
SAR Test Report

Report No.: AGC07B120201-2S1

FCC ID : VO6IP-609

Product Designation : Handheld two way radio

Brand Name : KYD, SYD

Model Name : IP-609

Client : CHINA NEW CENTURY(QUANZHOU) COMMUNICATION ELECTRONICS CO., LTD

Date of Issue : Mar. 30, 2012

STANDARD(S) : FCC Oet65 Supplement C June 2001
IEEE Std. 1528-2003,47CFR § 2.1093

Attestation of Global Compliance Co., Ltd.

CAUTION: This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written permission of the test laboratory and shall not be quoted out of context.

Test Report Certification

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Applicant Name : | CHINA NEW CENTURY(QUANZHOU) COMMUNICATION ELECTRONICS CO., LTD |
| Applicant Address : | No. 1 Fengshou Road, Quanzhou City, Fujian Province, China |
| Manufacturer Name : | CHINA NEW CENTURY(QUANZHOU) COMMUNICATION ELECTRONICS CO., LTD |
| Manufacturer Address : | No. 1 Fengshou Road, Quanzhou City, Fujian Province, China |
| Product Name : | Handheld two way radio |
| Brand Name : | KYD, SYD |
| Test Model : | IP-609 |
| Difference description: | Same product with two brand names. |
| EUT Voltage : | DC 7.4V by battery |
| Applicable Standard : | FCC Oet65 Supplement C June 2001 IEEE Std. 1528-2003,47CFR § 2.1093 |
| Test Date : | Mar. 29, 2012 |
| Test Results : | MAX SAR MEASUREMENT(1g) Head: 4.000 W/Kg (with 50% duty cycle) Body: 3.872 W/Kg (with 50% duty cycle) |
| Performed Location : | Attestation of Global Compliance Co.(Shenzhen), Ltd. 1F., No.2 Building, Huafeng No.1 Technical Industrial Park, Sanwei, Xixiang, Baoan District, Shenzhen |

Tested By



Angela Li

Mar. 30, 2012

Checked By



Forrest Lei

Mar. 30, 2012

Authorized By



Solger Zhang

Mar. 30, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. GENERAL INFORMATION | 5 |
| 1.1. EUT DESCRIPTION | 5 |
| 1.2. TEST PROCEDURE | 5 |
| 1.3. TEST ENVIRONMENT | 5 |
| 2. SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM | 6 |
| 2.1. COMOSAR SYSTEM DESCRIPTION | 6 |
| 2.1.2. AREA SCANS | 7 |
| 2.1.3. ZOOM SCAN (CUBE SCAN AVERAGING) | 7 |
| 2.2.1. ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE SPECIFICATION | 8 |
| 2.3. BOUNDARY DETECTION UNIT AND PROBE MOUNTING DEVICE | 8 |
| 2.4. DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONICS (DAE) AND MEASUREMENT SERVER | 9 |
| 2.5 ROBOT | 8 |
| 2.6. VIDEO POSITIONING SYSTEM | 9 |
| 2.7. DEVICE HOLDER | 9 |
| 2.8. ELLIPTICAL PHANTOM | 10 |
| 3. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID | 11 |
| 3.1. THE COMPOSITION OF THE TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID | 11 |
| 3.2. TISSUE CALIBRATION RESULT | 11 |
| 3.3. TISSUE DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS FOR HEAD AND BODY PHANTOMS | 12 |
| 4. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE | 13 |
| 4.1. SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION | 13 |
| 4.1.1. VALIDATION DIPOLES | 13 |
| 4.1.2. VALIDATION RESULT | 14 |
| 4.2. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE | 15 |
| 5. SAR EXPOSURE LIMITS | 16 |
| 6. TEST EQUIPMENT LIST | 17 |
| 7. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY | 18 |
| 8. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENT | 19 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 9. TEST RESULTS | 20 |
| 9.1. SAR TEST RESULTS SUMMARY | 20 |
| 9.1.1. TEST POSITION AND CONFIGURATION | 20 |
| 9.1.2. OPERATION MODE | 20 |
| 9.1.3. CO-LOCATED SAR | 20 |
| 9.1.4. TEST RESULT | 21 |
| APPENDIX A. SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA | 22 |
| APPENDIX B. SAR MEASUREMENT DATA..... | 25 |
| APPENDIX C. TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS &EUT PHOTOGRAPHS | 41 |
| APPENDIX D. PROBE CALIBRATION DATA | 44 |
| APPENDIX E. DIPOLE CALIBRATION DATA..... | 61 |

1. General Information

1.1. EUT Description

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Product Name | Handheld two way radio |
| Test Model | IP-609 |
| Hardware Version | NC-810-5 |
| Exposure Category: | Occupational/Controlled Exposure |
| Device Category | FM UHF Portable Transceiver |
| Modulation Type | FM |
| TX Frequency Range | 400-470MHz |
| Rated Power | 5 Watt |
| Maximum Peak Power | 36.79Conducted / E.R.P. 35.73 dBm |
| Channel Spacing | 12.5 kHz |
| Antenna Type | External Antenna |
| Antenna Gain | 0dBi |
| Body-Worn Accessories: | Belt Clip |
| Face-Head Accessories: | None |
| Battery Type (s) Tested: | 7.4 V dc Rechargeable Li-On Battery |

Note: The sample used for testing is end product.

