



A Test Lab Techno Corp.


Changan Lab : No. 140 -1, Changan Street, Bade City, Taoyuan County, Taiwan R.O.C.

Tel : 886-3-271-0188 / Fax : 886-3-271-0190

SAR EVALUATION REPORT




Test Report No.	:	0802FS25
Applicant	:	Innovation Wireless Inc.
FCC ID	:	V25-MS6030
Trade Name	:	CADEN
Model Number	:	MS6030
Product Type	:	Wi-Fi IP Phone
Dates of Test	:	Feb. 07, 2008
Test Environment	:	Ambient Temperature : $22 \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$ Relative Humidity : 40 - 70 %
Test Specification	:	Standard C95.1-1999 IEEE Std. 1528-2003 FCC KDB 648474
Max. SAR	:	0.165 W/kg Body SAR
Test Lab	:	Changan Lab



1. The test operations have to be performed with cautious behavior, the test results are as attached.
2. The test results are under chamber environment of A Test Lab Techno Corp. A Test Lab Techno Corp. does not assume responsibility for any conclusions and generalizations drawn from the test results with regard to other specimens or samples.
3. The measurement report has to be written approval of A Test Lab Techno Corp. It may only be reproduced or published in full.


Country Huang 20080310
Measurement Center Manager


Sam Chuang 20080310
Testing Engineer



Contents

1. Description of Equipment Under Test (EUT)	3
2. Other Accessories	5
3. Introduction	6
4. SAR Definition	7
5. SAR Measurement Setup	8
6. System Components	10
6.1 DAS4 E-Field Probe System	10
6.2 Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System	12
6.3 Robot	13
6.4 Measurement Server	13
6.5 Device Holder for Transmitters	13
6.6 Phantom - SAM v4.0	14
6.7 Data Storage and Evaluation	14
7. Test Equipment List	17
8. Tissue Simulating Liquids	18
8.1 Liquid Confirmation	20
9. Measurement Process	22
9.1 Device and Test Conditions	22
9.2 System Performance Check	23
9.3 Dosimetric Assessment Setup	25
9.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation	27
10. Measurement Uncertainty	28
11. SAR Test Results Summary	30
11.1 802.11b - Body SAR (0 mm separation)	30
11.2 802.11g - Body SAR (0 mm separation)	31
11.3 Setup Photo	32
11.4 Std. C95.1-1999 RF Exposure Limit	33
12. Conclusion	34
13. References	35



1. Description of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Applicant :

Innovation Wireless Inc.
4F-1, No.81 Shuei-li Rd., Hsinchu 30059, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Manufacturer	:	Innovation Wireless Inc.
Manufacturer Address	:	4F-1, No.81 Shuei-li Rd., Hsinchu 30059, Taiwan, R.O.C.
Product Type	:	Wi-Fi IP Phone
Trade Name	:	CADEN
Model Number	:	MS6030
FCC ID	:	V25-MS6030
Test Device	:	Production Unit
Tx Frequency	:	2412 - 2462 MHz (Wi-Fi 802.11b / 802.11g)
Max. RF Conducted Power	:	0.159 W (22.01 dBm) Wi-Fi 802.11b 0.107 W (20.28 dBm) Wi-Fi 802.11g
Max. SAR Measurement	:	0.165 W/kg Body SAR
HW Version	:	NA
SW Version	:	NA
Antenna Type	:	Internal Type
Antenna Gain	:	0.30 dBi (Wi-Fi 802.11b/802.11g)
Device Category	:	Portable
RF Exposure Environment	:	General Population / Uncontrolled
Battery Option	:	Standard
Application Type	:	Certification

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment / general population exposure limits specified in Standard C95.1-1999 & FCC KDB 648474 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE Std. 1528-2003.



2. Other Accessories




Figure 2. Li-ion Battery (3.7V 1200mAh)



Figure 3. AC Adapter



3. **Introduction**

The A Test Lab Techno Corp. has performed measurements of the maximum potential exposure to the user of **Innovation Wireless Inc. Trade Name : CADEN Model(s) : MS6030** The test procedures, as described in American National Standards, Institute C95.1 - 1999 [1] , FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [July 2001] were employed and they specify the maximum exposure limit of 1.6mW/g as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue for portable devices being used within 20cm between user and EUT in the uncontrolled environment. A description of the product and operating configuration, detailed summary of the test results, methodology and procedures used in the equipment used are included within this test report.



