



FCC SAR Test Report

FCC ID:RWO-RZ090484

Report No. : BTL-FCC SAR-1-2211C022

Equipment : Notebook PC Model Name : RZ09-0485 Applicant : Razer Inc.

Address : 9 Pasteur, Suite 100, Irvine, CA92618, USA. Radio Function : Bluetooth, WLAN 2.4G, WLAN 5G, WLAN 6E

Standard(s) : KDB447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01

KDB248227 D01 802.11 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02

KDB865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04

KDB865664 D02 SAR Reporting v01r02

KDB616217 D04 SAR for laptop and Tablets v01r02

FCC§2.1093 Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices IEEE C95.1:2019 Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio

Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz - 300 GHz.

IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020 Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Part 1528: Human models, instrumentation, and procedures(Frequency range of 4 MHz to

10 GHz)

Date of Receipt : Nov. 8, 2022

Date of Test : Nov.29, 2022~Dec.2, 2022

Issued Date : Feb.20, 2023

The above equipment has been tested and found in compliance with the requirement of the above standards by BTL Inc.

Prepared by :

Jerry Chuang, Supervisor

ilac-MRA



Approved by :

Peter Chen, Assistant Manager

BTL Inc.

No.18, Ln. 171, Sec. 2, Jiuzong Rd., Neihu Dist., Taipei City 114, Taiwan

Tel: +886-2-2657-3299 Fax: +886-2-2657-3331 Web: www.newbtl.com



Declaration

BTL represents to the client that testing is done in accordance with standard procedures as applicable and that test instruments used has been calibrated with standards traceable to international standard(s) and/or national standard(s).

BTL's reports apply only to the specific samples tested under conditions. It is manufacture's responsibility to ensure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with the identical electrical and mechanical components. **BTL** shall have no liability for any declarations, inferences or generalizations drawn by the client or others from **BTL** issued reports.

This report is the confidential property of the client. As a mutual protection to the clients, the public and ourselves, the test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without our written approval.

BTL's laboratory quality assurance procedures are in compliance with the ISO/IEC 17025 requirements, and accredited by the conformity assessment authorities listed in this test report.

BTL is not responsible for the sampling stage, so the results only apply to the sample as received.

The information, data and test plan are provided by manufacturer which may affect the validity of results, so it is manufacturer's responsibility to ensure that the apparatus meets the essential requirements of applied standards and in all the possible configurations as representative of its intended use.

Limitation

For the use of the authority's logo is limited unless the Test Standard(s)/Scope(s)/Item(s) mentioned in this test report is (are) included in the conformity assessment authorities acceptance respective.

Please note that the measurement uncertainty is provided for informational purpose only and are not use in determining the Pass/Fail results.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 2 of 54 Report Version: R02





Table of Contents	Page
1. GENERAL INFORMATION	6
1.1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT	6
2. RF EMISSIONS MEASUREMENT	7
2.1. TEST FACILITY	7
2.2. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	7
2.3. WLAN ANTENNA INFORMATION:	11
2.4. THE MAXIMUM SAR-1G VALUES	12
2.5. LABORATORY ENVIRONMENT	12
2.6. MAIN TEST INSTRUMENTS	13
3. SAR MEASUREMENTS SYSTEM CONFIGURATION	14
3.1. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP	14
3.1.1. TEST SETUP LAYOUT	14
3.2. DASY5 E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM	15
3.2.1. EX3DV4 PROBE SPECIFICATION	15
3.2.2. E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION	16
3.2.3. OTHER TEST EQUIPMENT	17
3.2.4. SCANNING PROCEDURE	18
3.2.5. DATA STORAGE AND EVALUATION	19
3.2.6. DATA EVALUATION BY SEMCAD	20
4. TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID	22
4.1. TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID INGREDIENTS	22
4.2. TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID PROPERTIES	23
5. SYSTEM CHECK	25
5.1. DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM CHECK	25
5.2. DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM CHECK	26
5.3. POWER DENSITY SYSTEM CHECK	26
6. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST	27
6.1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF TEST PROCEDURES	27
6.2. TEST POSITION ANTENNA LOCATION	27
6.3. TEST POSITION OF PORTABLE DEVICES	27
6.4. TEST POSITION	28
6.4.1. BODY TEST CONFIGURATION	28
7. SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY AND UNCERTAINTY	30
7.1. SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY	30
7.2. TEST CONFIGURATION	31
7.2.1. WIFI TEST CONFIGURATION	31
7.2.2. WLAN 2.4G SAR TEST REQUIREMENTS	33
7.2.3. WLAN 5G SAR TEST REQUIREMENTS	34
7.2.4. OFDM TRANSMISSION MODE AND SAR TEST CHANNEL SELECTION 7.2.5. INITIAL TEST CONFIGURATION PROCEDURE	34 34
8. CONDUCTED POWER RESULTS	3 4 35
6. CONDUCTED FOWER RESULTS	ან





8.1. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENT RESULTS OF BLUETOOTH	35
8.2. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF WI-FI 2.4GHZ BAND	36
8.3. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 5G UNII_1	37
8.4. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 5G UNII_2A	38
8.5. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 5G UNII_2C	39
8.6. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 5G UNII_3	40
8.7. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 6E UNII_5	41
8.8. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 6E UNII_6	42
8.9. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 6E UNII_7	43
8.10. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 6E UNII_8	44
8.11. SAR TEST RESULTS	45
9. SAR TEST RESULTS	46
9.1. BODY SAR TEST RESULTS	46
10. SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS	50
10.1. STAND-ALONE SAR TEST EXCLUSION	50
10.2. SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS	51
10.3. ABOUT BT/WIFI	52
11. TEST LAYOUT	53



REPORT ISSUED HISTORY							
Report Version Description Issue							
R00	Original Issue.	2022/12/6					
R01 Revised Uncertainty, Add APD and iPD ratio		2023/2/10					
R02	Revised Uncertainty for psAPD	2023/2/20					

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 5 of 54 Report Version: R02



1. GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT

Equipment	Notebook PC	Notebook PC				
Model Name	RZ09-0485	RZ09-0485				
Brand Name	RAZER					
Power Rating	Brand:RAZER M/N:RC30-024801 I/P:100V-240V~3.6A O/P:19.5V 11.8A	M/N:RC30-024801 I/P:100V-240V~3.6A 50/60Hz				
Battery Information	Model Name:RC30-0 Rated Capacity:5209 Rated Voltage:15.4V	mAh/80Wh				
WIFI+BT Module	AX211NGW		_			
Operation Frequency	Function	Band 2.4G 5G_UNII 1 5G_UNII 2a 5G_UNII 2c 5G_UNII 3 6E_UNII 5 6E_UNII 7	Frequency (MHz) TX: 2412 - 2472 MHz TX: 5180 - 5250 MHz TX: 5250 - 5350 MHz TX: 5500 - 5700 MHz TX: 5745 - 5825 MHz TX: 5925 - 6425 MHz TX: 6425 - 6525 MHz TX: 6525 - 6875 MHz			
	Bluetooth	6E_UNII 8 Basic Rate (BR) Enhance Data Rate Bluetooth Low Energy	TX: 6875 – 7125 MHz TX: 2402 - 2480 MHz			
Sample Status	Engineering Sample					
EUT Modification(s)	N/A		h - malatina atau danda hii DTI lina			

The above equipment has been tested and found compliance with the requirement of the relative standards by BTL Inc. The test data, data evaluation, and equipment configuration contained in our test report were obtained utilizing the test procedures, test instruments, test sites that has been accredited by the Authority of TAF according to the ISO/IEC 17025 quality assessment standard and technical standard(s).

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 6 of 54 Report Version: R02



2. RF EMISSIONS MEASUREMENT

2.1. TEST FACILITY

The test facilities used to collect the test data in this report is **SAR Test room** at the location of No. 68-1, Ln. 169, Sec.2, Datong Rd., Xizhi Dist., New Taipei City 221, Taiwan.

2.2. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Uncertainty Budget for Frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz

Uncertainty Budget for F Error Description	Uncertainty Value (± %)		Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (10g)	Vi V _{eff}
			Measureme	ent Syster	n				
Probe Calibration	6.0	05	Normal	1	1	1	± 6.05 %	± 6.05 %	∞
Axial Isotropy	4.	.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 1.9 %	± 1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.	.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 3.9 %	± 3.9 %	8
Boundary Effects	1	1	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	8
Linearity	4.	.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.7 %	± 2.7 %	8
Detection Limits	1	1	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞
Modulation response	2.	.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.4 %	±1.4 %	∞
Readout Electronics	0.	.3	Normal	1	1	1	± 0.3 %	± 0.3 %	∞
Response Time	0.	.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.5%	± 0.5 %	∞
Integration Time	2.	.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.5 %	∞
RF Ambient – Noise	3	3	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
RF Ambient– Reflections	3	3	Rectangula	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
Probe Positioner	0.	4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.2 %	± 0.2 %	∞
Probe Positioning	2.	.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞
Post-processing	4	1	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	∞
Max.SAR Evaluation	2	2	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.15 %	± 1.15 %	∞
			Test Samp	le Related	ĺ				
Device Positioning	1.6	1.8	Normal	1	1	1	± 1.6 %	± 1.8 %	145
Device Holder	1.5	1.7	Normal	1	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.7 %	5
Power Drift	5.	.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	∞
	ı		Phantom a	and Setup	1	ı	ı		1
Phantom Production Tolerances	6.	.1	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	3.52	3.52	∞
SAR correction	1.	.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.84	1.10	1.10	
Liquid Conductivity (mea.)	2.	4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.08	1.08	∞
Liquid Permittivity (mea.)	2.	4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.26	0.26	0.36	0.36	∞
Temp. unc Conductivity	3.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.53	1.53	∞
Temp. unc Permittivity		4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.05 ± 10.42 %	0.05	∞
	Combined Standard Uncertainty (K = 1)							± 10.48 %	361
Expanded Uncertainty (K = 2)							± 20.84 %	± 20.97 %	



