

Date: November 9, 2004

RE: Bridgestone Corporation
FCC ID: SBDITTWB315F02

Dear Mr. Timothy R. Johnson,

Regarding the above referenced application, please find our reply to your comments dated October 22, 2004:

- 1) We revised page 4 and 11 of test report.
- 2) As the manufacturer's specification was worse than the actual test result, we calculated duty cycle using manufacturer's specification. Please refer to the revised page 11 and 12 of test report.
- 3) We revised page 12 of test report.
- 4) We revised page 11 of test report.
- 5) Please refer to Item 5.4 on the page 7 of test report. We checked all 3 axes beforehand in order to confirm which one was the worse case.
- 6) Please refer to page 12 of test report where the specification provided from the manufacturer is listed.

Additional Information: We found that the equipment had Alarm mode, and therefore we added the information on page 12 of test report.

We hope the above reply is satisfactory.

If any problems, please let us know.

Best regards,

Yukari Ito (Ms.)
UL Apex Co., Ltd.