4. **SAR Definition**

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dw) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Figure 2).

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dw}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dw}{\rho dv} \right)$$

Figure 2. SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

Where :

σ = conductivity of the tissue (S/m)

ρ = mass density of the tissue (kg/m³)

E = RMS electric field strength (V/m)

*** Note :**

The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane [2]

5. SAR Measurement Setup

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY4 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9m) which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than $\pm 0.025\text{mm}$. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines (length = 300mm) to the data acquisition unit.

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The Measurement Server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 166MHz low-power Pentium, 32MB chipdisk and 64MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with either the DAE3 electronic box as well as the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY4 I/O-board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board. The PC consists of the Intel Pentium 4 2.4GHz computer with Windows2000 system and SAR Measurement Software DASY4, Post Processor SEMCAD, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection...etc. is connected to the Electro-optical converter (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the Measurement Server.




Figure 3. SAR Lab Test Measurement Setup



The DAE3 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in [3] .



6. System Components

6.1 DASY4 E-Field Probe System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration [3] and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multi-fiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting. The approach is stopped when reaching the maximum.

6.1.1 ET3DV6 E-Field Probe Specification

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core
	Built-in optical fiber for surface detection
	System (ET3DV3 only)
	Built-in shielding against static charges
	PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.q., glycol)
Calibration	In air from 10 MHz to 6 GHz
	In brain and muscle simulating tissue at frequencies of 900MHz, 1800MHz, 5200MHz and 5500MHz and 5800MHz (accuracy $\pm 8\%$)
	Calibration for other liquids and frequencies upon request
Frequency	10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
	(30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis)
	± 0.5 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 μ W/g to > 100mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Surface Detection	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids
	over diffuse reflecting surface(EX3DV3 only)
Dimensions	Overall length: 330mm
	Tip length: 20mm
	Body diameter: 12mm
	Tip diameter: 2.5mm
	Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1.0mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 6GHz
	Compliance tests of mobile phones
	Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms



Figure 4.
EX3DV3 E-field Probe



Figure 5.
Probe setup on robot



6.1.2 ET3DV6 E-Field Probe Calibration

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in [4] with accuracy better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [5] and found to be better than $\pm 0.25\text{dB}$. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, and NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies below 1GHz, and in a wave guide above 1GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

$$\text{SAR} = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

Where :

Δt = Exposure time (30 seconds),

C = Heat capacity of tissue (head or body),

ΔT = Temperature increase due to RF exposure.

Or

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{|E|^2 \sigma}{\rho}$$

Where :

σ = Simulated tissue conductivity,

ρ = Tissue density (kg/m^3).

6.2 Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System

Cell Controller

Processor : Intel Pentium 4
Clock Speed : 2.4GHz
Operating System : Windows XP Professional

Data Converter

Features : Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, and control logic
Software : DASY4 v4.7 (Build 55) & SEMCAD v1.8 (Build 176)
Connecting Lines : Optical downlink for data and status info
Optical uplink for commands and clock

6.3 Robot

Positioner : Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX90L
Repeatability : ± 0.025 mm
No. of Axis : 6

6.4 Measurement Server

Processor : PC/104 with a 166MHz low-power Pentium
I/O-board : Link to DAE3
16-bit A/D converter for surface detection system
Digital I/O interface
Serial link to robot
Direct emergency stop output for robot

6.5 Device Holder for Transmitters

In combination with the SAM Twin Phantom V4.0, the Mounting Device (POM) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeat ably positioned according to the IEEE SCC34-SC2 and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, and flat phantom).

***Note :** A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produced infinite number of configurations [6] . To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.

Larger DUT cannot be tested using this device holder. Instead a support of bigger polystyrene cubes and thin polystyrene plates is used to position the DUT in all relevant positions to find and measure spots with maximum SAR values. Therefore those devices are normally only tested at the flat part of the SAM.




