


# KC WIREFREE LLC

## BLUETOOTH RADIO MODULE

Model: S22-42

13 May 2009

Report No.: SL09021204-KCW-002 (15.247)(S22-42)  
(This report supersedes NONE)



Modifications made to the product : None

This Test Report is Issued Under the Authority of:

Choon Sian Ooi Test Engineer	Leslie Bai Engineering Reviewer

This test report may be reproduced in full only.  
Test result presented in this test report is applicable to the representative sample only.

# EMC Test Report

To: FCC Part 15.247 & IC RSS210

**SIEMIC, INC.**  
Accessing global markets



## Laboratory Introduction

SIEMIC, headquartered in the heart of Silicon Valley, with superior facilities in US and Asia, is one of the leading independent testing and certification facilities providing customers with one-stop shop services for Compliance Testing and Global Certifications.




In addition to [testing](#) and [certification](#), SIEMIC provides initial design reviews and [compliance management](#) through out a project. Our extensive experience with [China](#), [Asia Pacific](#), [North America](#), [European](#), and [international](#) compliance requirements, assures the fastest, most cost effective way to attain regulatory compliance for the [global markets](#).

### Accreditations for Conformity Assessment


Country/Region	Accreditation Body	Scope
USA	FCC, A2LA	EMC , RF/Wireless , Telecom
Canada	IC, A2LA, NIST	EMC, RF/Wireless , Telecom
Taiwan	BSMI , NCC , NIST	EMC, RF, Telecom , Safety
Hong Kong	OFTA , NIST	RF/Wireless , Telecom
Australia	NATA, NIST	EMC, RF, Telecom , Safety
Korea	KCC/RRA, NIST	EMI, EMS, RF , Telecom, Safety
Japan	VCCI, JATE, TELEC, RFT	EMI, RF/Wireless, Telecom
Mexico	NOM, COFETEL, Caniety	Safety, EMC , RF/Wireless, Telecom
Europe	A2LA, NIST	EMC, RF, Telecom , Safety

### Accreditations for Product Certifications

Country	Accreditation Body	Scope
USA	FCC TCB, NIST	EMC , RF , Telecom
Canada	IC FCB , NIST	EMC , RF , Telecom
Singapore	iDA, NIST	EMC , RF , Telecom




This page has been left blank intentionally.



## CONTENTS

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY & EUT INFORMATION .....	6
2	TECHNICAL DETAILS .....	7
3	MODIFICATION .....	8
4	TEST SUMMARY .....	9
5	MEASUREMENTS, EXAMINATION AND DERIVED RESULTS .....	10
	ANNEX A. TEST INSTRUMENT & METHOD .....	29
	ANNEX B EUT AND TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS .....	29
	ANNEX C. TEST SETUP AND SUPPORTING EQUIPMENT .....	29
	ANNEX D USER MANUAL, BLOCK & CIRCUIT DIAGRAM .....	29



---

This page has been left blank intentionally.

## 1 Executive Summary & EUT information

The purpose of this test programme was to demonstrate compliance of the KC Wirefree LLC , Bluetooth radio Module, model: S22-42 against the current Stipulated Standards. The Bluetooth radio Module have demonstrated compliance with the FCC 15.247 2008.

### EUT Information

<b>EUT Description</b>	: This Bluetooth OEM Module is a Class 2 embedded Bluetooth module from KC Wirefree LLC. The module features; Bluetooth v2.1 compliant, 2.4 GHz Class 2 Radio, Onboard Antenna, and 8Mbit Flash Memory. This is a complete embedded Bluetooth compliant solution that contains a CSR Bluetooth chip, 26 MHz crystal, flash memory, and filters designed for performance and easy deployment. The module includes 14 programmable input/output lines, and offers high speed serial communications.
<b>Model No</b>	: S22-42
<b>Serial No</b>	: 30916 & 10226
<b>Input Power</b>	: 3.3Vdc
<b>Classification</b>	: Spread Spectrum System / Device
<b>Per Stipulated Test Standard</b>	



## 2 TECHNICAL DETAILS

Purpose	Compliance testing of Buletooth Radio Module with stipulated standard
Applicant / Client	KC Wirefree LLC
Manufacturer	KC Wirefree LLC 2640 W Medtronic Way. Tempe, AZ 85281
Laboratory performing the tests	SIEMIC Laboratories
Test report reference number	SL09021204-KCW-002 (15.247)(S22-42)
Date EUT received	07 May 2009
Standard applied	47 CFR §15.247 (2008)
Dates of test (from - to)	07 May 2009 - 12 May 2009
No of Units:	5
Equipment Category:	DSS
Trade Name:	KC Wirefree LLC
Model :	S22-42
RF Operating Frequency (ies)	2402 to 2480 MHz
Number of Channels :	79
Modulation :	GFSK
FCC ID :	S2242



### 3 MODIFICATION

NONE

## 4 TEST SUMMARY

The product was tested in accordance with the following specifications. All Testing has been performed according to below product classification:


Spread Spectrum System / Device

### Test Results Summary

Test Standard	Description	Pass / Fail
CFR 47 Part 15.247: 2007		
15.203	Antenna Requirement	Pass
15.205	Restricted Band of Operation	Pass
15.207(a)	Conducted Emissions Voltage	Pass
15.247(a)(1)	Channel Separation	Pass
15.247(a)(1)	Occupied Bandwidth	Pass
15.247(a)(2)	6 dB Bandwidth	N/A
15.247(a)(1)	Number of Hopping Channels	Pass
15.247(a)(1)	Time of Occupancy	Pass
15.247(b)	Output Power	Pass
15.247(c)	Antenna Gain > 6 dBi	Pass
15.247(d)	Conducted Spurious Emissions	Pass
15.209; 15.247(d)	Radiated Spurious Emissions	Pass
15.247(e)	Power Spectral Density	N/A
15.247(f)	Hybrid System Requirement	N/A
15.247(g)	Hopping Capability	Pass
15.247(h)	Hopping Coordination Requirement	Pass
15.247(i)	RF Exposure requirement	Pass

ANSI C63.4: 2003/ RSS-Gen Issue 2: 2007

PS: All measurement uncertainties are not taken into consideration for all presented test result.



