

## TEST REPORT

**Report Number: 3193461LEX-003**

**Project Number: 3193461**

**Evaluation of the Wireless Hand Control for Universal Procedure Table  
Model Number: 9A408H**

**FCC ID: U399A408H1  
Industry Canada: 6978A-9A408H1**

**FCC Part 15 Subpart B & FCC Part 15 Subpart C  
ICES-003 & RSS-210 Issue 7**

**For**

**Midmark Corporation**

Test Performed by:

Intertek  
731 Enterprise Drive  
Lexington, KY 40510

Test Authorized by:

Midmark Corporation  
60 Vista Drive  
PO Box 286  
Versailles, OH 45380

Prepared By: Jason Centers Date: 11/23/2009

Jason Centers, Senior Project Engineer

Approved By: Bryan C. Taylor Date: 11/23/2009

Bryan C. Taylor, Team Leader

*This report is for the exclusive use of Intertek's Client and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its Client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the Client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the Client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. This report by itself does not imply that the material, product, or service is or has ever been under an Intertek certification program.*



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>JOB DESCRIPTION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	COMPANY INFORMATION .....	3
1.2	TEST SAMPLE INFORMATION .....	3
1.3	SYSTEM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	4
1.4	CABLES USED DURING TESTING .....	4
1.5	SYSTEM BLOCK DIAGRAM(S) .....	4
1.6	MODE(S) OF OPERATION / ENGINEERING JUDGMENTS .....	4
<b>2</b>	<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	MODIFICATIONS REQUIRED FOR COMPLIANCE .....	5
<b>3</b>	<b>TEST FACILITY.....</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	TEST EQUIPMENT.....	6
<b>4</b>	<b>FIELD STRENGTH OF SPURIOUS RADIATION .....</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	TEST PROCEDURE .....	7
4.2	TEST RESULTS .....	9
<b>5</b>	<b>RADIATED RECEIVER EMISSIONS.....</b>	<b>14</b>
5.1	TEST PROCEDURE .....	14
5.2	TEST RESULTS .....	15

Evaluation For: Midmark Corporation  
Model No: 9A408H

FCC ID: U399A408H1  
IC ID: 6978A-9A408T

## 1 JOB DESCRIPTION

### 1.1 Company Information

Company Information	
<b>Manufacturer:</b>	Midmark Corporation
<b>Address:</b>	60 Vista Drive PO Box 286 Versailles, OH 45380
<b>Contact Name:</b>	Randall Evers
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	(937) 526-8711
<b>Email Address:</b>	revers@midmark.com

### 1.2 Test Sample Information

The Wireless Hand Control for Universal Procedure Table Model 9A408H is a wireless hand controller for a powered examination chair for medical use. This report pertains only to the Wireless Hand Control for Universal Procedure Table Model 9A408H.

Test sample	
<b>Model Number:</b>	9A408H
<b>Serial Number:</b>	Not Labeled
<b>FCC ID:</b>	U399A408H1
<b>Device Category:</b>	Mobile
<b>RF Exposure Category:</b>	General Population/Uncontrolled Environment
<b>Transmission:</b>	Zigbee, 0-QPSK Modulation
<b>Frequency Range (MHz)</b>	2405-2480
<b>Antenna Type:</b>	Maxrad Model # MMSO2300 (Gain = 0dBi) with 8 inch RG-188/AU cable
<b>Antenna Location:</b>	External

Evaluation For: Midmark Corporation  
Model No: 9A408H

FCC ID: U399A408H1  
IC ID: 6978A-9A408T

### **1.3 System Support Equipment**

No support equipment was necessary for evaluation.

### **1.4 Cables Used During Testing**

No cables were necessary for the evaluation.

### **1.5 System Block Diagram(s)**

The diagrams below detail the interconnection of the EUT and its accessories during the testing.

Figure 1-1: Test Configuration



Wireless  
Hand Control  
for Universal  
Procedure

### **1.6 Mode(s) of operation / Engineering Judgments**

The Wireless Hand Control for Universal Procedure Table was powered by two AA batteries. Midmark Corporation provided a sample with special firmware that allowed constant transmission at the maximum duty cycle that will be used in normal operation. Tests were performed on the high, middle, and lowest channels at maximum output power.