Figure 6. Device Holder

6.6 Phantom - SAM v4.0

The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-2003, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.




Figure 7. SAM Twin Phantom

Shell Thickness	2 \pm 0.2 mm
Filling Volume	Approx. 25 liters
Dimensions	810x1000x500 mm (HxLxW)

Table 1. Specification of SAM v4.0

6.7 Data Storage and Evaluation

6.7.1 Data Storage

The DASY4 software stores the assessed data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all the necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files with the extension .DA4. The postprocessing software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of erroneous parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with an incorrect crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be reevaluated.



6.7.2 Data Evaluation

The DASY4 post processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software :

Probe parameters :	- Sensitivity	Normi, ai0, ai1, ai2
	- Conversion factor	ConvFi
	- Diode compression point	dcp _i
Device parameters :	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters :	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as :

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)
 U_i = input signal of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)
 cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)
 dcp_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated :

E-field probes :

$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$



H-field probes :

$$H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)

$Norm_i$ = sensor sensitivity of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)
 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E-field Probes

$ConvF$ = sensitivity enhancement in solution

a_{ij} = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = carrier frequency [GHz]

E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

Hi = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude) :

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

***Note :** that the density is set to 1, to account for actual head tissue density rather than the density of the tissue simulating liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770} \quad \text{or} \quad P_{pwe} = \frac{H_{tot}^2}{37.7}$$

with P_{pwe} = equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²

E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m

H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m



7. Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Filed Probe	ET3DV6	1530	Sep. 26, 2007	Sep. 26, 2008
SPEAG	2450MHz System Validation Kit	D2450V2	735	Apr. 24, 2007	Apr. 24, 2008
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE3	393	Aug. 29, 2007	Aug. 29, 2008
SPEAG	Device Holder	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Phantom	SAM V4.0	1009	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Robot	Staubli RX90L	F00/589B1/A/01	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Software	DASY4 V4.7 Build 55	N/A	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Software	SEMCAD V1.8 Build 176	N/A	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Measurement Server	SE UMS 001 BA	1021	NCR	NCR
Agilent	Wireless Communication Test Set	CMU200	112387	Apr. 02, 2007	Apr. 02, 2008
Agilent	ENA Series Network Analyzer	E5071B	MY42402996	Oct. 23, 2007	Oct. 23, 2008
Agilent	Dielectric Probe Kit	85070C	US99360094	NCR	NCR
Agilent	Power Meter	E4418B	GB40206143	Apr. 24, 2007	Apr. 24, 2008
Agilent	Power Sensor	8481H	3318A20779	Apr. 25, 2007	Apr. 25, 2008
Agilent	Signal Generator	8648C	3847A05201	Jul. 03, 2007	Jul. 03, 2008
Agilent	Dual Directional Coupler	778D	50334	NCR	NCR
Mini-Circuits	Power Amplifier	ZHL-42W-SMA	D111103#5	NCR	NCR
Mini-Circuits	Power Amplifier	ZVE-8G-SMA	D042005 671800514	NCR	NCR

Table 2. Test Equipment List



8. Tissue Simulating Liquids

The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the tissue.

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an E5071B Network Analyzer.

INGREDIENT	FREQUENCY	
	HSL5G (Head)	MSL5G (Body)
Water	55%	68.64%
Additives and Salt	45%	31.37%

Table 3. Recipes for Head & Body Tissue Simulating Liquids

IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in 1528 recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in 1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in 1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equation and extrapolated according to the head parameter specified in 1528.

Target Frequency	Head		Body	
(MHz)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 - 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00
(ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and ρ = 1000 kg/m ³)				

Table 4. Tissue dielectric parameters for head and body phantoms



8.1 Liquid Confirmation

8.1.1 Parameters

Liquid Verify								
Ambient Temperature : 22 ± 3 °C ; Relative Humidity : 40 -70%								
Liquid Type	Frequency	Temp (°C)	Parameters	Target Value	Measured Value	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)	Measured Date
2450MHz Body	2450MHz	22.0	ϵ_r	52.7	52.4	-0.57	± 5	Feb. 07, 2008
			σ	1.95	1.96	0.51	± 5	

Table 5. Measured Tissue dielectric parameters for head and body phantoms

8.1.2 Liquid Depth

The liquid level was during measurement 15cm \pm 0.5cm.