## 5 MEASUREMENTS, EXAMINATION AND DERIVED RESULTS

### 5.1 Antenna Requirement

Requirement(s): 47 CFR §15.203

An intentional radiator shall be designed to ensure that no antenna other than that furnished by the responsible party shall be used with the device.

Antenna requirement must meet at least one of the following:

- a) Antenna must be permanently attached to the device.
- b) Antenna must use a unique type of connector to attach to the device.
- c) Device must be professionally installed. Installer shall be responsible for ensuring that the correct antenna is employed with the device.

The antenna is printed inverted antenna. Antenna maximum gain is 0.5dBi for 2400–2483.5 MHz band

## **5.2 Conducted Emissions Voltage**

Requirement :

Frequency of emission (MHz)	Conducted limit (dB $\mu$ V)	
	Quasi-peak	Average
0.15–0.5	66 to 56*	56 to 46*
0.5–5	56	46
5–30	60	50

\*Decreases with the logarithm of the frequency.

Procedures:

1. All possible modes of operation were investigated. Only the 6 worst case emissions measured, using the correct CISPR and Average detectors, are reported. All other emissions were relatively insignificant.
2. A "-ve" margin indicates a PASS as it refers to the margin present below the limit line at the particular frequency.
3. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty  
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 9kHz – 30MHz (Average & Quasi-peak) is  $\pm 3.5$ dB.
4. Environmental Conditions
 

Temperature	23°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar


Test Date : May 07-12 2009

Tested By :Choon Sian Ooi

Results: Note -


Average Limit

Quasi-Peak Limit



Phase Line Plot at 120Vac, 60Hz

Line Under Test	Freq. (MHz)	Corrected Amplitude (dBuV) QP	Limit (dBuV) QP	Margin (dB) QP	Corrected Amplitude (dBuV) AVG	Limit (dBuV) AVG	Margin (dB) AVG
Neutral	0.45	28.09	56.89	-28.80	23.35	46.89	-23.54
Neutral	0.27	30.76	61.34	-30.58	25.38	51.34	-25.95
Neutral	0.40	24.09	57.84	-33.76	19.41	47.84	-28.44
Neutral	4.61	21.77	56.00	-34.23	18.25	46.00	-27.75
Neutral	4.68	23.51	56.00	-32.49	18.94	46.00	-27.06
Neutral	4.78	21.27	56.00	-34.73	16.66	46.00	-29.34



Neutral Line Plot at 120Vac, 60Hz

Line Under Test	Freq. (MHz)	Corrected Amplitude (dBuV) QP	Limit (dBuV) QP	Margin (dB) QP	Corrected Amplitude (dBuV) AVG	Limit (dBuV) AVG	Margin (dB) AVG
Line	0.33	27.09	59.62	-32.52	22.04	49.62	-27.58
Line	4.41	27.75	56.00	-28.25	22.89	46.00	-23.11
Line	3.67	23.72	56.00	-32.28	19.79	46.00	-26.21
Line	4.28	23.32	56.00	-32.68	19.16	46.00	-26.84
Line	4.62	24.46	56.00	-31.54	20.38	46.00	-25.62
Line	0.45	26.90	56.96	-30.06	22.11	46.96	-24.86

## **5.3 Channel Separation**

**1. Conducted Measurement**

EUT was set for low , mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.

The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.

**2 Environmental Conditions**

Temperature	23°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar

**3 Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty**

All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 40GHz is  $\pm 1.5$ dB.

**4 Test Date : May 07-12 2009**

Tested By :Choon Sian Ooi


**Requirement(s): 47 CFR §15.247(a)(1)**

**Procedures:** The Channel Separation was measured conducted using a spectrum analyzer at low, mid, and hi channels.


Note: hopping channel carrier frequencies shall be separated by a minimum of 25 kHz or the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel.

Alternatively, frequency hopping systems operating in the 2400–2483.5 MHz band may have hopping channel carrier frequencies that are separated by 25 kHz or two-thirds of the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel, whichever is greater, provided the systems operate with an output power no greater than 125 mW.


Channel	Channel Frequency (MHz)	Channel Separation (MHz)
Low	2402	1.027
Mid	2441	1.033
High	2480	1.033




### Channel Separation - Low Channel




### Channel Separation – Mid Channel





### Channel Separation – High Channel



## **5.4 20dB & 99% Occupied Bandwidth**

1. Conducted Measurement

EUT was set for low , mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.  
The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.

2	Environmental Conditions	Temperature	23°C
		Relative Humidity	50%
		Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar

3. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty


All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz - 40GHz is  $\pm 1.5$ dB.

4. Test Date : May 07-12 2009  
Tested By :Choon Sian Ooi

**Requirement(s):** 47 CFR §15.247(a)(1)


**Procedures:** The 20dB bandwidths were measured conducted using a spectrum analyzer at low, mid, and hi channels.

Channel	Channel	20 dB Channel	99%
	Frequency (MHz)	Bandwidth (KHz)	Bandwidth (KHz)
Low	2402	788	871
Mid	2441	783	863
High	2480	804	854





Refer to the attached plots.

