
Right ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-R	N/A	140-00359
UniPhantom	ALS-P-UP-1	N/A	150-00413
Simulated Tissue 2450 MHz Body	ALS-TS-2450-B	Each Time	290-01109
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	N/A	71377
Synthesized Sweeper	HP 8341B	2012-05-17	2624A00116
EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	2011-11-17	101122

## SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

### Liquid Verification



Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

### Liquid Verification Results

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Liquid Parameter		Target Value (W/Kg)		Delta (%)		Result
		$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	
2437	Body	51.56	1.93	52.70	1.95	-2.211	-1.036	$\pm 5$

\*Liquid Verification was performed on 2012-07-11.


Please refer to the following tables.

2450 MHz Body		
Frequency (MHz)	e'	e''
2410	51.53215	14.15148
2411	51.53402	14.15635
2412	51.53589	14.16122
2413	51.53776	14.16609
2414	51.53963	14.17096
2415	51.54235	14.17583
2416	51.54507	14.18070
2417	51.54779	14.18803
2418	51.55051	14.19536
2419	51.55323	14.20269
2420	51.54986	14.21002
2421	51.54649	14.21735
2422	51.54312	14.19536
2423	51.53975	14.18463
2424	51.53638	14.17390
2425	51.54156	14.16317
2426	51.54674	14.15244
2427	51.55192	14.14171
2428	51.55710	14.13098
2429	51.56228	14.12025
2430	51.56746	14.10952
2431	51.57264	14.16812
2432	51.57782	14.17307
2433	51.53658	14.17802
2434	51.54148	14.18297
2435	51.58300	14.18792
2436	51.58818	14.19287
2437	51.56365	14.16746
2438	51.56433	14.16889
2440	51.56501	14.17032
2441	51.56569	14.17175
2442	51.56637	14.17318
2443	51.56705	14.18011
2444	51.56773	14.18704
2445	51.56841	14.19397
2446	51.57022	14.20090
2447	51.57203	14.20783
2448	51.57384	14.18684
2449	51.57565	14.16585
2450	51.57746	14.14486
2451	51.57927	14.12387
2452	51.58108	14.10288
2453	51.58289	14.08189
2454	51.58165	14.15612
2455	51.58041	14.23035
2456	51.57917	14.30458
2457	51.57793	14.37881
2458	51.57669	14.45304
2459	51.57545	14.52727
2460	51.57421	14.56015
2461	51.57297	14.52206
2462	51.57173	14.51455

## System Accuracy Verification

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of  $\pm 10\%$ . The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

### System Verification Setup Block Diagram



### System Accuracy Check Results

Date	Frequency Band	Liquid Type	Measured SAR (W/Kg)		Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2012-07-11	2450	Body	1g	50.365	52.561	-4.360	$\pm 10$

\*All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

**SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA****Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)****System Performance Check 2450 MHz Body****Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: ALS-D-2450-S-2; S/N: 220-00758**

## Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 2450MHz  
Serial No. : 220-00758  
Type : Dipole  
Model : ALS-D-2450-S-2  
Frequency Band : 2450 MHz  
Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W  
Drift Time : 3 min(s)  
Power Drift-Start : 59.852 W/kg  
Power Drift-Finish : 58.735 W/kg  
Power Drift (%) : -1.902

## Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni  
Type : Uni-Phantom  
Size (mm) : 280 x 280 x 200  
Serial No. : System Default  
Location : Center  
Description : Default

## Tissue Data

Type : Body  
Serial No. : 290-01109  
Frequency : 2437 MHz  
Last Calib. Date : 11-Jul-2012  
Temperature : 20.00 °C  
Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C  
Humidity : 50.00 RH%  
Epsilon : 51.56 F/m  
Sigma : 1.93 S/m  
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. M