Evaluation For: Midmark Corporation  
Model No: 9A408H

FCC ID: U399A408H1  
IC ID: 6978A-9A408T

## 2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Testing performed for: Midmark Corporation

Equipment Under Test: 9A408H

Receipt of Test Sample: 11/11/2009

Test Start Date: 11/11/2009

Test End Date: 11/20/2009

FCC RULE	IC RULE	DESCRIPTION OF TEST	RESULT	PAGE
§15.249, §15.209	RSS-210:2.6, RSS-210:A2.9	Field Strength of Spurious Radiation	<b>Compliant</b>	7
§15.109	ICES-003, RSS-Gen 6a	Radiated Receiver Emissions	<b>Compliant</b>	14
§15.107, §15.207	ICES-003, RSS-Gen	Conducted Voltage Emissions	<b>NA<sup>1</sup></b>	-

### 2.1 Modifications required for compliance

No modifications were implemented by Intertek. All results in this report pertain to the un-modified sample provided to Intertek.

<sup>1</sup> The EUT was not AC powered.

### 3 TEST FACILITY

All testing was completed at the INTERTEK-Lexington location at 731 Enterprise Drive, Lexington Kentucky, 40510. The radiated emission test site is a 10-meter semi-anechoic chamber. The chamber meets the characteristics of CISPR 16-1 and ANSI C63.4. For measurements, a remotely controlled flush-mount metal-top turntable is used to rotate the EUT a full 360 degrees. A remote controlled non-conductive antenna mast is used to scan the antenna height from one to four meters.

For radiated immunity testing, removable ferrite tiles are positioned between the transmitting antenna and the area occupied by the equipment under test. The remaining tests typically are performed outside the chamber on the conducting ground reference plane.



The test site is listed with the FCC under registration number 485103. The test site is listed with Industry Canada under site number 2042M-1.

#### 3.1 Test Equipment

Description	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	Calibration due date
Horn Antenna	EMCO	3115	6556	8/4/2010
Horn Antenna	EMCO	3116	9310-2222	5/18/2010
EMC Analyzer	HP	E7405A	2142	8/21/2010
EMI Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESI 26	1088.7490	9/14/2010
Bilog Antenna	EMCO	3142C	00051864	12/24/2009
Preamplifier	Miteq	JS418004000	965178	9/21/2010
Preamplifier	Miteq	AFS44-00102000-30-10P-44	987410	6/17/2010

## **4 FIELD STRENGTH OF SPURIOUS RADIATION**

FCC §15.209, §15.249

RSS-210:2.6, RSS-210:A2.9

### **4.1 Test Procedure**

- Measurements are made over the frequency range of 30 MHz to ten times the highest frequency operating within the device.
- The measuring receiver meets the requirements of Section One of CISPR 16 and the measuring antenna correlates to a balanced dipole.
- From 30 to 1000 MHz, a quasi-peak detector was used for measurement. Above 1000 MHz, average measurements were performed.
- The antenna is adjusted between 1m and 4m in height above the ground plane for maximum meter reading at each test frequency.
- The antenna-to-EUT azimuth is varied during the measurement to find the maximum field-strength readings.
- The antenna-to-EUT polarization (horizontal and vertical) is varied during the measurements to find the maximum field-strength readings.
- The EUT was placed on a wooden table 80 cm above the ground reference plane. Measurements were made with the device oriented in three orthogonal axes and the highest level measured is reported.
- Equipment setup for radiated disturbance tests followed the guidelines of ANSI C63.4.
- The test was performed on the low, middle, and highest transmitting frequencies at maximum output power.
- For fundamental emissions near the restricted bands of §15.205, measurements were performed to show compliance with the limits in the restricted band. If the fundamental emission is within two standard bandwidths of the restricted band, the “marker delta-method” was performed. The EUT azimuth and antenna height were varied to obtain a maximum field-strength reading. The analyzer reading was corrected for cable loss, antenna factor, and pre-amp gain. Using bandwidths and detectors required by ANSI C63.4 an in-band measurement of the fundamental emission was performed. After obtaining a corrected reading for the fundamental emission, the spectrum analyzer was setup with a span large enough to capture the fundamental emission and the band-edge under investigation. A resolution bandwidth of 1% of the span (not less than 30kHz) was used. Several sweeps were performed in peak-hold mode. The amplitude delta between the peak of the fundamental emission and the peak emission at the restricted band edge was recorded. The amplitude delta is subtracted from the maximized field strength reading to determine compliance at the band-edge.
- If the fundamental emission is more than two standard bandwidths from the restricted band, a spectrum analyzer was setup to sweep through the restricted band. The analyzer reading was corrected for cable loss, antenna factor, and pre-amp gain. The EUT azimuth and antenna height were varied to obtain a maximum field-strength reading. Several sweeps were performed in max-hold mode and the result was compared to the limits of §15.209.
- The readings obtained from the measurement receiver were corrected for antenna factor, cable loss, and pre-amp gain. An example calculation is shown below.