20 dB Bandwidth - Low Channel




20 dB Bandwidth - Mid Channel







### 20 dB Bandwidth - High Channel




### 99% Bandwidth - Low Channel






99% Bandwidth - Mid Channel



99% Bandwidth - High Channel



## **5.10 Number of Hopping Channel**

1. Conducted Measurement  
EUT was set for low , mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.  
The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty  
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 40GHz is  $\pm 1.5$ dB.
3. Environmental Conditions      Temperature 23°C  
    Relative Humidity 50%  
    Atmospheric Pressure 1019mbar
4. Test Date : May 07-12 2009  
Tested By :Choon Sian Ooi

**Standard Requirement :** 47 CFR §15.247(a)(1)


Frequency hopping systems in the 2400–2483.5 MHz band shall use at least 15 channels.

**Procedures:** The Number of Hopping Channel measurement was taken conducted using a spectrum analyzer.



RBW=100 KHz, VBW > RBW

**Test Result:**

Total Channel: 79 Channels



### Number of Hopping Channel



## 5.10 Time of Occupancy

1. Conducted Measurement  
EUT was set for low , mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.  
The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty  
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 40GHz is  $\pm 1.5\text{dB}$ .
3. Environmental Conditions
 

Temperature	23°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4. Test Date : May 07-12 2009  
Tested By :Choon Sian Ooi

**Standard Requirement :** 47 CFR §15.247(a)(1)


The average time of occupancy on any channel shall not be greater than 0.4 seconds within a period of 0.4 seconds multiplied by the number of hopping channels employed. Frequency hopping systems may avoid or suppress transmissions on a particular hopping frequency provided that a minimum of 15 channels are used.

**Procedures:** The Time of Occupancy measurement was taken conducted using a spectrum analyzer.


**Test Result:**

Channel	Channel Frequency (MHz)	Dwell Time (sec)	Limit (sec)
Low	2402	0.224	0.4
Mid	2441	0.192	0.4
High	2480	0.160	0.4


Note: *Dwell Time* = On-time \* number of times the specific channel on during 31.6sec sweep.




Low Channel (Sweep in 7.9 sec)




Low Channel (Sweep in 30msec)







Mid Channel (Sweep in 7.9 sec)




Mid Channel (Sweep in 30msec)






High Channel (Sweep in 7.9 sec)



High Channel (Sweep in 30msec)



## 5.10 Peak Output Power

1. Conducted Measurement  
EUT was set for low , mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.  
The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty  
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 40GHz is  $\pm 1.5$ dB.
3. Environmental Conditions
 

Temperature	23°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4. Test Date : May 07-12 2009  
Tested By :Choon Sian Ooi


Standard Requirement : 47 CFR §15.247(b)

**Procedures:** The peak output power was measured conducted using a spectrum analyzer at low, mid, and hi channels. Peak detector was set to measure the power output. The power is converted from watt to dBm, therefore, 1 watt = 30 dBm.


Note: For frequency hopping systems operating in the 2400–2483.5 MHz band employing at least 75 non-overlapping hopping channels, and all frequency hopping systems in the 5725–5850 MHz band: 1 watt.

**Test Result :**


Channel	Channel Frequency (MHz)	Measured Output Power (dBm)	Peak Output Power Limit (dBm)
Low	2402	4.00	30
Mid	2441	4.33	30
High	2480	4.67	30




### Output Power Low Channel




### Output Power Mid Channel





### Output Power High Channel



## 5.10 Antenna Port Emission


1. Conducted Measurement  
EUT was set for low, mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.  
The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty  
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 40GHz is  $\pm 1.5$ dB.
3. Environmental Conditions
 




Temperature	23°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4. Test Date : May 07-12 2009  
Tested By :Choon Sian Ooi

**Standard Requirement :** 47 CFR §15.247(d)

**Procedures:** The conducted spurious emissions were measured conducted using a spectrum analyzer at low, mid, and hi channels. The limit was determined by attenuating 20 dB of the RF peak power output

**Test Result:**





## 5.10 Radiated Spurious Emission < 1GHz

1. All possible modes of operation were investigated. Only the 6 worst case emissions measured, using the correct CISPR detectors, are reported. All other emissions were relatively insignificant.
2. A "-ve" margin indicates a PASS as it refers to the margin present below the limit line at the particular frequency.
3. Radiated Emissions Measurement Uncertainty  
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 1GHz & 1GHz above (3m & 10m) is +/-6dB.
4. Environmental Conditions      Temperature 23°C  
    Relative Humidity 50%  
    Atmospheric Pressure 1019mbar

Test Date : May 07-12 2009

Tested By :Choon Sian Ooi

### Standard Requirement : 47 CFR §15.247(d)


**Procedures:** Radiated emissions were measured according to ANSI C63.4. The EUT was set to transmit at the highest output power. The EUT was set to transmit at mid channel. Note that setting the channel other than mid, the spurious emissions are the same.

The limit is converted from microvolts/meter to decibel microvolts/meter.

Sample Calculation: Corrected Amplitude = Raw Amplitude(dB $\mu$ V/m) + ACF(dB) + Cable Loss(dB)

Test Result:

### Radiated Emission Plot (Transmit Mode)



### Test Data

Frequency (MHz)	Quasi-Peak (dB $\mu$ V/m)	Turntable position (deg)	Polarity	Antenna height (cm)	Limit (dB $\mu$ V/m)	Margin (dB)
105.72	30.05	41.00	V	401.00	43.50	-13.45
32.18	27.31	314.00	V	104.00	40.00	-12.69
659.35	27.96	317.00	H	182.00	46.00	-18.04
126.41	29.11	151.00	H	252.00	43.50	-14.39
794.30	30.81	294.00	H	141.00	46.00	-15.19
778.44	30.75	129.00	H	106.00	46.00	-15.25

## 5.10 Radiated Spurious Emissions > 1GHz & Band Edge

Test Date : February 23- February 27 2009  
Tested By :Choon Sian Ooi


Standard Requirement : 47 CFR §15.247(d)

**Procedures:** Equipment was setup in a semi-anechoic chamber. For measurements above 1 GHz an average measurement was taken with a 10Hz video bandwidth. The EUT was tested at low, mid and high with the highest output power. Investigated up to 10<sup>th</sup> harmonic of the operating frequency.