1.2. Test Procedure

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | Setup the EUT for two typical configuration of hold to face and body worn individually |
| 2 | Power on the EUT and make it continuously transmitting on required operating channel |
| 3 | Make sure the EUT work normally during the test |


1.3. Test Environment

Ambient conditions in the laboratory:

| Items | Required | Actual |
|------------------|----------|--------|
| Temperature (°C) | 18-25 | 21 ± 2 |
| Humidity (%RH) | 30-70 | 56 |

2. SAR Measurement System

2.1. COMOSAR System Description



The COMOSAR system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

A standard high precision 6-axis robot with controller, teach pendant and software.

An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).

A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.

The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. To use optical surface detection, a special version of the EOC is required. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.

The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.

A computer running WinXP and the Opensar software.

Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.

The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.

2.1.1. Applications

Predefined procedures and evaluations for automated compliance testing with all worldwide standards, e.g., IEEE 1528, OET 65, IEC 62209-1, IEC 62209-2, EN 50360, EN 50383 and others.

2.1.2. Area Scans

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm² step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.

When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maxima found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE 1528-2003, EN 50361 and IEC 62209 standards, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan).

2.1.3. Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)

Zoom Scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m³ is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications utilize a physical step of 7x7x7 (5mmx5mmx5mm) providing a volume of 30mm in the X & Y axis, and 30mm in the Z axis.

2.1.4. Uncertainty of Inter-/Extrapolation and Averaging

In order to evaluate the uncertainty of the interpolation, extrapolation and averaged SAR calculation algorithms of the Post processor, COMOSAR allows the generation of measurement grids which are artificially predefined by analytically based test functions. Therefore, the grids of area scans and zoom scans can be filled with uncertainty test data, according to the SAR benchmark functions of IEEE 1528. The three analytical functions shown in equations as below are used to describe the possible range of the expected SAR distributions for the tested handsets. The field gradients are covered by the spatially flat distribution f1, the spatially steep distribution f3 and f2 accounts for H-field cancellation on the phantom/tissue surface.

$$f_1(x, y, z) = A e^{-\frac{z}{2a}} \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2}}{5a} \right)$$

$$f_2(x, y, z) = A e^{-\frac{z}{a}} \frac{a^2}{a^2 + x'^2} \left(3 - e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} \right) \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{y'}{3a} \right)$$

$$f_3(x, y, z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2} \left(e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a + 2z)^2} \right)$$

2.2. COMOSAR E-Field Probe


The SAR measurement is conducted with the dissymmetric probe manufactured by SPEAG.

The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dissymmetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency.

SPEAG conducts the probe calibration in compliance with international and national standards (e.g. IEEE 1528, EN62209-1, IEC 62209, etc.) Under ISO17025. The calibration data are in Appendix D.

2.2.1. Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification

| | | |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Model | SSE5 | |
| Manufacture | Satimo | |
| frequency | 0.1 GHz-3 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB(100 MHz-3 GHz) | |
| Dynamic Range | 0.01W/Kg-100W/Kg Linearity: ± 0.2 dB | |
| Dimensions | Overall length:330mm Length of individual dipoles:4.5mm Maximum external diameter:8mm Probe Tip external diameter:5mm Distance between dipoles/ probe extremity:2.7mm | |
| Application | High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only probe which enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 3 GHz with precision of better 30%. | |



2.3 Robot

The COMOSAR system uses the high precision robots TX90 XL type out of the newer series from Satimo SA (France). For the 6-axis controller COMOSAR system, the KUKA robot controller version from Satimo is used.

The XL robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)
- 6-axis controller



2.4. Video Positioning System

The video positioning system is used in OpenSAR to check the probe. Which is composed of a camera, LED, mirror and mechanical parts. The camera is piloted by the main computer with firewire link.

During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured, as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, such that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip.

The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.




2.5. Device Holder

The COMOSAR device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation center for both scales is the ear reference point (EPR).

Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.

The COMOSAR device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity $\epsilon_r = 3$ and loss tangent $\delta = 0.02$. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.



2.6. Elliptic Phantom

The Elliptic Phantom is a fiberglass shell flat phantom with 2mm+/- 0.2 mm shell thickness. It has only one measurement area for Flat phantom



3. Tissue Simulating Liquid

3.1. The composition of the tissue simulating liquid

| Ingredient (% Weight) Tissue Type | 450 MHz | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-------|
| | Head | Body |
| Water | 38.56 | 51.16 |
| Salt (NaCl) | 3.95 | 1.49 |
| Sugar | 56.32 | 46.78 |
| HEC | 0.98 | 0.52 |
| Bactericide | 0.19 | 0.05 |
| Triton X-100 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| DGBE | 0.0 | 0.0 |

3.2. Tissue Calibration Result

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using COMOSAR Dielectric Probe Kit and R&S Network Analyzer ZVL6 .

| Head Tissue Stimulant Measurement | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Frequency (MHz) | Description | Dielectric Parameters | | Tissue Temp [°C] |
| 450 MHz | Reference result ±5% window | ε _r 43.50 41.33 - 45.67 | δ [s/m] 0.87 0.83 - 0.91 | N/A |
| | Mar.29,2012 | 43.26 | 0.88 | 21.0 |

| Body Tissue Stimulant Measurement | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Frequency (MHz) | Description | Dielectric Parameters | | Tissue Temp [°C] |
| 450 MHz | Reference result ±5% window | ε _r 56.70 53.87 – 59.53 | δ [s/m] 0.94 0.89 - 0.98 | N/A |
| | Mar.29,2012 | 57.35 | 0.91 | 21.0 |

3.3. Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.


