



"john" <john@pointsix.com>
08/09/2007 03:37 PM

To Yunus Faziloglu/USA/VERITAS@VERITAS
cc
bcc
Subject RE: CS02848 Ad Hoc Electronics FCC ID:
VJC-ADHOC-MOT-HM TCB Questions 2

Yunus Faziloglu,

I have added information to the operational description to clarify point 1., the new file is attached.

The 10 second transmission period is a worse case boundary condition, the actual periods are always bigger. The cursors on the supplied plot were forced to 10 seconds by controlling or turning off all the variable contributions to the calculated period for the purposes of demonstrating the worse case boundary condition. I have stated that these are indeed the worse case conditions, actually having them occur in the lab without forcing them is not possible. The plots are an accurate representation of the worse case.

John I. Compton
President, Point Six Inc.
President ,Point Six Wireless LLC.

-----Original Message-----

From: yfaziloglu@curtis-straus.com [mailto:yfaziloglu@curtis-straus.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 09, 2007 2:56 PM
To: john
Subject: RE: CS02848 Ad Hoc Electronics FCC ID: VJC-ADHOC-MOT-HM TCB Questions 2

Thanks for the response,

1. Operational description implies that the unit transmits once every 1/60s. May be data is gathered this often, but transmission does not take place unless 10sec has passed from the last one. This needs to be further clarified in the operational description.
2. The cursors on the supplied 10sec separation plot may or may not be at the exact same points of the pulses (i.e from start of one to the start of next pulse or from end-to-end). How was this ensured during the measurement? At least 10sec of separation must be precisely demonstrated.

Best Regards,

Yunus Faziloglu
Curtis-Straus LLC
Bureau Veritas

▼ "john" <john@pointsix.com>