


Hearing Aid Compatibility (HAC) RF Emissions Test Report

APPLICANT : ZTE CORPORATION
EQUIPMENT : CDMA LTE multi-mode Digital Mobile Phone
BRAND NAME : ZTE
MODEL NAME : ZTE N9835
FCC ID : SRQ-ZTEN9835
STANDARD : FCC 47 CFR §20.19
ANSI C63.19-2011
M CATEGORY : M4

The product was completely tested on Jan. 22, 2014. We, SPORTON INTERNATIONAL (KUNSHAN) INC., would like to declare that the tested sample has been evaluated in accordance with the procedures and shown the compliance with the applicable technical standards.

The test results in this report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of SPORTON INTERNATIONAL (KUNSHAN) INC., the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.


Reviewed by: Eric Huang / Deputy Manager


Approved by: Jones Tsai / Manager



SPORTON INTERNATIONAL (KUNSHAN) INC.

No. 3-2, PingXiang Road, Kunshan, Jiangsu Province, P.R.C.



Table of Contents

Revision History	3
1. Statement of Compliance	4
2. Administration Data	5
2.1 Testing Laboratory	5
2.2 Applicant	5
2.3 Manufacturer	5
2.4 Application Details	5
3. General Information	6
3.1 Description of Equipment Under Test (EUT)	6
3.2 Air Interface and Operating Mode	7
3.3 Applied Standards	7
4. HAC RF Emission	8
5. Measurement System Specification	9
5.1 Test Arch Phantom	9
5.2 E-Field Probe System	10
E-Field Probe Specification	10
Probe Tip Description	10
5.3 System Hardware	10
5.4 Data Storage and Evaluation	11
5.5 Test Equipment List	12
6. Measurement System Validation	13
6.1 Purpose of System Performance Check	13
6.2 System Setup	13
6.3 Verification Results	14
7. Modulation Interference Factor	15
8. RF Emissions Test Procedure	17
9. Conducted RF Output Power (Unit: dBm)	20
10. Low-power Exemption	20
11. HAC RF Emission Test Results	21
11.1 E-Field Emission	21
12. Uncertainty Assessment	22
13. References	24

Appendix A. Plots of System Performance Check

Appendix B. Plots of RF Emission Measurement

Appendix C. DASY Calibration Certificate

Appendix D. Test Setup Photos

**Revision History**

REPORT NO.	VERSION	DESCRIPTION	ISSUED DATE
HA3D2003A	Rev. 01	Initial issue of report	Mar. 04, 2014



1. Statement of Compliance

The maximum results of RF Emission of Hearing Aid Compliance (HAC) found during testing for the **ZTE CORPORATION CDMA LTE multi-mode Digital Mobile Phone, ZTE, ZTE N9835** are follows:

Band	HAC RF Emission Test Result		M Rating
CDMA2000 BC0	E-Field (V/m)	29.04	M4
CDMA2000 BC1	E-Field (V/m)	23.79	M4

They are in compliance with HAC limits specified in guidelines FCC 47 CFR §20.19 and ANSI Standard ANSI C63.19.

Results Summary : M Category = M4 (ANSI C63.19-2011)

2. Administration Data

2.1 Testing Laboratory

Test Site	SPORTON INTERNATIONAL (KUNSHAN) INC.
Test Site Location	No. 3-2, PingXiang Road, Kunshan, Jiangsu Province, P.R.C. TEL: +86-0512-5790-0158 FAX: +86-0512-5790-0958
Test Site No.	Sporton Site No. : SAR01-KS

2.2 Applicant

Company Name	ZTE CORPORATION
Address	ZTE Plaza, Keji Road South, Hi-Tech Industrial Park, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518057, P.R.China

2.3 Manufacturer

Company Name	ZTE CORPORATION
Address	ZTE Plaza, Keji Road South, Hi-Tech Industrial Park, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518057, P.R.China

2.4 Application Details

Date of Start during the Test	Jan. 22, 2014
Date of End during the Test	Jan. 22, 2014