| Target Frequency (MHz) | head | | body | |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| | ϵ_r | σ (S/m) | ϵ_r | σ (S/m) |
| 300 | 45.3 | 0.87 | 58.2 | 0.92 |
| 450 | 43.5 | 0.87 | 56.7 | 0.94 |
| 835 | 41.5 | 0.90 | 55.2 | 0.97 |
| 900 | 41.5 | 0.97 | 55.0 | 1.05 |
| 915 | 41.5 | 0.98 | 55.0 | 1.06 |
| 1450 | 40.5 | 1.20 | 54.0 | 1.30 |
| 1610 | 40.3 | 1.29 | 53.8 | 1.40 |
| 1800 – 2000 | 40.0 | 1.40 | 53.3 | 1.52 |
| 2450 | 39.2 | 1.80 | 52.7 | 1.95 |
| 3000 | 38.5 | 2.40 | 52.0 | 2.73 |
| 5800 | 35.3 | 5.27 | 48.2 | 6.00 |

(ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and ρ = 1000 kg/m³)

4. SAR Measurement Procedure

4.1. SAR System Validation

4.1.1. Validation Dipoles

| | |
|---|---|
|  | <p>The dipoles used is based on the IEEE-1528 standard, and is complied with mechanical and electrical specifications in line with the requirements of both IEEE and FCC Supplement C. the table below provides details for the mechanical and electrical Specifications for the dipoles.</p> |
|---|---|

| Frequency | L (mm) | h (mm) | d (mm) |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|
| 450MHz | 290 | 166.7 | 6.35 |

4.1.2. Validation Result

| System Performance Check at 450 MHz for Head Liquid | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Validation Kit: SN 46/11 DIP 0G450-184 | | | | |
| Frequency | Description | SAR [w/kg] 1g | SAR [w/kg] 10g | Tissue Temp.[°C] |
| 450 MHz | Reference result \pm 10% window | 4.58 4.12 to 5.03 | 3.06 2.754 to 3.366 | N/A |
| | Mar. 29, 2012 | 4.82 | 2.96 | 21.0 |

Note: All SAR values are normalized to 1W forward power.

4.2. SAR Measurement Procedure

The COMOSAR calculates SAR using the following equation,

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

σ : represents the simulated tissue conductivity

ρ : represents the tissue density

The EUT is set to transmit at the required power in line with product specification, at each frequency relating to the LOW, MID, and HIGH channel settings.

Pre-scans are made on the device to establish the location for the transmitting antenna, using a large area scan in either air or tissue simulation fluid.

The EUT is placed against the Universal Phantom where the maximum area scan dimensions are larger than the physical size of the resonating antenna. When the scan size is not large enough to cover the peak SAR distribution, it is modified by either extending the area scan size in both the X and Y directions, or the device is shifted within the predefined area.

The area scan is then run to establish the peak SAR location (interpolated resolution set at 1mm²) which is then used to orient the center of the zoom scan. The zoom scan is then executed and the 1g and 10g averages are derived from the zoom scan volume (interpolated resolution set at 1mm³).

When multiple peak SAR locations were found during the same configuration or test mode, Zoom scan shall performed on each peak SAR location, only the peak point with maximum SAR value will be reported for the configuration or test mode.

5. SAR Exposure Limits

SAR assessments have been made in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528, FCC Supplement C, and comply with ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 "Uncontrolled Environments" limits. These limits apply to a location which is deemed as "Uncontrolled Environment" which can be described as a situation where the general public may be exposed to an RF source with no prior knowledge or control over their exposure.

Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled and Occupational Environment

| Type Exposure Limits | General Population / Uncontrolled Environment Limit (W/Kg) | Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment (W/Kg) |
|---|--|---|
| Spatial Peak SAR (1g cube tissue for brain or body) | 1.60 | 0.8 |
| Spatial Average SAR (whole body) | 0.08 | 0.4 |
| Spatial Peak SAR (10g for hands, feet, ankles and wrist) | 4.00 | 20.0 |

6. Test Equipment List

| Equipment description | Manufacturer/Model | Identification No. | Current calibration date | Next calibration date |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| SAR Probe | Satimo | SN_3511_EP132 | 12/09/2011 | 12/08/2012 |
| Elliptical Phantom | Satimo | SN_4511_EP | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Liquid | Satimo | - | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Comm Tester | R&S - CMU200 | 069Y7-158-13-712 | 12/09/2011 | 12/08/2012 |
| Multimeter | Keithley 2000 | 1188656 | 12/09/2011 | 12/08/2012 |
| Dipole | Satimo SID450 | SN46/11 DIP 0G450-184 | 12/09/2011 | 12/08/2014 |
| Amplifier | Aethercomm | SN 046 | 12/09/2011 | 12/08/2012 |
| Power Meter | HP E4418A | US38261498 | 12/09/2011 | 12/08/2012 |
| Network Analyzer | Rhode & Schwarz ZVA | SN100132 | 12/09/2011 | 12/08/2012 |

Note: Per KDB 50824 Dipole SAR Validation Verification, AGC Lab has adopted 3 years calibration intervals.

On annual basis, every measurement dipole has been evaluated and is in compliance with the following criteria:

1. There is no physical damage on the dipole;
2. System validation with specific dipole is within 10% of calibrated value;
3. Return-loss is within 20% of calibrated measurement;
4. Impedance is within 5Ω of calibrated measurement.