Evaluation For: Midmark Corporation  
Model No: 9A408H

FCC ID: U399A408H1  
IC ID: 6978A-9A408T

Example of Field Strength Calculation Method:

The measured field strength was calculated by summing the readings taken from the spectrum analyzer with the appropriate correction factors associated with the antenna losses and cable losses. The calculation formula and sample calculation are listed below.

Formula:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF$$

FS = Field Strength in dB $\mu$ V/m

RA = Receiver Amplitude (Quasi-Peak) in dB $\mu$ V

AF = Antenna Factor in dB

CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

Example Calculation:

$$RA = 19.48 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}$$

$$AF = 18.52 \text{ dB}$$

$$CF = 0.78 \text{ dB}$$

$$FS = 19.48 + 18.52 + 0.78 = 38.78 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m}$$

$$\text{Level in } \mu\text{V/m} = \text{Common Antilogarithm } [(38.78 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m})/20] = 86.89 \mu\text{V/m}$$



Evaluation For: Midmark Corporation  
Model No: 9A408H

FCC ID: U399A408H1  
IC ID: 6978A-9A408T

## 4.2 Test Results

The Wireless Hand Control for Universal Procedure Table met the field strength requirements of FCC §15.249 for the fundamental, harmonics and spurious emissions. See Table 4-1 and for the measured fundamental and spurious emissions. All other spurious emissions not shown below were greater than 20dB below the limit.


Table 4-1: Field Strength of Spurious Radiation

<b>TX Channel</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Polarity</b>	<b>Corr. Peak Reading. (dBuV/m)</b>	<b>Corr. Avg Reading. (dBuV/m)</b>	<b>Peak Limit (dBuV/m)</b>	<b>Avg. Limit (dBuV/m)</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Low	2.4047 GHz	H	98.261	77.811	114	94	<b>Compliant</b>	Fundamental
Low	2.4047 GHz	V	90.937	71.217	114	94	<b>Compliant</b>	Fundamental
Low	4.8094 GHz	H	64.364	44.754	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	
Low	7.217 GHz	H	63.282	44.252	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	
Low	4.8094 GHz	V	63.255	32.85	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	
Low	7.217 GHz	V	66.433	36.16	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	
Middle	2.4447 GHz	H	97.689	77.269	114	94	<b>Compliant</b>	Fundamental
Middle	2.4447 GHz	V	90.747	70.867	114	94	<b>Compliant</b>	Fundamental
Middle	4.8893 GHz	H	62.039	41.93	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	
Middle	7.3341 GHz	H	64.494	43.488	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	
Middle	4.8913 GHz	V	60.61	32.954	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	
Middle	7.3369 GHz	V	58.463	36.613	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	
High	2.4797 GHz	H	97.079	76.579	114	94	<b>Compliant</b>	Fundamental
High	2.4797 GHz	V	88.731	68.561	114	94	<b>Compliant</b>	Fundamental
High	4.9594 GHz	H	62.309	43.009	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	
High	7.442 GHz	H	63.157	44.047	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	
High	4.9593 GHz	V	59.4	33.172	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	
High	7.439 GHz	V	59.596	36.683	74	54	<b>Compliant</b>	

Evaluation For: Midmark Corporation  
Model No: 9A408H

FCC ID: U399A408H1  
IC ID: 6978A-9A408T

Band-Edge Compliance: 2310MHz – 2390MHz Restricted Band, Low Channel, Peak Detector