Figure 8. Head-Tissue-Simulating-Liquid




Figure 9. Body-Tissue-Simulating-Liquid



9. Measurement Process

9.1 Device and Test Conditions

The Test Device was provided by **Innovation Wireless Inc.** for this evaluation. The spatial peak SAR values were assessed for the lowest, middle and highest channels defined by Wi-Fi 802.11b & 802.11g (Ch1 = 2412MHz , Ch6 = 2437MHz , Ch11 = 2462MHz) systems systems. The antenna(s), battery and accessories shall be those specified by the manufacturer. The battery shall be fully charged before each measurement and there shall be no external connections.

Usage		Operates with a built-in test mode by client				
Distance between antenna axis at the joint and the liquid surface:		Wi-Fi 802.11b & 802.11g band for Body, EUT front to phantom, 0 mm separation.				
Simulating human Head/Body		Body				
EUT Battery		Fully-charged with Li-ion batteries.				
Conducted power	Channel			Frequency (MHz)	Before SAR Test (dBm)	After SAR Test (dBm)
	802.11b	1M	Lowest - 1	2412	21.68	21.67
			Middle - 6	2437	21.86	21.85
			Highest - 11	2462	21.67	21.66
		11M	Lowest - 1	2412	21.88	21.87
			Middle - 6	2437	22.01	22.00
			Highest - 11	2462	21.87	21.86
	802.11g	6M	Lowest - 1	2412	20.28	20.26
			Middle - 6	2437	20.19	20.18
			Highest - 11	2462	19.88	19.87
		54M	Lowest - 1	2412	20.17	21.16
			Middle - 6	2437	20.02	20.01
			Highest - 11	2462	19.63	19.62

Note:

1. The EUT has built-in test mode that used to evaluate SAR.
2. The EUT take Li-ion batteries as its power source. Each test was preceded under the condition of fully-charged EUT.

9.2 System Performance Check

9.2.1 Symmetric Dipoles for System Validation

Construction	Symmetrical dipole with 1/4 balun enables measurement of feed point impedance with NWA matched for use near flat phantoms filled with head simulating solutions Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor Calibration Calibrated SAR value for specified position and input power at the flat phantom in head simulating solutions.
Frequency	450, 900, 1800, 2000, 2450, 5000MHz
Return Loss	> 20 dB at specified validation position
Power Capability	> 100 W ($f < 1\text{GHz}$); > 40 W ($f > 1\text{GHz}$)
Options	Dipoles for other frequencies or solutions and other calibration conditions are available upon request
Dimensions	D450V2 : dipole length 270 mm; overall height 330 mm D900V2 : dipole length 149 mm; overall height 330 mm D1800V2 : dipole length 72 mm; overall height 300 mm D2000V2 : dipole length 65 mm; overall height 300 mm D2450V2 : dipole length 51.5 mm; overall height 300 mm D5GHzV2 : dipole length 20.6 mm; overall height 450 mm



Figure 10. Validation Kit




9.2.2 Validation

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of $\pm 7\%$. The validation was performed at 2450MHz.

Validation kit		Mixture Type	SAR _{1g} [mW/g]		SAR _{10g} [mW/g]		Date of Calibration
D2450V2-SN735		Body	51.6		23.8		Apr. 24, 2007
Frequency (MHz)	Power (dBm)	SAR _{1g} (mW/g)	SAR _{10g} (mW/g)	Drift (dB)	Difference percentage		Date
					1g	10g	
2450 (Body)	250mW	13.1	6.09	-0.040	1.6 %	2.4 %	Feb. 07, 2008
	Normalize to 1 Watt	52.4	24.36				

Detail results see Appendix A.

