

January 16, 2008

Mr. Sid Sanders
Timco Engineering, Inc.
849 N.W. State Road 45
P.O. Box 370
Newberry, Florida 32669

Subject: Reply to Request for additional information for FCC ID: VPWVTGTRG1

Dear Sid,

The following requests and replies will hopefully satisfy the FCC's concerns regarding the above application.

1) You still need to provide a test report that shows a description of your measurement procedures and the measured data. Your test report should describe the EUT setup, how you measured the worst case radiation, and bandwidth requirement

Response: The EUT was placed in the center of a non-conductive table at a height of (0.8) meters above the ground plane. At each frequency of concern, the orientation of the EUT was checked in three orthogonal positions. The worst-case radiation was determined by rotating the EUT (360) degrees and scanning the height of the antenna between (1-4) meters for both antenna polarities. When the highest level was observed, the data was recorded.

All testing was performed using the following CISPR bandwidths:

Between (30) & (1,000) MHz – RBW = (120) kHz / VBW = (300) kHz
Above (1,000) MHz – RBW = (1) MHz / VBW = (1) MHz

2) You stated in your test report about the vertical and horizontal polarization emission test without providing the data. Please provide a table data for those measurements.

Response: All measurements reported were recorded using vertical polarity that produced higher emission levels than horizontal polarity.

3) Provide a better test set up pictures that show clearly the test lab antenna mast and turntable.

Response: Please find attached pictures.

Should you have any questions or I can assist in any way, please feel free to contact me directly.

Regards,



Steven Hoke
EMC Site Manager

