



SK TECH CO., LTD.

Page 1 of 15

FCC-Certificate of Compliance

Test Report No.:	SKTFCE-070118-010		
NVLAP CODE :	200220-0		
Applicant:	Clipcomm, Inc.		
Applicant Address:	E.S.T Bldg, 229-15, Nonhyeon-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 135-830, South Korea		
Manufacturer :	Clipcomm, Inc.		
Manufacturer Address:	E.S.T Bldg, 229-15, Nonhyeon-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 135-830, South Korea		
Product:	Bluetooth Access Point		
FCC ID:	UXZEDR-AP	Model No.:	BS-L100
Receipt No.:	SKTEU06-0754		
Date of Issue:	Jan. 18, 2007	Date of receipt:	Dec. 04, 2006
Brand/Trade Name:	ANYCOM EDR-AP		
Testing location:	SK TECH CO., LTD. 820-2, Wolmoon-Ri, Wabu-Up, Namyangju-Si, Kyunggi-Do, Korea		
Test Standards:	ANSI C63.4 / 2003		
Rule Parts:	FCC part 15 Subpart B, CISPR 22		
Equipment Class :	Class B Digital Device Peripheral		
Test Result:	The above mentioned product has been tested and passed.		

Prepared by: S. Y. Ye

Tested by: H.S. Yeom/Engineer

Approved by: D.H.Kang
/Manager & Chief Engineer

Signature

Date

Signature

Date

Signature

Date

Other Aspects :

Abbreviations : · OK, Pass = passed · Fail = failed · N/A = not applicable

• This test report is not permitted to copy partly without our permission.

- This test result is dependent on only equipment to be used.
- This test result is based on a single evaluation of one sample of the above mentioned.
- This test report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S Government.
- We certify that this test report has been based on the measurement standards that is traceable to the national or International standards.
- This test report is the accredited testing items by Korea Laboratory Accreditation Scheme, which signed the ILAC-MRA.

NVLAP Lab. Code: 200220-0



» » Contents « «

Contents	2
List of Tables	2
List of Figures	2
1. General	3
2. Test Site	3
2.1 Location	3
2.2 List of Test and Measurement Instruments	4
2.3 Test Date	4
2.4 Test Environment	4
3. Description of the tested samples	5
3.1 Rating and Physical Characteristics	5
3.2 Submitted Documents	5
4. Measurement Conditions	6
4.1 Modes of Operation	6
4.2 List of Peripherals	6
4.3 Type of Used cables	7
4.4 Test Setup	8
4.5 Uncertainty	9
5. EMISSION Test	10
5.1 Conducted Emissions	10
5.2 Radiated Emissions	14

» List of Tables

Table 1	List of test and measurement Equipment	4
Table 2	Test Data, Conducted Disturbance	11
Table 3	Test Data, Radiated Emissions	15

» List of Figures

Figure 1	Spectral Diagram, LINE-PE	12
Figure 2	Spectral Diagram, NEUTRAL-PE	13



1. General


This equipment has been shown to be capable of compliance with the applicable technical standards and was tested in accordance with the measurement procedures as indicated in this report.

We attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by SK TECH Co., Ltd. and were made under Chief Engineer's supervision.

We assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

2. Test Site

SK TECH Co., Ltd.



2.1 Location

820-2, Wolmoon Ri, Wabu-Up, Namyangju-Si, Kyunggi-Do, Korea

The test site is in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 for general requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.

This laboratory is recognized as a Conformity Assessment Body(CAB) for CAB's, Designation Number: **KR0007** by FCC, is accredited by NVLAP for NVLAP Lab. Code : **200220-0** and DATech for DAR-Registration No.:**DAT-P-076/97-01** and KOLAS for Accreditation No.: **KT191**.



2.2 List of Test and Measurement Instruments

Table 1 : List of Test and Measurement Equipment

- Conducted Disturbance

Kind of Equipment	Type	S/N	Calibrated until
EMI Receiver	ESHS10	835871/002	09.2007
Artificial Mains Network	ESH2-Z5	834549/011	07.2007

- Radiated Disturbance

Kind of Equipment	Type	S/N	Calibrated until
EMI Receiver	ESIB40	100277	02.2007
Amplifier	8447F	3113A05153	07.2007
Trilog-Broadband Antenna	VULB9168	9168-230	07.2007
Antenna Turntable Driver	5907	91X518	N/A
Antenna Turntable controller	5906	91X519	N/A

2.3 Test Date

Date of Application : Dec. 04, 2006

Date of Test : Jan. 13, 2007 ~ Jan. 18, 2007

2.4 Test Environment

See each test item's description.