Uncertainty Budget Error Description	Uncertainty Value (± %)		Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (10g)	Vi V _{eff}
,			Measu	rement Sy	stem	•			
Probe Calibration	6	.65	Normal	1	1	1	± 6.65 %	± 6.65 %	∞
Axial Isotropy	4	1.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 1.9 %	± 1.9 %	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9	9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	± 3.9 %	± 3.9 %	∞
Boundary Effects		2	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.2 %	± 1.2 %	∞
Linearity	4	1.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.7 %	± 2.7 %	8
Detection Limits		1	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %	∞
Modulation response	2	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.4 %	±1.4 %	∞
Readout Electronics	C).3	Normal	1	1	1	± 0.3 %	± 0.3 %	∞
Response Time	C).8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.5%	± 0.5 %	∞
Integration Time	2	2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.5 %	∞
RF Ambient – Noise		3	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
RF Ambient– Reflections	3		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 1.7 %	± 1.7 %	∞
Probe Positioner	C).4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 0.2 %	± 0.2 %	~
Probe Positioning	6	6.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 3.9 %	±3.9 %	∞
Post-processing		4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	∞
Max.SAR Evaluation		4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.3 %	± 2.3 %	∞
			Test S	ample Rel	ated				
Device Positioning	1.6	1.8	Normal	1	1	1	±1.6 %	± 1.8 %	145
Device Holder	1.5	1.7	Normal	1	1	1	± 1.5 %	± 1.7 %	5
Power Drift	5	5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	± 2.9 %	± 2.9 %	∞
			Phant	om and Se	etup				
Phantom Production Tolerances	6	6.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	3.81	3.81	8
SAR correction	1	.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.84	1.10	0.92	
Liquid Conductivity (mea.)	2.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.08	0.98	8
Liquid Permittivity (mea.)	2.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.26	0.26	0.36	0.36	∞
Temp. unc Conductivity	3.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.53	1.39	∞
Temp. unc Permittivity	0.4		Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.05	0.06	∞
Combin	ned St	andard	Uncertainty (K :	= 1)			± 11.65 %	± 11.66 %	361
Expanded Uncertainty (K = 2)							± 23.29 %	± 23.33 %	



Uncertainty Budget Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (10g)	Vi V _{eff}
		Measur	ement Sys	stem				
Probe Calibration	18.6	Normal	2	1	1	±9.3 %	±9.3 %	∞
Probe Calibration Drift	1.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.0 %	±1.0 %	∞
Probe Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.7 %	±2.7 %	∞
Broadband Signal	2.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.6 %	±1.6 %	8
Probelsotropy	7.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±4.4 %	±4.4 %	8
Data Acquisition	0.3	Normal	1	1	1	± 0.3%	± 0.3%	∞
RF Ambient	1.8	Normal	1	1	1	±1.8 %	±1.8 %	∞
Probe Positioning	0.2	Normal	1	0.5	0.5	±0.25 %	±0.25 %	∞
Data Processing	3.5	Normal	1	1	1	±3.5 %	±3.5 %	∞
		Phantom a	nd Device	Errors				
Conductivity(meas.)	2.5	Normal	1	0.78	0.71	±2.0 %	±1.8 %	∞
Conductivity(temp.)	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	±1.1 %	±1.0 %	∞
PhantomPermittivity	14.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	0.5	±4.0 %	±4.0 %	∞
Distance DUT - TSL	2.0	Normal	1	2	2	±4.0 %	±4.0 %	∞
Device Positioning	1.0	Normal	1	1	1	±1.0 %	±1.0 %	145
Device Holder	3.6	Normal	1	1	1	±3.6 %	±3.6 %	5
DUT Modulation	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.4 %	±1.4 %	∞
Time-average SAR	1.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.0 %	±1.0 %	∞
DUT drift	2.5	Normal	1	1	1	±2.5 %	±2.5 %	∞
Val Antenna Unc.	0	Normal	1	1	1	±0 %	±0 %	∞
Unc. Input Power	0	Normal	1	1	1	±0 %	±0 %	∞
		Correction	to the SAF	Rresult	S			
Deviation to Target	1.9	Normal	1	1	0.84	±1.9 %	±1.6 %	∞
SAR scaling	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0 %	±0 %	∞
	ned Standard U		1)			± 14.00%	± 13.90%	361
Expanded Uncertainty (K = 2)						± 28.00 %	± 27.90 %	

Uncertainty Budget for psSAR / psAPD Assessments

UncertaintyBudgetforpsSAR/psAPDAssessments

(Frequency band: 6 – 10 GHz range)

Symbol	Error Description	Uncert.	Prob. Dist.	Div.	ci (1g) / (1 cm2)	ci (8 g/10 g) / (4 cm2)	Std. Unc.0(1 g)/ (1 cm2)	Std. Unc. (8 g/10 g) / (4 cm2)
psSAR	Module SAR V16.0 (Table 6.3.3)	±14.0/13.9%	N	1	1	1	±14.0%	±13.9%
PDC	Power Density Conversion	±13.5%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±7.8%	±7.8%
u(∆ SAR)	Combined Uncertainty						±15.6%	±15.5 %
U	Expanded Uncertainty in dB						±31.2% ±1.2 dB	±31.0% ±1.2 dB



Uncertainty	Budget for	mmWave

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±dB)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci	Standard Uncertainty	Vi V _{eff}	
Uncertainty terms dependent on the measurement system							
Probe Calibration	0.49	Normal	1	1	±0.49dB	∞	
Probe correction	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0dB	∞	
Frequency response(BW≤1GHz)	0.20	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.12 dB	∞	
Sensor cross coupling	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0 dB	∞	
Isotropy	0.50	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.29 dB	∞	
Linearity	0.20	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.12 dB	∞	
Probe scattering	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0 dB	~	
Probe Positioning offset	0.30	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.17 dB	8	
Probe Positioning repeatability	0.04	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.02 dB	8	
Sensor mechanical offset	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0 dB	8	
Probe spatial resolution	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0 dB	∞	
Field impedance dependance	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0 dB	∞	
Amplitude and phase drift	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0 dB	∞	
Amplitude and phase noise	0.04	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.02 dB	∞	
Measurement area truncation	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0 dB	∞	
Data acquisition	0.03	Normal	1	1	±0.03 dB	∞	
Sampling	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0 dB	∞	
Field reconstruction	2.00	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±1.15 dB	∞	
Forward transformation	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0 dB	∞	
Power density scaling	-	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0 dB	∞	
Spatial averaging	0.10	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.06 dB	∞	
System detection limit	0.04	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.02 dB	∞	
Uncertainty ter	ms dependent	on the DUT and	d environn	nental f	actors		
Probe coupling with DUT	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0 dB	∞	
Modulation response	0.40	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.2 dB	∞	
Integration time	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0 dB	∞	
Response time	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0 dB	∞	
Device holder influence	0.10	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.1 dB	∞	
DUT alignment	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0 dB	∞	
RF ambient conditions	0.04	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.02 dB	∞	
Ambient Reflections	0.04	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.02 dB	∞	
Immunity / secondary reception	0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0 dB	∞	
Drift of the DUT	0.10	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.06 dB	∞	
	Combined Standard Uncertainty (K = 1)						
Expar	± 2.68dB						



2.3. WLAN ANTENNA INFORMATION:

Ant.	Brand	Model	Туре	Frequency Range (MHz)	Gain (dBi)	
				2400-2500	2.74	
				5150-5350	3.31	
	A			5470-5725	3.76	
Main	Amphenol Taiwan	BY5973-15-001-C	DIEV	5725-5850	3.25	
IVIAIII	Corporation	D13973-13-001-C	PIFA	5925-6425	3.73	
	Corporation			6425-6525	2.84	
				6525-6875	2.84	
				6875-7125	2.38	
					2400-2500	2.53
				5150-5350	3.19	
	A			5470-5725	3.59	
A	Amphenol	DV5060 45 004 C	PIFA	5725-5850	3.59	
Aux	Aux Taiwan Corporation	BY5962-15-001-C	PIFA	5925-6425	3.02	
				6425-6525	3.63	
				6525-6875	3.47	
				6875-7125	3.01	



2.4. THE MAXIMUM SAR-1G VALUES

Band	Mode	Highest Body Reported SAR-1g(W/kg)
FHSS	Bluetooth_DH5	0.029
DTS	Wi-Fi 2.4G	0.275
	Wi-Fi 5.2 & 5.3G	0.600
	Wi-Fi 5.6G	1.034
	Wi-Fi 5.8G	1.174
UNII	Wi-Fi 6.2G	0.416
	Wi-Fi 6.5G	0.475
	Wi-Fi 6.7G	0.425
	Wi-Fi 7.0G	0.418

Band	Mode	APD (W/m^2)	Highest Averaged Power Density(W/m^2)
6E	Wi-Fi 6.5G	3.37	5.752

Note:

1) The device is in compliance with Specific Absorption Rate(SAR)for general population uncontrolled exposure limits according to the FCC rule §2.1093, the ANSI C95.1:2019/IEEE C95.1:2019, the NCRP Report Number 86 for uncontrolled environment and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020.

2.5. LABORATORY ENVIRONMENT

Temperature	Min. = 18°C, Max. = 25°C					
Relative humidity	Min. = 30%, Max. = 70%					
Ground system resistance	< 0.5 Ω					
Ambient noise is checked and found very low and in compliance with requirement of standards.						
Reflection of surrounding objects is	minimized and in compliance with requirement of standards.					

