

Please find below my answer to your comments

Question 1. Shin-Ichi Kanda is listed as the contact person for Hosiden Corporation (Hosiden) on the FCC Grantee Code Database. As such, he is the authorized person to sign the FCC application, the agent letter and the confidentiality letter for Hosiden. Unfortunately Kenji Hirai has signed the application form and these letters submitted with this application. Please either provide a letter from Shin-Ichi Kanda giving Kenji Hirai the authority to sign these documents for Hosiden on this application or submit a new FCC application form and agent and confidentiality letters signed by Shin-Ichi Kanda for this application.

Answer: *A power of attorney letter has been uploaded to you in order to give authorities to Mr Hirai to sign the application letters/ forms*

Question 2. Please address RF safety compliance of this device in accordance with Section 15.247(i) of the FCC Rules.

Answer: *The device power output is considered to be very low, therefore it s considered as being pass the RF safety compliance. An exhibit has been attached to the application in order to cover this item.*

Question 3. Please provide a detailed description of the differences between the modified device and the previously certified device for a reassessment in accordance with Section 5.4(a) of RSP-100 Issue 9 dated June 2007 (RSP-100). The IC application is for a reassessment while the FCC application is or an original equipment approval. This is most unusual.

Answer: *A cover letter has been attached with explanation of the application, and the answer from Industry Canada, which recommends reassessment.*

Question 4. Please provide an Appendix A and B of RSS-102 in accordance with Section 5.4(e) of RSP-100.

Answer: *The appendix A and B of RSS-102 have been uploaded*

Question 5. Please provide a brief statement as to why the modified product still qualifies for certification as a reassessment in accordance with Section 5.4(g) of RSP-100.

Answer: *A cover letter has been attached with explanation of the application, and the answer from Industry Canada, which recommend reassessment.*

Question 6. The submitted IC label does not have the trade name or model number on it as specified in Section 5.2 of RSS-Gen Issue 2 dated June 2007. Please submit a new label that complies with these requirements. Due to the size of this device, the trade name and model number may be located on the device but this will have to be clearly shown to, and individually approved by, IC before the certificate can be issued by ATCB.

Answer: *The model name is actually engrave on the product on the front face of the product (as allowed by RSS-Gen). The model shown on this reassessment application is basically the same as the original application. (refer to the previous certificate issued by ATCB on Sept 2007)*

Question 7. Please provide a copy of the original IC Certificate issued by ATCB. Since September of 2007, all reassessment applications also require uploading all the original documents of approval with IC. The original IC Certificate will have an application number that will help us locate the original documents.

Answer: *The previous certificate for IC has been uploaded.*

Question 8. Please provide a confidentiality letter for IC based on the second attachment to this email. As mentioned earlier, all exhibits are uploaded to the IC database since September of 2007 so you really need to protect your confidential material from release by IC and the FCC.

Answer: *The confidentiality letter for IC has been uploaded*