7. Measurement Uncertainty

| Satimo Uncertainty | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Measurement uncertainty for 300 MHz to 3 GHz averaged over 1 gram / 10 gram. | | | | | | | | | |
| Error Description | Sec | Tol (±%) | Prob. Dist. | Div. | (Ci) 1g | (Ci) 10g | Std. Unc. | Std. Unc. | (Vi) Veff |
| Measurement System | | | | | | | | | |
| Probe Calibration | E.2.1 | 6 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | ∞ |
| Axial Isotropy | E.2.2 | 3 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | $(1-c_p)^{1/2}$ | $(1-c_p)^{1/2}$ | 1.22474 | 1.22474 | ∞ |
| Hemispherical Isotropy | E.2.2 | 5 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | $\sqrt{c_p}$ | $\sqrt{c_p}$ | 2.04124 | 2.04124 | ∞ |
| Boundary Effects | E.2.3 | 1 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.57735 | 0.57735 | ∞ |
| Linearity | E.2.4 | 5 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.88675 | 2.88675 | ∞ |
| System Detection Limits | E.2.5 | 1 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.57735 | 0.57735 | ∞ |
| Readout Electronics | E.2.6 | 0.5 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | ∞ |
| Response Time | E.2.7 | 0.2 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.11547 | 0.11547 | ∞ |
| Integration Time | E.2.8 | 2 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.1547 | 1.1547 | ∞ |
| RF Ambient Noise | E.6.1 | 3 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.73205 | 1.73205 | ∞ |
| Probe Positioner | E.6.2 | 2 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.1547 | 1.1547 | ∞ |
| Mechanical Tolerance | | | | | | | | | |
| Probe Positioning with Respect to Phantom Shell | E.6..3 | 1 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.57735 | 0.57735 | ∞ |
| Extrapolation,interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation | E.5.2 | 1.5 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.86603 | 0.86603 | ∞ |
| Dipole | | | | | | | | | |
| Device Positioning | 8,E.4.2 | 1 | N | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.57735 | 0.57735 | N-1 |
| Power Drift | 8.6.6.2 | 2 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1.1547 | 1.1547 | ∞ |
| Phantom and Tissue Parameters | | | | | | | | | |
| Phantom Uncertainty | E.3.1 | 4 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2.3094 | 2.3094 | ∞ |
| Liquid Conductivity (target) | E.3.2 | 5 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.64 | 0.43 | 1.84752 | 1.2413 | ∞ |
| Liquid Conductivity (meas.) | E.3.3 | 2.5 | N | 1 | 0.64 | 0.43 | 1.6 | 1.075 | ∞ |
| Liquid Permittivity (target) | E.3.2 | 3 | R | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | 0.49 | 1.03923 | 0.8487 | ∞ |
| Liquid Permittivity (meas.) | E.3.3 | 2.5 | N | 1 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 1.5 | 1.225 | M |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty | | | RSS | | | | 8.09272 | 7.9296 | |
| Expanded Uncertainty (95%CONFIDENCE INTERVAL) | | | k | | | | 16. 18544 | 15. 8592 | |

8. Conducted Power Measurement

| Frequency (MHz) | Channel Spacing | Measured Conducted Output power | |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Max. Peak Power (dBm) | Ave. Power (dBm) |
| 400.025 | 12.5 kHz | 36.69 | 33.93 |
| 435.05 | | 36.79 | 33.83 |
| 469.975 | | 36.73 | 33.85 |

9. Test Results

9.1. SAR Test Results Summary

9.1.1. Test position and configuration

Head SAR was performed with the device configured in the positions according to KDB 643646 and Body SAR was performed with the device configurated with all accessories close to the Flat Phantom.

9.1.2. Operation Mode

Set the EUT to maximum output power level and transmit on lower, middle and top channel with 100% duty cycle individually during SAR measurement.

9.1.3. Co-located SAR

The following KDB was used for assessing this device.

KDB 447498, KDB 643646 and KDB450824

9.1.4. Test Result

Appendix A. SAR System Validation Data

Test Laboratory: AGC Lab

System Check Head 450MHz

DUT: Dipole 450 MHz Type: IP-609 Test date: Mar. 29, 2012

Communication System: CW; Communication System Band: CW 450.0 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; ConvF=6.06

Frequency: 450 MHz; Medium parameters used: $f = 450$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.88$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 43.26$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Phantom Type:Elliptical Phantom ; Input Power=20dBm


Ambient temperature (°C): 21.0, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.0

Probe:SSE5; Calibrated: 12/09/2011

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4_02_01


Configuration/System Check CW 450 MHz Head/Area Scan: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm


Configuration/System Check CW 450 MHz Head/Zoom Scan : Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm, Power Drift = -0.02 dB



Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=1.00

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.029552 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.045734 |





Appendix B. SAR measurement Data

Test Laboratory: AGC Lab

CW450 Low-Head

DUT: Handheld Two Way Radio ; Type: IP-609 Test date: Mar. 29, 2012

Communication System: CW; Communication System Band: CW 400.05 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; ConvF=6.06

Frequency: 400.05 MHz; Medium parameters used: $f = 450$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.88$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 43.26$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ; Phantom Type: Elliptical Phantom

Ambient temperature (°C): 21.5, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.0

Satimo Configuration:


Probe:SSE5; Calibrated: 12/09/2011

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4_02_01

Configuration/CW 450/Area Scan (6x8x1): Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm


Configuration/CW 450/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm, Power Drift = -0.04 dB


| | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Area Scan | ep_direct_droit2_surf8mm.txt |
| ZoomScan | 5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm,Very fast |
| Phantom | Elliptical Phantom |
| Device Position | Face up 2.5 cm separation to Phantom |
| Band | CW 400.05 |
| Channels | Low |
| Signal | Crest factor: 1 |



Maximum location: X=-6.00, Y=0.00

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 5.661407 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 7.727580 |





Test Laboratory: AGC Lab

CW450 Middle-Head

DUT: Handheld Two Way Radio ; Type: IP-609 Test date: Mar. 29, 2012

Communication System: CW; Communication System Band: CW 435.02 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; ConvF=6.06