3. Description of the tested samples

The EUT is a Bluetooth Access Point.

3.1 Rating and Physical Characteristics

Name	Description
Output Power	15 ~ 20 dBm
Rx Sensitivity	85 dBm
Channel	79CH
Antenna	2.4GHz Band 1/2 λ Sleeve Dipole Antenna
Frequency	2402 ~ 2480 MHz
Modulation	FSK, QPSK
Adaptor	5V 1A
Max Current	560mA
Operating Temperature	-20°C ~ 55°C

3.2 Submitted Documents

N/A



4. Measurement Conditions

The rating of adaptor is DC 5V, 1A at output and AC100~240V, 50/60Hz, 0.3A at input.

4.1 Modes of Operation

The EUT was connected to pc by RJ45 cable.

The data file stored in pc was downloaded to the note pc via wireless communication.

4.2 List of Peripherals

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model Name	Serial No.
Keyboard (PS2)	YET FOUNDATION LTD.	SK-1688	C0509035926
Mouse(USB)	SUZHOU LOGITECH ELECTRONIC CO., LTD.	M-BJ58	HCA54718469
LCD Monitor	LG	1510TFT Rev B	304KG04862
PC	Samsung Electronics	DM-V50	371F97BA100133V
Note PC	LG IBM PC	2681	FX-P2816
Adaptor (For Note PC)	ASTEC ELECTRONICS CO., LTD	08K8202	11S08K8202Z1Z 6LR38F053
Bluetooth USB Adaptor	J communications Co., Ltd.	BA10006	N/A
AC/DC ADAPTOR	Seung Bo Elecom Co., Ltd.	SP0507A	SB0610000944




4.3 Type of Used Cables

Equipment	Manufacturer	M/N	S/N	Cables &connectors
EUT (RJ cable for PC)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.5m unshielded RJ cable
EUT (Power cable for Adaptor)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.8m unshielded Power cable
EUT (SMA Connector cable for Antenna)	N/A	N/A	N/A	-
PC(PS/2 cable for Keyboard)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.3m unshielded PS/2 cable for Keyboard
PC(VGA cable for Monitor)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.5m shielded VGA cable for Monitor
PC(USB cable for Mouse)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.8m unshielded USB cable for Mouse
Note PC (USB cable for Bluetooth USB Adaptor)	N/A	N/A	N/A	-
Note PC (Power cable for Adaptor)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.8m unshielded power cable for Adaptor



4.4 Test Setup

The test setup photographs showed the external supply connections and interfaces.



[System Block Diagram of Test Configuration]



4.5 Uncertainty

1) Radiated disturbances from 30 MHz to 1000 MHz at a distance of 3m and 10 m

Input quantity	Xi	Probability distribution function
Receiver reading	Vr	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Attenuation: antenna-receiver	Lc	k=1
Amplifier Error	Ae	k=2
antenna factor	Lac	k=2
Receiver corrections:		
Sine wave voltage	dVsw	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Pulse amplitude response	dVpa	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Pulse repetition rate response	dVpr	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Mismatch: antenna-receiver	dM	k=1
Antenna corrections:		
AF frequency interpolation	dAFF	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
AF height deviations	dAFh	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Directivity difference	dAdir	3 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$, 10 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Phase centre location	dAph	3 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$, 10 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Cross-polarisation	dAcp	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Balance	dAbal	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Site corrections:		
Site imperfections	dSA	Rectangular $\sqrt{6}$
Separation distance	dd	3 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$, 10 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Table height	dh	3 m: k=2, 10 m: k=2
Expanded Uncertainty		4.60(Vertical)/4.59(Horizontal) k=2 (Level of confidence)

Expanded Uncertainty

$$U = k * U_c(x_i) = 2 * 2.3 = 4.60\text{dB}$$

The coverage factor k =2 yields approximately a 95% level of confidence.

2) Conducted disturbance from 150 KHz to 30 MHz using a 50 Ω/50 uH AMN

Input quantity	Xi	Probability distribution function
Receiver reading	Vr	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Attenuation: AMN-receiver	Lc	k=1
AMN voltage division factor	Lamn	k=2
Receiver corrections:		
Sine wave voltage	dVsw	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Pulse amplitude response	dVpa	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Pulse repetition rate response	dVpr	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Mismatch: AMN-receiver	dM	U-shape $\sqrt{2}$
AMN impedance	dZ	Triangular $\sqrt{6}$
Expanded Uncertainty		3.99 k=2 (Level of confidence)

Expanded uncertainty

$$U= k * U_c(x_i) = 2 * 1.96 = 3.92\text{dB}$$

The coverage factor k =2 yields approximately a 95% level of confidence.