Z-axis Plot of System Performance Check





9.3 Dosimetric Assessment Setup

9.3.1 Headset Test Position - Body-Worn

Body-Worn Configuration

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a handset output should be tested with a handset connected to the device.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances.

For this test :

- ☐ The EUT is placed into the holster/belt clip and the holster is positioned against the surface of the phantom in a normal operating position.
- ☒ Since this EUT doesn't supply any body-worn accessory to the end user, for **802.11b** and **802.11g** band the distance of **2 mm** was tested to confirm the necessary "minimum SAR separation distance".
(* Note : This distance includes the 2 mm phantom shell thickness.)



9.3.2 Measurement Procedures

The evaluation was performed with the following procedures :

- Surface Check :** A surface checks job gathers data used with optical surface detection. It determines the distance from the phantom surface where the reflection from the optical detector has its peak. Any following measurement jobs using optical surface detection will then rely on this value. The surface check performs its search a specified number of times, so that the repeatability can be verified. The probe tip distance is 1.3mm to phantom inner surface during scans.
- Reference :** The reference job measures the field at a specified reference position, at 4 mm from the selected section's grid reference point.
- Area Scan :** The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. When an area scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maxima found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. Any following zoom scan within the same procedure will then perform fine scans around these maxima. The area covered the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 15 mm x 15 mm.
- Zoom Scan :** Zoom scans are used to assess the highest averaged SAR for cubic averaging volumes with 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The zoom scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points in a 32 x 32 x 30 mm cube whose base faces are centered around the maxima returned from a preceding area scan within the same procedure.
- Drift :** The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for each reference measurement. This allows monitoring of the power drift of the device in the batch process. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.



9.4 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The DASY4 software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR values. Based on the Draft: SCC-34, SC-2, WG-2 - Computational Dosimetry, IEEE P1529/D0.0 (Draft Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Associated with the Use of Wireless Handsets - Computational Techniques), a new algorithm has been implemented. The spatial-peak SAR can be computed over any required mass.

The base for the evaluation is a "cube" measurement in a volume of $(32 \times 32 \times 30) \text{ mm}^3$ ($5 \times 5 \times 7$ points). The measured volume must include the 1g and 10g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan. If the 10g cube or both cubes are not entirely inside the measured volumes, the system issues a warning regarding the evaluated spatial peak values within the Postprocessing engine (SEMCAD). This means that if the measured volume is shifted, higher values might be possible. To get the correct values you can use a finer measurement grid for the area scan. In complicated field distributions, a large grid spacing for the area scan might miss some details and give an incorrectly interpolated peak location.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the Postprocessing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into three stages:

Interpolation and Extrapolation

The probe is calibrated at the center of the dipole sensors which is located 1 to 2.7mm away from the probe tip. During measurements, the probe stops shortly above the phantom surface, depending on the probe and the surface detecting system. Both distances are included as parameters in the probe configuration file. The software always knows exactly how far away the measured point is from the surface. As the probe cannot directly measure at the surface, the values between the deepest measured point and the surface must be extrapolated.

In DASY4, the choice of the coordinate system defining the location of the measurement points has no influence on the uncertainty of the interpolation, Maxima Search and SAR extrapolation routines. The interpolation, Maxima Search and extrapolation routines are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method [7].



10. Measurement Uncertainty

Measurement uncertainties in SAR measurements are difficult to quantify due to several variables including biological, physiological, and environmental. However, we estimate the measurement uncertainties in SAR to be less than $\pm 27\%$ [8] .

According to Std. C95.3 [9] , the overall uncertainties are difficult to assess and will vary with the type of meter and usage situation. However, accuracy's of ± 1 to 3 dB can be expected in practice, with greater uncertainties in near-field situations and at higher frequencies (shorter wavelengths), or areas where large reflecting objects are present. Under optimum measurement conditions, SAR measurement uncertainties of at least ± 2 dB can be expected.

According to CENELEC [10] , typical worst-case uncertainty of field measurements is ± 5 dB. For well-defined modulation characteristics the uncertainty can be reduced to ± 3 dB.