### Sample Calculation:

EUT Field Strength = Raw Amplitude(dB $\mu$ V/m) – Amplifier Gain(dB) + Antenna Factor(dB) + Cable Loss(dB) + Filter Attenuation(dB, if used)

## Test Result:



**@ 2402MHz @ 3 Meter**

Frequency	Reading	Direction	Height	Polar	Antenna Loss	Cable loss	Amplifier	Corrected Reading	15.247/15.209	15.247/15.209	
GHz	(dBuV/m)	Degree	Meter	H / V	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin	Comments
2.40	70.90	24.00	1.00	v	28.70	2.50	32.04	70.06	74.00	-3.94	Peak
2.40	67.31	102.00	1.00	h	28.70	2.50	32.04	66.47	74.00	-7.53	Peak
2.40	41.47	24.00	1.00	v	28.70	2.50	32.04	40.63	54.00	-13.37	Ave
2.40	40.28	180.00	1.30	h	28.70	2.50	32.04	39.44	54.00	-14.56	Ave
4.80	53.74	115.00	1.10	v	33.00	4.13	32.49	58.38	74.00	-15.63	Peak
4.80	53.21	235.00	1.70	h	33.00	4.13	32.49	57.85	74.00	-16.16	Peak
4.80	32.30	115.00	1.10	v	33.00	4.13	32.49	36.94	54.00	-17.07	Ave
4.80	33.01	235.00	1.70	h	33.00	4.13	32.49	37.65	54.00	-16.36	Ave

Emission was scanned up to 25GHz.

**@ 2441MHz @ 3Meter**

Frequency	Reading	Direction	Height	Polar	Antenna Loss	Cable loss	Amplifier	Corrected Reading	15.247/15.209	15.247/15.209	
GHz	(dBuV/m)	Degree	Meter	H / V	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin	Comments
4.88	56.65	360.00	1.20	v	33.00	4.13	32.49	61.29	74.00	-12.72	Peak
4.88	54.50	280.00	1.20	h	33.00	4.13	32.49	59.14	74.00	-14.87	Peak
4.88	34.12	360.00	1.00	v	33.00	4.13	32.49	38.76	54.00	-15.25	Ave
4.88	33.41	280.00	1.20	h	33.00	4.13	32.49	38.05	54.00	-15.96	Ave

Emission was scanned up to 25GHz.

**@ 2480MHz @ 3Meter**

Frequency	Reading	Direction	Height	Polar	Antenna Loss	Cable loss	Amplifier	Corrected Reading	15.247/15.209	15.247/15.209	
GHz	(dBuV/m)	Degree	Meter	H / V	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin	Comments
4.96	56.92	62.00	1.30	v	33.00	4.13	32.49	61.56	74.00	-12.45	Peak
4.96	51.05	78.00	1.40	h	33.00	4.13	32.49	55.69	74.00	-18.32	Peak
4.96	31.79	62.00	1.30	v	33.00	4.13	32.49	36.43	54.00	-17.58	Ave
4.96	29.17	78.00	1.40	h	33.00	4.13	32.49	33.81	54.00	-20.20	Ave
2.48	54.00	190.00	1.10	v	28.70	2.50	32.04	53.16	74.00	-20.84	Peak
2.48	49.76	271.00	1.70	h	28.70	2.50	32.04	48.92	74.00	-25.08	Peak
2.48	33.50	190.00	1.10	v	28.70	2.50	32.04	32.66	54.00	-21.34	Ave
2.48	32.00	271.00	1.70	h	28.70	2.50	32.04	31.16	54.00	-22.84	Ave

Emission was scanned up to 25GHz.

## Annex A. TEST INSTRUMENT & METHOD

### Annex A.i. TEST INSTRUMENTATION & GENERAL PROCEDURES

Instrument	Manufacturer	Model	CAL Due Date
Spectrum Analyzer	HP	8564E	04/26/2010
EMI Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB 40	4/25/2010
R&S LISN	R&S	ESH2-Z5	04/24/2010
CHASE LISN	Chase	MN2050B	04/24/2010
Antenna(1 - 18GHz)	Emco	3115	01/04/2010
Antenna (30MHz~2GHz)	Sunol Sciences	JB1	01/04/2010
Chamber	Lingren	3m	04/18/2010
Pre-Amplifier(1 ~ 26GHz)	HP	8449	04/24/2010
Horn Antenna (18~40GHz)	Com Power	AH-840	03/19/2010
Microwave Pre-Amp (18~40GHz)	Com Power	PA-840	03/19/2010*

Note: No calibration required.

\* Or Pre-determined used hours, whichever meet first.

## Annex A.ii. CONDUCTED EMISSIONS TEST DESCRIPTION

### Test Set-up

1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up in accordance with the requirements of the standard on top of a 1.5m x 1m x 0.8m high, non-metallic table, as shown in Annex B.
2. The power supply for the EUT was fed through a  $50\Omega/50\mu\text{H}$  EUT LISN, connected to filtered mains.
3. The RF OUT of the EUT LISN was connected to the EMI test receiver via a low-loss coaxial cable.
4. All other supporting equipments were powered separately from another main supply.

### Test Method

1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition.
2. A scan was made on the NEUTRAL line (for AC mains) or Earth line (for DC power) over the required frequency range using an EMI test receiver.
3. High peaks, relative to the limit line, were then selected.
4. The EMI test receiver was then tuned to the selected frequencies and the necessary measurements made with a receiver bandwidth setting of 10 KHz. For FCC tests, only Quasi-peak measurements were made; while for CISPR/EN tests, both Quasi-peak and Average measurements were made.
5. Steps 2 to 4 were then repeated for the LIVE line (for AC mains) or DC line (for DC power).