## Probe Data

Name : E-Field  
Model : E-020  
Type : E-Field Triangle  
Serial No. : 500-00283  
Last Calib. Date : 14-Jul-2011  
Frequency Band : 2450 MHz  
Duty Cycle Factor : 1  
Conversion Factor : 4.3  
Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu$ V/(V/m)<sup>2</sup>  
Compression Point : 95.00 mV  
Offset : 1.56 mm

## Measurement Data

Crest Factor : 1  
Scan Type : Complete  
Tissue Temp. : 20.00 °C  
Ambient Temp. : 20.00 °C  
Area Scan : 7x7x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm  
Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

1 gram SAR value : 50.365 W/kg  
10 gram SAR value : 24.629 W/kg  
Area Scan Peak SAR : 55.852 W/kg  
Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 94.636 W/kg




### 2450 MHz System Validation

## EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

### Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the “test device reference point” located along the “vertical centerline” on the front of the device aligned to the “ear reference point”. The “test device reference point” should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The “vertical centerline” should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A “ear reference point” is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the “phantom reference plane” defined by the three lines joining the center of each “ear reference point” (left and right) and the tip of the mouth.

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the “N-F” line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the “ear reference point”. For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The “test device reference point” is aligned to the “ear reference point” on the head phantom and the “vertical centerline” is aligned to the “phantom reference plane”. This is called the “initial ear position”. While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:



## Cheek/Touch Position


The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the “ear reference point” or along the “N-F” line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:

- When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.
- (or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

### Cheek /Touch Position



## Ear/Tilt Position


With the handset aligned in the “Cheek/Touch Position”:

1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom’s ear spacer (in the “Cheek/Touch position”) and the peak SAR location for the “Cheek/Touch” position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the “initial ear position” by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.

2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both “ear reference points” (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the “test device reference point” until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point is by 15°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both “ear reference points” until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the “Cheek/Touch” and “Ear/Tilt” positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

#### Ear /Tilt 15° Position



#### **Test positions for body-worn and other configurations**

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.

## SAR Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.

Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 35 mm x 35 mm x 35 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:

- 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
- 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.


## CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

### Provision Applicable

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

### Test Procedure

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the spectrum analyzer through sufficient attenuation.



Wi-Fi

### Test Results:

#### Wi-Fi

Band	Frequency (MHz)	Conducted Output Power	
		(dBm)	(Watt)
802.11b	2412	17.03	0.050
	2437	17.24	0.053
	2462	17.14	0.052
802.11g	2412	16.72	0.047
	2437	16.85	0.048
	2462	16.70	0.047
802.11n-20	2412	15.29	0.034
	2437	15.38	0.035
	2462	15.42	0.035
802.11n-40	2422	14.03	0.025
	2437	13.80	0.024
	2452	13.85	0.024

#### Note:

KDB248227-SAR is not required for 802.11g/802.11n channels when the maximum average output power is less than 1/4 dB higher than that measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels.

The output power was tested under data rate 1Mbps for 802.11b, 6Mbps for 802.11g, 6.5Mbps for 802.11n-20 and 13.5Mbps for 802.11n-40.

## SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

### SAR Test Data

#### Environmental Conditions

<b>Temperature:</b>	21° C
<b>Relative Humidity:</b>	50%
<b>ATM Pressure:</b>	1002 mbar

\* Testing was performed by Sandy Wang on 2012-07-11.

## 802.11b:

EUT Position	Frequency (MHz)		Antenna Type	Power drift (%)	FCC 1g SAR (W/Kg)	
	Channel	MHz			Value	Limit
Body-Front	6	2437	Integral	-1.864	0.045	1.6
Body-Back	6	2437	Integral	2.572	0.224	1.6
Body-Left	6	2437	Integral	1.872	0.053	1.6
Body-Top	6	2437	Integral	0.386	0.037	1.6