Source of Uncertainty	Uncertainty Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	C_i	Standard Uncertainty $\pm 1\%(1-g)$	V_i or V_{eff}
Type-A	0.9 %	Normal	1	1	0.9	9
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration	7 %	Normal	2	1	3.5	∞
Axial Isotropy	0.2dB	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{0.5}$	1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{0.5}$	3.9	∞
Spatial Resolution	0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0	∞
Boundary Effect	11.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	6.4	∞
Linearity	0.2dB	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.7	∞
Detection Limit	1.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	1.0 %	Normal	1	1	1.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	∞
Probe Positioner Mech. Const.	0.4 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning	0.35 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.2	∞
Extrapolation and Integration	3.9 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.3	∞
Test sample Related						
Test sample Positioning	4.7 %	Normal	1	1	4.7	5
Device Holder Uncertainty	6.1 %	Normal	1	1	6.1	5
Drift of Output Power	5.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.9	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom Uncertainty (Including temperature effects)	4.0%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity (target)	5.0%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.7	∞
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	10.0%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	3.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity (target)	5.0%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.7	∞
Liquid Permittivity (meas.)	5.0%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.7	∞
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS			13.5	88.7
Expanded uncertainty (Coverage factor = 2)		Normal (k=2)			27	

Table 6. Uncertainty Budget of DASY



11. SAR Test Results Summary

11.1 802.11b - Body SAR (0 mm separation)

Ambient :

Temperature (°C) : 22 ± 3 Relative HUMIDITY (%) : 40-70

Liquid :

Mixture Type : MSL2450 Liquid Temperature (°C) : 22.0

Depth of liquid (cm) : 15

Measurement :

Crest Factor : 1 Probe S/N : 1530

Frequency		Band	Power (dBm)	Phantom Position	Antenna Position	Accessory	SAR _{1g} [mW/g]	Power Drift (dB)	Remark
MHz	CH								
2412	1	PCS	21.68	Flat	Internal	N/A	0.152	-0.022	1M
2412	1	PCS	22.01	Flat	Internal	N/A	0.165	-0.065	11M
2437	6	PCS	21.86	Flat	Internal	N/A	0.127	0.011	1M
2462	11	PCS	21.67	Flat	Internal	N/A	0.111	0.005	1M
Std. C95.1-1999 - Safety Limit Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population					1.6 W/kg (mW/g) Averaged over 1 gram				

Detail results see Appendix B.

Note: 1M → Data rate 1MHz ; 11M → Data rate 11MHz

Z-axis Plot of SAR Measurement




Figure 11. Z-axis Plot of flat 802.11b CH1_11M



11.2 802.11g - Body SAR (0 mm separation)

Ambient :

Temperature (°C) : 22 ± 3 Relative HUMIDITY (%) : 40-70

Liquid :

Mixture Type : MSL2450 Liquid Temperature (°C) : 22.0
Depth of liquid (cm) : 15

Measurement :

Crest Factor : 1 Probe S/N : 1530

Frequency		Band	Power (dBm)	Phantom Position	Antenna Position	Accessory	SAR _{1g} [mW/g]	Power Drift (dB)	Remark
MHz	CH								
2412	1	PCS	20.28	Flat	Internal	N/A	0.091	-0.024	6M
2412	1	PCS	20.17	Flat	Internal	N/A	0.087	0.040	54M
2437	6	PCS	20.19	Flat	Internal	N/A	0.073	-0.074	6M
2462	11	PCS	19.88	Flat	Internal	N/A	0.059	-0.102	6M
Std. C95.1-1999 - Safety Limit Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population					1.6 W/kg (mW/g) Averaged over 1 gram				

Detail results see Appendix B.

