



# **Compliance Testing, LLC**

Previously Flom Test Lab

EMI, EMC, RF Testing Experts Since 1963

toll-free: (866) 311-3268

fax: (480) 926-3598

<http://www.ComplianceTesting.com>

[info@ComplianceTesting.com](mailto:info@ComplianceTesting.com)

## **Test Report**

Prepared for: Taser International, Inc.

Model: T00062

Description: Signal Equipped Flex Controller

Serial Number: X8800168Y

FCC ID: X4GS00832

To

FCC Part 15.247

Date of Issue: June 28, 2016

On the behalf of the applicant:

Taser International, Inc.  
17800 N. 85th St.  
Scottsdale, AZ 85260

Attention of:

Bryan Chiles, Technical Compliance Manager  
Ph: (480)502-6260  
E-Mail: [bchiles@taser.com](mailto:bchiles@taser.com)

Prepared By  
Compliance Testing, LLC  
1724 S. Nevada Way  
Mesa, AZ 85204  
(480) 926-3100 phone / (480) 926-3598 fax  
[www.compliancetesting.com](http://www.compliancetesting.com)  
Project No: p1660022

**Alex Macon**  
**Project Test Engineer**

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written permission from Compliance Testing.  
All results contained herein relate only to the sample tested.



## Test Report Revision History

Revision	Date	Revised By	Reason for Revision
1.0	June 24, 2016	Alex Macon	Original Document
2.0	June 28, 2016	Amanda Reed	Updated model information



## Table of Contents

<b><u>Description</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Standard Test Conditions Engineering Practices .....	6
Conducted Output Power .....	9
Conducted RF Measurements (15.209) .....	10
Radiated Spurious Emissions .....	11
Conducted Spurious Emissions .....	12
Transmitter Power Spectral Density (PSD) .....	13
Test Equipment Utilized .....	14

**ILAC / A2LA**

Compliance Testing, LLC, has been accredited in accordance with the recognized International Standard ISO/IEC 17025:2005. This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (refer to the joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communiqué dated January 2009).

The tests results contained within this test report all fall within our scope of accreditation, unless noted below.

Please refer to <http://www.compliancetesting.com/labscope.html> for current scope of accreditation.

Testing Certificate Number: **2152.01**



**FCC Site Reg. #349717**

**IC Site Reg. #2044A-2**

**Non-accredited tests contained in this report:**

**N/A**

**The applicant has been cautioned as to the following**

**15.21 - Information to User**

The user's manual or instruction manual for an intentional radiator shall caution the user that changes or modifications not expressly approved by the party responsible for compliance could void the user's authority to operate the equipment.

**15.27(a) - Special Accessories**

Equipment marked to a consumer must be capable of complying with the necessary regulations in the configuration in which the equipment is marketed. Where special accessories, such as shielded cables and/or special connectors are required to enable an unintentional or intentional radiator to comply with the emission limits in this part, the equipment must be marketed with, i.e. shipped and sold with, those special accessories. However, in lieu of shipping or packaging the special accessories with the unintentional or intentional radiator, the responsible party may employ other methods of ensuring that the special accessories are provided to the consumer without an additional charge.

Information detailing any alternative method used to supply the special accessories for a grant of equipment authorization or retained in the verification records, as appropriate. The party responsible for the equipment, as detailed in § 2.909 of this chapter, shall ensure that these special accessories are provided with the equipment. The instruction manual for such devices shall include appropriate instructions on the first page of text concerned with the installation of the device that these special accessories must be used with the device. It is the responsibility of the user to use the needed special accessories supplied with the equipment.



## Standard Test Conditions Engineering Practices

Except as noted herein, the following conditions and procedures were observed during the testing:

In accordance with ANSI C63.10-2009 and unless otherwise indicated in the specific measurement results, the ambient temperature of the actual EUT was maintained within the range of 10° to 40°C (50° to 104°F) unless the particular equipment requirements specified testing over a different temperature range. Also, unless otherwise indicated, the humidity levels were in the range of 10% to 90% relative humidity.

Measurement results, unless otherwise noted, are worst-case measurements.

Environmental Conditions		
Temperature (°C)	Humidity (%)	Pressure (mbar)
24.2	25.8	962

### EUT Description

**Model:** T00062

**Description:** Signed Equipped Flex Controller

**Firmware:** 03.01.01

**Serial Number:** X8800168Y

**Software:** SDK 2.2.3

**Additional Information:**

None

### EUT Operation during Tests

The EUT was placed in test modes by proprietary software controlled by the manufacturer.