Date: 12.NOV.2009 22:24:19

Evaluation For: Midmark Corporation  
Model No: 9A408H

FCC ID: U399A408H1  
IC ID: 6978A-9A408T

Band-Edge Compliance: 2310MHz – 2390MHz Restricted Band, Low Channel, Average Detector




Date: 12.NOV.2009 22:25:51

Evaluation For: Midmark Corporation  
Model No: 9A408H

FCC ID: U399A408H1  
IC ID: 6978A-9A408T

Band-Edge Compliance (Marker-Delta Method): 2483.5MHz – 2500MHz Restricted Band, High Channel  
Peak Detector



Date: 12.NOV.2009 21:52:20

Exhibit 3

Peak Field Strength: 97.08 dBuV/m


Marker Delta = 31.82 dB

Band Edge Measurement = 97.08 dBuV/m – 31.82 dB = 65.29 dBuV/m

Evaluation For: Midmark Corporation  
Model No: 9A408H

FCC ID: U399A408H1  
IC ID: 6978A-9A408T

Band-Edge Compliance (Marker-Delta Method): 2483.5MHz – 2500MHz Restricted Band, High Channel  
Average Detector



Date: 12.NOV.2009 21:54:05

Exhibit 4

Average Field Strength: 76.58 dBuV/m

Marker Delta = 31.62 dB

Band Edge Measurement = 76.58 dbuV/m – 31.62 dB = 44.96 dBuV/m

## **5 RADIATED RECEIVER EMISSIONS**

FCC §15.109

ICES-003, RSS-Gen 6a

### **5.1 Test Procedure**

- Measurements are made over the frequency range of 30 MHz to five times the highest frequency operating within the device.
- The measuring receiver meets the requirements of Section One of CISPR 16 and the measuring antenna correlates to a balanced dipole.
- From 30 to 1000 MHz, a quasi-peak detector was used for measurement. Above 1000 MHz, average measurements were performed.
- The antenna is adjusted between 1m and 4m in height above the ground plane for maximum meter reading at each test frequency.
- The antenna-to-EUT azimuth is varied during the measurement to find the maximum field-strength readings.
- The antenna-to-EUT polarization (horizontal and vertical) is varied during the measurements to find the maximum field-strength readings.
- The EUT, where intended for tabletop use, is placed on a table whose top is 0.8m above the ground plane. The table is constructed of non-conductive materials. Its dimensions are 1m by 1.5m, but may be extended for larger EUT.
- The test was performed on the device while in receive mode.
- Equipment setup for radiated disturbance tests followed the guidelines of ANSI C63.4.
- The readings obtained from the measurement receiver were corrected for antenna factor, cable loss, and pre-amp gain. An example calculation is shown below.

Example of Field Strength Calculation Method:

The measured field strength was calculated by summing the readings taken from the spectrum analyzer with the appropriate correction factors associated with the antenna losses and cable losses. The calculation formula and sample calculation are listed below.

Formula:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF$$

FS = Field Strength in dBμV/m

RA = Receiver Amplitude (Quasi-Peak) in dBμV

AF = Antenna Factor in dB

CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

Example Calculation:

$$RA = 19.48 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}$$

$$AF = 18.52 \text{ dB}$$

$$CF = 0.78 \text{ dB}$$

$$FS = 19.48 + 18.52 + 0.78 = 38.78 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m}$$

$$\text{Level in } \mu\text{V/m} = \text{Common Antilogarithm } [(38.78 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m})/20] = 86.89 \mu\text{V/m}$$

Evaluation For: Midmark Corporation  
Model No: 9A408H

FCC ID: U399A408H1  
IC ID: 6978A-9A408T

## 5.2 Test Results

The Wireless Hand Control for Universal Procedure Table was **compliant** with the radiated emissions requirements of FCC §15.109 of Class B limits. The maximized radiated emissions data can be found in Exhibit 5. Graphical results are shown in Exhibit 6.

### Maximized Quasi Peak and Average Emissions

**Test Engineer:** Bryan Taylor

**Test Start Date:** 11/13/2009 **Test End Date:** 11/13/2009

**Emission Limit Tested To:** Class B **Test Distance (EUT to Antenna):** 3m

**All radiated emissions above the noise floor from the device were greater than 10dB below the limit when measured with a peak detector.**

Exhibit 5

### Graphical Peak Scan




Exhibit 6