Note: 6M → Data rate 6MHz ; 54M → Data rate 54MHz

Z-axis Plot of SAR Measurement




Figure 12. Z-axis Plot of flat 802.11b CH1_6M

11.3 Setup Photo



Figure 13.Body SAR Test Setup (Flat Section) _ 15 mm separation



Figure 14.Body SAR Test Setup (Flat Section) _ 0 mm separation



11.4 Std. C95.1-1999 RF Exposure Limit

Human Exposure	Population Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg) or (mW/g)	Occupational Controlled Exposure (W/kg) or (mW/g)
Spatial Peak SAR* (head)	1.60	8.00
Spatial Peak SAR** (Whole Body)	0.08	0.40
Spatial Peak SAR*** (Partial-Body)	1.60	8.00
Spatial Peak SAR**** (Hands / Feet / Ankle / Wrist)	4.00	20.00

Table 7. Safety Limits for Partial Body Exposure

Notes :

- * The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.
(defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
- ** The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole – body.
- *** The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the partial – body.
- **** The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue.
(defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

Population / Uncontrolled Environments : are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational / Controlled Environments : are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).



12. **Conclusion**

The SAR test values found for the portable mobile phone **Innovation Wireless Inc. Trade Name : CADEN Model(s) : MS6030** are below the maximum recommended level of 1.6 W/kg (mW/g).



13. References

- [1] Std. C95.1-1999, "American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300KHz to 100GHz", New York.
- [2] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, "Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", NCRP report NO. 86, 1986.
- [3] T. Schmid, O. Egger, and N. Kuster, "Automatic E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp, 105-113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] K. Poković, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, "Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequency", in ICECOM'97, Dubrovnik, October 15-17, 1997, pp.120-124.
- [5] K. Poković, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, "E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23-25 June, 1996, pp.172-175.
- [6] N. Kuster, and Q. Balzano, "Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz", IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [7] Robert J. Renka, "Multivariate Interpolation Of Large Sets Of Scattered Data", University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988 , pp. 139-148.
- [8] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, "Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [9] Std. C95.3-1991, "IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields – RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, Aug. 1992.
- [10] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency. 10KHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.



Appendix A - System Performance Check

See following Attached Pages for System Performance Check.



Test Laboratory: A Test Lab Techno Corp. Date/Time: 2/7/2008 9:48:47 AM

System Performance Check at 2450MHz_20080207_Body

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:735

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.96$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1530; ConvF(3.84, 3.84, 3.84); Calibrated: 9/26/2007
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn393; Calibrated: 8/29/2007
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM v4.0; Serial: TP:1009
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

System Performance Check at 2450MHz/Area Scan (61x71x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 16.2 mW/g

System Performance Check at 2450MHz/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 95.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.040 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.1 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13.1 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.09 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 14.9 mW/g





Appendix B - SAR Measurement Data

See following Attached Pages for SAR Measurement Data.



Test Laboratory: A Test Lab Techno Corp. Date/Time: 2/7/2008 10:45:35 AM

Flat_802.11b CH1_1M_Close Body

DUT: MS6030; Type: Wi-Fi IP Phone; FCC ID: V25-MS6030

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2412$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.92$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1530; ConvF(3.84, 3.84, 3.84); Calibrated: 9/26/2007
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn393; Calibrated: 8/29/2007
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM v4.0; Serial: TP:1009
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

Flat/Area Scan (61x101x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.185 mW/g

Flat/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 6.61 V/m; Power Drift = -0.022 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.279 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.152 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.090 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.181 mW/g





Test Laboratory: A Test Lab Techno Corp. Date/Time: 2/7/2008 12:10:22 PM

Flat_802.11b CH1_11M_Close Body

DUT: MS6030; Type: Wi-Fi IP Phone; FCC ID: V25-MS6030

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2412$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.92$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1530; ConvF(3.84, 3.84, 3.84); Calibrated: 9/26/2007
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn393; Calibrated: 8/29/2007
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM v4.0; Serial: TP:1009
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

Flat/Area Scan (61x101x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.232 mW/g

Flat/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 5.52 V/m; Power Drift = -0.065 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.314 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.165 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.092 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.187 mW/g





Test Laboratory: A Test Lab Techno Corp. Date/Time: 2/7/2008 11:02:33 AM

Flat_802.11b CH6_1M_Close Body

DUT: MS6030; Type: Wi-Fi IP Phone; FCC ID: V25-MS6030

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.95$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1530; ConvF(3.84, 3.84, 3.84); Calibrated: 9/26/2007
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn393; Calibrated: 8/29/2007
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM v4.0; Serial: TP:1009
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