### Sample Calculation Example

At 20 MHz

limit =  $250 \mu\text{V} = 47.96 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}$

Transducer factor of LISN, pulse limiter & cable loss at 20 MHz = 11.20 dB

Q-P reading obtained directly from EMI Receiver =  $40.00 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}$   
(Calibrated for system losses)

Therefore, Q-P margin =  $47.96 - 40.00 = 7.96$

i.e. **7.96 dB below limit**

### Annex A. iii RADIATED EMISSIONS TEST DESCRIPTION


#### EUT Characterisation

EUT characterisation, over the frequency range from 30MHz to 10<sup>th</sup> Harmonic, was done in order to minimise radiated emissions testing time while still maintaining high confidence in the test results.

The EUT was placed in the chamber, at a height of about 0.8m on a turntable. Its radiated emissions frequency profile was observed, using a spectrum analyzer / receiver with the appropriate broadband antenna placed 3m away from the EUT. Radiated emissions from the EUT were maximised by rotating the turntable manually, changing the antenna polarisation and manipulating the EUT cables while observing the frequency profile on the spectrum analyzer / receiver. Frequency points at which maximum emissions occurred, clock frequencies and operating frequencies were then noted for the formal radiated emissions test at the Open Area Test Site (OATS).

#### Test Set-up

1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up in accordance with the requirements of the standard on top of a 1.5m X 1.0m X 0.8m high, non-metallic table.
2. The filtered power supply for the EUT and supporting equipment were tapped from the appropriate power sockets located on the turntable.
3. The relevant broadband antenna was set at the required test distance away from the EUT and supporting equipment boundary.



### Test Method

The following procedure was performed to determine the maximum emission axis of EUT:

1. With the receiving antenna is H polarization, rotate the EUT in turns with three orthogonal axes to determine the axis of maximum emission.
2. With the receiving antenna is V polarization, rotate the EUT in turns with three orthogonal axes to determine the axis of maximum emission.
3. Compare the results derived from above two steps. So, the axis of maximum emission from EUT was determined and the configuration was used to perform the final measurement.

### Final Radiated Emission Measurement

1. Setup the configuration according to figure 1. Turn on EUT and make sure that it is in normal function.
2. For emission frequencies measured below 1 GHz, a pre-scan is performed in a shielded chamber to determine the accurate frequencies of higher emissions will be checked on a open test site. As the same purpose, for emission frequencies measured above 1 GHz, a pre-scan also be performed with a 1 meter measuring distance before final test.
3. For emission frequencies measured below and above 1 GHz, set the spectrum analyzer on a 100 kHz and 1 MHz resolution bandwidth respectively for each frequency measured in step 2.
4. The search antenna is to be raised and lowered over a range from 1 to 4 meters in horizontally polarized orientation. Position the highness when the highest value is indicated on spectrum analyzer, then change the orientation of EUT on test table over a range from 0° to 360° with a speed as slow as possible, and keep the azimuth that highest emission is indicated on the spectrum analyzer. Vary the antenna position again and record the highest value as a final reading.
5. Repeat step 4 until all frequencies need to be measured were complete.
6. Repeat step 5 with search antenna in vertical polarized orientations.

During the radiated emission test, the Spectrum Analyzer was set with the following configurations:

Frequency Band (MHz)	Function	Resolution bandwidth	Video Bandwidth
30 to 1000	Peak	100 kHz	100 kHz
Above 1000	Peak	1 MHz	1 MHz
	Average	1 MHz	10 Hz

### Sample Calculation Example

The field strength is calculated by adding the Antenna Factor and Cable Factor, and subtracting the Amplifier Gain (if any) from the measured reading. For the limit is employed average value, therefore the peak value can be transferred to average value by subtracting the duty factor. The basic equation with a sample calculation is as follows:

$$\text{Peak} = \text{Reading} + \text{Corrected Factor}$$

where

$$\text{Corr. Factor} = \text{Antenna Factor} + \text{Cable Factor} - \text{Amplifier Gain (if any)}$$


And the average value is

$$\text{Average} = \text{Peak Value} + \text{Duty Factor or}$$

$$\text{Set RBW} = 1\text{MHz}, \text{VBW} = 10\text{Hz}.$$

Note :

If the measured frequencies are fall in the restricted frequency band, the limit employed must be quasi peak value when frequencies are below or equal to 1 GHz. And the measuring instrument is set to quasi peak detector function.



## Annex B EUT AND TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

Please see the attachment

## Annex C. TEST SETUP AND SUPPORTING EQUIPMENT


### EUT TEST CONDITIONS

#### Annex C. i. SUPPORTING EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION


The following is a description of supporting equipment and details of cables used with the EUT.

Equipment Description (Including Brand Name)	Model & Serial Number	Cable Description (List Length, Type & Purpose)
Laptop PC	Compaq	Serial cable, 1 meter.

### Block Configuration Diagram for Radiated Emission



## Block Configuration Diagram for Conducted Emission





## Annex C.ii. EUT OPERATING CONDITIONS

The following is the description of how the EUT is exercised during testing.

Test	Description Of Operation
Emissions Testing	The EUT was controlled via PC Using manufacturer's program.
Others Testing	TX mode is normal mode with full power.