3. General Information

3.1 Description of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Product Feature & Specification	
EUT Type	CDMA LTE multi-mode Digital Mobile Phone
Brand Name	ZTE
Model Name	ZTE N9835
FCC ID	SRQ-ZTEN9835
IMEI Code	99000222900650
Tx Frequency	CDMA2000 BC0: 824.7 MHz ~ 848.31 MHz CDMA 2000 BC10: 817.9 MHz ~ 823.1 MHz CDMA 2000 BC15: 1711.25 MHz ~ 1753.75 MHz CDMA 2000 BC1: 1851.25 MHz ~ 1908.75 MHz LTE Band 12: 699.7 MHz ~ 715.3 MHz LTE Band 17: 706.5 MHz ~ 713.5 MHz LTE Band 5: 824.7 MHz ~ 848.3 MHz LTE Band 4: 1710.7 MHz ~ 1754.3 MHz LTE Band 2: 1850.7 MHz ~ 1909.3 MHz WLAN 2.4GHz Band: 2412 MHz ~ 2462 MHz WLAN 5GHz Band: 5180 MHz ~ 5240 MHz; 5745 MHz ~ 5825 MHz Bluetooth: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz NFC : 13.56 MHz
Antenna Type	WWAN PIFA Antenna LTE: PIFA Antenna WLAN PIFA Antenna Bluetooth: PIFA Antenna NFC: PIFA Antenna
HW Version	cxhA
SW Version	N9835V1.0.0B01
Type of Modulation	CDMA2000: QPSK CDMA2000 1xEV-DO: QPSK/8PSK LTE: QPSK/16QAM 802.11b: DSSS (DBPSK / DQPSK / CCK) 802.11 a/g/n/ac: OFDM (BPSK / QPSK / 16QAM / 64QAM) Bluetooth : GFSK Bluetooth EDR : $\pi/4$ -DQPSK, 8-DPSK Bluetooth 4.0 LE: GFSK NFC: ASK
EUT Stage	Identical Prototype

3.2 Air Interface and Operating Mode

Air Interface	Band MHz	Type	C63.19 Tested	Simultaneous Transmitter	OTT	Power Reduction
CDMA	800	VO	Yes	LTE,WLAN, BT	NA	No
	1900			LTE,WLAN, BT	NA	No
	EVDO	DT	No	WLAN, BT	NA	No
LTE	700	VD	No(*)	CDMA ,WLAN, BT	Yes	No
	850			CDMA ,WLAN, BT		No
	1700			CDMA ,WLAN, BT		No
	1900			CDMA ,WLAN, BT		No
WLAN	2450	VD	No	CDMA, LTE	Yes	No
	5200			CDMA, LTE		No
	5800			CDMA, LTE		No
BT	2450	DT	No	CDMA, LTE	NA	No

VO=CMRS Voice Service
 DT=Digital Transport
 VD=CMRS IP Voice Service and Digital Transport
 (*)No Associated T-Coil measurement has been made in accordance with 285076 D02 T-Coil testing for CMRS IP

3.3 Applied Standards

- FCC CFR47 Part 20.19
- ANSI C63.19 2011-version
- FCC KDB 285076 D01v04

4. HAC RF Emission

FCC wireless hearing aid compatibility rules ensure that consumers with hearing loss are able to access wireless communications services through a wide selection of handsets without experiencing disabling radio frequency (RF) interference or other technical obstacles.

To define and measure the hearing aid compatibility of handsets, in CFR47 part 20.19 ANSI C63.19 is referenced. A handset is considered hearing aid-compatible for acoustic coupling if it meets a rating of at least M3 under ANSI C63.19, and A handset is considered hearing aid compatible for inductive coupling if it meets a rating of at least T3.

According to ANSI C63.19 2011 version, for acoustic coupling, the RF electric field emissions of wireless communication devices should be measured and rated according to the emission level as below.

Emission Categories	E-field emissions	
	<960Mhz	>960Mhz
M1	50 to 55 dB (V/m)	40 to 45 dB (V/m)
M2	45 to 50 dB (V/m)	35 to 40 dB (V/m)
M3	40 to 45 dB (V/m)	30 to 35 dB (V/m)
M4	<40 dB (V/m)	<30 dB (V/m)

Table 4.1 Telephone near-field categories in linear units

5. Measurement System Specification




Fig 5.1 SPEAG DASY5 System Configurations

5.1 Test Arch Phantom


Construction :	Enables easy and well defined positioning of the phone and validation dipoles as well as simple teaching of the robot.	
Dimensions :	370 370 x 370 mm	

Fig 5.8 Photo of Arch Phantom

5.2 E-Field Probe System

E-Field Probe Specification

<ER3DV6>

Construction	One dipole parallel, two dipoles normal to probe axis Built-in shielding against static charges
Calibration	In air from 100 MHz to 3.0 GHz (absolute accuracy $\pm 6.0\%$, $k=2$)
Frequency	100 MHz to 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 2.0 dB (100 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in air (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in air (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	2 V/m to 1000 V/m (M3 or better device readings fall well below diode compression point)
Linearity	± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.5 mm



Fig 5.2 Photo of E-field Probe

Probe Tip Description:

HAC field measurements take place in the close near field with high gradients. Increasing the measuring distance from the source will generally decrease the measured field values (in case of the validation dipole approx. 10% per mm).