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 12 of 54 Report Version: R02



2.6. MAIN TEST INSTRUMENTS

Item	Equipment	Manufacturer	Model	Serial No.	Cal. Date	Cal. Interval
1	Data Acquisition Electronics	Speag	DAE4	1486	May. 31, 2022	1 Year
2	E-field Probe	Speag	EX3DV4	7369	May. 28, 2022	1 Year
3	System Validation Dipole	Speag	D2450V2	973	Feb. 08, 2021	3 Year
4	System Validation Dipole	Speag	D5GHzV2	1221	Feb. 09, 2021	3 Year
5	System Validation Dipole	Speag	D6.5GHzV2	1041	Sep 02, 2021	3 Year
6	E-Field probe	Speag	EUmmWV4	9583	Sep 27, 2022	1 Year
7	5G Verification Source	Speag	5G Verification Source 10GHz	2011	Sep 28, 2022	1 Year
8	ELI4 Phantom	Speag	ELI4 Phantom V5.0	1240	N/A	N/A
9	mmWave Phantom	Speag	QD 015 025 CA	1085	N/A	N/A
10	ENA Network Analyzer	Agilent	E5071C	MY46524658	Mar. 21, 2022	1 Year
11	Signal Generator	R&S	SMR40	100502	Jan. 10, 2022	1 Year
12	Spectrum Analyzer	Keysight	N9020A	MY57120120	Mar. 7, 2022	1 Year
13	Power Meter	Anritsu	ML2495A	1128008	Jun. 1, 2022	1 Year
14	Power Sensor	Anritsu	MA2411B	1126001	Jun. 1, 2022	1 Year
15	Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent	85070E	2593	N/A	N/A
16	Low pass filter	Mini-Circuits	SLP-2950+	M108294	N/A	N/A
17	Power Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-2W-272+	N650001538	N/A	N/A
18	Power Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G+	N628801631	N/A	N/A
19	Power Amplifier	EMCI	EMC053035	980869	N/A	N/A
20	Thermometer	PA	O-230PK	N/A	Mar. 10, 2022	1 Year
21	Directional Coupler	Woken	50W Coupler	DOM5CIW3E2	N/A	N/A
22	Attenuator	Woken	WATT-518FS-10	N/A	N/A	N/A

Remark: "N/A" denotes no model name, serial No. or calibration specified.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 13 of 54 Report Version: R02




3. SAR MEASUREMENTS SYSTEM CONFIGURATION

3.1. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

The DASY5 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- 1. A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- 2. A dosimetric probe, i.e. an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- 3. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- 4. A unit to operate the optical surface detector which is connected to the EOC.
- 5. The Electro-Optical Coupler (EOC) performs the conversion from the optical into a digital electric signal of the DAE. The EOC is connected to the DASY5 measurement server.
- The DASY5 measurement server, which performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation. A computer operating Windows.
- 7. DASY5 software and SEMCAD data evaluation software.
- 8. Remote control with teach panel and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- 9. The generic twin phantom enabling the testing of left-hand and right-hand usage.
- 10. The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- 11. Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- 12. System validation dipoles allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

3.1.1. TEST SETUP LAYOUT



Project No.: 2211C022 Page 14 of 54 Report Version: R02



3.2. DASY5 E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation.

3.2.1. EX3DV4 PROBE SPECIFICATION

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Interleaved sensors Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Calibration	ISO/IEC 17025 calibration service available
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 μW/g to > 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1.0 mm





EX3DV4 E-field Probe

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 15 of 54 Report Version: R02



3.2.2. E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy was evaluated and found to be better than ± 0.25 dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies bellow 1 GHz, and in a wave guide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

$$\mathbf{SAR} = \mathbf{C} \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

Where: $\Delta t = \text{Exposure time (30 seconds)},$

C = Heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle), ΔT = Temperature increase due to RF exposure.

Or
$$SAR = \frac{|E|^2 \sigma}{\rho}$$

Where: σ = Simulated tissue conductivity, ρ = Tissue density (kg/m3).

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 16 of 54 Report Version: R02



3.2.3. OTHER TEST EQUIPMENT

3.2.3.1. DEVICE HOLDER FOR TRANSMITTERS

Construction: Simple but effective and easy-to-use extension for Mounting Device that facilitates the testing of larger devices according to IEC 62209-2 (e.g., laptops, cameras, etc.) It is lightweight and fits easily on the upper part of the Mounting Device in place of the phone positioner. The extension is fully compatible with the Twin SAM, ELI4 and SAM v6.0 Phantoms.

Material: POM, Acrylic glass, Foam

3.2.3.2 PHANTOM

Model	ELI4 Phantom
Construction	Phantom for compliance testing of
	handheld and body-mounted wireless
	devices in the frequency range of 30
	MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible
	with the IEC 62209-2 standard and all
	known tissue simulating liquids. ELI
	has been optimized regarding its
	performance and can be integrated
	into our standard phantom tables. A
	cover prevents evaporation of the
	liquid. Reference markings on the
	phantom allow installation of the
	complete setup, including all
	predefined phantom positions and
	measurement grids, by teaching three
	points. The phantom is compatible
	with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and
	dipoles.
Shell Thickness	2±0.1 mm
Filling Volume	Approx. 30 liters
Dimensions	Length: 600 mm; Width: 190mm
	Height: adjustable feet
Aailable	Special



Model	Twin SAM
Construction	The shell corresponds to the
	specifications of the Specific
	Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM)
	phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC
	62209-1. It enables the dosimetric
	evaluation of left and right hand phone
	usage as well as body mounted usage
	at the flat phantom region. A cover
	prevents evaporation of the liquid.
	Reference markings on the phantom
	allow the complete setup of all
	predefined phantom positions and
	measurement grids by teaching three
	points with the robot.
Shell Thickness	$2 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$
Filling Volume	Approx. 25 liters
Dimensions	Length:1000mm; Width: 500mm
Dilliensions	Height: adjustable feet
Aailable	Special




Project No.: 2211C022 Page 17 of 54 Report Version: R02





3.2.4. SCANNING PROCEDURE

The SAR test against the head and body-worn phantom was carried out as follow:



After an area scan has been done at a fixed distance of 1.4mm from the surface of the phantom on the source side, a 3D scan is set up around the location of the maximum spot SAR. First, a point within the scan area is visited by the probe and a SAR reading taken at the start of testing. At the end of testing, the probe is returned to the same point and a second reading is taken. Comparison between these start and end readings enables the power drift during measurement to be assessed.

Above is the scanning procedure flow chart and table from the IEEE1528 standard.

This is the procedure for which all compliant testing should be carried out to ensure that all variations of the device position and transmission behavior are tested.



3.2.5. DATA STORAGE AND EVALUATION

3.2.5.1 DATA STORAGE

The DASY5 software stores the acquired data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvoli readings from the probe sensors), together with all necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files with the extension "DAE4". The software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of incorrect parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with a wrong crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be re-evaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type ([V/m], [A/m], [°C], [mW/g], [mW/cm²], [dBrel], etc.). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or show meaningless results, e.g., a SAR output in a lossless media will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 19 of 54 Report Version: R02



3.2.6. DATA EVALUATION BY SEMCAD

The SEMCAD software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: Sensitivity Normi, a_{i0} , a_{i1} , a_{i2}

Conversion factor ConvF_i

Diode compression point Dcpi

Device parameters: Frequency f

Crest factor cf

Media parameters: Conductivity

Density

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY5 components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics.

If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot cf/dcp_i$$

With V_i = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 U_i = input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)

dcpi = diode compression point (DASY parameter)



From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes: $Ei = (Vi / Normi \cdot ConvF)^{1/2}$

H-field probes: Hi = $(Vi)^{1/2} \cdot (ai0 + ai1 f + a_i 2f^2) / f$

With Vi = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

Norm_i = sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

[mV/(V/m)²] for E-field Probes

ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution

aij = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = carrier frequency [GHz]

Ei = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

Hi = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

Etot =
$$(EX^2 + EY^2 + EZ^2)^{1/2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

SAR = (Etot)
$$^{2} \cdot \sigma / (\rho \cdot 1000)$$

With SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

= conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

= equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid. The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = E_{tot}^{2} / 3770 \text{ or } P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^{2} \cdot 37.7$$

With P_{pwe} = equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²

E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 21 of 54 Report Version: R02



4. TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID

4.1. TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID INGREDIENTS

The liquid is consisted of water, salt and Glycol, Sugar, Preventol and Cellulose. The liquid has previously been proven to be suited for worst-case. The measured conductivity and relative permittivity should be within $\pm 5\%$ of the target values. The below table shows the detail solution. It's satisfying the latest tissue dielectric parameters requirements proposed by the IEC 62209.

Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter

_	sue pe	Bactericide	DGBE	HEC	NaCl	Sucrose	Triton X-100	Water	Diethylene Glycol Mono- hexylether
Head	2450	-	45.0	-	0.1	-	-	54.9	-
Hea	d 5G	-	-	-	-	-	17.2	65.5	17.3

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 22 of 54 Report Version: R02



4.2. TISSUE-EQUIVALENT LIQUID PROPERTIES

Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid

	Tissue Verification									
Date	Tissue Type	Frequency (MHz)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (εr)	Targeted Conductivity (σ)	Targeted Permittivity (εr)	Deviation Conductivity (σ) (%)	Deviation Permittivity (εr) (%)	Limit (%) ±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2402	1.83	40.81	1.76	39.29	4.25	3.87	±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2412	1.84	40.79	1.77	39.27	4.05	3.88	±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2422	1.85	40.77	1.78	39.25	4.09	3.87	±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2437	1.86	40.72	1.79	39.22	4.04	3.83	±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2441	1.86	40.69	1.79	39.21	4.02	3.78	±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2450	1.87	40.71	1.80	39.20	4.06	3.86	±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2452	1.88	40.72	1.80	39.19	4.06	3.90	±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2457	1.88	40.70	1.81	39.19	4.03	3.86	±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2462	1.88	40.69	1.81	39.18	4.02	3.86	±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2467	1.89	40.68	1.82	39.17	3.92	3.86	±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2472	1.89	40.67	1.82	39.17	3.94	3.82	±5	
2022/11/30	Head	2480	1.90	40.66	1.83	39.16	3.91	3.84	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5180	4.68	35.60	4.64	36.02	0.87	-1.16	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5200	4.70	35.48	4.66	36.00	0.79	-1.45	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5220	4.72	35.39	4.68	35.98	0.92	-1.65	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5240	4.74	35.32	4.70	35.96	0.95	-1.79	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5260	4.77	35.34	4.72	35.94	1.13	-1.67	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5280	4.79	35.26	4.74	35.92	1.03	-1.83	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5300	4.82	35.23	4.76	35.90	1.20	-1.86	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5320	4.83	35.11	4.78	35.88	1.10	-2.14	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5500	5.04	34.66	4.96	35.60	1.58	-2.65	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5520	5.07	34.65	4.98	35.58	1.80	-2.63	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5540	5.11	34.69	5.00	35.56	2.06	-2.43	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5560	5.11	34.53	5.03	35.54	1.62	-2.84	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5580	5.12	34.40	5.05	35.52	1.38	-3.14	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5600	5.15	34.39	5.07	35.50	1.60	-3.12	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5620	5.18	34.36	5.09	35.48	1.85	-3.14	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5640	5.22	34.36	5.11	35.46	2.10	-3.09	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5660	5.26	34.42	5.13	35.44	2.48	-2.88	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5680	5.26	34.29	5.15	35.42	2.20	-3.18	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5700	5.28	34.18	5.17	35.40	2.04	-3.44	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5720	5.28	34.02	5.19	35.38	1.74	-3.85	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5745	5.33	34.05	5.22	35.35	2.24	-3.68	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5765	5.35	33.98	5.24	35.33	2.24	-3.81	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5785	5.40	34.13	5.26	35.31	2.83	-3.35	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5800	5.39	33.95	5.27	35.30	2.28	-3.84	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5805	5.39	33.90	5.28	35.29	2.15	-3.95	±5	
2022/11/29	Head	5825	5.40	33.77	5.30	35.27	1.97	-4.25	±5	

