1) FYI…Despite the letter from Zeno Lee, the FCC site still lists Jessie Wu. While we will continue with this application, to avoid future problems the applicant should adjust the FCC site

appropriately.

This time please help to apply by Zeno Lee. About FCC site, we will suggest customer to correct next time.

2) FYI…For Part 22/24 reports, in the future, kindly report the EIRP/ERP on the 731 form, not conducted power.

Thank you for advice. We will pay attention to put EIRP/ERP on the 731 form IN future.

3) Users manual missing 15.21 and 15.105(b) information. Please update.

We will put caution on the user manual as below, please give us advice if you can accept it or no:

15.21:

Caution: The user is cautioned that changes and modifications made to the equipment without the approval of manufacturer could void the use's authority to operate this equipment.

15.105(b)

NOTE: This equipment has been tested and found to comply with the limits for a Class B digital device, pursuant to Part 15 of the FCC Rules. These limits are designed to provide reasonable protection against harmful interference in a residential installation. This equipment generates, uses and can radiate radio frequency energy and, if not installed and used in accordance with the instructions, may cause harmful interference to radio communications. However, there is no guarantee that interference will not occur in a particular installation. If this equipment does cause harmful interference to radio or television reception, which can be determined by turning the equipment off and on, the user is encouraged to try to correct the interference by one or more of the following measures:

-- Reorient or relocate the receiving antenna.

-- Increase the separation between the equipment and receiver.

-- Connect the equipment into an outlet on a circuit different from that to which the

receiver is connected.

-- Consult the dealer or an experienced radio/TV technician for help.

Action: We already add the note and caution on the user manual and upload to website.

4) USB operates at speeds close to 480 MHz. 15.33 would require PC peripheral testing up to 2 GHz. Testing for PC Peripheral appears to only show results up to 1 GHz. Please explain.

We will follow the standard to repair the data of 1GHZ to 2 GHZ on the report.

Action: We already correct the report, and will upload on website

5) Kindly change the Part 22/24 report title to remove reference to SAR. This report is not a SAR report. FCC does not like this when this happens.

Sorry that it is typing error. We will correct it as P22, P24.

Action: We already correct the report, and will upload on website

6) Part 22/24 report says antenna is retractable. This does not appear correct. Please correct.

We will correct is as detachable antenna

Action: We already correct the report, and will upload on website

7) Power reported for 1900 MHz ERP on page 3 appears incorrect (seems to report 850 GPRS power). Please correct.

Sorry that it is typing error. The 1900 MHz ERP is 0.343W, and we will correct it on the report. We will correct it on Page3.

Action: We already correct the report, and will upload on website:

8) What were the RBW settings for the BW test?

We copy the graph from software directly. In order to make it easy to understand, we will add description on Page.14 test procedure, and add RBW to every title of graph. We also attached the set-up figure to explain it clear.

RB =3kHz, VB=3kHz

Action: We already correct the report, and will upload on website.

9) What was the RBW for conducted RF spurious emissions….Part 22 typically requires > 100 kHz, Part 24 > 1 MHz. Please review.

Thank you for your reminding. We will note it on 4.5.1~4.5.4 of the report..

P22 (850MHZ) : RB=100kHz ; VB=100kHz (Page 38~Page 64)

P24 (1900MHZ): RB=1MHz ; VB=1MHz (Page 65~Page 96)

We also put the set-up layout and note" GSM 850 RB>100 kHz, VB>100 kHz; PCS 1900 RB>1MHz, VB>1MHz. "on Page38.

Action: We already correct the report, and will upload on website.

10) Section 4.5 states conducted measurements, but all the plots cite radiated. Please explain.

Section 4.5 states conducted measurements, but software setting-up cause the problem. We already correct it and revise the report

Action: We already correct the report, and will upload on website.

11) For 4.5, was a bandpass or similar filter implemented. The setup does not appear to show this, and the fundamental appears low. Was the data corrected by appropriate insertion loss?

We will modify 4.5.3 test Setup layout on Page38 and Page 39. By the way, we will put the equipment on the equipment list. Moreover, we note the formula to explain how to calculate it.

Formula: Amplitude= Reading Amplitude + Factor (Cable loss + Filter's Insertion loss)

Action: We already correct the report, and will upload on website.

12) All radiated spurious appear to be calculated. True substitution does not appear to be done. While calculations may be used to determine worse case, the few worse case should be shown as substitution results. Please review.

On the report, we show the electric field intensity on the report originally. According to your inquiry, we already add the Worse case for 850/1900 EIRP on the report. By the way, we also add the formula to note how we calculate the EIRP.

Action: We already correct the report, and will upload on website.

13) SAR report says antenna is retractable. This does not appear correct. Please correct.

I will correct antenna as detachable antenna.

14) We already correct P15B standards from 15.209 to 15.109