

SLAUDIOLAB Co., Ltd., FCC ID: U6KSR200BSA, Assessment NO.: AN07T6829, Notice#1 [Inbox](#)

★ from "tim.dwyer@ccsemc.com" <tim.dwyer@ccsemc.com>

[hide details](#) May 21 (12 hours ago)

[Reply](#)

to ykkwon@onetech.co.kr

cc tim.dwyer@ccsemc.com

date May 21, 2007 10:09 PM

subject SLAUDIOLAB Co., Ltd., FCC ID: U6KSR200BSA, Assessment NO.: AN07T6829, Notice#1

Dear Y.K. Kwon,

This review is complete. Items that need to be addressed are below. If you have questions, please send me an email.

Q1: The Please revise the user manual on page 21 of 22 to include the following statement as required by FCC 15.105.

"NOTE: This equipment has been tested and found to comply with the limits for a Class B digital device, pursuant to part 15 of the FCC Rules. These limits are designed to provide reasonable protection against harmful interference in a residential installation. This equipment generates, uses and can radiate radio frequency energy and, if not installed and used in accordance with the instructions, may cause harmful interference to radio communications. However, there is no guarantee that interference will not occur in a particular installation. If this equipment does cause harmful interference to radio or television reception, which can be determined by turning the equipment off and on, the user is encouraged to try to correct the interference by one or more of the following measures:

-Reorient or relocate the receiving antenna.

-Increase the separation between the equipment and receiver.

-Connect the equipment into an outlet on a circuit different from that to which the receiver is connected.

-Consult the dealer or an experienced radio/TV technician for help."

Q2: Please confirm radiated emissions measurements on test report pages 38-41 were made with a quasi-peak detector.

Q3: Products like this one are considered "standalone devices" since they are not connected to a PC or other device. ANSI C63.4 Clause 6.2.1.1 specifies that stand-alone devices be placed in the center of the table for radiated emission measurements. From the measurement results in this report, it can be seen that margins with respect to the limits are such that there is no risk of non-compliance. In some cases, this determination might not be possible and re-test would be required. Please try to test stand-alone devices placed at the table center in the future.

Best regards,

Tim Dwyer
CCS Technical Reviewer

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

[Reply](#) [Reply to all](#) [Forward](#) [Invite tim.dwyer@ccsemc.com to Gmail](#)

★ from "Y.G. Gwon" <ykkwon@onetech.co.kr>

[hide details](#) 1:33 am (8 hours ago)

[Reply](#)

to tim.dwyer@ccsemc.com

cc <schong@onetech.co.kr>

date May 22, 2007 1:33 AM

subject RE: SLAUDIOLAB Co., Ltd., FCC ID: U6KSR200BSA, Assessment NO.: AN07T6829, Notice#1

Dear Tim,

How are you doing?

Thank you for your kind comments and I would like to answer those as following step by step.

#1 Regarding User manual, the device is an intentional radiator, so I do not think the manual shall include the statement acc. to 15.101, because the statement shall be subjected to unintentional radiator.

#2 We measured the emissions under peak mode. Also I would like to send revised test report to you. Attached please find that in this email.

#3 Thank you for your kind advice, but I have a question. As you know the device is consisting of BT headset and battery charger. In this case, I think the device is not standalone type, so the device shall not be located in the center of the table. If the device is operated by battery only, I fully accept your kind advice. Please confirm me whether my opinion is correct or not.

Best Regards,

Y. G. Gwon (權容廣)

ONETECH Corp.

RF/EMC Div.

TEL: +82-31-765-8289

FAX: +82-31-766-2904

[- Show quoted text -](#)



U6KSRS200BSA_Report_rev01.doc

2663K [View as HTML](#) [Download](#)

[↩ Reply](#) [↩ Reply to all](#) [➡ Forward](#) [Invite Gwon to Gmail](#)

Your message has been sent. [Invite Mike Kuo to Gmail](#)



from **Tim Dwyer** <Timothy_Dwyer@ieee.org>

[hide details](#) 10:12 am (0 minutes ago)

[↩ Reply](#)

to Mike Kuo <mike.kuo@ccsemc.com>

date May 22, 2007 10:12 AM

subject Fwd: SLAUDIOLAB Co., Ltd., FCC ID: U6KSRS200BSA, Assessment NO.: AN07T6829, Notice#1

mailed-by gmail.com

Hi Mike,

I am sending the evaluation report forward for certification but have the following comments numbered as the comment in the previous emails. If you want me to insist on any item below, please let me know and I will send another notice.

#1. For the 15.105 user manual statement, I still believe they need to have it because the device is a composite intentional radiator + digital device. It has significant function and circuitry beyond the radio. They are correct however that it is not required as a part of the radio certification.

#2 No comment

#3. ANSI C63.4 is not clear on the definition for "stand-alone". Based on Onetech description, I can consider this to be a "system" and ok to test on edge of table. I would like within CCS to develop a guideline for this or to ask FCC or ANSI to make it more clear.

Best regards,

Tim

[- Show quoted text -](#)



U6KSRS200BSA_Report_rev01.doc

2663K [View as HTML](#) [Download](#)