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 23 of 54 Report Version: R02



Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid

	Tissue Verification									
Date	Tissue Type	Frequency (MHz)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (εr)	Targeted Conductivity (σ)	Targeted Permittivity (εr)	Deviation Conductivity (σ) (%)	Deviation Permittivity (εr) (%)	Limit (%) ±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6000	5.36	34.65	5.48	35.07	-2.14	-1.19	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6050	5.42	34.59	5.54	35.01	-2.17	-1.19	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6100	5.49	34.44	5.59	34.95	-1.84	-1.45	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6150	5.55	34.41	5.65	34.89	-1.69	-1.38	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6200	5.61	34.30	5.71	34.83	-1.79	-1.51	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6250	5.67	34.25	5.77	34.77	-1.69	-1.48	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6300	5.72	34.11	5.83	34.70	-1.96	-1.71	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6350	5.81	34.07	5.89	34.64	-1.40	-1.63	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6400	5.82	34.00	5.95	34.58	-2.15	-1.68	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6450	5.91	33.88	6.01	34.52	-1.64	-1.87	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6500	5.94	33.90	6.07	34.46	-2.14	-1.63	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6550	6.02	33.69	6.13	34.40	-1.74	-2.05	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6600	6.06	33.70	6.19	34.34	-2.05	-1.86	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6650	6.13	33.50	6.25	34.29	-1.96	-2.30	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6700	6.19	33.52	6.30	34.23	-1.68	-2.07	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6750	6.24	33.35	6.36	34.17	-1.89	-2.39	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6800	6.31	33.34	6.42	34.11	-1.78	-2.27	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6850	6.34	33.19	6.48	34.05	-2.09	-2.54	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6900	6.42	33.16	6.53	33.99	-1.67	-2.44	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	6950	6.45	33.04	6.59	33.94	-2.09	-2.64	±5	
2022/12/1	Head	7000	6.53	33.02	6.65	33.88	-1.86	-2.55	±5	

Note:

- 1)The dielectric parameters of the tissue-equivalent liquid should be measured under similar ambient conditions and within 2 °C of the conditions expected during the SAR evaluation to satisfy protocol requirements.
- 2)KDB 865664 was ensured to be applied for probe calibration frequencies greater than or equal to 50MHz of the EUT frequencies.
- 3)The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software to perform interpolation via the DASY software to determine actual dielectric parameters at the test frequencies. The SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above since the DASY rounds to three significant digits.
- 4) According to FCC TCB workshop April, 2019 RF Exposure Procedures Update(Effective February 19,2019, FCC has permitted the use of single head-tissue simulating liquid specified in IEEE 62209-1- for all SAR tests.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 24 of 54 Report Version: R02



5. SYSTEM CHECK


5.1. DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM CHECK

The manufacturer calibrates the probes annually. Dielectric parameters of the tissue simulants were measured every day using the dielectric probe kit and the network analyzer. A system check measurement was made following the determination of the dielectric parameters of the simulant, using the dipole validation kit. A power level of 250 mW(below 3GHz) or 100mW(3-6GHz), which was placed under the flat section of the twin SAM phantom. The system check results (dielectric parameters and SAR values) are given in the 6.2.

System check results have to be equal or near the values determined during dipole calibration with the relevant liquids and test system (±10 %).

System check is performed regularly on all frequency bands where tests are performed with the DASY5 system.

System Check Set-up



Project No.: 2211C022 Page 25 of 54 Report Version: R02



5.2. DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM CHECK

System Check in Tissue Simulating Liquid

The system check is performed for verifying the accuracy of the complete measurement system and performance of the software. The system check is performed with tissue equivalent material according to IEEE P1528 (described above). The following table shows system check results for all frequency bands and tissue liquids used during the tests.

Date	S	ystem Dipole	•	Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation	Limited
Date	Туре	Serial No.	Liquid	Parameters	[W/kg]	[W/kg]	[%]	[%]
2022/11/30	D2450V2	973	Head	1g SAR	52.5	55.6	5.90	± 10
2022/11/29	D5GHzV2 (5.2GHz)	1221	Head	1g SAR	79.8	81.9	2.63	± 10
2022/11/29	D5GHzV2 (5.3GHz)	1221	Head	1g SAR	81.9	85.4	4.27	± 10
2022/11/29	D5GHzV2 (5.6GHz)	1221	Head	1g SAR	84.5	87.7	3.79	± 10
2022/11/29	D5GHzV2 (5.8GHz)	1221	Head	1g SAR	81.7	83.9	2.69	± 10
2022/12/1	D6.5GHzV2 (6.5GHz)	1041	Head	1g SAR	289.0	284.0	-1.73	± 10

5.3.POWER DENSITY SYSTEM CHECK

System check provides a fast and reliable method to routinely verify that the measurementsystem is operational with no system component failures, including probe defects, drifts ordeviation from target performance requirements. A system check also verifies the repeatability of the measurement system before compliance testing.

The measurement of a verification source is started from 5G probe installed and the phantom taught. The verification source is placed on the 5G phantom. Due to the internal distance from thehorn to the outer surface of the verification source, the measurement distance set in the software should be offsetby -4.45 mm; e.g., for measurement of the verification source at 10 mm, the measurement distance set in the software should be 5.55mm (10mm -4.45 mm).

The system check is a complete measurement using simple well-defined reference sources. According to the DASY6 specification in the user's manual and SPEAG's recommendation, the deviation threshold of ± 0.66 dB represents the expanded standard uncertainty for system performance check. The system check is successful if the measured results are within ± 0.66 dB tolerances to the target value shown in the calibration certificate of the verification source. The instrumentation and procedures used for system checkshould ensure the system is ready for performing compliance tests.

Date	5G Verification Souse			Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation	
Date	Туре	Serial No. Liquid		rarameters	[W/m^2]	[W/m^2]	[dB]	
2022/12/2	10G	2011	Head	Avg Power Densuty 4cm^2	152.0	154.0	0.06	

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 26 of 54 Report Version: R02




6. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

6.1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF TEST PROCEDURES

Connection to the EUT is established via air interface with base station An, and the EUT is Set to maximum output power by base station. The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain uniform power output. The antenna connected to the output of the base station simulator shall be placed at least 50cm away from the EUT. The signal transmitted by the simulator to the antenna feeding point shall be lower than the output power level of the EUT by at least 30dB.

6.2. TEST POSITION ANTENNA LOCATION



6.3. TEST POSITION OF PORTABLE DEVICES

Minimum Separation Distance									
Mode	ode Antenna Position Distance (mm) Evaluation Tes								
WiFi	Main	Bottom	6.4	Yes					
VVIFI	Aux	Bottom	6.4	Yes					

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 27 of 54 Report Version: R02

6.4. TEST POSITION

6.4.1.BODY TEST CONFIGURATION

The SAR Exclusion Threshold in KDB 447498 D04 can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations. The closest distance from the antenna to an EUT edge is used to determine if SAR testing is required for the adjacent edges, with the adjacent edge positioned adjacent the phantom and the edge containing the antenna positioned perpendicular to the phantom.

SAR test reduction and exclusion guidance

(1) The SAR exclusion threshold for is defined by the following equation:

$$P_{\text{th}} \text{ (mW)} = \begin{cases} ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} (d/20 \text{ cm})^x & d \le 20 \text{ cm} \\ ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} & 20 \text{ cm} < d \le 40 \text{ cm} \end{cases}$$
(B. 2)

where

$$x = -\log_{10}\left(\frac{60}{ERP_{20} \operatorname{cm}\sqrt{f}}\right)$$


and f is in GHz, d is the separation distance (cm), and ERP_{20cm} is per Formula (B.1).

Example values shown in Table B.2 are for illustration only.

