SAR Compliance Test Report

Date of Report	5/01/2018	**Client's Contact person:**	Rauno Nikkilä
Number of pages:	21	**Responsible Test engineer:**	Kirsi Kyllönen
Testing laboratory:	Verkotan Oy Elektroniikkatie 17 90590 Oulu Finland	**Client:**	NORDIC ID GROUP Joensuunkatu 7 24100 Salo, FINLAND tel. +358 2 727 7700
Tested device	**EXA31, Model 818-2A**		
Related reports:	-		
Testing has been carried out in accordance with:	**47CFR §2.1093** Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices **FCC published RF exposure KDB procedures** **IEEE 1528 - 2013** IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Technique		
Documentation:	The test report must always be reproduced in full; reproduction of an excerpt only is subject to written approval of the testing laboratory		
Test Results:	**The EUT complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test.** The test results relate only to devices specified in this document		

Date and signatures: 05.01.2018

For the contents:

Miia Nurkkala

Digitally signed by Miia Nurkkala
Date: 2018.01.05 14:22:28 +02'00'

Laboratory Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT 3

1.1 TEST DETAILS 3
1.2 MAXIMUM RESULTS 3
1.2.1 Maximum Drift 3
1.2.2 Measurement Uncertainty 3

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT) 4

2.1 SUPPORTED FREQUENCY BANDS AND OPERATIONAL MODES 4
2.2 SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION POSSIBILITIES 4
2.2.1 Test Exclusions 4

3. OUTPUT POWER 5

3.1 MAXIMUM OUTPUT POWER 5
3.2 TESTED CONDUCTED POWER 5

4. TEST EQUIPMENT 6

4.1 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST 6
4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe Type EX3DV4 7
CONSTRUCTION 7
4.2 PHANTOMS 7
Modular Flat Phantom (MFP) 7
4.3 TISSUE SIMULANTS 7
4.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION STATUS 8
4.5 SYSTEM CHECK 8
4.5.1 Tissue Simulant Verification 8

5. TEST PROCEDURE 9

5.1.1 *Body-worn Configuration*, 0 mm separation distance 9
5.2 SCAN PROCEDURES 9
5.3 SAR AVERAGING METHODS 9

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY 10

7. TEST RESULTS 11

7.1 BODY-WORN CONFIGURATION, 0 MM SEPARATION DISTANCE 11

APPENDIX A: PHOTOS OF THE DUT 12

APPENDIX B: SYSTEM CHECK SCAN 14

APPENDIX C: MEASUREMENT SCAN 15

APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORTS 16

APPENDIX E: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORTS 18

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT

1.1 Test Details

Equipment under Test (EUT):

Product:	NORDIC ID EXA31, Model 818-2A
Manufacturer:	NORDIC ID GROUP
Serial Number:	N172100006, N172100005
FCC ID Number:	SCCNUR05WL2
Hardware Version:	WRR818_2#3
DUT Number:	22924, 22925
Battery Type used in testing:	Lithium-Ion battery pack 1200 mAh, 3.7V
Portable/ Mobile device	Portable
State of the Sample	Production sample

Testing information:

Testing Performed:	3.1.2018
Notes:	EXA31, Model 818-2A incorporates FCC certified RFID module NUR-05WL2 with FCC ID SCCNUR05WL2 (main module) and BLE module MDBT42Q with FCC ID SH6MDBT42Q.
Document ID:	FCC SAR Report_EXA31_ID2542_05012018.docx
Temperature °C	22±2 / Controlled
Humidity RH%	20±20 / Controlled
Measurement performed by:	Kirsi Kyllönen

1.2 Maximum Results

The maximum reported* SAR value for Body-worn configuration with 0 mm separation distance is shown in a table below. The device conforms to the requirements of the standards when the maximum reported SAR value is less than or equal to the limit. The SAR limit specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) for Body is SAR_{1g} 1.6 W/kg,

Equipment Class	System	Highest Reported* SAR_{1g}(W/kg) in Body-Worn Condition	Result
DSS, JBP	UHF RFID	**0.81**	**PASS**

* Reported SAR Values are scaled to maximum theoretical output power.

1.2.1 Maximum Drift

Maximum Drift During Measurements	-0.48

*Drifts >5% have been considered in the scaling factor

1.2.2 Measurement Uncertainty

Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) 95 %	23.4%

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT)

The DUT is a body-worn RFID reader that can be connected to a smart device via Bluetooth Low Energy.