5.3 System Hardware

The data acquisition electronics (DAE) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit.

The SPEAG DASY system uses the high precision robots (DASY5: TX90XL) type from Stäubli SA (France). For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (DASY5: CS8c) from Stäubli is used.

5.4 Data Storage and Evaluation

The DASY software stores the assessed data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all the necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files.

Probe parameters :	- Sensitivity	Norm _i , a _{i0} , a _{i1} , a _{i2}
	- Conversion factor	ConvF _i
	- Diode compression point	dcp _i
Device parameters :	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters :	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

The formula for each channel can be given as :

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)
 U_i = input signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)
 cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)
 dcp_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals, the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated :

$$\text{E-field Probes : } E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{\text{Norm}_i \cdot \text{ConvF}}}$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)
 Norm_i = sensor sensitivity of channel i, (i = x, y, z), $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V/m})^2$ for E-field Probes
 ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution
 f = carrier frequency [GHz]
 E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude) :

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

**5.5 Test Equipment List**

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	Dipole	CD835V3	1171	Jan. 22, 2013	Jan. 21, 2016
SPEAG	Dipole	CD1880V3	1155	Jan. 22, 2013	Jan. 21, 2016
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1210	Jun. 19, 2013	Jun. 18, 2014
SPEAG	Probe	ER3DV6	2476	Nov. 29, 2013	Nov. 28, 2014
SPEAG	Test Arch Phantom	Par phantom	1105	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Phone Positioner	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
Agilent	Wireless Communication Test Set	E5515C	MY50264165	Feb. 28, 2013	Feb. 27, 2014
R&S	Signal Generator	SMR40	100455	Jan. 18, 2013	Jan. 17, 2014
AR	Amplifier	551G4	333096	NCR	NCR
Agilent	Power Meter	ML2495A	1218010	Mar. 28, 2013	Mar. 27, 2014
Agilent	Power Sensor	MA2411B	1207253	Mar. 28, 2013	Mar. 27, 2014
ARRA	Power Divider	A3200-2	N/A	NA	NA
MCL	Attenuation	BW-S10W5	N/A	NA	NA
R&S	Spectrum Analyzer	FSP30	101399	May 23, 2013	May 22, 2014

Table 5.1 Test Equipment List

6. Measurement System Validation

Each DASY system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the DASY software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the test Arch and a corresponding distance holder.

6.1 Purpose of System Performance Check

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal HAC measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

6.2 System Setup

1. In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator.
2. The center point of the probe element(s) is 15mm from the closest surface of the dipole elements.
3. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the arch phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:




Fig. 6.1 System Validation Setup

The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 20dBm (100mW) before dipole is connected.




Fig 7.2 Dipole Setup

6.3 Verification Results

Comparing to the original E-field value provided by SPEAG, the verification data should be within its specification of 25 %. Table 6.1 shows the target value and measured value. The table below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to appendix A of this report.

Frequency (MHz)	Input Power (dBm)	Target Value (V/m)	E-Field above high end (V/m)	E-Field above low end (V/m)	Average Value (V/m)	Deviation (%)	Date
835	20	109.0	106.8	106.1	106.45	-2.34	Jan. 22, 2014
1880	20	90.5	88.54	93.64	91.09	0.65	Jan. 22, 2014

Table 6.1 Test Results of System Validation

Note: Deviation = ((Average E-field Value) - (Target value)) / (Target value) * 100%



7. Modulation Interference Factor

The HAC Standard ANSI C63.19-2011 defines a new scaling using the Modulation Interference Factor (MIF).

For any specific fixed and repeatable modulated signal, a modulation interference factor (MIF, expressed in dB) may be developed that relates its interference potential to its steady-state rms signal level or average power level. This factor is a function only of the audio-frequency amplitude modulation characteristics of the signal and is the same for field-strength and conducted power measurements. It is important to emphasize that the MIF is valid only for a specific repeatable audio-frequency amplitude modulation characteristic. Any change in modulation characteristic requires determination and application of a new MIF

The Modulation Interference factor (MIF, in dB) is added to the measured average E-field (in dBV/m) and converts it to the RF Audio Interference level (in dBV/m). This level considers the audible amplitude modulation components in the RF E-field. CW fields without amplitude modulation are assumed to not interfere with the hearing aid electronics. Modulations without time slots and low fluctuations at low frequencies have low MIF values, TDMA modulations with narrow transmission and repetition rates of few 100 Hz have high MIF values and give similar classifications as ANSI C63.19-2007.

