



### FCC RF EXPOSURE REPORT

For

### DJI Neo 2

**MODEL NUMBER: DEN225** 

REPORT NUMBER: 4791807217-1-RF-4

ISSUE DATE: June 27, 2025

FCC ID: SS3-DEN225

Prepared for

SZ DJI TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.
Lobby of T2, DJI Sky City, No 53 Xianyuan Road, Xili Community, Xili Street,
Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China

Prepared by

UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd, Song Shan Lake Branch

Room 101, Building 2, No.4, Information Road, Songshan Lake, Dongguan, Guangdong, China

Tel: +86 769 22038881 Fax: +86 769 33244054 Website: www.ul.com



REPORT NO.: 4791807217-1-RF-4 Page 2 of 8

# **Revision History**

Rev.	Issue Date	Revisions	Revised By	
V0	June 27, 2025	Initial Issue		



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	ATTESTATION OF TEST RESULTS	. 4
2.	TEST METHODOLOGY	. 5
3.	FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION	. 5
4	REQUIREMENT	6



## 1. ATTESTATION OF TEST RESULTS

**Applicant Information** 

Company Name: SZ DJI TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

Address: Lobby of T2, DJI Sky City, No 53 Xianyuan Road, Xili Community,

Xili Street, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China

**Manufacturer Information** 

Company Name: SZ DJI TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

Address: Lobby of T2, DJI Sky City, No 53 Xianyuan Road, Xili Community,

Xili Street, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China

**EUT Information** 

**Operations Manager** 

EUT Name: DJI Neo 2 Model: DEN225 Brand: DJI

Sample Received Date: May 26, 2025

Sample Status: Normal Sample ID: 8510078

Date of Tested: June 4, 2025 to June 27, 2025

APPLICABLE STANDARDS			
STANDARD	TEST RESULTS		
447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01	PASS		

Prepared By:	Checked By:
Jamson Liu	kebo. zhanz
Johnson Liu	Kebo Zhang
Laboratory Engineer	Operations Leader
Approved By:	
Stephen Guo	-



### 2. TEST METHODOLOGY

The tests documented in this report were performed in accordance with 47 CFR FCC Part 1 Subpart I, section 1.1307 and KDB 447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01.

## 3. FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION

	A2LA (Certificate No.: 4102.01) UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch. has been assessed and proved to be in compliance with A2LA.
Accreditation Certificate	FCC (FCC Designation No.: CN1187) UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch. Has been recognized to perform compliance testing on equipment subject to the Commission's Declaration of Conformity (DoC) and Certification rules.
	ISED (Company No.: 21320) UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch. has been registered and fully described in a report filed with ISED. The Company Number is 21320 and the test lab Conformity Assessment Body Identifier (CABID) is CN0046.

### Note 1:

All tests measurement facilities use to collect the measurement data are located at Room 101, Building 2, No.4, Information Road, Songshan Lake, Dongguan, Guangdong, China.

### Note 2:

The test anechoic chamber in UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch had been calibrated and compared to the open field sites and the test anechoic chamber is shown to be equivalent to or worst case from the open field site.

#### Note 3:

For below 30 MHz, lab had performed measurements at test anechoic chamber and comparing to measurements obtained on an open field site. And these measurements below 30 MHz had been correlated to measurements performed on an OFS.



# 4. REQUIREMENT

### **LIMIT AND CALCULATION METHOD**

According to 447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01,

### 2.1.4 MPE-Based Exemption

An alternative to the SAR-based exemption is provided in § 1.1307(b)(3)(i)(C), for a much wider frequency range, from 300 kHz to 100 GHz, applicable for separation distances greater or equal to  $\lambda/2\pi$ , where  $\lambda$  is the free-space operating wavelength in meters. The MPE-based test exemption condition is in terms of ERP, defined as the product of the maximum antenna gain and the delivered maximum time-averaged power.10 For this case, a RF source is an RF exempt device if its ERP (watts) is no more than a frequency-dependent value, as detailed tabular form in Appendix B. These limits have been derived based on the basic specifications on Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) considered for the FCC rules in § 1.1310(e)(1).



### **MPE-based Exemption**

$$P_{\text{th}} (\text{mW}) = ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} (\text{mW}) = \begin{cases} 2040f & 0.3 \text{ GHz} \le f < 1.5 \text{ GHz} \\ \\ 3060 & 1.5 \text{ GHz} \le f \le 6 \text{ GHz} \end{cases}$$
(B. 1)

$$P_{\text{th (mW)}} = \begin{cases} ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} (d/20 \text{ cm})^x & d \le 20 \text{ cm} \\ ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} & 20 \text{ cm} < d \le 40 \text{ cm} \end{cases}$$
(B. 2)

where

$$x = -\log_{10}\left(\frac{60}{ERP_{20 \text{ cm}}\sqrt{f}}\right)$$

and f is in GHz, d is the separation distance (cm), and ERP<sub>20cm</sub> is per Formula (B.1). The example values shown in Table B.2 are for illustration only.

Distance (mm) Frequency (MHz) 

Table B.2—Example Power Thresholds (mW)

# Fixed RF sources operating in the same time-averaging period- § 1.1307(b)(3)(ii)(B)

Either SAR-based or MPE-based exemption may be considered for test exemption for fixed, mobile, or portable device exposure conditions; therefore, the contributions from each exemption in conjunction with the measured SAR (Evaluatedk term) shall be used to determine exemption for simultaneous transmission according to Formula (C.1) [repeated from § 1.1307(b)(3)(ii)(B)].

$$\sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{P_i}{P_{\text{th},i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{ERP_j}{ERP_{\text{th},j}} + \sum_{k=1}^{c} \frac{Evaluated_k}{Exposure\ Limit_k} \le 1$$
 (C. 1)



# **CALCULATED RESULTS**

# For Single RF Source

Operating Mode	Max. Tune up Power	Max. Antenna Gain	EIRP	ERP	ERP	Distance	Limit Threshold
	(dBm)	(dBi)	(dBm)	(dBm)	(mW)	(cm)	(mW)
2.4GHz WiFi	19.5	2.5	22	19.85	96.605	20	3060
5 GHz WiFi	22	4.5	26.5	24.35	272.270	20	3060
BLE	8	2.28	10.28	8.13	6.501	20	3060

### Note:

- 1. The calculated distance is 20 cm.
- 2. The power comes from operation description.
- 3. EUT does not support simultaneous operation.

**END OF REPORT**