5. EMISSION Test

5.1 Conducted Emissions

Result: **PASS**

The line-conducted facility is located inside a 2.6M x 3.6M x 7.0M shielded enclosure.

The shielding effectiveness of the shielded room is in accordance with MIL-Std-285 or NSA 604-05. A 1 m x 1.5 m wooden table 80 cm high is placed 40 cm. away from the vertical wall and 1.5 m away from the side wall of the shielded room. ROHDE & SCHWARZ Model ESH3-Z5 (10 kHz-30 MHz) 50 ohm/50 uH Line-Impedance Stabilization Networks(LISNs) are bonded to the shielded room.

The EUT is powered from the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN and the support equipment is powered from the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN. Power to the LISNs are filtered by a high-current high-insertion loss Lindgren enclosures power line filters (100dB 14 kHz-10 GHz).

The purpose of the filter is to attenuate ambient signal interference and this filter is also bonded to the shielded enclosure.

All electrical cables are shielded by braided tinned copper zipper tubing with inner diameter of 1/2". If the EUT is a DC-powered device, power will be derived from the source power supply it normally will be powered from and this supply lines will be connected to the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN.

All interconnecting cables more than 1 meter were shortened by non-inductive bundling (serpentine fashion) to a 1-meter length.

Sufficient time for the EUT, support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition. The RF output of the LISN was connected to the spectrum analyzer to determine the frequency producing the maximum EME from the EUT.

The spectrum was scanned from 150 kHz to 30 MHz with 100msec. sweep time.

The frequency producing the maximum level was reexamined using EMI/field Intensity Meter (ESHS 10) and Quasi-Peak adapter. The detector function was set to CISPR quasi-peak mode.

The bandwidth of the receiver was set to 10 kHz. The EUT, support equipment, and interconnecting cables were arranged and manipulated to maximize each EME emission.

Each emission was maximized by: switching power lines; varying the mode of operation or resolution;clock or data exchange speed; if applicable; whichever determined the worst-case emission.

Photographs of the worst-case emission can be seen in photograph of conducted test.

Each EME reported was calibrated using self-calibrating mode.



Table 2: Test Data, Conducted Disturbance

<Quasi-Peak>

Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBuV)	Line	C/F (dB)	C/L (dB)	Actual (dBuV)	Limit (dBuV)	Margin (dB)
1.160	41.55	N	0.14	0.07	41.76	56.00	14.24
1.225	41.02	N	0.14	0.07	41.23	56.00	14.77
1.480	41.31	L	0.15	0.07	41.53	56.00	14.47
1.545	43.82	N	0.14	0.07	44.03	56.00	11.97
1.100	45.18	N	0.14	0.07	45.39	56.00	10.61
1.675	44.30	N	0.14	0.07	44.51	56.00	11.49

<Average>

Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBuV)	Line	C/F (dB)	C/L (dB)	Actual (dBuV)	Limit (dBuV)	Margin (dB)
1.095	37.59	N	0.14	0.07	37.80	46.00	8.20
1.160	39.20	N	0.14	0.07	39.41	46.00	6.59
1.225	38.71	N	0.14	0.07	38.92	46.00	7.08
1.545	39.34	N	0.14	0.07	39.55	46.00	6.45
1.610	40.85	N	0.14	0.07	41.06	46.00	4.94
1.675	40.02	N	0.14	0.07	40.23	46.00	5.77

► NOTE

- * C/F = Correction Factor
- * C/L = Cable Loss
- * LINE : L = Line-PE, N = Neutral-PE
- * Margin Calculation

$$\text{Margin(Q.P)} = \text{Limit} - \text{Actual}$$

$$[\text{Actual(Q.P)} = \text{Reading(Q.P)} + \text{C/F} + \text{C/L}]$$



Figure 1: Spectral Diagram, LINE – PE

SK TECH Co., Ltd.
CONDUCTED DISTURBANCE
EUT: BS-L100
Manuf:
Op Cond:
Operator:
Test Spec: FCC Part 15 subpar B
Comment: LINE-PE