ER3D, EF3D and EU2D E-field probes have a bandwidth <10 kHz and can therefore not evaluate the RF envelope in the full audio band. DASY52 is therefore using the indirect measurement method according to ANSI C63.19-2011 which is the primary method. These near field probes read the averaged E-field measurement. Especially for the new high peak-to-average (PAR) signal types, the probes shall be linearized by PMR calibration in order to not overestimate the field reading. Probe Modulation Response (PMR) calibration linearizes the probe response over its dynamic range for specific modulations which are characterized by their UID and result in an uncertainty specified in the probe calibration certificate. The MIF is characteristic for a given waveform envelope and can be used as a constant conversion factor if the probe has been PMR calibrated.

The evaluation method for the MIF is defined in ANSI C63.19-2011 section D.7. An RMS demodulated RF signal is fed to a spectral filter (similar to an A weighting filter) and forwarded to a temporal filter acting as a quasi-peak detector. The averaged output of these filtering is scaled to a 1 kHz 80% AM signal as reference. MIF measurement requires additional instrumentation and is not well suited for evaluation by the end user with reasonable uncertainty. It may alternatively be determined through analysis and simulation, because it is constant and characteristic for a communication signal. DASY52 uses well-defined signals for PMR calibration. The MIF of these signals has been determined by simulation and it is automatically applied.



MIF values applied in this test report were provided by the HAC equipment provider, SPEAG, and the values are listed below

UID	Communication System Name	MIF(dB)
10081	CDMA2000 (1XRTT, RC3)	-19.71
10276	CDMA2000 (1XRTT, RC1, 1/8 Rate)	3.26

The MIF measurement uncertainty is estimated as follows, declared by HAC equipment provider SPEAG, for modulation frequencies from slotted waveforms with fundamental frequency and at least 2 harmonics within 10 kHz:

- i) 0.2 dB for MIF: -7 to +5 dB,
- ii) 0.5 dB for MIF: -13 to +11 dB
- iii) 1 dB for MIF: > -20 dB

8. RF Emissions Test Procedure

Referenced from ANSI C63.19 -2011 section 5.5.1

- a) Confirm the proper operation of the field probe, probe measurement system, and other instrumentation and the positioning system.
- b) Position the WD in its intended test position.
- c) Set the WD to transmit a fixed and repeatable combination of signal power and modulation characteristic that is representative of the worst case (highest interference potential) encountered in normal use. Transiently occurring start-up, changeover, or termination conditions, or other operations likely to occur less than 1% of the time during normal operation, may be excluded from consideration.
- d) The center sub-grid shall be centered on the T-Coil mode perpendicular measurement point or the acoustic output, as appropriate. Locate the field probe at the initial test position in the 50 mm by 50 mm grid, which is contained in the measurement plane, refer to illustrated in Figure 8.2. If the field alignment method is used, align the probe for maximum field reception.
- e) Record the reading at the output of the measurement system.
- f) Scan the entire 50 mm by 50 mm region in equality spaced increments and record the reading at each measurement point, The distance between measurement points shall be sufficient to assure the identification of the maximum reading.
- g) Identify the five contiguous sub-grids around the center sub-grid whose maximum reading is the lowest of all available choices. This eliminates the three sub-grids with the maximum readings. Thus, the six areas to be used to determine the WD's highest emissions are identified.
- h) Identify the maximum reading within the non-excluded sub-grids identified in step g).
- i) *Indirect measurement method*
The RF audio interference level in dB (V/m) is obtained by adding the MIF (in dB) to the maximum steady-state rms field-strength reading, in dB (V/m)
- j) Compare this RF audio interference level with the categories in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 8 and record the resulting WD category rating.
- k) For the T-Coil mode M-rating assessment, determine whether the chosen perpendicular measurement point is contained in an included sub-grid of the first scan. If so, then a second scan is not necessary. The first scan and resultant category rating may be used for the T-Coil mode M rating.

Otherwise, repeat step a) through step i), with the grid shifted so that it is centered on the perpendicular measurement point. Record the WD category rating.

Test Instructions




Fig 8.1 Flow Chart of HAC RF Emission



Fig 8.2 EUT reference and plane for HAC RF emission measurements




Fig. 8.3 Gauge block with E-field probe

9. Conducted RF Output Power (Unit: dBm)

Band	CDMA2000 BC0			CDMA2000 BC1		
Channel	1013	384	777	25	600	1175
Frequency (MHz)	824.7	836.52	848.31	1851.25	1880	1908.75
1XRTT RC1 SO3_1/8 Rate	24.71	24.61	24.63	24.09	24.12	24.03
1XRTT RC3 SO3_Full Rate	24.63	24.45	24.50	23.94	23.93	23.88

10. Low-power Exemption

According to ANSI C63.19 2011-version, an RF air interface technology of a device is exempt from testing when its average antenna input power plus its MIF is ≤ 17 dBm for any of its operating modes.