Title: RF Test Report of KC Wirefree LLC , model : S22-42  
To FCC 15.247 2008

Serial# SL09021204-KCW-002 (15.247)(S22-42)  
Issue Date 13 May 2009  
Page 44 of 55  
www.siemic.com

## Annex D USER MANUAL, BLOCK & CIRCUIT DIAGRAM

Please see attachment



## Annex E. SIEMIC ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATES

### SIEMIC ACCREDITATION DETAILS: A2LA Lab Code: 2742.01





## SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: ISO Guide 65 for US TCB



### THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR LABORATORY ACCREDITATION

#### ACCREDITED PRODUCT CERTIFICATION BODY

A2LA has accredited

**SIEMIC INC.**

San Jose, CA

for technical competence as a  
**Product Certification Body**


This product certification body is accredited in accordance with the recognized International Standard ISO/IEC Guide 65:1996 *General requirements for bodies operating product certification systems*. This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a quality management system for a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB) meeting FCC (U.S.), IDA (Singapore) and IC (Canada) requirements.



Presented this 9<sup>th</sup> day of January 2009.

President  
For the Accreditation Council  
Certificate Number: 2742.02  
Valid to: September 30, 2010

For the product certification schemes to which this accreditation applies,  
please refer to the certification body's Scope of Accreditation.



### SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION TO ISO/IEC GUIDE 65:1996

SIEMIC INC.  
2206 Ringwood Ave.  
San Jose, CA 95131  
Mr. Snell Leong (Authorized Representative) Phone: 408 526 1188  
[www.siemic.com](http://www.siemic.com)

### PRODUCT CERTIFICATION CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT BODY (CAB)

Valid to: September 30, 2010

Certificate Number: 2742.02

In recognition of the successful completion of the A2LA Certification Body Accreditation Program evaluation, including the US Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Industry Canada (IC) and Singapore (IDA) requirements for the indicated types of product certifications, accreditation is granted to this organization to perform the following product certification schemes:

#### Economy Scope

#### Federal Communication Commission - (FCC)

Unlicensed Radio Frequency Devices	A1, A2, A3, A4
Licensed Radio Frequency Devices	B1, B2, B3, B4
Telephone Terminal Equipment	C

*\*Please refer to FCC TCB Program Roles and Responsibilities, v04, released February 14, 2008 detailing scopes, roles and responsibilities. <http://www.fcc.gov/oet/ea/FCC-Overview-TCB-Program.pdf>*

#### Industry Canada - (IC)

Radio	All Radio Standards Specifications (RSS) in Category I Equipment Standards List Radio
-------	--

*\*Please refer to Industry Canada (IC) website at: [http://www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/h\\_sf01342e.html](http://www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/h_sf01342e.html)*

#### IDA – Singapore

Line Terminal Equipment	All Technical Specifications for Line Terminal Equipment – Table 1 of IDA MRA Recognition Scheme: 2008, Annex 2
Radio-Communication Equipment	All Technical Specifications for Radio-Communication Equipment – Table 2 of IDA MRA Recognition Scheme: 2008, Annex 2

*\*Please refer to Info-Communication Development Authority (IDA) Singapore website at: [http://www.ida.gov.sg/doc/Policies%20and%20Regulation/Policies\\_and\\_Regulation\\_Level2/20060609145118/MRA\\_RecScheme.pdf](http://www.ida.gov.sg/doc/Policies%20and%20Regulation/Policies_and_Regulation_Level2/20060609145118/MRA_RecScheme.pdf)*



## SIEMIC ACCREDITATION DETAILS: FCC Registration No. 783147

### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Laboratory Division  
7435 Oakland Mills Road  
Columbia, MD 21046

December 20, 2007

Registration Number: 783147

SIEMIC Laboratories  
2206 Ringwood Avenue,  
San Jose, CA 95131

Attention: Leslie Bai

Re: Measurement facility located at San Jose  
3 & 10 meter site  
Date of Renewal: December 20, 2007

Dear Sir or Madam:

Your request for renewal of the registration of the subject measurement facility has been received. The information submitted has been placed in your file and the registration has been renewed. The name of your organization will remain on the list of facilities whose measurement data will be accepted in conjunction with applications for Certification under Parts 15 or 18 of the Commission's Rules. Please note that the file must be updated for any changes made to the facility and the registration must be renewed at least every three years.


Measurement facilities that have indicated that they are available to the public to perform measurement services on a fee basis may be found on the FCC website [www.fcc.gov](http://www.fcc.gov) under E-Filing, OET Equipment Authorization Electronic Filing, Test Firms.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Parrish  
Industry Analyst



## SIEMIC ACCREDITATION DETAILS: Industry of Canada Registration No. 4842-1



May 23rd, 2008

OUR FILE: 46405-4842  
Submission No: 126429

Siemic Inc.  
2206 Ringwood Ave.  
San Jose CA 95131  
USA

*Attention:* Leslie Bai

Dear Sir/Madame:

The Bureau has received your application for the registration / renewal of a 3/10m OATS. Be advised that the information received was satisfactory to Industry Canada. The following number(s) is now associated to the site(s) for which registration / renewal was sought **(4842A-1)**. Please reference the appropriate site number in the body of test reports containing measurements performed on the site. In addition, please be informed that the Bureau is now utilizing a **new site numbering scheme** in order to simplify the electronic filing process. Our goal is to reduce the number of secondary codes associated to one particular company. The following changes have been made to your record.

- Your primary code is: **4842**
- The company number associated to the site(s) located at the above address is: **4842A**
- The table below is a summary of the changes made to the unique site registration number(s):

New Site Number	Obsolete Site Number	Description of Site	Expiry Date (YYYY-MM-DD)
4842A-1	4842-1	3m Chamber	2010-05-23

Furthermore, to obtain or renew a unique site number, the applicant shall demonstrate that the site has been accredited to ANSI C63.4-2003 or later. A scope of accreditation indicating the accreditation by a recognized accreditation body to ANSI C63.4-2003 shall be accepted. Please indicate in a letter the previous assigned site number if applicable and the type of site (example: 3 meter OATS or 3 meter chamber). If the test facility is not accredited to ANSI C63.4-2003 or later, the test facility shall submit test data demonstrating full compliance with the ANSI standard. The Bureau will evaluate the filing to determine if recognition shall be granted.