Frequency: 435.02 MHz; Medium parameters used: $f = 450$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.88$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 43.26$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ; Phantom Type: Elliptical Phantom

Ambient temperature (°C): 21.5, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.0

Satimo Configuration:


Probe:SSE5; Calibrated: 12/09/2011

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4_02_01

Configuration/CW 450 Mid/Area Scan (6x8x1): Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm


Configuration/CW 450 Mid/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm; Power Drift = -0.01 dB


| | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Area Scan | ep_direct_droit2_surf8mm.txt |
| ZoomScan | 5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm,Very fast |
| Phantom | Elliptical Phantom |
| Device Position | Face up 2.5 cm separation to Phantom |
| Band | CW 435.02 |
| Channels | Middle |
| Signal | Crest factor: 1 |



Maximum location: X=-6.00, Y=1.00

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 5.495928 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 7.519244 |





Test Laboratory: AGC Lab

CW450 High-Head

DUT: Handheld Two Way Radio ; Type: IP-609 Test date: Mar. 29, 2012

Communication System: CW; Communication System Band: CW 435.02 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; ConvF=6.06

Frequency: 435.02 MHz; Medium parameters used: $f = 450$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.88$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 43.26$

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ; Phantom Type: Elliptical Phantom

Ambient temperature (°C): 21.5, Liquid temperature(°C): 21.0

Satimo Configuration:

Probe:SSE5; Calibrated: 12/09/2011


- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4_02_01

Configuration/CW 450 Mid/Area Scan: Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm

Configuration/CW 450 Mid/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=8mm,dy=8mm, dz=5mm,

Power Drift = -0.01 dB

| | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Area Scan | ep_direct_droit2_surf8mm.txt |
| ZoomScan | 5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm,Very fast |
| Phantom | Elliptical Phantom |
| Device Position | Face up 2.5 cm separation to Phantom |
| Band | CW 435.02 |
| Channels | Top |
| Signal | Crest factor: 1 |





Maximum location: X=9.00, Y=3.00

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 4.310944 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 5.878403 |

| Z (mm) | 0.00 | 4.00 | 9.00 | 14.00 | 19.00 |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| SAR (W/Kg) | 0.0000 | 6.0848 | 4.5571 | 3.4490 | 2.6446 |

SAR, Z Axis Scan (X = -5, Y = -11)





Test Laboratory: AGC Lab

CW450 Low-Body

DUT: Handheld Two Way Radio ; Type: IP-609 Test date: Mar. 29, 2012

Communication System: CW; Communication System Band: CW 435.02 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; ConvF=6.06

Frequency: 435.02 MHz; Medium parameters used: $f = 450$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.91$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 57.35$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ; Phantom Type: Elliptical Phantom

Ambient temperature (°C): 21.5, Liquid temperature(°C): 21.0

Satimo Configuration:

Probe:SSE5; Calibrated: 12/09/2011


- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4_02_01

Configuration/CW 450 Mid/Area Scan: Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm

Configuration/CW 450 Mid/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=8mm,dy=8mm, dz=5mm,


Power Drift = -0.01 dB


| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Area Scan | ep_direct_droit2_surf8mm.txt |
| ZoomScan | 5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm,Very fast |
| Phantom | Elliptical Phantom |
| Device Position | Back close to Phantom with Accessories |
| Band | CW 435.02 |
| Channels | Low |
| Signal | Crest factor: 1 |



Maximum location: X=8.00, Y=-16.00

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 1.513027 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 2.057399 |





Test Laboratory: AGC Lab

CW450 Middle-Body

DUT: Handheld Two Way Radio ; Type: IP-609 Test date: Mar. 29, 2012

Communication System: CW; Communication System Band: CW 469.965 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; ConvF=6.06

Frequency: 469.965 MHz; Medium parameters used: $f = 450$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.91$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 57.35$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ; Phantom Type: Elliptical Phantom

Ambient temperature (°C): 21.5, Liquid temperature(°C): 21.0

Satimo Configuration:


Probe:SSE5; Calibrated: 12/09/2011

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4_02_01

Configuration/CW 450 Top/Area Scan (6x8x1): Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm

Configuration/CW 450 Top/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Area Scan | ep_direct_droit2_surf8mm.txt |
| ZoomScan | 5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm,Very fast |
| Phantom | Elliptical Phantom |
| Device Position | Back close to Phantom with Accessories |
| Band | CW 469.965 |
| Channels | Middle |
| Signal | Crest factor: 1 |





Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=-31.00

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 5.257462 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 7.180217 |

| Z (mm) | 0.00 | 4.00 | 9.00 | 14.00 | 19.00 |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| SAR (W/Kg) | 0.0000 | 7.4368 | 5.5764 | 4.2227 | 3.2366 |

SAR, Z Axis Scan (X = 3, Y = -12)





Test Laboratory: AGC Lab

CW450High-Body

DUT: Handheld Two Way Radio ; Type: IP-609 Test date: Mar. 29, 2012

Communication System: CW; Communication System Band: CW 469.965 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1; ConvF=6.06

Frequency: 469.965 MHz; Medium parameters used: $f = 450$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.91$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 57.35$

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ; Phantom Type: Elliptical Phantom

Ambient temperature (°C): 21.5, Liquid temperature(°C): 21.0

Satimo Configuration:


Probe:SSE5; Calibrated: 12/09/2011

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Phantom: Flat Phantom; Type: Elliptical Phantom
- Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4_02_01

Configuration/CW 450 Top/Area Scan (6x8x1): Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm


Configuration/CW 450 Top/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm; Power Drift = -0.03 dB


| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Area Scan | ep_direct_droit2_surf8mm.txt |
| ZoomScan | 5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm,Very fast |
| Phantom | Elliptical Phantom |
| Device Position | Back close to Phantom with Accessories |
| Band | CW 469.965 |
| Channels | Top |
| Signal | Crest factor: 1 |



Maximum location: X=5.00, Y=-10.00

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 4.890239 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 6.693523 |






Appendix C. TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS & EUT PHOTOGRAPS

Test Setup Photographs

Face up



Bottom worn



EUT PHOTOGRAPS

TOTAL VIEW OF EUT



TOP VIEW OF EUT



BOTTOM VIEW OF EUT



FRONT VIEW OF EUT



BACK VIEW OF EUT



LEFT VIEW OF EUT



RIGHT VIEW OF EUT




OPEN VIEW OF EUT




INTERNAL VIEW OF EUT - 1



INTERNAL VIEW OF EUT - 2




INTERNAL VIEW OF EUT – 3




INTERNAL VIEW OF EUT - 4



INTERNAL VIEW OF EUT – 5



INTERNAL OF EUT-6



Appendix D. Probe Calibration Data



COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref: ACR.343.2.11.SATU.A

ATTESTATION OF GLOBAL COMPLIANCE CO. LTD.

1&2F, NO.2 BUILDING, HUAFENG NO.1 INDUSTRIAL
PARK, GUSHU COMMUNITY XIXIANG STREET
BAOAN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, P.R. CHINA

SATIMO COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE
SERIAL NO.: SN 35/11 EP132

Calibrated at SATIMO US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



12/09/11

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Field Probe calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the CALISAR / CALIBAIR test bench, for use with a SATIMO COMOSAR system only. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref. ACR.343.2.11.SATU.A

| | Name | Function | Date | Signature |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|---|
| Prepared by : | Jérôme LUC | Product Manager | 12/9/2011 |  |
| Checked by : | Jérôme LUC | Product Manager | 12/9/2011 |  |
| Approved by : | Kim RUTKOWSKI | Quality Manager | 12/9/2011 |  |

| | Customer Name |
|----------------|--|
| Distribution : | ATTESTATION OF GLOBAL COMPLIANCE CO. LTD. |

| Issue | Date | Modifications |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|
| A | 12/9/2011 | Initial release |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Device Under Test | 4 |
| 2 | Product Description | 4 |
| 2.1 | General Information | 4 |
| 3 | Measurement Method | 4 |
| 3.1 | Linearity | 4 |
| 3.2 | Sensitivity | 5 |
| 3.3 | Lower Detection Limit | 5 |
| 3.4 | Isotropy | 5 |
| 3.5 | Boundary Effect | 5 |
| 4 | Measurement Uncertainty | 5 |
| 5 | Calibration Measurement Results | 6 |
| 5.1 | Sensitivity in air | 6 |
| 5.2 | Linearity | 7 |
| 5.3 | Sensitivity in liquid | 7 |
| 5.4 | Isotropy | 8 |
| 6 | List of Equipment | 9 |



1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

| Device Under Test | |
|--|---|
| Device Type | COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE |
| Manufacturer | Satimo |
| Model | SSE5 |
| Serial Number | SN 35/11 EP132 |
| Product Condition (new / used) | new |
| Frequency Range of Probe | 0.1 GHz-3GHz |
| Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector | Dipole 1: R1=1.200 MΩ Dipole 2: R2=1.214 MΩ Dipole 3: R3=1.004 MΩ |

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



Figure 1 – Satimo COMOSAR Dosimetric Efield Dipole

| | |
|--|--------|
| Probe Length | 330 mm |
| Length of Individual Dipoles | 4.5 mm |
| Maximum external diameter | 8 mm |
| Probe Tip External Diameter | 5 mm |
| Distance between dipoles / probe extremity | 2.7 mm |

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.



3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 - 360 degrees in 15 degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°-180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°-360°).

3.5 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of $k=2$, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

| Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|----|--------------------------|
| ERROR SOURCES | Uncertainty value (%) | Probability Distribution | Divisor | ci | Standard Uncertainty (%) |
| Incident or forward power | 3.00% | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1.732% |
| Reflected power | 3.00% | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1.732% |
| Liquid conductivity | 5.00% | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 2.887% |
| Liquid permittivity | 4.00% | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 2.309% |
| Field homogeneity | 3.00% | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1.732% |
| Field probe positioning | 5.00% | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 2.887% |
| Field probe linearity | 3.00% | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | 1.732% |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---------|
| Combined standard uncertainty | | | | | 5.831% |
| Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2 | | | | | 11.662% |

5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

| Calibration Parameters | | |
|------------------------|-------|--|
| Liquid Temperature | 21 °C | |
| Lab Temperature | 21 °C | |
| Lab Humidity | 45 % | |

5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR


| Normx dipole 1 ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) | Normy dipole 2 ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) | Normz dipole 3 ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) |
|--|--|--|
| 5.01 | 4.86 | 4.77 |

| DCP dipole 1 (mV) | DCP dipole 2 (mV) | DCP dipole 3 (mV) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 99 | 104 | 101 |

Calibration curves $e_i=f(V)$ ($i=1,2,3$) allow to obtain H-field value using the formula:


$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$

Calibration curves





5.2 LINEARITY



Linearity: +/-2.29% (+/-0.10dB)