13 Jan 2007 11:32

Scan Settings (1 Range)		Receiver Settings							
Start	Stop	Step	IF BW	Detector	M-Time	Atten	Preamp	OpRge	
150kHz	30MHz	5kHz	10kHz	PK+AV	20msec	Auto	OFF	60dB	

Final Measurement: Detectors: X QP / + AV
Meas Time: 1sec
Peaks: 8
Acc Margin: 35 dB






Figure 2: Spectral Diagram, NEUTRAL – PE

SK TECH Co., Ltd.
CONDUCTED DISTURBANCE


13 Jan 2007 10:45

EUT: BS-L100
Manuf:
Op Cond:
Operator:
Test Spec: FCC Part 15 Subpart B
Comment: NEUTRAL-PE

Result File: fcc_n.dat : New Measurement

Scan Settings (1 Range)		Receiver Settings						
Start	Stop	Step	IF BW	Detector	M-Time	Atten	Preamp	OpRge
150kHz	30MHz	5kHz	10kHz	PK+AV	20msec	Auto	OFF	60dB

Final Measurement: Detectors: X QP / + AV
Meas Time: 1sec
Peaks: 8
Acc Margin: 35 dB





5.2 Radiated Emissions

Result :**PASS**

Preliminary measurements were made indoors at 3 meter using broadband antennas, broadband amplifier, and spectrum analyzer to determine the frequency producing the maximum EME.

Appropriate precaution was taken to ensure that all EME from the EUT were maximized and investigated. The system configuration, clock speed, mode of operation or video resolution, turntable azimuth with respect to the antenna were noted for each frequency found.

The spectrum was scanned from 30 to 300 MHz using biconical antenna and from 300 to 1000 MHz using log-periodic antenna. Above 1 GHz, linearly polarized double ridge horn antennas were used.

Final measurements were made outdoors at 3-meter test range using SCHWARZBECK dipole antennas.

The test equipment was placed on a wooden table situated on a 4x4 meter area adjacent to the measurement area. Turntable was to protect from weather in the dome that made with FRP.

Sufficient time for the EUT, support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition. Each frequency found during pre-scan measurements was re-examined and investigated using EMI/Field Intensity Meter(ESVS 10) and Quasi-Peak Adapter.

The detector function was set to CISPR quasi-peak mode and the bandwidth of the receiver was set to 100 kHz or 1 MHz depending on the frequency or type of signal.

The half-wave dipole antenna was tuned to the frequency found during preliminary radiated measurements. The EUT, support equipment and interconnecting cables were re-configured to the set-up producing the maximum emission for the frequency and were placed on top of a 0.8-meter high non-metallic 1 x 1.5 meter table.

The EUT, support equipment, and interconnecting cables were re-arranged and manipulated to maximize each EME emission. The turntable containing the system was rotated; the antenna height was varied 1 to 4 meters and stopped at the azimuth or height producing the maximum emission.

Each emission was maximized by: varying the mode of operation or resolution; clock or data exchange speed, and/or support equipment, if applicable; and changing the polarity of the antenna, whichever determined the worst-case emission.

Photographs of the worst-case emission can be seen in photograph of radiated emission test.

Each EME reported was calibrated using self-calibrating mode.



Table 3 : Test Data, Radiated Emissions

Frequency [MHz]	Pol.	Height [m]	Real Reading	Correction Factor		T-Fact [dB]	Data [dBuV/m]	Limits [dBuV/m]	Margin [dB]
				Antenna	Cable				
400.04	H	1.0	20.0	15.2	1.7	16.9	36.9	46.0	9.1
450.01	V	1.0	18.6	16.7	1.9	18.6	37.2	46.0	8.8
650.02	H	1.3	19.9	20.2	2.3	22.5	42.4	46.0	3.6
749.99	V	1.3	16.5	21.9	2.4	24.3	40.8	46.0	5.2
850.03	H	1.0	17.4	22.9	2.5	25.4	42.8	46.0	3.2
950.03	V	1.0	15.3	24.1	2.8	26.9	42.2	46.0	3.8

Table. Radiated Measurements at 3-meters

NOTES:

1. All modes of operation were investigated and the worst-case emission are reported.
2. All other emission are non-significant.
3. All readings are calibrated by self-mode in receiver.
4. Measurements using CISPR Quasi-Peak mode.
5. H = Horizontal, V = Vertical Polarization
6. Data = Real Reading + T – Fact (Antenna+Cable)
7. Margin = Limits – Data