

January 26, 2007

RE: Enping Ding Li Acoustics Technological Co., Ltd.

FCC ID: RW2BT16U

Below words with blue is my reply,

- 1.) I have conferred with my colleagues on your labeling issues. The FCC's interpretation of 2.925(d) has been to exclude any removable battery covers, or hinged battery covers that can be popped on-or-off. In my judgment, this arrangement shown in this filing will not meet the Commission's requirements. If you desire, an inquiry can be made to the FCC directly but be warned that this may take time to receive an answer. The fact that previous approvals were for product with labels on a hinged door are irrelevant. The FCC interpretations are clear and leave very little room for misunderstanding. For this case, if the battery door is missing, it would still be very easy for the end user to simply tape the batteries into place and continue operations.

Please check revised photo of label location.

- 2.) As you can, I am sure, well understand, the radiated emissions are exceedingly important on this type of device. I was hoping that you would provide for me a table of values for reference level, cable loss, ACF, signal generator, etc for both the Tx radiated power and the Tx radiated spurious emissions test. Without any data presented, I cannot determine if, for example, the radiated power is in terms of ERP or EIRP, or even if the math is performed correctly. Please provide all pertinent data for all radiated spurious emissions and radiated output power tests within the Test Report.

Please check P40 of the revised test report.

- 3.) The second paragraph of your operational description indicates the BT-16U creates, modulates and amplifies radio frequency signals, but provides no explanation how. Please expand your existing document to indicate how this process occurs.

Please check the revised operational description.

- 4.) FYI: There is no indication in your test report or test setup photos of testing the EUT at 1.5M. In fact both of your substitution site diagrams (pp 7 and 38) indicate the EUT is at .8M only. There is nothing I found to substantiate your claim that testing was performed on a test range at 1.5M.

In fact we put the EUT on 1.5m table. I already revised the test report. Please check P7 and P38 of the test report.