**Accessories:** None

**Cables:**

Qty	Description	Length (M)	Shielding Y/N	Shielded Hood Y/N	Ferrite Y/N
1	RF cable	<0.25	Y	Y	N

**Modifications:** None

**15.203: Antenna Requirement:**

- ☒ The antenna is permanently attached to the EUT
- ☐ The antenna uses a unique coupling
- ☐ The EUT must be professionally installed
- ☐ The antenna requirement does not apply



## Test Results Summary

FCC 15.247 Specification	Test Name	Pass, Fail, N/A	Comments
15.247(b)	Peak Output Power	Pass	
15.247(b)	Conducted Spurious Emissions	Pass	
15.247(d), 15.209(a), 15.205	Radiated Spurious Emissions	Pass	
15.247(d), 15.209(a), 15.205	Emissions At Band Edges	Pass	
15.247(a)(2)	Occupied Bandwidth	N/A	The changes made to warrant this C2PC would not affect this test
15.247(e)	Transmitter Power Spectral Density	Pass	
15.207	A/C Powerline Conducted Emissions	N/A	The changes made to warrant this C2PC would not affect this test

References	Description
CFR47, Part 15, Subpart B	Unintentional Radiators
CFR47, Part 15, Subpart C	Intentional Radiators
ANSI C63.10-2009	American National standard for testing Unlicensed Wireless Devices
ANSI C63.4-2009	Method and Measurements of Radio-Noise Emissions from low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the range 9kHz to 40GHz.
ISO/IEC 17025:2005	General requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibrations Laboratories
KDB 558074 D01 v03r03	Guidance for Performing Compliance Measurements on Digital Transmission Systems (DTS) Operating under §15.247





## Conducted Output Power

**Engineer:** Alex Macon

**Test Date:** 6/22/16

### Test Procedure

The EUT was connected directly to a spectrum analyzer. The Spectrum Analyzer was set to the following:

RBW = 1-5% of the OBW, not to exceed 1MHz

VBW  $\geq 3 \times$  RBW

Peak Detector


Number of points in sweep  $\geq 2 \times$  span / RBW

Sweep = auto

Span = 1.5 x EBW

The EUT was set to transmit on the lowest, middle and highest frequencies at the maximum power level.

### Test Setup



### Transmitter Output Power

Tuned Frequency (MHz)	Measured Value (dBm)	Specification Limit	Result
2402	0.51	1 W (30 dBm)	Pass
2440	0.52	1 W (30 dBm)	Pass
2480	0.57	1 W (30 dBm)	Pass



## Conducted RF Measurements (15.209)

**Engineer:** Alex Macon

**Test Date:** 6/22/16

### Test Procedure

Antenna-port conducted measurements were performed as an alternative to radiated measurements for demonstrating compliance in the restricted frequency bands for 15.209.

The following offsets were added to the measurements:

The maximum transmit antenna gain (in dBi) to the measured output power level to determine the EIRP level

A maximum ground reflection factor to the EIRP level, 6 dB for frequencies  $\leq 30$  MHz, 4.7 dB for frequencies between 30 MHz and 1000 MHz, inclusive and 0 dB for frequencies  $> 1000$  MHz.

The following equations were used to determine the field strength from the conducted values.

$E[\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m}] = \text{EIRP}[\text{dBm}] - 20 \log(d[\text{meters}]) + 104.77$ , where  $E$  = field strength and  $d = 3\text{m}$

$E[\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m}] = \text{EIRP}[\text{dBm}] + 95.2$ , for  $d = 3$  meters.

The Spectrum Analyzer was set to the following:

#### The Spectrum Analyzer was set to the following for emissions $> 1000$ MHz:


- a. RBW = 1 MHz
- b. VBW  $\geq 3$  MHz
- c. Detector = Peak.
- d. Sweep time = auto
- e. Trace mode = max hold
  1. Note: For emissions where the peak exceeded that of the average 15.209 emission limit the following was performed.
- f. RBW = 1 MHz
- g. VBW  $\leq \text{RBW}/100$  (i.e., 10 kHz) but not less than 10 Hz

#### For emissions below 1000 MHz the Spectrum Analyzer settings were as follows:

- a. RBW = 100 kHz
- b. VBW  $\geq 300$  kHz
- c. Detector = Peak
- d. Sweep time = auto
- e. Trace mode = max hold

The EUT was connected to a spectrum analyzer to verify that the EUT met the requirements for spurious emissions. The EUT was set to transmit on the lowest, middle and highest frequencies at the maximum power level. The frequency range from 30 MHz to the 10<sup>th</sup> harmonic of the fundamental transmitter was investigated.