Table B.2—Example Power Thresholds (mW)

		Distance (mm)											
		5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50		
$\widehat{\mathbf{z}}$	300	39	65	88	110	129	148	166	184	201	217		
(MHz)	450	22	44	67	89	112	135	158	180	203	226		
	835	9	25	44	66	90	116	145	175	207	240		
Frequency	1900	3	12	26	44	66	92	122	157	195	236		
l ba	2450	3	10	22	38	59	83	111	143	179	219		
Ŧ	3600	2	8	18	32	49	71	96	125	158	195		
	5800	1	6	14	25	40	58	80	106	136	169		

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 28 of 54 Report Version: R02





Mode	Ant	Position	Distance (mm)	f (MHz)	Max Power (dBm)	Max Power (mW)	SAR Exclusion threshold(mW)	Test required
2.4GHz	Main	Bottom	6.40	2437	21.00	125.89	3	Yes
5.2GHz	Main	Bottom	6.40	5210	21.00	125.89	1	Yes
5.3GHz	Main	Bottom	6.40	5290	21.00	125.89	1	Yes
5.6GHz	Main	Bottom	6.40	5530	21.00	125.89	1	Yes
5.8GHz	Main	Bottom	6.40	5775	21.00	125.89	1	Yes
2.4GHz	Aux	Bottom	6.40	2462	21.00	125.89	3	Yes
5.2GHz	Aux	Bottom	6.40	5210	21.00	125.89	1	Yes
5.3GHz	Aux	Bottom	6.40	5290	21.00	125.89	1	Yes
5.6GHz	Aux	Bottom	6.40	5610	21.00	125.89	1	Yes
5.8GHz	Aux	Bottom	6.40	5775	21.00	125.89	1	Yes
Bluetooth	Aux	Bottom	6.40	2480	10.50	11.22	3	Yes

Mode	Ant	Position	Distance (mm)	f (MHz)	Max Power (dBm)	Max Power (mW)	SAR Exclusion threshold(mW)	Test required
6.2GHz	Main	Bottom	6.40	6025	13.50	22.39	1	Yes
6.5GHz	Main	Bottom	6.40	6505	13.50	22.39	1	Yes
6.7GHz	Main	Bottom	6.40	6665	13.50	22.39	1	Yes
7.0GHz	Main	Bottom	6.40	6985	13.50	22.39	1	Yes
6.2GHz	Aux	Bottom	6.40	6025	13.50	22.39	1	Yes
6.5GHz	Aux	Bottom	6.40	6505	13.50	22.39	1	Yes
6.7GHz	Aux	Bottom	6.40	6665	13.50	22.39	1	Yes
7.0GHz	Aux	Bottom	6.40	6985	13.50	22.39	1	Yes



7. SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY AND UNCERTAINTY

7.1. SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY

Per KDB865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz, SAR measurement variability must be assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. The additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is≥ 1.45 W/kg (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.

The same procedures should be adapted for measurements according to extremity and occupational exposure limits by applying a factor of 2.5 for extremity exposure and a factor of 5 for occupational exposure to the corresponding SAR thresholds.

The detailed repeated measurement results are shown in Section 8.2.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 30 of 54 Report Version: R02



7.2. TEST CONFIGURATION

7.2.1. WIFI Test Configuration

For WLAN SAR testing, WLAN engineering testing software installed on the DUT can provide continuous transmitting RF signal.

Wi-Fi 2.4GHz Band

Mode	802.11b	902 11 a	802.11n	802.11n	802.11	802.11				
Mode	002.110	802.11g	HT20	HT40	ax20	ax40				
Duty cycle		100%								
Crest factor			1							

Wi-Fi 5GHz Band

WI I I 30112 Da									
Mode	802.11a	802.11n HT20	802.11n HT40	802.11 ac20	802.11 ac40	802.11 ac80	802.11 ac160		
	802.11 ax20	802.11 ax40	802.11 ax80	802.11 ax160					
Duty cycle		100%							
Crest factor		1							

Wi-Fi 6GHz Band

Mode	802.11 ax20	802.11 ax40	802.11 ax80	802.11 ax160					
Duty cycle		100%							
Crest factor	1								


For WiFi SAR testing, a communication link is set up with the test mode software for WiFi mode test. During the test, at the each test frequency channel, the EUT is operated at the RF continuous emission mode. The RF signal utilized in SAR measurement has 100% duty cycle and its crest factor is 1. The test procedures in KDB 248227 D01 are applied.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 31 of 54 Report Version: R02



Bluetooth

Mode	Bluetooth DH5	Bluetooth EDR	BLE 1M	
Duty cycle	77.13%	77.12%	57.44%	
Crest factor	1.30	1.30	1.74	





7.2.2. WLAN 2.4G SAR Test Requirements

802.11b DSSS SAR Test Requirements

SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either a fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:

- 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is \leq 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
- 2) When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that exposure configuration using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.

2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM SAR Test Exclusion Requirements

When SAR measurement is required for 2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM configurations, the measurement and test reduction procedures for OFDM are applied. SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions.

- 1) When KDB Publication 447498 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
- 2) When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is \leq 1.2 W/kg.

SAR Test Requirements for OFDM configurations

When SAR measurement is required for 2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM configurations, each standalone and frequency aggregated band is considered separately for SAR test reduction. In applying the initial test configuration and subsequent test configuration procedures, the 802.11 transmission configuration with the highest specified maximum output power and the channel within a test configuration with the highest measured maximum output power should be clearly distinguished to apply the procedures.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 33 of 54 Report Version: R02



7.2.3. WLAN 5G SAR TEST REQUIREMENTS

U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A Band

For devices that operate in both U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A bands, when the same maximum output power is specified for both bands, begin SAR measurement in U-NII-2A band by applying the OFDM SAR requirements. If the highest reported SAR for a test configuration is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for U-NII-1 band for that configuration (802.11 mode and exposure condition); otherwise, both bands are tested independently for SAR. When different maximum output power is specified for the bands, begin SAR measurement in the band with higher specified maximum output power. The highest reported SAR for the tested configuration is adjusted by the ratio of lower to higher specified maximum output power for the two bands. When the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for the band with lower maximum output power in that test configuration; otherwise, both bands are tested independently for SAR.

U-NII-2C, U-NII-3 Bands

The frequency range covered by these bands is 380 MHz (5.47 – 5.85 GHz), which requires a minimum of at least two SAR probe calibration frequency points to support SAR measurements. When Terminal Doppler Weather Radar (TDWR) restriction applies, the channels at 5.60 – 5.65 GHz in U-NII-2C band must be disabled with acceptable mechanisms and documented in the equipment certification. Unless band gap channels are permanently disabled, they must be considered for SAR testing. To maintain SAR measurement accuracy and to facilitate test reduction, the channels in U-NII-2C band above 5.65 GHz may be grouped with the 5.8 GHz channels in U-NII-3 or §15.247 band to enable two SAR probe calibration frequency points to cover the bands, including the band gap channels.11 When band gap channels are supported and the bands are not aggregated for SAR testing, band gap channels must be considered independently in each band according to the normally required OFDM SAR measurement and probe calibration frequency points requirements.

7.2.4. OFDM TRANSMISSION MODE AND SAR TEST CHANNEL SELECTION

For the 2.4GHz and 5GHz bands, when the same maximum output power was specified for multiple OFDM transmission mode configurations in a frequency band or aggregated band, SAR is measured using the configuration with the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate. When the maximum output power of a channel is the same for equivalent OFDM configurations(for example 802.11a,802.11n and 802.11ac,or 802.11g and 802.11n,with the same channel bandwidth, modulation, and data rate, etc.), the lower order 802.11 mode(i.e.802.11a then 802.11n and 802.11ac,or 802.11g then 802.11n) is used for SAR measurement. When the maximum output power are the same for multiple test channels, either according to the default or additional power measurement requirements, SAR is measured using the channel closest to the middle of the frequency band or aggregated band. When there are multiple channels with the same maximum output power, SAR is measured using the higher number channel.

7.2.5. INITIAL TEST CONFIGURATION PROCEDURE

For OFDM, in both 2.4G and 5GHz bands, an initial test configuration is determined for each frequency band and aggregated band, according to the transmission mode with the highest maximum output power specified for SAR measurements. When the same maximum output power is specified for multiple OFDM transmission mode configurations in a frequency band or aggregated band, SAR is measured using the configuration(s) with the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation, and lowest data rate. If the average RF output powers of the highest identical transmission modes are within 0.25 dB of each other, mid channel of the transmission mode with highest average RF output powers is the initial test channel. Otherwise, the channel of the transmission mode with the highest average RF output power will be the initial test configuration. When the reported SAR is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, no additional measurements on other test channels are required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated using the subsequent highest average RF output channel until the reported SAR result is ≤ 1.2 W/kg or all channels are measured. When there are multiple untested channels having the same subsequent highest average RF output power, the channel with higher frequency from the lowest 802.11 mode is considered for SAR measurement.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 34 of 54 Report Version: R02



8. CONDUCTED POWER RESULTS

8.1. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENT RESULTS OF BLUETOOTH

Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Max Power (dBm)	AVG Power (dBm)	
		0	2402	10.50	9.35	
DH5	DH5	39	2441	10.50	9.46	
		78	2480	10.50	9.88	
	2DH5	0	2402	9.50		
		39	2441	9.50		
EDR		78	2480	9.50		
EDK	3DH5	0	2402	9.50		
		39	2441	9.50	Not Require	
		78	2480	9.50		
		0	2402	9.00		
BLE	1M	19	2440	9.00		
		39	2480	9.00		

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 35 of 54 Report Version: R02



8.2. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF WI-FI 2.4GHZ BAND

			Fraguera.	Data	May Tuna IIn	AVG Pow	ver (dBm)	
Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	Max Tune-Up Power (dBm)	Main	Aux	
		1	2412	1	21.00	20.95		
	802.11 b	6	2437	1	21.00	20.98		
		11	2462	1	21.00	20.91		
	802.11g	1-13	2412-2472	HT0	21.00			
	802.11n20	1-13	2412-2472	HT0	21.00			
	802.11n40	3-9	2422-2462	HT0	21.00	Not Re	equired	
	802.11ax20	1-13	2412-2472	HE0	21.00			
2.4G	802.11ax40	3-9	2422-2462	HE0	21.00			
2.40		1	2412	1	21.00		20.93	
	802.11b	6	2437	1	21.00		20.97	
		11	2467	1	21.00		20.92	
	802.11g	1-13	2412-2472	HT0	21.00			
	802.11n20	1-13	2422-2462	HT0	21.00	1		
	802.11n40	3-9	2422-2462	HT0	21.00	Not Re	equired	
	802.11ax20		2412-2472	HE0	21.00			
	802.11ax40	3-9	2422-2462	HE0	21.00			

Note:

1. As per FCC OET KDB 248227 D01, conducted output power and SAR testing are not required for 802.11g/n20 channels when the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2W/kg.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 36 of 54 Report Version: R02