**Note:**

1. Body-Back is the worst case mode.
2. Body-Front: EUT Front touch the flat phantom with 0.5cm.
3. Body-Back: EUT Back touch the flat phantom with 0.5cm.
4. Body-Left: EUT Left side touch the flat phantom with 0.5cm.
5. Body-Top: EUT Top touch the flat phantom with 0.5cm.
6. The antenna location is showed on the appendix E.
7. When the 1-g SAR is  $\leq 0.8\text{W/Kg}$ , testing for other channels are optional.
8. The SAR testing is conducted with 100% duty cycle factor.
9. KDB248227-SAR is not required for 802.11g/802.11n channels when the maximum average output power is less than 1/4 dB higher than that measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels.
10. The output power was tested under data rate 1Mbps for 802.11b.

## EUT SCAN RESULTS

### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

#### 802.11b; Body-Front (2437 MHz Channel 6)

##### Measurement Data

Crest Factor : 1  
Scan Type : Complete  
Area Scan : 8x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm  
Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm  
Power Drift-Start : 0.047 W/kg  
Power Drift-Finish : 0.046 W/kg  
Power Drift (%) : -1.864

##### Tissue Data


Type : Body  
Frequency : 2437 MHz  
Epsilon : 51.56 F/m  
Sigma : 1.93 S/m  
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

##### Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283  
Frequency Band : 2450 MHz  
Duty Cycle Factor : 1  
Conversion Factor : 4.3  
Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu$ V/(V/m)2  
Compression Point : 95.00 mV  
Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.045 W/kg  
10 gram SAR value : 0.036 W/kg  
Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.058 W/kg  
Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.117 W/kg

#### Plot 1#



**Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)****802.11b; Body-Back (2437 MHz Channel 6)**

## Measurement Data

Crest Factor : 1  
Scan Type : Complete  
Area Scan : 8x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm  
Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm  
Power Drift-Start : 0.234 W/kg  
Power Drift-Finish : 0.241 W/kg  
Power Drift (%) : 2.572


## Tissue Data

Type : Body  
Frequency : 2437 MHz  
Epsilon : 51.56 F/m  
Sigma : 1.93 S/m  
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

## Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283  
Frequency Band : 2450 MHz  
Duty Cycle Factor : 1  
Conversion Factor : 4.3  
Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu$ V/(V/m)2  
Compression Point : 95.00 mV  
Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.224 W/kg  
10 gram SAR value : 0.163 W/kg  
Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.256 W/kg  
Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.420 W/kg

**Plot 2#**

**Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)****802.11b; Body-Left (2437 MHz Channel 6)**

## Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1  
Scan Type : Complete  
Area Scan : 8x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm  
Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm  
Power Drift-Start : 0.018 W/kg  
Power Drift-Finish : 0.018 W/kg  
Power Drift (%) : -1.872

## Tissue Data

Type : Body  
Frequency : 2437 MHz  
Epsilon : 51.56 F/m  
Sigma : 1.93 S/m  
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

## Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283  
Frequency Band : 2450 MHz  
Duty Cycle Factor : 1  
Conversion Factor : 4.3  
Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu$ V/(V/m)2  
Compression Point : 95.00 mV  
Offset : 1.56 mm  
  
1 gram SAR value : 0.053 W/kg  
10 gram SAR value : 0.033 W/kg  
Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.064 W/kg  
Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.095 W/kg

**Plot 3#**

**Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)****802.11b; Body-Top (2437 MHz Channel 6)**

## Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1  
Scan Type : Complete  
Area Scan : 8x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm  
Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm  
Power Drift-Start : 0.024 W/kg  
Power Drift-Finish : 0.024 W/kg  
Power Drift (%) : 0.386

## Tissue Data

Type : Body  
Frequency : 2437 MHz  
Epsilon : 51.56 F/m  
Sigma : 1.93 S/m  
Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

## Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283  
Frequency Band : 2450 MHz  
Duty Cycle Factor : 1  
Conversion Factor : 4.3  
Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu$ V/(V/m)2  
Compression Point : 95.00 mV  
Offset : 1.56 mm  
  