5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

| Liquid | Frequency (MHz +/- 100MHz) | Permittivity | Epsilon (S/m) | ConvF |
|--------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|
| HL300 | 300 | 44.76 | 0.86 | 5.91 |
| HL450 | 450 | 42.52 | 0.88 | 6.06 |
| HL900 | 900 | 41.54 | 0.97 | 6.82 |
| HL1800 | 1750 | 38.35 | 1.38 | 6.01 |
| HL1900 | 1880 | 39.43 | 1.42 | 6.42 |
| HL2000 | 1950 | 40.34 | 1.44 | 5.77 |
| HL2450 | 2450 | 38.99 | 1.84 | 5.60 |


LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 7mW/kg



5.4 ISOTROPY


HL900 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.08 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.06 dB



HL1800 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.11 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.08 dB





6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

| Equipment Summary Sheet | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Equipment Description | Manufacturer / Model | Identification No. | Current Calibration Date | Next Calibration Date |
| Flat Phantom | Satimo | SN-20/09-SAM71 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| COMOSAR Test Bench | Version 3 | NA | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Network Analyzer | Rhode & Schwarz ZVA | SN100132 | 02/2010 | 02/2013 |
| Reference Probe | Satimo | EP 94 SN 37/08 | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. |
| Multimeter | Keithley 2000 | 1188656 | 11/2010 | 11/2013 |
| Signal Generator | Agilent E4438C | MY49070581 | 12/2010 | 12/2013 |
| Amplifier | Aethercomm | SN 046 | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. |
| Power Meter | HP E4418A | US38261498 | 11/2010 | 11/2013 |
| Power Sensor | HP ECP-E26A | US37181460 | 11/2010 | 11/2013 |
| Directional Coupler | Narda 4216-20 | 01386 | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. |
| Waveguide | Mega Industries | 069Y7-158-13-712 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Waveguide Transition | Mega Industries | 069Y7-158-13-701 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Waveguide Termination | Mega Industries | 069Y7-158-13-701 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Temperature / Humidity Sensor | Control Company | 11-661-9 | 3/2010 | 3/2012 |

Appendix E. Dipole Calibration Data



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.343.4.11.SATU.A

ATTESTATION OF GLOBAL COMPLIANCE CO. LTD.

1&2F, NO.2 BUILDING, HUAFENG NO.1 INDUSTRIAL PARK, GUSHU
COMMUNITY XIXIANG STREET
RAOAN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, P.R. CHINA

SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

FREQUENCY: 450 MHZ

SERIAL NO.: SN 46/11 DIP 0G450-184

Calibrated at SATIMO US

2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



12/09/11

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref ACR.040.4.11.SATU.A

| | Name | Function | Date | Signature |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|---|
| Prepared by : | Jérôme LUC | Product Manager | 12/9/2011 |  |
| Checked by : | Jérôme LUC | Product Manager | 12/9/2011 |  |
| Approved by : | Kim RUTKOWSKI | Quality Manager | 12/9/2011 |  |

| Distribution : | Customer Name |
|----------------|--|
| | ATTESTATION OF GLOBAL COMPLIANCE CC. LTD. |

| Issue | Date | Modifications |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|
| A | 12/9/2011 | Initial release |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Page: 69



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Introduction..... | 4 |
| 2 | Device Under Test..... | 4 |
| 3 | Product Description..... | 4 |
| 3.1 | General Information..... | 4 |
| 4 | Measurement Method..... | 5 |
| 4.1 | Return Loss Requirements..... | 5 |
| 4.2 | Mechanical Requirements..... | 5 |
| 5 | Measurement Uncertainty..... | 5 |
| 5.1 | Return Loss..... | 5 |
| 5.2 | Dimension Measurement..... | 5 |
| 5.3 | Validation Measurement..... | 5 |
| 6 | Calibration Measurement Results..... | 6 |
| 6.1 | Return Loss..... | 6 |
| 6.2 | Mechanical Dimensions..... | 6 |
| 7 | Validation measurement..... | 7 |
| 7.1 | Measurement Condition..... | 7 |
| 7.2 | Head Liquid Measurement..... | 7 |
| 7.3 | Measurement Result..... | 8 |
| 8 | List of Equipment..... | 8 |



1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

| Device Under Test | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Device Type | COMOSAR 450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE |
| Manufacturer | Satimo |
| Model | SID450 |
| Serial Number | SN 46/11 DIP 0G450-184 |
| Product Condition (new / used) | new |

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole



4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of $k=2$, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

| Frequency band | Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 400-6000MHz | 0.1 dB |

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

| Length (mm) | Expanded Uncertainty on Length |
|-------------|--------------------------------|
| 3 - 300 | 0.05 mm |

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.


| Scan Volume | Expanded Uncertainty |
|-------------|----------------------|
| 1 g | 16.19 % |
| 10 g | 15.86 % |

Page: 5/9



6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS



| Frequency (MHz) | Return Loss (dB) | Requirement (dB) |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| 450 | -32.09 | -20 |

6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

| Frequency MHz | L mm | | h mm | | d mm | |
|---------------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | required | measured | required | measured | required | measured |
| 300 | 420.0 ±1 %. | | 250.0 ±1 %. | | 6.35 ±1 %. | |
| 450 | 290.0 ±1 %. | PASS | 166.7 ±1 %. | PASS | 6.35 ±1 %. | PASS |
| 750 | 176.0 ±1 %. | | 100.0 ±1 %. | | 6.35 ±1 %. | |
| 835 | 161.0 ±1 %. | | 89.8 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 900 | 149.0 ±1 %. | | 83.3 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 1450 | 89.1 ±1 %. | | 51.7 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 1500 | 80.5 ±1 %. | | 50.0 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 1640 | 79.0 ±1 %. | | 45.7 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 1750 | 75.2 ±1 %. | | 42.9 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 1800 | 72.0 ±1 %. | | 41.7 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 1900 | 68.0 ±1 %. | | 39.5 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 1950 | 66.3 ±1 %. | | 38.5 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 2000 | 64.5 ±1 %. | | 37.5 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 2100 | 61.0 ±1 %. | | 35.7 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 2300 | 55.5 ±1 %. | | 32.6 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 2450 | 51.5 ±1 %. | | 30.4 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 2500 | 48.5 ±1 %. | | 28.8 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 3000 | 41.5 ±1 %. | | 25.0 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 3500 | 37.0 ±1 %. | | 26.4 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |
| 3700 | 34.7 ±1 %. | | 26.4 ±1 %. | | 3.6 ±1 %. | |