Device Category	Portable
Exposure Environment	Uncontrolled

2.1 Supported Frequency Bands and Operational Modes

TX Frequency bands	**Modes of Operation**	**Transmitter Frequency Range (MHz)**
	RFID	902.75 - 927.75
	Bluetooth low energy	2402-2480

2.2 Simultaneous Transmission Possibilities

Bluetooth and RFID can transmit simultaneously.

2.2.1 Test Exclusions

As a result of manufactures KDB inquiry, Bluetooth test is excluded, and the used test position is back surface of the DUT towards the SAR phantom.

3. OUTPUT POWER

3.1 Maximum Output Power

From a Customer;

Mode	Upper Limit Peak Power (dBm)		
	CH 1 902.25 GHz	CH 26 914.75 GHz	CH 52 927.75 GHz
RFID	27	27	27

3.2 Tested conducted power

Mode	Measured Peak Power (dBm)		
	CH 1 902.25 GHz	CH 26 914.75 GHz	CH 52 927.75 GHz
RFID	26.57	26.57	26.58

4. TEST EQUIPMENT

Dasy4 and Dasy52 near field scanning systems, manufactured by SPEAG were used for SAR testing. The test system consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and a phantom containing the tissue equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location of maximum electromagnetic field.



Figure 1 Schematic Laboratory Picture

4.1 Test Equipment List

Main used test system components are listed below. For full equipment list and calibration intervals, please contact the testing laboratory.

Test Equipment	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Expiry
DAE	DAE4	710	01/2017	01/2018
Probe	EX3DV4	7447	03/2017	03/2018
Dipole	D835V2	455	06/2017	06/2020
DASY5 Software	52.8.8.1258	na	na	na
Signal Generator	SMIQ06B	834968/023	na	na
Amplifier	AR 10S1G4A	320421	na	na
Power Reflection Meter	R&S NRT	835065/049	01/2017	01/2018
Power Sensor	NRT Z44	835374/021	01/2017	01/2018

4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe Type EX3DV4

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Calibration	Calibration certificate in Appendix D
Frequency	10 MHz to >6 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 µW/g to > 100 mW/g, Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 10 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 2.5 mm Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1.0 mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 6 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

4.2 Phantoms

Modular Flat Phantom (MFP)

The Triple Modular Phantom consists of three identical modules that can be installed and removed separately without emptying the liquid. It is used for compliance testing of small wireless devices in body-worn configurations.

4.3 Tissue Simulants

Recommended values for the dielectric parameters of the tissue simulants are given in IEEE 1528 and FCC published RF Exposure KDB Procedures. The dielectric parameters of the used tissue simulants were within ±10% of the recommended values in all frequencies used. A liquid compensation algorithm was used in DASY5 with which measured peak average SAR values were corrected for the deviation of used liquid. Depth of the tissue simulant was at least 15.0 cm from the inner surface of the flat phantom.

Body 600-6000 MHz tissue simulant liquid Ingredients
Ethanediol, Sodium petroleum sulfonate, Hexylene Glycol / 2-Methyl-pentane-2.4-diol, Alkoxylated alcohol

4.4 System Validation Status

Frequency [MHz]	Test System	Dipole Type / SN	Probe Type / SN	Calibrated Signal Type	DAE Unit / SN	Dielectric Constant [ε] Body tissue simulant	Conductivity σ [S/m] Body tissue simulant	Validation Done
								Body tissue simulant
835	Verkotan SAR-1	D835V2 - SN: 448	EX3DV4 - SN: 7447	CW / GMSK	DAE 4 / 710	54.6	1.00	04/2017

4.5 System Check

Date	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp. [°C]	Frequency [MHz]	Input Power	Measured SAR_{1g} [W/kg]	1 W Target SAR_{1g} [W/kg]	1 W Normalized SAR_{1g} [W/kg]	Deviation 1g (%)	Plot #
3.1.2018	B835	21.4	835	250mW	2.49	9.55	9.96	4.3	1

4.5.1 Tissue Simulant Verification

				Target		Measured			
Date	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp. [°C]	Frequency [MHz]	Conductivity, σ [S/m]	Dielectric Constant [ε]	Conductivity σ [S/m]	Dielectric Constant [ε]	Deviation σ (%)	Deviation ε (%)
3.1.2018	B835	22	835	0.98	55.2	1.02	55.4	5.1	0.3
			902.25	1.05	55	1.04	55.1	-0.9	0.2
			914.75	1.06	55	1.05	55.1	-0.8	0.2
			927.75	1.06	55	1.06	55	0.0	0.0

5. TEST PROCEDURE

As a result of manufactures KDB inquiry, the test position was chosen to be the back surface of the device toward the phantom. Pictures of the test positions are in appendix A.