1 gram SAR value : 0.037 W/kg  
10 gram SAR value : 0.019 W/kg  
Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.047 W/kg  
Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.100 W/kg

**Plot 4#**

## APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

### Measurement Uncertainty for 300MHz to 3GHz

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	$c_i^1 (1-g)$	$c_i^1 (10-g)$	Standard Uncertainty (1-g) %	Standard Uncertainty (10-g) %
<b>Measurement System</b>							
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	1.5	1.5
Hemispherical Isotropy	10.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{cp}$	$\sqrt{cp}$	4.4	4.4
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.95	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.55	0.55
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
<b>Restriction</b>							
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1
Test Sample Positioning	2.6	normal	1	1	1	2.6	2.6
Device Holder Uncertainty	2.0	normal	1	1	1	2.0	2.0
Drift of Output Power	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
<b>Phantom and Setup</b>							
Phantom Uncertainty(shape & thickness tolerance)	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0
Liquid Conductivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.5	2.0	1.4
Liquid Conductivity(meas.)	2.6	normal	1	0.7	0.5	1.8	1.3
Liquid Permittivity(target)	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4
Liquid Permittivity(meas.)	2.7	normal	1	0.6	0.5	1.6	1.4
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				9.1	8.8
Combined Uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				18.2	17.6

**APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES****NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES****Calibration File No.: 1251-1258****Client.: BACL Lab****C E R T I F I C A T E   O F   C A L I B R A T I O N**

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the  
**NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES** by qualified personnel following recognized  
procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Equipment: Miniature Isotropic RF Probe

Record of Calibration

Head and Body

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories

**Model No.: E-020****Serial No.: 500-00283****Calibration Procedure:** D01-032-E020-V2, D22-012-Tissue, D28-002-Dipole  
**Project No:** BACL-5607**Calibrated:** 14<sup>th</sup> July 2011  
**Released on:** 14<sup>th</sup> July 2011**Approved By:** Stuart Nicol

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By: \_\_\_\_\_

**NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**303 Terry Fox Drive, Suite 102  
Kanata, Ontario  
CANADA K2K 3J1Division of APREL  
TEL: (613) 435-8300  
FAX: (613) 435-8306

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Inc.

**Introduction**

This Calibration Report reproduces the results of the calibration performed in line with the references listed below. Calibration is performed using accepted methodologies as per the references listed below. Probes are calibrated for air, and tissue and the values reported are the results from the physical quantification of the probe through meteorgical practices.

**Calibration Method**

Probes are calibrated using the following methods.

<1000MHz

TEM Cell for sensitivity in air

Standard phantom using temperature transfer method for sensitivity in tissue

>1000MHz

Waveguide\* method to determine sensitivity in air and tissue

\*Waveguide is numerically (simulation) assessed to determine the field distribution and power

The boundary effect for the probe is assessed using a standard flat phantom where the probe output is compared against a numerically simulated series of data points

**References**

- IEEE Standard 1528 (2003) including Amendment 1  
IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
- EN 62209-1 (2006)  
Human Exposure to RF Fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures-Part 1: Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices
- IEC 62209-2 Ed. 1.0 (2010-03)  
Human exposure to RF fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices (30 MHz - 6 GHz)
- TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- IEEE 1309 Draft Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Inc.

**Conditions**

Probe 500-00283 was a new probe taken from stock.

**Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:** 22 °C +/- 1.5°C  
**Temperature of the Tissue:** 21 °C +/- 1.5°C  
**Relative Humidity:** < 60%

**Primary Measurement Standards**

<b>Instrument</b>	<b>Serial Number</b>	<b>Cal due date</b>
Power meter Anritsu MA2408A	90025437	Nov.4, 2011
Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D	103555	Nov 4, 2011
Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB)	1944A10711	Sept. 14, 2011
Network Analyzer Anritsu MT8801C	MB11855	Feb. 8, 2012

**Secondary Measurement Standards**

Signal Generator Agilent E4438C -506 MY55182336 June 7, 2012

**Attestation**

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

**We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this probe has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within this report has been reviewed for accuracy.**

Stuart Nicol

Jesse Hones

---

Page 3 of 10  
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Inc.