The frequency for re-validation of the test site and the information that is required to be filed or retained by the testing party shall comply with the requirements established by the accrediting organization. However, in all cases, test site re-validation shall occur on an interval not to exceed two years. There is no fee or form associated with an OATS filing. OATS submissions are encouraged to be submitted electronically to the Bureau using the following URL;  
[http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/ineeb-bhst.nsf/en/h\\_tt00052e.html](http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/ineeb-bhst.nsf/en/h_tt00052e.html).

If you have any questions, you may contact the Bureau by e-mail at [certification\\_bureau@ic.gc.ca](mailto:certification_bureau@ic.gc.ca). Please reference our file and submission number above for all correspondence.

Yours sincerely,

S. Proulx  
Test & Measurement Specialist  
Certification and Engineering Bureau  
3701 Carling Ave., Building 94  
Ottawa, Ontario K2H 8S2



## SIEMIC ACCREDITATION DETAILS: Australia CAB ID : US0160



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**National Institute of Standards and Technology**  
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899.

November 20, 2008

Mr. Leslie Bai  
SIEMIC, Inc.  
2206 Ringwood Avenue  
San Jose, CA 95131

Dear Mr. Bai:

NIST is pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been recognized by the Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation for Telecommunications Equipment Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APEC Tel MRA). Your laboratory is now designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, **Phase I** Procedures, of the APEC Tel MRA. The pertinent information about your laboratory's designation is as follows:

CAB Name: Siemic, Inc.  
Physical Location: 2206 Ringwood Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131  
Identification No.: US0160  
Recognized Scope: EMC: AS/NZS 4251.1 (until 5/31/2009), AS/NZS 4251.2 (until 5/31/2009), AS/NZS CISPR 11, AS/NZS CISPR 14.1, AS/NZS CISPR 22, AS/NZS 61000.6.3, AS/NZS 61000.6.4  
Radiocommunications: AS/NZS 4281, AS/NZS 4268, AS/NZS 4280.1, AS/NZS 4280.2, AS/NZS 4295, AS/NZS 4582, AS/NZS 4583, AS/NZS 4769.1, AS/NZS 4769.2, AS/NZS 4770, AS/NZS 4771  
Telecommunications: AS/ACIF S002:05, AS/ACIF S003:06, AS/ACIF S004:06, AS/ACIF S006:01, AS/ACIF S016:01, AS/ACIF S031:01, AS/ACIF S038:01, AS/ACIF S040:01, AS/ACIF S041:05, AS/ACIF S043.2:06, AS/NZS 60950.1

You may submit test data to ACMA to verify that the equipment to be imported into Australia satisfies the applicable requirements. The designation of your organization will remain in force as long as its accreditation for the designated scope remains valid and comply with the designation requirements. Recognized CABs are listed on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra>. Please contact Ms. Ramona Saar, at (301) 975-5521 or [ramona.saar@nist.gov](mailto:ramona.saar@nist.gov) if you have questions.

Sincerely,

David F. Alderman  
Group Leader, Standards Coordination and Conformity Group  
Standards Services Division

Enclosure

cc: Snell Leong, Siemic, Inc.; Ramona Saar, NIST



## SIEMIC ACCREDITATION DETAILS: Korea CAB ID: US0160



October 1, 2008

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**National Institute of Standards and Technology**  
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

Mr. Leslie Bai  
SIEMIC, Inc.  
2206 Ringwood Avenue  
San Jose, CA 95131

Dear Mr. Bai:

NIST is pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been recognized by the Radio Research Agency (RRA) Korea Communications Commission (KCC) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation for Telecommunications Equipment Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APEC Tel MRA). Your laboratory is now designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, **Phase I** Procedures, of the APEC Tel MRA. The pertinent information about your laboratory's designation is as follows:

CAB Name: SIEMIC, Inc.  
Physical Location: 2206 Ringwood Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131  
Identification No.: US0160  
Recognized Scope: **EMI:** KCC Notice 2008-39, RRL Notice 2008-3: CA Procedures for EMI  
KN22: Test Method for EMI  
**EMS:** KCC Notice 2008-38, RRL Notice 2008-4: CA Procedures for EMS  
KN24, KN-61000-4-2, -4-3, -4-4, -4-5, -4-6, -4-8, -4-11: Test Method for EMS  
**Wireless:** RRL Notice 2008-26, RRL Notice 2008-2, RRL Notice 2008-10,  
RRL Notice 2007-49, RRL Notice 2007-20, RRL Notice 2007-21,  
RRL Notice 2007-80, RRL Notice 2004-68  
**Wired:** President Notice 20664, RRL Notice 2007-30,  
RRL Notice 2008-7 with attachments 1, 3, 5, 6  
President Notice 20664, RRL Notice 2008-7 with attachment 4

You may submit test data to RRA/KCC to verify that the equipment to be imported into Korea satisfies the applicable requirements. The designation of your organization will remain in force as long as its accreditation for the designated scope remains valid and comply with the designation requirements.

Recognized CABs are listed on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra>. If you have any questions please contact Ramona Saar at (301) 975-5521 or [ramona.saar@nist.gov](mailto:ramona.saar@nist.gov).