The DUT was set to transmit continuously at a maximum power level using a manufacturer specified software.

5.1.1 *Body-worn Configuration*, 0 mm separation distance

The DUT was placed below the flat phantom using a SPEAG device holder. The DUT was lifted towards the phantom until 0mm separation distance was reached.

5.2 Scan Procedures

First, area scans were used for determination of the field distribution. Next, a zoom scan with 7x7x7 points covering a volume of 30x30x30mm was performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR value. Power drift was determined by measuring the same point at the start of the area scan and again at the end of the zoom scan.

5.3 SAR Averaging Methods

The maximum SAR value is averaged over a cube of tissue using interpolation and extrapolation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines within Dasy47 are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method (Robert J. Renka," Multivariate Interpolation of Large Sets of Scattered Data", University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988, pp. 139-148).

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Uncertainty Budget
IEEE 1528-2013

Error Description	Uncert. value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	(c_i) 1g	(c_i) 10g	Std. Unc. (1g)	Std. Unc. (10g)	(v_i) v_{eff}
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	±6.0 %	N	1	1	1	±6.0 %	±6.0 %	∞
Axial Isotropy	±4.7 %	R		0.7	0.7	±1.9 %	±1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	±9.6 %	R	1.73	0.7	0.7	±3.9 %	±3.9 %	∞
Boundary Effects	±1.0 %	R	1.73	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞
Linearity	±4.7 %	R	1.73	1	1	±2.7 %	±2.7 %	∞
System Detection Limits	±1.0 %	R	1.73	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞
Modulation Response^m	±2.4 %	R	1.73	1	1	±1.4 %	±1.4 %	∞
Readout Electronics	±0.3 %	N	1	1	1	±0.3 %	±0.3 %	∞
Response Time	±0.8 %	R	1.73	1	1	±0.5 %	±0.5 %	∞
Integration Time	±2.6 %	R	1.73	1	1	±1.5 %	±1.5 %	∞
RF Ambient Noise	±3.0 %	R	1.73	1	1	±1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	±3.0 %	R	1.73	1	1	±1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞
Probe Positioner	±0.4 %	R	1.73	1	1	±0.2 %	±0.2 %	∞
Probe Positioning	±2.9 %	R	1.73	1	1	±1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞
Max. SAR Eval.	±2.0 %	R	1.73	1	1	±1.2 %	±1.2 %	∞
Test Sample Related								
Device Positioning	±2.9 %	N	1	1	1	±2.9 %	±2.9 %	145
Device Holder	±3.6 %	N	1	1	1	±3.6 %	±3.6 %	5
Power Drift	±5.0 %	R	1.73	1	1	±2.9 %	±2.9 %	∞
Power Scaling	±6 %	R	1.73	1	1	±3.5 %	± 3.5%	∞
Phantom and Setup								
Phantom Uncertainty	±6.1 %	R	1.73	1	1	±3.5 %	±3.5 %	∞
SAR correction	±1.9 %	R	1.73	1	0.84	±1.1 %	±0.9 %	∞
Liquid Conductivity (mea.)	±2.5 %	R	1.73	0.78	0.71	±1.1 %	±1.0 %	∞
Liquid Permittivity (mea.)	±2.5 %	R	1.73	0.26	0.26	±0.3 %	±0.4 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	±3.4 %	R	1.73	0.78	0.71	±1.5 %	±1.4 %	∞
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	±0.4 %	R	1.73	0.23	0.26	±0.1 %	±0.1 %	∞
Combined Std. Uncertainty						±11.7 %	±11.6 %	361
Expanded STD Uncertainty						**±23.4 %**	**±23.3 %**	

7. TEST RESULTS

7.1 Body-Worn Configuration, 0 mm separation distance

Band	Channel	Test Position**	Maximun Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Dudy Cycle	Measured SAR_{1g} [mW/g]	Scaling Factor	Reported SAR_{1g} [mW/g]	Plot #
UHF	26	Back surface	27	26.57	-0.48*	1:1	0.555	1.23	0.68	
UHF	1	Back surface	27	26.57	-0.45*	1:1	0.443	1.22	0.54	
UHF	52	Back surface	27	26.58	-0.43*	1:1	0.668	1.22	0.81	2

*Drift considered in the scaling factor

**The picture of the test position is presented in appendix A.

APPENDIX A: PHOTOS OF THE DUT



Front of the device



DUT back side toward phantom with 0 mm separation.