8.3. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 5G UNII_1

			Frequency	Data	Max Tune-Up	AVG Pow	ver (dBm)	
Band	Mode	Channel	(MHz)	Rate	Power (dBm)	Main	Aux	
	802.11a	36-48	5180-5240	6	16.00			
	802.11 n20	36-48	5180-5240	HT0	16.00			
	802.11 n40	38-46	5190-5230	HT0	17.00			
UNII_1	802.11 ax20	36-48	5180-5240	HE0	16.00			
	802.11 ax40	38-46	5190-5230	HE0	17.00			
	802.11 ac80	42	5210	HE0	17.00			
	802.11 ax80	42	5210	HE0	17.00	Not Pe	quired	
	802.11a	36-48	5180-5240	6	16.00	NOT NO	:quireu	
	802.11 n20	36-48	5180-5240	HT0	16.00			
	802.11 n40	38-46	5190-5230	HT0	17.00			
UNII_1	802.11 ax20	36-48	5180-5240	HE0	16.00			
	802.11 ax40	38-46	5190-5230	HE0	17.00			
	802.11 ac80	42	5210	HE0	17.00			
	802.11 ax80	42	5210	HE0	17.00			

Note:

- 1. When the same maximum output power is specified for both bands, begin SAR measurement in U-NII-2A band by applying the OFDM SAR requirements. If the highest reported SAR for a test configuration is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for U-NII-1 band (see §B.5.2 in this document).
- 2. The initial test configuration for 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz OFDM transmission modes is determined by the 802.11 configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units, including tune-up tolerance, in each standalone and aggregated frequency band. SAR for the initial test configuration is measured using the highest maximum output power channel determined by the default power measurement procedures. When multiple transmission modes (802.11a/g/n/ac) have the same specified maximum output power, largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate, lowest order 802.11 mode is selected (i.e. a, g, n, ac).

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 37 of 54 Report Version: R02



8.4. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 5G UNII_2A

			Facerraner	Dete	May Tura IIIa	AVG Pow	ver (dBm)			
Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	Max Tune-Up Power (dBm)	Main	Aux			
	802.11a	52	5260	6	21.00	20.71				
	802.11a	56	5280	6	21.00	20.86				
	802.11a	60	5300	6	21.00	20.90				
	802.11 n20	52-64	5260-5320	HT0	21.00					
	802.11 n40	54-62	5270-5310	HT0	20.50		Not Required			
UNII_2a	802.11 ac80	58	5290	VHT0	17.75	17.75				
011II_2a	802.11 ac160	50	5250	VHT0	15.25	Not Required				
	802.11 ax20	52-64	5260-5320	HE0	21.00					
	802.11 ax40	54-62	5270-5310	HE0	20.50					
	802.11 ax80	58	5290	HE0	17.75					
	802.11 ax160	50	5250	HE0	15.25	1				
	802.11a	52-64	5260-5320	6	21.00					
	802.11 n20	52-64	5260-5320	HT0	21.00					
	802.11 n40	54	5270-5310	HT0	21.00		20.98			
	802.11 n40	62	5270-5310	HT0	18.25		18.17			
	802.11 ac80	58	5290	VHT0	18.50					
UNII_2a	802.11 ac160	50	5250	VHT0	16.00					
	802.11 ax20	52-64	5260-5320	HE0	21.00	Not Do	. autrad			
	802.11 ax40	54-62	5270-5310	HE0	21.00	NOT RE	equired			
	802.11 ax80	58	5290	HE0	18.50					
	802.11 ax160	50	5250	HE0	16.00					

Note:

- 1. When the same maximum output power is specified for both bands, begin SAR measurement in U-NII-2A band by applying the OFDM SAR requirements. If the highest reported SAR for a test configuration is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for U-NII-1 band (see §B.5.2 in this document).
- 2. The initial test configuration for 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz OFDM transmission modes is determined by the 802.11 configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units, including tune-up tolerance, in each standalone and aggregated frequency band. SAR for the initial test configuration is measured using the highest maximum output power channel determined by the default power measurement procedures. When multiple transmission modes (802.11a/g/n/ac) have the same specified maximum output power, largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate, lowest order 802.11 mode is selected (i.e. a, g, n, ac).
- 3. Largest channel bandwidth is worse than lowest order modulation.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 38 of 54 Report Version: R02



8.5. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 5G UNII_2C

			Fraguency	Data	May Tuna IIn	AVG Pow	ver (dBm)
Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	Max Tune-Up Power (dBm)	Main	Aux
	802.11a	100-140	5500-5700	6	21.00		
	802.11 n20	100-140	5500-5700	HT0	21.00	Not Re	equired
	802.11 n40	102-134	5510-5670	HT0	21.00	1	•
	802.11 ac80	106	5530	VHT0	18.00	17.93	
	802.11 ac80	122	5610	VHT0	21.00	20.97	
UNII_2c	802.11 ac80	138	5690	VHT0	21.00	20.94	
	802.11 ac160	114	5570	VHT0	15.50		
	802.11 ax20	100-140	5500-5700	HE0	21.00		
	802.11 ax40	100-140	5500-5700	HE0	21.00	1	
	802.11 ax80	102-134	5510-5670	HE0	21.00	Not Do	auirad
	802.11 ax160	114	5570	HE0	15.50	I NOU KE	equired
	802.11a	100-140	5500-5700	6	21.00	1	
	802.11 n20	100-140	5500-5700	HT0	21.00	1	
	802.11 n40	102-134	5510-5670	HT0	21.00	1	
	802.11 ac80	106	5530	VHT0	18.00		17.96
	802.11 ac80	122	5610	VHT0	21.00		20.91
UNII 2c	802.11 ac80	138	5690	VHT0	21.00		20.98
_	802.11 ac160	114	5570	VHT0	16.25		
	802.11 ax20	100-140	5500-5700	HE0	21.00		
	802.11 ax40	100-140	5500-5700	HE0	21.00	Not Re	equired
	802.11 ax80	102-134	5510-5670	HE0	21.00		•
Notes	802.11 ax160	114	5570	HE0	16.25		

Note:

in each standalone and aggregated frequency band. SAR for the initial test configuration is measured using the highest maximum output power channel determined by the default power measurement procedures. When multiple transmission modes (802.11a/g/n/ac) have the same specified maximum output power, largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate, lowest order 802.11 mode is selected (i.e. a, g, n, ac).

2. Largest channel bandwidth is worse than lowest order modulation.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 39 of 54 Report Version: R02

^{1.} The initial test configuration for 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz OFDM transmission modes is determined by the 802.11 configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units, including tune-up tolerance.



8.6. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 5G UNII_3

			Fraguency	Data	May Tuna Un	AVG Pow	er (dBm)
Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Rate	Max Tune-Up Power (dBm)	Main	Aux
	802.11a	149-165	5745-5825	6	21.00	Not Do	autro d
	802.11 n20	149-165	5745-5825	HT0	21.00	Not Re	quirea
	802.11 n40	151	5755	HT0	21.00	20.91	
5.8	802.11 n40	159	5795	HT0	21.00	20.92	
UNII 3	802.11 ac80	155	5775	VHT0	20.00		
_	802.11 ax20	149-165	5745-5825	HE0	21.00	1	
	802.11 ax40	151-159	5755-5795	HE0	21.00	Not Do	ميناسم ما
	802.11 ax80	155	5775	HE0	20.00	Not Re	quirea
	802.11a	149-165	5745-5825	6	21.00	1	
	802.11 n20	149-165	5745-5825	HT0	21.00	1	
	802.11 n40	151	5795	HT0	21.00		20.97
5.8	802.11 n40	159	5795	HT0	21.00		20.94
UNII 3	802.11 ac80	155	5775	VHT0	20.00		
	802.11 ax20	149-165	5745-5825	HE0	21.00	Not Do	autra d
	802.11 ax40	151-159	5755-5795	HE0	21.00	Not Re	quired
	802.11 ax80	155	5775	HE0	20.00	1	

Note:

in each standalone and aggregated frequency band. SAR for the initial test configuration is measured using the highest maximum output power channel determined by the default power measurement procedures. When multiple transmission modes (802.11a/g/n/ac) have the same specified maximum output power, largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate, lowest order 802.11 mode is selected (i.e. a. g. n. ac).

2. Largest channel bandwidth is worse than lowest order modulation.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 40 of 54 Report Version: R02

^{1.} The initial test configuration for 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz OFDM transmission modes is determined by the 802.11 configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units, including tune-up tolerance.



8.7. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 6E UNII_5

			Fraguency	Data	May Tuno IIn	AVG Pow	ver (dBm)	
Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	Max Tune-Up Power (dBm)	Main	Aux	
	802.11 ax20	1 - 93	5955 -6415	HE0	7.00			
	802.11 ax40		5965 - 6405	HE0	10.00	Not Re	quired	
	802.11 ax80	7 - 87	5985 - 6385	HE0	13.00	·		
	802.11 ax160	15	6025	HE0	13.50	13.45		
	802.11 ax160	47	6185	HE0	13.50	13.43		
6E	802.11 ax160	79	6345	HE0	13.50	13.47		
UNII 5	802.11 ax20	1 - 93	5955 -6415	HE0	7.00			
_	802.11 ax40	3 - 91	5965 - 6405	HE0	10.00	Not Re	quired	
	802.11 ax80	7 - 87	5985 - 6385	HE0	13.00			
	802.11 ax160	15	6025	HE0	13.50		13.48	
	802.11 ax160	47	6185	HE0	13.50		13.46	
	802.11 ax160	79	6345	HE0	13.50		13.42	

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 41 of 54 Report Version: R02



8.8. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 6E UNII_6

			F	Data	Man True a Lle	AVG Pow	ver (dBm)
Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	Max Tune-Up Power (dBm)	Main	Aux
	802.11 ax20	97 - 113	6435 - 6515	HE0	7.00	Nat Da	
	802.11 ax40	99 -107	6445- 6485	HE0	10.00	Not Required	
	802.11 ax80	103	6465	HE0	13.00	12.94	
	802.11 ax80	119	6545	HE0	13.00	12.97	
6E	802.11 ax160	111	6505	HE0	13.50	13.45	
UNII 6	802.11 ax20	97 - 113	6435 - 6515	HE0	7.00	Not Do	autra d
	802.11 ax40	99 -107	6445- 6485	HE0	10.00	NOT KE	quired
	802.11 ax80	103	6465	HE0	13.00		12.96
	802.11 ax80	119	6545	HE0	13.00		12.91
	802.11 ax160	111	6505	HE0	13.50		13.44