**Probe Summary**

**Probe Type:** E-Field Probe E020  
**Serial Number:** 500-00283  
**Frequency:** As presented on page 5  
**Sensor Offset:** 1.56  
**Sensor Length:** 2.5  
**Tip Enclosure:** Composite\*  
**Tip Diameter:** < 2.9 mm  
**Tip Length:** 55 mm  
**Total Length:** 289 mm

\*Resistive to recommended tissue recipes per IEEE-1528

**Sensitivity in Air**

**Channel X:**  $1.2 \mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$   
**Channel Y:**  $1.2 \mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$   
**Channel Z:**  $1.2 \mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$

**Diode Compression Point:** 95 mV

---

Page 4 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Inc.

## Calibration for Tissue (Head H, Body B)

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Calibration Uncertainty	Tolerance Uncertainty for 5%*	Conversion Factor
450 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
450 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
750 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
750 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
<b>835 H</b>	<b>Head</b>	<b>42.35</b>	<b>0.938</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>6.6</b>
<b>835 B</b>	<b>Body</b>	<b>56.65</b>	<b>1.018</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>6.6</b>
<b>900 H</b>	<b>Head</b>	<b>41.35</b>	<b>0.98</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>900 B</b>	<b>Body</b>	<b>56.08</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>6</b>
1450 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1450 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
1500 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1500 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
1640 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1640 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
<b>1750 H</b>	<b>Head</b>	<b>38.72</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>5.1</b>
<b>1750 B</b>	<b>Body</b>	<b>51.62</b>	<b>1.48</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>4.8</b>
1800 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
1800 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
<b>1900 H</b>	<b>Head</b>	<b>38.72</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>5.2</b>
<b>1900 B</b>	<b>Body</b>	<b>51.62</b>	<b>1.48</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>5</b>
2000 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2000 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
2100 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2100 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
2300 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2300 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
<b>2450 H</b>	<b>Head</b>	<b>38.06</b>	<b>1.87</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>4.9</b>
<b>2450 B</b>	<b>Body</b>	<b>50.22</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>4.3</b>
2600 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
2600 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
3000 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
3000 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
3600 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
3600 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
5200 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
5200 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
5600 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
5600 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
5800 H	Head	X	X	X	X	X
5800 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X

Page 5 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Inc.

**Boundary Effect:**

Uncertainty resulting from the boundary effect is less than 2.1% for the distance between the tip of the probe and the tissue boundary, when less than 0.58mm.

**Spatial Resolution:**

The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe.  
The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe.

**DAQ-PAQ Contribution**

To minimize the uncertainty calculation all tissue sensitivity values were calculated using a load impedance of 5 MΩ.

**Boundary Effect:**


For a distance of 0.58mm the worst case evaluated uncertainty (increase in the probe sensitivity) is less than 2.1%.

**NOTES:**

\*The maximum deviation from the centre frequency when comparing the lower to upper range is listed.



**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Inc.

**Receiving Pattern Air**

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**  
Division of APREL Inc.

**Isotropy Error Air**




Isotropicity Tissue: 0.10 dB

---

Page 8 of 10  
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**  
Division of APREL Inc.

### Dynamic Range




---

Page 9 of 10  
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**  
Division of APREL Inc.

### Video Bandwidth



Video Bandwidth at 500 Hz: 1 dB  
Video Bandwidth at 1.02 KHz: 3 dB

### Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2011.

---

Page 10 of 10  
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

**APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES****NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Calibration File No: DC-1330  
Project Number: BAC-dipole-cal-5619

**C E R T I F I C A T E   O F   C A L I B R A T I O N**

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the  
**NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES** by qualified personnel following recognized  
procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.


Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories  
Part number: ALS-D-2450-S-2  
Frequency: 2450 MHz  
Serial No: 220-00758

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory

Calibrated: 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011  
Released on: 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By: 

**NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr.  
Kanata, ONTARIO  
CANADA K2K 3J1

Division of APREL Lab.  
TEL: (613) 435-8300  
FAX: (613)435-8306

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**


Division of APREL Laboratories.

**Conditions**

Dipole 220-00758 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

**Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:** 22 °C +/- 0.5°C  
**Temperature of the Tissue:** 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this device has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within this report has been reviewed for accuracy.



Stuart Nicol



C. Teodorian

**Primary Measurement Standards****Instrument**

	Serial Number	Cal due date
Power meter Anritsu MA2408A	245025437	Nov.4, 2011
Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D	103555	Nov 4, 2011
Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB) 1	944A10711	Aug.8, 2012
Network Analyzer Agilent E5071C	1334746J	Feb. 8, 2012
<b>Secondary Measurement Standards</b>		
Signal Generator Agilent E4438C	-506 MY55182336	June 7, 2012

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Laboratories.

**Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

**Mechanical Dimensions**


**Length:** 52.4 mm  
**Height:** 30.3 mm

**Electrical Specification**

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	2450 MHz	1.0459 U	-33.024 dB	48.533 $\Omega$
Body	2450 MHz	1.1159 U	-25.235 dB	46.676 $\Omega$

**System Validation Results**

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	2450 MHz	52.667	24.518	105.920
Body	2450 MHz	52.561	24.104	108.940



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

3

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Laboratories.

**Introduction**

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 220-00758. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

**References**

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure  
SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure  
IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"  
IEC-62209 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"  
Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)"  
IEC-62209 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"  
Part 2 *Draft*: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"

**Conditions**

Dipole 220-00758 was a re-calibration.

**Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:** 22 °C +/- 0.5°C  
**Temperature of the Tissue:** 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

**Dipole Calibration uncertainty**

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

<b>Mechanical</b>	1%
<b>Positioning Error</b>	1.22%
<b>Electrical</b>	1.7%
<b>Tissue</b>	2.2%
<b>Dipole Validation</b>	2.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8.32% (16.64% K=2)</b>

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

4

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Laboratories.

**Dipole Calibration Results****Mechanical Verification**

APREL Length	APREL Height	Measured Length	Measured Height
51.5 mm	30.4 mm	52.4 mm	30.3 mm

**Electrical Calibration**

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-33.024 dB	1.0459 U	48.533 Ω
Body	-25.235 dB	1.1159 U	46.676 Ω



**Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, $\epsilon_r$	Conductivity, $\sigma$ [S/m]
Head Tissue 2450MHz	38.2	1.82
Body Tissue 2450MHz	51.74	1.96

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.



**S11 Parameter Return Loss****Head****Body**

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

6

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Laboratories.



**SWR****Head****Body**

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

7

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Laboratories.

**Smith Chart Dipole Impedance****Head****Body**

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

8

---

**NCL Calibration Laboratories**

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Test Equipment**


The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2011.

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

9



## APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS

**Liquid depth  $\geq$  15cm**




**Body-Back Setup Photo**



**Body-Front Setup Photo****Body-Left Setup Photo**

**Body-Top Setup Photo**




## APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS

### EUT – Front View



### EUT – Back View



**EUT–Right View****EUT – Uncovered View**

## APPENDIX F – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

[2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O\_ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.

[3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetricPage 58 of 58 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.

[4] Niels Kuster, Ralph Kastle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.

[5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz - 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.

[6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.

[7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120-24.

[8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.

[9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.

[10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.

[11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.

[12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9

[13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.

[14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

[15] FCC OET KDB248227 SAR measurement procedures for 802.11a/b/g/n Transmitters.

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*