



Request for Additional Information for EMC Certification

| | | | | |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Company: | Arch Rock | Composite Device: | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| MT#: | 80765 | FCC Direct Filing: | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | | Permit But Ask: | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FCC ID: | U3SRMB200XR1 | FCC Rule Part: | 15.247 | |
| UPN: | 7598A-RMB200XR1 | RSS Standard: | RSS210 | |
| FRN: | 0016153702 | Class II PC/Reassessment: | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

May 15, 2008

Hello Chris,

Please see response below:

1. The Confidentiality Request letter lists a Parts List exhibit, but this exhibit is not required and not submitted. Please revise the Confidentiality request Letter to remove the reference to the parts List. [Please see revised confidentiality letter.](#)
2. The following items in the test report need to be addressed:
The RF test report page 4 Peak Power listing has no units. [Corrected.](#)
Page 10 of the test report indicated highest channel at 2483MHz. [Revised to 2480Mhz](#)
Page 32 of the test report indicates that the highest peak power was -2.531dBm, but page 29 indicates the highest power as -1.33dBm. [Please see revised test report and MPE Calculation.](#)
3. The IC Appendix II states that there are 4 model numbers, and the manual states that 2 of these models have an antenna option listed as Module PIN. Please explain what this is. [Please see justification letter.](#)
4. The IC Appendix II lists the incorrect Frequency Range. Please correct the typo. [Please see revised appendix I & II document.](#)

Thank you!

Jennifer Sanchez
TCB Administrator
MET Laboratories, Inc.
tcbinfo@metlabs.com
www.metlabs.com

Admin Review By: Jennifer Sanchez
Technical Review By: Chris Harvey

Please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. The items indicated above must be provided before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information in a timely manner may result in application dismissal.

Jennifer Sanchez

From: Phil Buonadonna [pbuonadonna@archrock.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2008 4:45 PM
To: Jennifer Sanchez
Subject: Re: 80765 Arch Rock - Request for Additional Information

Attachments: Confidentiality_request_letter.pdf; EMC_Clarification.pdf



Confidentiality_req EMC_Clarification.p
uest_letter... df (90 KB)

Jennifer,

Attached are an updated confidentiality request and a response letter to the Module PIN question.

Please confirm receipt.

pb

Phil Buonadonna
Arch Rock Corp.
501 2nd St. Ste 410
San Francisco, CA 94107-4132
pbuonadonna@archrock.com
(415) 692-0828 x2833 - Voice
(415) 278-0441 - Fax
(510) 703-6255 - Cell

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jennifer Sanchez" <jsanchez@metlabs.com>
To: "Phil Buonadonna" <pbuonadonna@archrock.com>
Cc: "Chris Harvey" <charvey@ieee.org>, "Kevin Mehaffey" <Kmeahaffey@metlabs.com>, "Angela Kekovski" <akekovski@metlabs.com>, "Jenn Warnell" <jwarnell@metlabs.com>, "Jennifer Sanchez" <jsanchez@metlabs.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2008 2:15:55 PM (GMT-0800) America/Los_Angeles
Subject: 80765 Arch Rock - Request for Additional Information

Hi Phil,

Please find attached our correspondence letter for your FCC/IC TCB application.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thanks!

Jennifer

Jennifer Sanchez

TCB Administrator

MET Laboratories, Santa Clara CA

408-207-4785

Cell: 408-829-1603

www.metlabs.com



Request for Additional Information for EMC Certification

| | | | | |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Company: | Arch Rock | Composite Device: | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| MT#: | 80765 | FCC Direct Filing: | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | | Permit But Ask: | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| FCC ID: | U3SRMB200XR1 | FCC Rule Part: | 15.247 | |
| UPN: | 7598A-RMB200XR1 | RSS Standard: | RSS210 | |
| FRN: | 0016153702 | Class II PC/Reassessment: | Yes: <input type="checkbox"/> | No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

May 14, 2008

Dear Phil

Thank you for your application. In order for us to process your approval, the following must be addressed. Please provide a response in a timely manner to avoid delays or dismissals.

Technical Review:

1. The Confidentiality Request letter lists a Parts List exhibit, but this exhibit is not required and not submitted. Please revise the Confidentiality request Letter to remove the reference to the parts List.
2. The IC Appendix II states that there are 4 model numbers, and the manual states that 2 of these models have an antenna option listed as Module PIN. Please explain what this is.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact us.

Thank you!

Jennifer Sanchez

TCB Administrator

MET Laboratories, Inc.

tcbinfo@metlabs.com

www.metlabs.com

Admin Review By: Jennifer Sanchez

Technical Review By: Chris Harvey

Please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. The items indicated above must be provided before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information in a timely manner may result in application dismissal.

Jennifer Sanchez

From: Chris Harvey [charveyemc@gmail.com] on behalf of Chris Harvey [charvey@ieee.org]
Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2008 1:17 PM
To: Jennifer Sanchez
Cc: Jenn Warnell; Chris Harvey
Subject: Additional Information needede MT#80765 FCC ID: U3SRMB200XR1 & IC: 7598A-RMB200XR1

Jennifer,

I have reviewed the above referenced TCB and IC Certification applications and find that the following items need to be addressed before the review can be completed:

1. The Confidentiality Request letter lists a Parts List exhibit, but this exhibit is not required and not submitted. Please revise the Confidentiality request Letter to remove the reference to the parts List.
2. The following items in the test report need to be addressed:
The RF test report page 4 Peak Power listing has no units.
Page 10 of the test report indicated highest channel at 2483MHz.
Page 32 of the test report indicates that the highest peak power was -2.531dBm, but page 29 indicates the highest power as -1.33dBm.
3. The IC Appendix II states that there are 4 model numbers, and the manual states that 2 of these models have an antenna option listed as Module PIN. Please explain what this is.
4. The IC Appendix II lists the incorrect Frequency Range. Plesae correct the typo.

Please provide the answers in a single response.

Best regards,

Chris Harvey
charvey@ieee.org