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 42 of 54 Report Version: R02



8.9. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 6E UNII_7

			F	Data	May Tuna IIIn	AVG Pow	ver (dBm)
Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	Max Tune-Up Power (dBm)	Main	Aux
	802.11 ax20	117 - 181	6535 - 6855	HE0	7.00	Net De	ai.a.al
	802.11 ax40	115 - 179	6525 - 6845	HE0	10.00	NOT KE	equired
	802.11 ax80	167	6785	HE0	13.00	12.98	
	802.11 ax160	143	6665	HE0	13.50	13.46	
6E	802.11 ax160	175	6825	HE0	13.50	13.43	
UNII 7	802.11 ax20	117 - 181	6535 - 6855	HE0	7.00	Not Do	auirad
	802.11 ax40	115 - 179	6525 - 6845	HE0	10.00	NOT KE	equired
	802.11 ax80	167	6785	HE0	13.00		12.92
	802.11 ax160	143	6665	HE0	13.50		13.40
	802.11 ax160	175	6825	HE0	13.50		13.43

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 43 of 54 Report Version: R02



8.10. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS OF 6E UNII_8

			Fraguena.	Data	May Tuna Un	AVG Pow	ver (dBm)
Band	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	Max Tune-Up Power (dBm)	Main	Aux
	802.11 ax20	185-233	6875-7115	HE0	7.00	Not Do	airad
	802.11 ax40		6885-7085	HE0	10.00	NOT RE	quired
	802.11 ax80	199	6945	HE0	13.00	12.94	
	802.11 ax80	215	7025	HE0	13.00	12.91	
6E	802.11 ax160	207	6985	HE0	13.50	13.45	
UNII 8	802.11 ax20	185-233	6875-7115	HE0	7.00	Not Do	auirad
l	802.11 ax40	187-227	6885-7085	HE0	10.00	Not Required	
l	802.11 ax80	199	6945	HE0	13.00		12.98
	802.11 ax80	215	7025	HE0	13.00		12.94
	802.11 ax160	207	6985	HE0	13.50		13.43

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 44 of 54 Report Version: R02



8.11. SAR TEST RESULTS

General Notes:

- 1. Per KDB447498 D04, all measurement SAR results are scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit to demonstrate compliant.
- 2. Per KDB447498 D04, testing of other required channels within the operating mode of a frequency band is not required when the reported 1-g or 10-g SAR for the mid-band or highest output power channel is:≤0.8 W/kg or 2.0 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is≤100 MHz. When the maximum output power variation across the required test channels is > ½ dB, instead of the middle channel, the highest output power channel must be used.
- 3. Per KDB865664 D01,for each frequency band, repeated SAR measurement is required only when the measured SAR is ≥0.8W/kg; if the deviation among the repeated measurement is ≤20%,and the measured SAR <1.45W/kg, only one repeated measurement is required.

WLAN Notes:

- 1. For exposure conditions with multiple test positions, such as handset operating next to the ear, devices with hotspot mode, procedures for initial test position can be applied. Using the transmission mode determined by the DSSS procedure or initial test configuration, area scans are measured for all positions in an exposure condition. The test position with the highest extrapolated(peak) SAR is used as the initial test position. When the reported SAR of the initial test position is ≤ 0.4 W/kg, further SAR measurement is not required for the other (remaining) test positions. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated at the subsequent highest peak SAR position until the reported SAR result is ≤ 0.8 W/kg or all test positions are measured.
- 2. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 for 2.4GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power Channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes(2.4GHz 802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR. See Section7.1.4 for more information.
- 3. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 for 5GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the initial test configuration was selected according to the transmission mode with the highest maximum allowed power. Other transmission mode was not investigated since the highest reported SAR for initial test configuration adjusted by the ratio of maximum output powers is less than1.2W/kg. See Section 7.1.4 for more information.

WLAN PD Note:

- 1. The manufacturer has confirmed that the devices tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.
- 2. Absorbed power density (APD) using a 4cm2 averaging area is reported based on SAR measurements.
- 3. Power density was calculated by repeated E-field measurements on two measurement planes separated by $\lambda/4$.
- 4. The device was configured to transmit continuously at the required data rate, channel bandwidth and signal modulation, using the highest transmission duty factor supported by the test mode tools.
- 5. Per FCC guidance and equipment manufacturer guidance, power density results were scaled according to IEC 62479:2010 for the portion of the measurement uncertainty > 30%. Total expanded uncertainty of 2.68 dB (85.4%) was used to determine the psPD measurement scaling factor.
- 6. The measurement procedure consists of measuring the PDinc at two different distances: 2 mm (compliance distance) and λ/5. The grid extents should be large enough to fully capture the transmitted energy. The grid step should be fine enough to demonstrate that the integrated Power Density iPDn fulfill the criterion described below. Since iPD ratio between the two distances is ≥ -1dB, the grid step (0.0625) was sufficient for determining compliance at d=2mm.

$$10 \cdot log_{10} \frac{iPD_n(2mm)}{iPD_n(\lambda/5)} \ge -1$$

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 45 of 54 Report Version: R02



9. SAR TEST RESULTS

9.1. BODY SAR TEST RESULTS

SAR test results of Bluetooth

Mode	Channel	Test Position	Ant	Max Tune-up (dBm)	AVG Power (dBm)	Area Scan SAR 1g	SAR 1g	Duty Cycel %	Duty Factor	Reported SAR 1g	Note
	0	Bottom	Aux	10.50	9.35	0.012	0.009	77.13%	1.30	0.016	
Divistanth DHE	39	Bottom	Aux	10.50	9.46	0.014	0.010	77.13%	1.30	0.016	
Bluetooth_DH5	78	Bottom	Aux	10.50	9.88	0.037	0.019	77.13%	1.30	0.029	
	78	LCD Back	Aux	10.50	9.88	0.001	< 0.001	77.13%	1.30	< 0.001	

SAR test results of 2.4G WiFi

Mode	Channel	Test Position	Ant	Max Tune-up (dBm)	AVG Power (dBm)	Area Scan SAR 1g	SAR 1g	Reported SAR 1g	Note
	6	Bottom	Main	21.00	20.98	0.304	0.236	0.237	
802.11b	1	Bottom	Main	21.00	20.95	0.316	0.238	0.241	
002.110	11	Bottom	Main	21.00	20.91	0.212	0.269	0.275	
	11	LCD Back	Main	21.00	20.91	0.011	0.012	0.013	
	6	Bottom	Aux	21.00	20.97	0.220	0.210	0.211	
802.11b	1	Bottom	Aux	21.00	20.93	0.340	0.255	0.259	
002.110	11	Bottom	Aux	21.00	20.92	0.215	0.201	0.205	
	1	LCD Back	Aux	21.00	20.93	0.011	0.006	0.007	

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 46 of 54 Report Version: R02



SAR test results of 5G WiFi

Band	Mode	Channel	Test Position	Ant	Max Tune-up (dBm)	AVG Power (dBm)	Area Scan	SAR 1g	Reported SAR 1g	Note
		52	Bottom	Main	21.00	20.71	0.512	0.561	0.600	
	802.11a	56	Bottom	Main	21.00	20.86	0.546	0.537	0.555	
	002.11d	60	Bottom	Main	21.00	20.90	0.520	0.554	0.567	
5G		52	LCD Back	Main	21.00	20.71	0.063	0.062	0.066	
UNII 1&2a		54	Bottom	Aux	21.00	20.98	0.522	0.479	0.481	
	802.11 n40	62	Bottom	Aux	18.50	18.17	0.519	0.453	0.489	
		62	LCD Back	Aux	18.50	18.17	0.084	0.080	0.087	
		106	Bottom	Main	18.00	17.93	0.645	0.717	0.729	
	802.11	122	Bottom	Main	21.00	20.97	0.601	0.682	0.687	
	ac80	138	Bottom	Main	21.00	20.94	0.888	1.020	1.034	
5G		138	LCD Back	Main	21.00	20.94	0.077	0.078	0.079	
UNII 2c		106	Bottom	Aux	18.00	17.96	0.639	0.536	0.541	
	802.11	122	Bottom	Aux	21.00	20.91	0.554	0.624	0.637	
	ac80	138	Bottom	Aux	21.00	20.98	0.679	0.781	0.785	
		138	LCD Back	Aux	21.00	20.98	0.099	0.094	0.095	
		151	Bottom	Main	21.00	20.91	1.060	1.150	1.174	
	802.11 n40	159	Bottom	Main	21.00	20.92	0.840	0.964	0.974	
5G UNII 3		151	LCD Back	Main	21.00	20.91	0.076	0.076	0.077	
		151	Bottom	Aux	21.00	20.97	0.783	0.773	0.778	
	802.11 n40	159	Bottom	Aux	21.00	20.94	0.806	0.825	0.836	
		159	LCD Back	Aux	21.00	20.94	0.092	0.087	0.088	
5G UNII 3	802.11 n40	151	Bottom	Main	21.00	20.91	0.862	1.020	1.041	1

Note:

1.Repeated measurements are required only when the measured SAR is ≥0.80 W/kg. If the measured SAR values are < 1.45 W/kg with ≤20% variation, only one repeated measurement is required to reaffirm that the results are not expected to have substantial variations, which may introduce significant compliance concerns. (Per KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04)

Original SAR = 1.150 W/kg, therefore second times repeat SAR is required.