Flat/Area Scan (61x101x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.150 mW/g

Flat/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 6.11 V/m; Power Drift = 0.011 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.232 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.127 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.078 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.147 mW/g





Test Laboratory: A Test Lab Techno Corp. Date/Time: 2/7/2008 11:38:17 AM

Flat_802.11b CH11_1M_Close Body

DUT: MS6030; Type: Wi-Fi IP Phone; FCC ID: V25-MS6030

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.98$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1530; ConvF(3.84, 3.84, 3.84); Calibrated: 9/26/2007
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn393; Calibrated: 8/29/2007
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM v4.0; Serial: TP:1009
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

Flat/Area Scan (91x151x1):

Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.124 mW/g

Flat/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 5.16 V/m; Power Drift = 0.005 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.197 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.111 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.070 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.125 mW/g





Test Laboratory: A Test Lab Techno Corp. Date/Time: 2/7/2008 12:27:21 PM

Flat_802.11g CH1_6M_Close Body

DUT: MS6030; Type: Wi-Fi IP Phone; FCC ID: V25-MS6030

Communication System: IEEE 802.11g; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2412 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.92 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.6$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1530; ConvF(3.84, 3.84, 3.84); Calibrated: 9/26/2007
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn393; Calibrated: 8/29/2007
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM v4.0; Serial: TP:1009
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

Flat/Area Scan (61x101x1):

Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.125 mW/g

Flat/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 4.53 V/m ; Power Drift = -0.024 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.160 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.091 mW/g ; SAR(10 g) = 0.055 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.105 mW/g





Test Laboratory: A Test Lab Techno Corp. Date/Time: 2/7/2008 1:58:43 PM

Flat_802.11g_CH1_54M_Close Body

DUT: MS6030; Type: Wi-Fi IP Phone; FCC ID: V25-MS6030

Communication System: IEEE 802.11g; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2412 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.92 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.6$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1530; ConvF(3.84, 3.84, 3.84); Calibrated: 9/26/2007
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn393; Calibrated: 8/29/2007
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM v4.0; Serial: TP:1009
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

Flat/Area Scan (91x151x1):

Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.106 mW/g

Flat/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 4.29 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.040 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.165 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.087 mW/g ; SAR(10 g) = 0.051 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.107 mW/g





Test Laboratory: A Test Lab Techno Corp. Date/Time: 2/7/2008 12:44:12 PM

Flat_802.11g CH6_6M_Close Body

DUT: MS6030; Type: Wi-Fi IP Phone; FCC ID: V25-MS6030

Communication System: IEEE 802.11g; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.95$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 52.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1530; ConvF(3.84, 3.84, 3.84); Calibrated: 9/26/2007
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn393; Calibrated: 8/29/2007
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM v4.0; Serial: TP:1009
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

Flat/Area Scan (61x101x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.100 mW/g

Flat/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 4.39 V/m; Power Drift = -0.074 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.116 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.073 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.048 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.080 mW/g





Test Laboratory: A Test Lab Techno Corp. Date/Time: 2/7/2008 1:12:04 PM

Flat_802.11g CH11_6M_Close Body

DUT: MS6030; Type: Wi-Fi IP Phone; FCC ID: V25-MS6030

Communication System: IEEE 802.11g; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2462 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.98 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.3$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1530; ConvF(3.84, 3.84, 3.84); Calibrated: 9/26/2007
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn393; Calibrated: 8/29/2007
- Phantom: SAM 12; Type: SAM v4.0; Serial: TP:1009
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

Flat/Area Scan (91x151x1):

Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.069 mW/g

Flat/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 4.15 V/m ; Power Drift = -0.102 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.089 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.059 mW/g ; SAR(10 g) = 0.041 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.070 mW/g





Appendix C - Calibration

All of the instruments Calibration information are listed below.

- Dipole _ D2450V2 SN: 735 Calibration No.D2450V735_Apr07
- Probe _ ET3DV6 SN:1530 Calibration No.ET3-1530_Sep07
- DAE _ DAE3 SN:393 Calibration No.DAE3-393_Aug07