Band	Mode	Maximum Average conducted power (dBm)	MIF (dB)	Power + MIF(dB)	C63.19 test required
CDMA2000 BC0	RC1_SO3_1/8rate	24.71	3.26	27.97	Yes
CDMA 2000 BC0	RC3_SO3_full rate	24.63	-19.71	4.92	No
CDMA2000 BC1	RC1_SO3_1/8rate	24.12	3.26	27.38	Yes
CDMA 2000 BC1	RC3_SO3_full rate	23.94	-19.71	4.23	No

Conclusion: Low power exemption is applicable to CDMA2000 RC3 SO3_Full Rate, and CDMA2000 RC3 SO3_Full Rate HAC RF emission rating is M4.

11. HAC RF Emission Test Results

11.1 E-Field Emission

Emission Categories	E-field emissions	
	<960Mhz	>960Mhz
M1	50 to 55 dB (V/m)	40 to 45 dB (V/m)
M2	45 to 50 dB (V/m)	35 to 40 dB (V/m)
M3	40 to 45 dB (V/m)	30 to 35 dB (V/m)
M4	<40 dB (V/m)	<30 dB (V/m)

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Channel	MIF	RF audio interference level (dBV/m)	Margin to the next lower rating (dB)	M-Rating
01	CDMA2000 BC0	RC1 SO3_Voice_Eighth rate	1013	3.26	28.15	11.85	M4
02	CDMA2000 BC0	RC1 SO3_Voice_Eighth rate	384	3.26	28.75	11.25	M4
03	CDMA2000 BC0	RC1 SO3_Voice_Eighth rate	777	3.26	29.04	10.96	M4
04	CDMA2000 BC1	RC1 SO3_Voice_Eighth rate	25	3.26	23.19	6.81	M4
05	CDMA2000 BC1	RC1 SO3_Voice_Eighth rate	600	3.26	23.79	6.21	M4
06	CDMA2000 BC1	RC1 SO3_Voice_Eighth rate	1175	3.26	23.30	6.7	M4

Remark:

1. The HAC measurement system applies MIF value onto the measured RMS E-field, which is indirect method in ANSI C63.19 2011 version, and reports the RF audio interference level.
2. The uncertainty is 0.2dB of MIF ranges from -7dB to +5dB. GSM850 band with rating M4, GSM1900 band with rating M3 would not be affected considering the MIF uncertainty.
3. There is no special HAC mode software on this EUT.

Test Engineer : Fulu Hu.

12. Uncertainty Assessment

The component of uncertainty may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances. Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASY uncertainty Budget is showed in Table 12.1.

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (E)	Standard Uncertainty (E)
Measurement System					
Probe Calibration	5.1	Normal	1	1	± 5.1 %
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7 %
Sensor Displacement	16.5	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 9.5 %
Boundary Effects	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.4 %
Phantom Boundary Effects	7.2	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 4.1 %
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7 %
Scaling with PMF Calibration	10.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.77 %
System Detection Limit	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.6 %
Readout Electronics	0.3	Normal	1	1	± 0.3 %
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.5 %
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.5 %
RF Ambient Conditions	3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7 %
RF Reflections	12.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 6.9 %
Probe Positioner	1.2	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.7 %
Probe Positioning	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7 %
Extrap. and Interpolation	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.6 %
Test Sample Related					
Device Positioning Vertical	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7 %
Device Positioning Lateral	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.6 %
Device Holder and Phantom	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.4 %
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.9 %
Phantom and Setup Related					
Phantom Thickness	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.4 %
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 16.30 %
Coverage Factor for 95 %					K = 2
Expanded Std. Uncertainty on Power					± 32.6 %
Expanded Std. Uncertainty on Field					± 16.3 %

Table 12.1 Uncertainty Budget of HAC free field assessment
Remark:

Worst-Case uncertainty budget for HAC free field assessment according to ANSIC63.19 [1], [2]. The budget is valid for the frequency range 700 MHz - 3 GHz and represents a worst case analysis.



13. References

- [1] ANSI C63.19-2011, "American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids", 27 May 2011
- [2] SPEAG DASY System Handbook



Appendix A. Plots of System Performance Check

The plots are shown as follows.



Appendix B. Plots of RF Emission Measurement

The plots are shown as follows.



Appendix C. DASY Calibration Certificate

The DASY calibration certificates are shown as follows.