### Test Setup



See Annex A for test data



## Radiated Spurious Emissions

**Engineer:** Poona Saber

**Test Date:** 6/22/16

### Test Procedure Radiated Spurious Emissions: 30 – 1000 MHz

The EUT was setup in a semi-anechoic test chamber set 3m from the receiving antenna. The output of the transmitter was connected to a non-radiating balance load. The EUT was set to transmit on the lowest, middle and highest frequencies at the maximum power level. A spectrum analyzer was used to verify that the EUT met the requirements for Radiated Emissions. The EUT was tested by rotating it 360° with the antennas in both the vertical and horizontal orientation and was raised from 1 to 4 meters to ensure the TX signal levels were maximized.

All emissions from 30 MHz to 1 GHz were examined.

Measured Level includes antenna and receiver cable correction factors.


Correction factors were input into the spectrum analyzer before recording “Measured Level”.

RBW = 100 KHz

VBW = 300 KHz

Detector – Quasi Peak


#### Test Setup



### Test Procedure for Radiated Spurious Emissions above 1 GHz

The EUT was setup in a semi-anechoic test chamber set 3m from the receiving antenna. The output of the transmitter was connected to a non-radiating balance load. The EUT was set to transmit on the lowest, middle and highest frequencies at the maximum power level. A spectrum analyzer was used to verify that the EUT met the requirements for Radiated Emissions. The EUT was tested by rotating it 360° with the antennas in both the vertical and horizontal orientation and was raised from 1 to 4 meters to ensure the TX signal levels were maximized.

#### Test Setup



**See Annex B for Test Data**



## Conducted Spurious Emissions

**Engineer:** Alex Macon

**Test Date:** 6/22/16

### Test Procedure

The EUT was connected directly to a spectrum analyzer. The Spectrum Analyzer was set to the following:

RBW = 100 kHz

VBW  $\geq 3 \times$  RBW

Peak Detector


Trace mode = max hold

Sweep = auto couple

Frequency Range = 30MHz – 10<sup>th</sup> Harmonic of the fundamental

The EUT was set to transmit on the lowest, middle and highest frequencies at the maximum power level. The trace was allowed to stabilize. All emission were investigated to insure they were attenuated from the peak fundamental by at least 20dB. If the average power levels were measured then the out-of-band emissions needed to be attenuated by 30dB. In addition emissions were investigated at the band edges to insure all out-of-band emissions were attenuated 20 or 30dB as necessary.

### Test Setup



**See Annex C for Test Data**



## Transmitter Power Spectral Density (PSD)

**Engineer:** Alex Macon

**Test Date:** 6/22/16

### Test Procedure

The EUT was connected directly to a spectrum analyzer. The Spectrum Analyzer was set to the following:

DTS channel center frequency

Span 1.5 x DTS bandwidth

RBW  $\approx 3 \text{ kHz} \leq \text{RBW} \leq 100 \text{ kHz}$

VBW  $\geq 3 \times \text{RBW}$


Peak Detector

Sweep time = auto couple

Trace mode = max hold

The EUT was set to transmit at the lowest, middle and highest channels of the band at the maximum power levels. Once the trace has stabilize the peak marker was used to determine the peak power spectral density.

### Test Setup



### PSD Summary

Frequency (MHz)	Measured Data (dBm)	Specification Limit (dBm)	Result
2402	-0.31	8	Pass
2440	-0.31	8	Pass
2480	-0.25	8	Pass



## Test Equipment Utilized

Description	Manufacturer	Model #	CT Asset #	Last Cal Date	Cal Due Date
Horn Antenna	EMCO	3115	i00103	1/20/15	1/20/17
High Pass Filter	Trilithic	4HX3400-3-XX	i00177	Verified on:6/8/16	
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	E4407B	i00331	9/18/15	9/18/16
Bi-Log Antenna	Schaffner	CBL 6111D	i00349	10/19/15	10/19/17
Tunable Notch Filter	Eagle	TNF-240MFMF	i00364	Verified on:6/8/16	
Oscilloscope	Tektronix	DPO 3012	i00366	2/29/16	2/28/17
EMI Analyzer	Agilent	E7405A	i00379	2/11/16	2/11/17
3 Meter Semi-Anechoic Chamber	Panashield	3 Meter Semi-Anechoic Chamber	i00428	7/27/14	7/27/16
Preamplifier for 1-18GHz horn antenna	Miteq	AFS44 00101 400 23-10P-44	i00509	N/A	N/A

In addition to the above listed equipment standard RF connectors and cables were utilized in the testing of the described equipment. Prior to testing these components were tested to verify proper operation.

END OF TEST REPORT