Sincerely,

David F. Alderman  
Group Leader, Standards Coordination and Conformity Group  
Standards Services Division

Enclosure

cc: Ramona Saar

**NIST**



## SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: Taiwan BSMI Accreditation No. SL2-IN-E-1130R



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Institute of Standards and Technology  
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20889

May 3, 2006

Mr. Leslie Bai  
SIEMIC Laboratories  
2206 Ringwood Avenue  
San Jose, CA 95131

Dear Mr. Bai:

I am pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been recognized by the Chinese Taipei's Bureau of Standards, Metrology, and Inspection (BSMI) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA). Your laboratory is now designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, **Phase I** Procedures, of the APEC Tel MRA. You may submit test data to BSMI to verify that the equipment to be imported into Chinese Taipei satisfies the applicable requirements. The designation of your organization will remain in force as long as its accreditation for the designated scope remains valid and comply with the designation requirements. The pertinent designation information is as follows:

- BSMI number: **SL2-IN-E-1130R** (Must be applied to the test reports)
- U.S. Identification No: **US0160**
- Scope of Designation: **CNS 13438**
- Authorized signatory: **Mr. Leslie Bai**


The names of all recognized CABs will be posted on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra>. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Dhillon at 301-975-5521. We appreciate your continued interest in our international conformity assessment activities.

Sincerely,

David F. Alderman  
Group Leader, Standards Coordination and Conformity Group

cc: Joginder Dhillon

**NIST**



## SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: Taiwan NCC CAB ID: US0160



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Institute of Standards and Technology  
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

November 25, 2008

Mr. LeslieBai  
SIEMIC, Inc.  
2206 Ringwood Avenue  
San Jose, CA 95131

Dear Mr. Bai:

NIST is pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been recognized by the National Communications Commission (NCC) for the requested scope expansion under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation for Telecommunications Equipment Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APEC Tel MRA). Your laboratory is designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, **Phase I** Procedures, of the APEC Tel MRA. The pertinent information about your laboratory's designation is as follows:

CAB Name: SIEMIC, Inc.  
Physical Location: 2206 Ringwood Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131  
Identification No.: US0160  
Current Scope: LP0002  
Additional Scope: PSTN01, ADSL01, ID0002, IS6100 and CNS 14336

You may submit test data to NCC to verify that the equipment to be imported into China satisfies the applicable requirements. The designation of your organization will remain in force as long as its accreditation for the designated scope remains valid and comply with the designation requirements.

Recognized CABs are listed on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra>. If you have any questions please contact Ramona Saar at (301) 975-5521 or [ramona.saar@nist.gov](mailto:ramona.saar@nist.gov).

Sincerely,

David F. Alderman  
Group Leader, Standards Coordination and Conformity Group  
Standards Services Division

Enclosure

cc: Ramona Saar



## SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: Mexico NOM Recognition



CAMARA NACIONAL  
DE LA INDUSTRIA  
ELECTRONICA, DE  
TELÉCOMUNICACIONES  
E INFORMÁTICA

### Laboratorio Valentín V. Rivero

México D.F. a 18 de octubre de 2006.

LESLIE BAI  
DIRECTOR OF CERTIFICATION  
SIEMIC LABORATORIES, INC.  
ACCESSING GLOBAL MARKETS  
P R E S E N T E

En contestación a su escrito de fecha 5 de septiembre del año en curso, le comento que estamos muy interesados en su intención de firmar un Acuerdo de Reconocimiento Mutuo, para lo cual adjunto a este escrito encontrara el Acuerdo en idioma inglés y español llenado de los cuales le pido sea revisado y en su caso corregido, para que si esta de acuerdo poder firmarlo para mandarlo con las autoridades Mexicanas para su visto bueno y así poder ejercer dicho acuerdo.


Aprovecho este escrito para mencionarle que nuestro intermediario gestor será la empresa Isabel de México, S. A. de C. V., empresa que ha colaborado durante mucho tiempo con nosotros en lo relacionado a la evaluación de la conformidad y que cuenta con amplia experiencia en la gestoría de la certificación de cumplimiento con Normas Oficiales Mexicanas de producto en México.

Me despido de usted enviándole un cordial saludo y esperando sus comentarios al Acuerdo que nos ocupa.

Atentamente:

  
Ing. Faustino Gómez González  
Gerente Técnico del Laboratorio de  
CANIETI.

Callejas 71  
Hacienda Condessa  
C81100 Mexico, D.F.  
Tel. 5264-0306 con 12 líneas  
Fax 5264-0498  
www.canieti.org



## SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: Hong Kong OFTA CAB ID : US0160



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**National Institute of Standards and Technology**  
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899-

December 8, 2008

Mr. Leslie Bai  
SIEMIC, Inc.  
2206 Ringwood Avenue  
San Jose, CA 95131

Dear Mr. Bai:

NIST is pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been recognized by the Office of the Telecommunications Authority (OFTA) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation for Telecommunications Equipment Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APEC Tel MRA). Your laboratory is now designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, **Phase I** Procedures, of the APEC Tel MRA. The pertinent information about your laboratory's designation is as follows:

CAB Name: SIEMIC, Inc.  
Physical Location: 2206 Ringwood Avenue, San Jose, California 95131 USA  
Identification No.: US0160  
Recognized Scope: **Radio:** HKTA 1002, 1007, 1008, 1010, 1015, 1016, 1020, 1022, 1026, 1027, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1039, 1041, 1042, 1043, 1044, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1051  
**Telecom:** HKTA 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2017, 2018, 2022, 2024, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033

You may submit test data to OFTA to verify that the equipment to be imported into Hong Kong satisfies the applicable requirements. The designation of your organization will remain in force as long as its accreditation for the designated scope remains valid and comply with the designation requirements.

Recognized CABs are listed on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra>. If you have any questions please contact Ramona Saar at (301) 975-5521 or [ramona.saar@nist.gov](mailto:ramona.saar@nist.gov).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "David F. Alderman".

David F. Alderman  
Group Leader, Standards Coordination and Conformity Group  
Standards Services Division

Enclosure

cc: Ramona Saar

The NIST logo, consisting of the letters "NIST" in a bold, sans-serif font.