APPENDIX B: SYSTEM CHECK SCAN

Plot 1

Date/Time: 3.1.2018 16:56:19

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz D835V2; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN:455

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Communication System Band: D835 (835.0 MHz); Frequency: 835 MHz;Communication System PAR: 0 dB; PMF: 1
Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz; σ = 1.019 S/m; ε_r = 55.383; ρ = 1000 kg/m^3
Phantom section: Right Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7447; ConvF(10.23, 10.23, 10.23); Calibrated: 6.3.2017;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), z = 31.0
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn710; Calibrated: 25.1.2017
- Phantom: SAR1_Phantom 1_triple flat; Type: QD 000 P51 Cx; Serial: 28_March_2017
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7373)

System Performance Check /d=15mm, Pin=250 mW, dist=2.0mm (EX-Probe)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0:
Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 54.37 V/m; Power Drift = -0.10 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.65 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.49 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.64 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.13 W/kg



APPENDIX C: MEASUREMENT SCAN

Plot 2

Date/Time: 3.1.2018 18:52:50

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: EXA31; Type: RFID reader; Serial: N172100006

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Communication System Band: RFID; Frequency: 927.75 MHz;Communication System PAR: 0 dB; PMF: 1
Medium parameters used: f = 928 MHz; σ = 1.06 S/m; ε_r = 54.947; ρ = 1000 kg/m^3
Phantom section: Right Section
Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7447; ConvF(10.23, 10.23, 10.23); Calibrated: 6.3.2017;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), z = 31.0, -4.0
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn710; Calibrated: 25.1.2017
- Phantom: SAR1_Phantom 1_triple flat; Type: QD 000 P51 Cx; Serial: 28_March_2017
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7373)

Configuration/RFID 2 2/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 25.17 V/m; Power Drift = -0.43 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.945 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.668 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.433 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.723 W/kg

Configuration/RFID 2 2/Area Scan (81x61x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.744 W/kg



APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORTS

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner

S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Client **Verkotan**

Certificate No: **EX3-7447_Mar17**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object: EX3DV4 - SN:7447

Calibration procedure(s): QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-14.v4, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v6
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: March 6, 2017

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288/02289)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02289)	Apr-17
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	05-Apr-16 (No. 217-02293)	Apr-17
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	31-Dec-16 (No. ES3-3013_Dec16)	Dec-17
DAE4	SN: 660	7-Dec-16 (No. DAE4-660_Dec16)	Dec-17
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter E4419B	SN: GB41293874	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-16)	In house check: Jun-18
Power sensor E4412A	SN: MY41498087	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-16)	In house check: Jun-18
Power sensor E4412A	SN: 000110210	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-16)	In house check: Jun-18
RF generator HP 8648C	SN: US3642U01700	04-Aug-99 (in house check Jun-16)	In house check: Jun-18
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	SN: US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-16)	In house check: Oct-17

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: March 14, 2017

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:7447

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) [C]	Relative Permittivity [F]	Conductivity (S/m) [F]	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha [G]	Depth [G] (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	10.49	10.49	10.49	0.44	0.80	± 12.0 %
900	55.0	1.05	10.23	10.23	10.23	0.37	0.89	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	8.43	8.43	8.43	0.39	0.80	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	8.11	8.11	8.11	0.21	1.19	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	7.76	7.76	7.76	0.35	0.80	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	7.41	7.41	7.41	0.30	0.80	± 12.0 %
5250	48.9	5.36	4.54	4.54	4.54	0.40	1.90	± 13.1 %
5600	48.5	5.77	3.75	3.75	3.75	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %
5750	48.3	5.94	4.01	4.01	4.01	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %

[C] Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

[F] At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ε and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ε and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

[G] Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

APPENDIX E: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORTS

SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.165.29.17.SATU.A

VERKOTAN LTD.
ELEKTRONIIKKATIE 17
90590, OULU, FINLAND
SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 835 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: D835V2-455

Calibrated at MVG US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144

Calibration Date: 06/14/17

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

	Name	*Function*	*Date*	*Signature*
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/14/2017	
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	6/14/2017	
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	6/14/2017	

	Customer Name
Distribution :	Verkotan Ltd.

Issue	*Date*	*Modifications*
A	6/14/2017	Initial release

1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %		1.80 ±5 %	
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 40.0 sigma : 0.90
Distance between dipole center and liquid	15.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	835 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56	9.46 (0.95)	6.22	6.08 (0.61)
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	

3500	51.3 ±5 %		3.31 ±5 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 57.5 sigma : 0.96
Distance between dipole center and liquid	15.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	835 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
835	9.84 (0.98)	6.45 (0.65)