Repeat SAR = 1.020 W/kg < 1.45W/kg

SAR variation= -11.30% < 20%

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 47 of 54 Report Version: R02



SAR test results of 6E WiFi

Mode	Channel	Test Position	Ant	Max une-up (dBm)	AVG Power (dBm)	Area Scan	SAR 1g	Reported SAR 1g	APD (W/m2)
	15	Bottom		13.50	13.45	0.277	0.304	0.308	2.12
802.11	47	Bottom	Main	13.50	13.43	0.368	0.400	0.406	2.86
ax160	79	Bottom]	13.50	13.47	0.397	0.413	0.416	2.93
	79	LCD Back		13.50	13.47	0.019	SAR 1g SAR 1g (W/n 77 0.304 0.308 2.1 68 0.400 0.406 2.8 67 0.413 0.416 2.9 69 0.017 0.017 0.19 77 0.286 0.287 2.1 60 0.337 0.380 2.6 61 0.025 0.025 0.2 62 0.470 0.475 3.3 63 0.353 0.355 2.5 63 0.353 0.355 2.5 63 0.357 0.362 2.5 65 0.293 0.296 2.0 60 0.357 0.362 2.5 65 0.293 0.296 2.0 60 0.307 0.313 2.1 60 0.300 0.303 2.1 70 0.187 0.190 1.2 83 0.291 0.296 2.0 84	0.156	
	15	Bottom		13.50	13.48	0.277	0.286	0.287	2.19
802.11	47	Bottom	Aux	13.50	13.46	0.311	0.337		2.48
ax160	79	Bottom		13.50	13.42	0.340			2.69
	79	LCD Back		13.50	13.42	0.024	0.025	0.025	0.21
802.11 ax160	111	Bottom		13.50	13.45	0.436	0.470	0.475	3.37
902.11	103	Bottom	Main	13.00	12.94	0.349	0.362	0.367	2.58
802.11	119	Bottom		13.00	12.97	0.330	0.353	0.355	2.54
ax80	111	LCD Back	1	13.50	13.45	0.022	0.024	0.024	0.213
802.11 ax160	111	Bottom		13.50	13.44	0.350	0.357	0.362	2.54
802.11	103	Bottom	1 .	13.00	12.96	0.265	0.293	0.296	2.08
ax80	119	Bottom	Aux	13.00	12.91	0.300			2.17
802.11 ax160	111	LCD Back	-	13.50	13.44	0.029		0.028	0.242
802.11	143	Bottom		13.50	13.46	0.282	0.300	0.303	2.17
ax160	175	Bottom	Main	13.50	13.43	0.170	0.187	0.190	1.25
802.11 ax80	167	Bottom	IVIAIII	13.00	12.98	0.123	0.132	0.133	0.904
802.11 ax160	143	LCD Back		13.50	13.46	0.030	0.028	0.028	0.265
802.11	143	Bottom		13.50	13.40	0.365	0.386	0.395	2.76
ax160	175	Bottom		13.50	13.43	0.385	0.418	0.425	3.02
802.11 ax80	167	Bottom	Aux	13.00	12.92	0.278	0.291	0.296	2.06
802.11 ax160	175	LCD Back		13.50	13.43	0.032	0.030	0.030	0.26
802.11 ax160	207	Bottom		13.50	13.45	0.270	0.260	0.263	1.81
802.11	199	Bottom	Main	13.00	12.94	0.160	0.174	0.176	1.15
ax80	215	Bottom	1410111	13.00	12.91	0.170	0.178	0.182	1.12
802.11 ax160	207	LCD Back		13.50	13.45	0.032	0.021	0.021	0.176
802.11 ax160	207	Bottom	Aux	13.50	13.43	0.413	0.411	0.418	2.9
802.11	199	Bottom		13.00	12.98	0.292	0.305	0.306	2.13
ax80	215	Bottom		13.00	12.94	0.286	0.303	0.307	2.15
802.11 ax160	207	LCD Back		13.50	13.43	0.030	0.029	0.029	0.154



Power Density results of 6E WiFi

Band	Mode	Channel	Test Position	Gap (mm)	Ant	Max une-up (dBm)	AVG Power (dBm)	Grid Step	iPDn	iPD ratio (≥ -1)	Averaging Area cm^2	Avg-Total Power Density W/m^2
6E UNII5	802.11 ax160	79	Bottom	2mm	Main	13.50	13.47	0.0625	1.49	0.94005022	4.000	3.280
6E UNII6	802.11 ax160	79	Bottom	10mm	Main	13.50	13.45	0.25	1.20	0.94003022	4.000	0.755
6E UNII7	802.11 ax160	207	Bottom	2mm	Aux	13.50	13.43	0.0625	2.10	0.86690848	4.000	3.400
6E UNII8	802.11 ax160	207	Bottom	8.6mm	Aux	13.50	13.43	0.25	1.72	0.86690848	4.000	1.100

Band	Mode	Channel	Test Position	Gap (mm)	Ant	Max une-up (dBm)	AVG Power (dBm)	Grid Step	Scaling Factor for Measurement Uncertainty	Averaging Area cm^2	Avg-Total Power Density W/m^2	Scaling Total Power Density
6E UNII5	802.11 ax160	79	Bottom	2mm	Main	13.50	13.47	0.0625	1.5535	4.000	3.280	5.131
6E UNII6	802.11 ax160	111	Bottom	2mm	Main	13.50	13.45	0.0625	1.5535	4.000	3.660	5.752
6E UNII7	802.11 ax160	175	Bottom	2mm	Aux	13.50	13.43	0.0625	1.5535	4.000	3.550	5.605
6E UNII8	802.11 ax160	207	Bottom	2mm	Aux	13.50	13.43	0.0625	1.5535	4.000	3.400	5.368
6E UNII5	802.11 ax160	79	Bottom	2mm	Aux	13.50	13.42	0.0625	1.5535	4.000	2.800	4.431

		1	_
1	16	١Т	α.

We reference TCB workshop April 2021 to test Power Density.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 49 of 54 Report Version: R02



10. SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS

10.1. STAND-ALONE SAR TEST EXCLUSION

SAR compliance for simultaneous transmission must be considered when the maximum duration of overlapping transmissions, including network hand-offs, is greater than 30 seconds. This device contains multiple transmitters that may operate simultaneously, and therefore requires a simultaneous transmission analysis.

The Simultaneous Transmission Possibilities of this device are as below:

No.	Configuration
1	WLAN 2.4G(Main)+BT
2	RLAN 5G(Main)+BT
3	WLAN 6G(Maim)+BT
4	WLAN 2.4G(Main)+ WLAN 2.4G(Aux)
5	RLAN 5G(Main)+ RLAN 5G(Aux)
6	WLAN 6G(Main)+ WLAN 6G(Aux)

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 50 of 54 Report Version: R02



10.2. SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS

KDB 447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01, introduces a new formula for calculating the SAR to Peak Location Ratio (SPLSR) between pairs of simultaneously transmitting antennas:

 $SPLSR = (SAR_1 + SAR_2)^{1.5} / R_i$ Where:

 SAR_1 is the highest Reported or estimated SAR for the first of a pair of simultaneous transmitting antennas, in a specific test operating mode and exposure condition

SAR₂ is the highest Reported or estimated SAR for the second of a pair of simultaneous transmitting antennas, in the same test operating mode and exposure condition as the first

 R_i is the separation distance between the pair of simultaneous transmitting antennas. When the SAR is measured, for both antennas in the pair, it is determined by the actual x, y and z coordinates in the 1-g SAR for each SAR peak location, based on the extrapolated and interpolated result in the zoom scan measurement, using the formula of $[(x_1-x_2)^2+(y_1-y_2)^2+(z_1-z_2)^2]$

A new threshold of 0.04 is also introduced in the KDB. Thus, in order for a pair of simultaneous transmitting antennas with the sum of 1-g SAR > 1.6 W/kg to qualify for exemption from Simultaneous Transmission SAR measurements, it has to satisfy the condition of: $(SAR_1 + SAR_2)^{1.5}/R_i \le 0.04$

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 51 of 54 Report Version: R02

10.3. ABOUT BT/WIFI

Total Burnin			
Test Position SAR1g(W/kg)	Bottom	LCD Back	
WLAN 2.4G WiFi_Main	0.275	0.013	
WLAN 2.4G WiFi_Aux	0.259	0.007	
UNII_1 & 2a WiFi_Main	0.600	0.066	
UNII_1 & 2a WiFi_Aux	0.489	0.087	
UNII_2c WiFi_Main	1.034	0.079	
UNII_2c WiFi_Aux	0.785	0.095	
UNII_3 WiFi_Main	1.174	0.077	
UNII_3 WiFi_Aux	0.836	0.088	
UNII_5 WiFi_Main	0.416	0.017	
UNII_5 WiFi_Aux	0.380	0.025	
UNII_6 WiFi_Main	0.475	0.024	
UNII_6 WiFi_Aux	0.362	0.028	
UNII_7 WiFi_Main	0.303	0.028	
UNII_7 WiFi_Aux	0.425	0.030	
UNII_8 WiFi_Main	0.263	0.021	
UNII_8 WiFi_Aux	0.418	0.029	
Bluetooth_DH5	0.02	<0.001	
WLAN2.4G_Main+WLAN			
2.4G_Aux	0.534	0.020	
MAX∑SAR1g			
WLAN_Main+BT_Aux	4 000	0.077	
MAX∑SAR1g	1.203	0.077	
RLAN 5G_Main+ RLAN			
5G_Aux	2.010	0.165	
MAX∑SAR1g			
Wi-Fi 6E_Main+ Wi-Fi			
6E_Aux	0.900	0.054	
MAX∑SAR1g			

MAX. ∑SAR_{1g}= 2.010 W/Kg>1.6 W/Kg, so Peak location SAR are required.
 Peak location SAR are 0.01 that refer Appendix E.

Project No.: 2211C022 Page 52 of 54 Report Version: R02



11. TEST LAYOUT


Specific Absorption Rate Test Layout




Liquid depth in the flat Phantom (≥15cm depth)
HSL(2450MHz) HSL(5GHz)











Appendix A. SAR Plots of System Verification

(PIs See BTL-FCC SAR-1-2211C022_Appendix A.)

Appendix B. SAR Plots of SAR Measurement

(PIs See BTL-FCC SAR-1-2211C022_Appendix B.)

Appendix C. Calibration Certificate

(PIs See BTL-FCC SAR-1-2211C022_Appendix C.)

Appendix D. Photographs of the Test Set-Up

(PIs See BTL-FCC SAR-1-2211C022_Appendix D.)

Appendix E. SAR SPLSR

(PIs See BTL-FCC SAR-1-2211C022_Appendix E.)

End of Test Report