7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 MEASUREMENT CONDITION

| | |
|---|---|
| Software | CPENSAR V 4 |
| Phantom | SN 29/11 ELL121 |
| Probe | SN 18/11 EPG122 |
| Liquid | Head Liquid V values: ϵ_{r1} : 42.5 sigma : 0.88 |
| Distance between dipole center and liquid | 15.0 mm |
| Area scan resolution | $dx=8\text{ mm}/dy=8\text{ mm}$ |
| Zoon Scan Resolution | $dx=8\text{ mm}/dy=8\text{ mm}/dz=5\text{ mm}$ |
| Frequency | 450 MHz |
| Input power | 20 dBm |
| Liquid Temperature | 21 °C |
| Lab Temperature | 21 °C |
| Lab Humidity | 45 % |

7.2 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

| Frequency MHz | Relative permittivity (ϵ_{r1}) | | Conductivity (σ) S/m | |
|------------------|---|----------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | required | measured | required | measured |
| 300 | 45.3 ± 5 % | | 0.87 ± 5 % | |
| 450 | 43.5 ± 5 % | PASS | 0.87 ± 5 % | PASS |
| 750 | 41.9 ± 5 % | | 0.89 ± 5 % | |
| 835 | 41.5 ± 5 % | | 0.90 ± 5 % | |
| 900 | 41.5 ± 5 % | | 0.91 ± 5 % | |
| 1450 | 40.5 ± 5 % | | 1.20 ± 5 % | |
| 1500 | 40.4 ± 5 % | | 1.21 ± 5 % | |
| 1640 | 40.2 ± 5 % | | 1.31 ± 5 % | |
| 1750 | 40.1 ± 5 % | | 1.37 ± 5 % | |
| 1800 | 40.0 ± 5 % | | 1.40 ± 5 % | |
| 1900 | 40.0 ± 5 % | | 1.40 ± 5 % | |
| 1950 | 40.0 ± 5 % | | 1.40 ± 5 % | |
| 2000 | 40.0 ± 5 % | | 1.40 ± 5 % | |
| 2100 | 39.8 ± 5 % | | 1.49 ± 5 % | |
| 2300 | 39.5 ± 5 % | | 1.67 ± 5 % | |
| 2450 | 39.2 ± 5 % | | 1.80 ± 5 % | |
| 2600 | 39.0 ± 5 % | | 1.96 ± 5 % | |
| 3000 | 38.5 ± 5 % | | 2.40 ± 5 % | |
| 3500 | 37.9 ± 5 % | | 2.91 ± 5 % | |


Page: 7/9



7.3 MEASUREMENT RESULT

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

| Frequency MHz | 1 g SAR (W/kg/W) | | 10 g SAR (W/kg/W) | |
|------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | required | measured | required | measured |
| 300 | 2.85 | | 1.94 | |
| 450 | 4.58 | 4.80 (0.48) | 3.06 | 3.27 (0.33) |
| 750 | 8.49 | | 5.55 | |
| 835 | 9.56 | | 6.22 | |
| 900 | 10.9 | | 6.99 | |
| 1450 | 29 | | 15 | |
| 1500 | 30.5 | | 16.8 | |
| 1640 | 34.2 | | 18.4 | |
| 1750 | 36.4 | | 19.3 | |
| 1800 | 38.4 | | 20.1 | |
| 1900 | 39.7 | | 20.5 | |
| 1950 | 40.5 | | 20.9 | |
| 2000 | 41.1 | | 21.1 | |
| 2100 | 43.6 | | 21.9 | |
| 2300 | 48.7 | | 23.3 | |
| 2450 | 52.4 | | 24 | |
| 2600 | 55.3 | | 24.6 | |
| 2800 | 63.8 | | 25.7 | |
| 2500 | 67.1 | | 25 | |



Page: 8/9



8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

| Equipment Summary Sheet | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Equipment Description | Manufacturer / Model | Identification No. | Current Calibration Date | Next Calibration Date |
| Flat Phantom | Satimo | SN-20/09-SAM71 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| COMOSAR Test Bench | Version 3 | NA | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Network Analyzer | Rhode & Schwarz ZVA | SN100132 | 02/2010 | 02/2013 |
| Calipers | Carrera | CALIPER-01 | 12/2010 | 12/2013 |
| Reference Probe | Satimo | EPG122 SN 18/11 | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. |
| Multimeter | Keithley 2000 | 1188656 | 11/2010 | 11/2013 |
| Signal Generator | Agilent E4438C | MY49070581 | 12/2010 | 12/2013 |
| Amplifier | Aethercomm | SN 046 | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. |
| Power Meter | HP E4418A | US38261498 | 11/2010 | 11/2013 |
| Power Sensor | HP ECP-E26A | US37181460 | 11/2010 | 11/2013 |
| Directional Coupler | Narda 4216-20 | 01386 | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. |
| Temperature and Humidity Sensor | Control Company | 11-661-9 | 3/2010 | 3/2012 |