

From: "September Radecki" <september.radecki@ccsemc.com>
Date: July 26, 2007 4:42:09 PM PDT
To: "Tom Cokenias" <tom.cokenias@ccsemc.com>
Cc: "Thu Chan" <thu.chan@ccsemc.com>
Subject: RE: Dtection, Incorporated, FCC ID: VFP-0016641284, Assessment NO.: AN07T7015, Notice#1

Hi Tom,

Below are the answers to your questions on the above application.

1. The user manual does not have the information required per section 15.21 of the Rules. Please submit an updated user manual with the required information.

<CCS ANSWER:> The user manual has been revised and a new copy is attached.

2. The identification label does not contain the statement required in section 15.19(a)3 of the Rules. Please submit a revised ID label.

<CCS ANSWER:>New ID label exhibit is attached.

3. The external photos do not seem to be for the same device shown in the test setup photos. Please explain.

<CCS ANSWER:> The photos submitted were for the receiver. Correct photos are attached. Please remove the previous photos from the application.

4. The internal photos also seem to be for a different device than that shown in the test setup photos. Please explain, and if necessary submit correct photos so that the device shown in the internal and external photographs matches the test set-up photographs.

<CCS ANSWER:> The photos submitted were for the receiver. Correct photos are attached. Please remove the previous photos from the application.

5. Is the receiver portion of this device a companion product or is it sold separately? Which route to compliance does it follow, Certification or Declaration of Conformity?

<CCS ANSWER:> The Receiver is not being certified.

6. Antenna information was provided with this application, but the antenna does not appear in the test setup photographs. Please explain

<CCS ANSWER:> The antenna exhibit should be removed from the application. It is part of the receiver.

7. The wrong limits are listed in the radiated field strength data for 1575 MHz, 2205 MHz, and 2835 MHz, these are restricted bands. Please submit a revised test report with this correction.

<CCS ANSWER:> Revised test report is attached. Engineering corrected only 1575MHz, and states that the rest of the 2205MHz and 2835MHz should be ok.

8. Please clarify: were emissions above 1 GHz measured with VBW of 10 HZ to make average measurements, or was the -6 dB duty cycle applied to the peak readings? If the VBW of 10 Hz was not used, please make the appropriate changes in the test report and re-submit.

<CCS ANSWER:> Corrected the data sheet applied to -6dB duty cycle.

Thank you.

Best regards,
September

September Radecki
Compliance Certification Services
47173 Benicia Street
Fremont, CA 94538
phone: 1-510-771-1090
fax: 1-510-661-0888
September.Radecki@CCSEMC.com
<mailto:September.Radecki@CCSEMC.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom Cokenias
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2007 11:07 AM
To: Thu Chan
Cc: Tom Cokenias
Subject: Dtection, Incorporated, FCC ID: VFP-0016641284, Assessment NO.: AN07T7015, Notice#1

Dear Thu Chan,

You are listed as the Technical Contact for the above referenced TCB application. The following items need to be resolved before the review can be continued:

1. The user manual does not have the information required per section 15.21 of the Rules. Please submit an updated user manual with the required information.
2. The identification label does not contain the statement required in section 15.19(a)3 of the Rules. Please submit a revised ID label.

3. The external photos do not seem to be for the same device shown in the test setup photos. Please explain.

4. The internal photos also seem to be for a different device than that shown in the test setup photos. Please explain, and if necessary submit correct photos so that the device shown in the internal and external photographs matches the test set-up photographs.

5. Is the receiver portion of this device a companion product or is it sold separately? Which route to compliance does it follow, Certification or Declaration of Conformity?

6. Antenna information was provided with this application, but the antenna does not appear in the test setup photographs. Please explain

7. The wrong limits are listed in the radiated field strength data for 1575 MHz, 2205 MHz, and 2835 MHz, these are restricted bands. Please submit a revised test report with this correction.

8. Please clarify: were emissions above 1 GHz measured with VBW of 10 HZ to make average measurements, or was the -6 dB duty cycle applied to the peak readings? If the VBW of 10 Hz was not used, please make the appropriate changes in the test report and re-submit.

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.