

TEST REPORT

REPORT NUMBER: I07GE6790-FCC-SAR3

ON

Type of Equipment: Mobile Phone
Type of Designation: MEGA2
Manufacturer: Ezze Mobile Tech

ACCORDING TO

FCC Part 2.1093: Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation:
portable devices, e-CFR March 23, 2006

FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01): Additional
Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable
Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency
Emissions

IEEE Std 1528™-2003: IEEE Recommended Practice for
Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate
(SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications
Devices: Measurement Techniques

China Telecommunication Technology Labs.

Month date, year

1 4 2008

Signature



He Guli
Director

FCC ID: RV2MEGA2
Report Date: 2007-12-21

Test Firm Name: China Telecommunication Technology Labs
Registration Number: 840587

Statement

The measurements shown in this report were made in accordance with the procedures described on test pages. All reported tests were carried out on a sample equipment to demonstrate limited compliance with FCC CFR 47 Part 2.1093. The sample tested was found to comply with the requirements defined in the applied rules.

Table of Contents

1. General Information	4
1.1 NOTES	4
1.2 TESTERS	5
1.3 TESTING LABORATORY INFORMATION	6
1.4 DETAILS OF APPLICANT OR MANUFACTURER.....	7
2 Test Item	8
2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION.....	8
2.2 OUTLINE OF EUT	8
2.3 MODIFICATIONS INCORPORATED IN EUT.....	8
2.4 EQUIPMENT CONFIGURATION	8
2.5 OTHER INFORMATION.....	8
2.6 EUT PHOTOGRAPHS	9
3 Measurement Systems	11
3.1 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS SETUP	11
3.2 E-FIELD PROBE.....	12
3.3 PHANTOM.....	13
3.4 DEVICE HOLDER	14
4 Test Results	15
4.1 OPERATIONAL CONDITION.....	15
4.2 TEST EQUIPMENT USED.....	15
4.3 APPLICABLE LIMIT REGULATIONS	16
4.4 TEST RESULTS	16
4.5 TEST SETUP AND PROCEDURES.....	16
4.6 TISSUE EQUIVALENT LIQUIDS USED AND ITS PROPERTIES	17
4.7 SYSTEM VALIDATION CHECK.....	18
4.8 MAXIMUM OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT METHODS	20
4.9 TEST DATA	20
4.10 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	25
Annex A Photographs.....	26
Annex B Graphical Results	31
ANNEX C Probes Calibration Certificates	54
ANNEX D Deviations from Prescribed Test Methods	65

1. General Information

1.1 Notes

All reported tests were carried out on a sample equipment to demonstrate limited compliance with the requirements of FCC CFR 47 Part 2.1093.


The test results of this test report relate exclusively to the item(s) tested as specified in section 2.

The following deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test specifications have been made. See Annex D.


China Telecommunication Technology Labs.(CTTL) authorizes the applicant or manufacturer (see section 1.4) to reproduce this report provided, and the test report may only be reproduced or published in full. Reproduction or publication of extracts from the report requires the prior written approval of CTTL Mr. He Guili.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. CTTL accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

1.2 Testers

Name: Wu Xiang
Position: Engineer
Department: Department of EMC test
Signature: 

Editor of this test report:

Name: Wu Xiang
Position: Engineer
Department: Department of EMC test
Date: 2008.1.4
Signature: 

Technical responsibility for testing:

Name: Zhang Xia
Position: Manager
Department: Department of EMC test
Date: 2008.1.4
Signature: 

1.3 Testing Laboratory information

1.3.1 Location

Name: China Telecommunication Technology Labs.
Address: No. 52, Huanyuan Road, Haidian District
BEIJING
P. R. CHINA, 100083
Tel: +86 10 68094053
Fax: +86 10 68011404
Email: emc@chinattl.com

1.3.2 Details of accreditation status

Accredited by: China National Accreditation for Laboratory (CNAL)
Registration number: CNAL Registration No.L0570
Standard: ISO/IEC 17025

1.3.3 Test location, where different from section 1.3.1

Name: -----
Street: -----
City: -----
Country: -----
Telephone: -----
Fax: -----
Postcode: -----

1.4 Details of applicant or manufacturer

1.4.1 Applicant

Name: Ezze Mobile Tech
Address: 1F, Bubmusa Bldg., 151-31. Nonhyun-Dong,
Kangnam-Ku, Seoul, Korea
Country: Korea
Telephone: +82-2-519-7807
Fax: +82-2-519-7882
Contact: Byoung Dae Ahn
Telephone: +82-2-519-7805
Email: eosahn@ezzemobile.com

1.4.2 Manufacturer (if different from applicant in section 1.4.1)

Name: --
Address: --
City: --
Country: --

2 Test Item

2.1 General Information

Manufacturer: Ezze Mobile Tech

Name: Mobile Phone

Model Number: MEGA2

Serial Number: --

Production Status: Production

Receipt date of test item: 2007-11-08

2.2 Outline of EUT

EUT is a GSM850/ PCS1900 Dual-band Terminal Equipment with GPRS mode.

2.3 Modifications Incorporated in EUT

The EUT has not been modified from what is described by the brand name and unique type identification stated above.

2.4 Equipment Configuration

Equipment configuration list:

Item	Generic Description	Manufacturer	Type	Serial No.	Remarks
A	Mobile phone	Rich star	Wire type	--	None
B	Adaptor	Yu Feng	USB type charger	--	None
C	Battery	Harbin Coslight Power Co., LTD/Shenzhen Ruide Electronic Co., LTD	053436	--	None

Cables:

Item	Cable Type	Manufacturer	Length	Shield	Quantity	Remarks
1	DC cable on Adapter	Unknown	1.80m	No	1	None

2.5 Other Information

The multislot class of the GPRS mode is class 8 with 5 active timeslots.

2.6 EUT Photographs



Figure 1 Front view



Figure 2 Back view



Figure 3 Mainboard



Figure 4 Charger

3 Measurement Systems

3.1 SAR Measurement Systems Setup

All measurements were performed using the automated near-field scanning system, DASY4, from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision industrial robot which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than 0.02mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines (length = 300mm) to the data acquisition unit.

A cell controller system containing the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick) and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Micron Pentium III 800 MHz computer with Windows 2000 system and SAR Measurement Software DASY4, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Stäubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc., which is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical signal to digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.




Figure 4 Demonstration of measurement system setup

The DAE4 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is

accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built-in VME-bus computer.

3.2 E-field Probe

3.2.1 E-field Probe Description

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the standard procedure with an accuracy of better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy was evaluated and found to be better than $\pm 0.25\text{dB}$.

Items	Specification
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection System(ET3DV6 only) Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material(resistant to organic solvents, e.g., glycol)
Calibration	In air from 10 MHz to 2.5 GHz In brain and muscle simulating tissue at frequencies of 450MHz, 900MHz and 1.8GHz (accuracy $\pm 8\%$) Calibration for other liquids and frequencies upon request
Frequency	10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal probe axis)
Dynamic Range	5 μ W/g to > 100mW/g; Linearity: $\pm 0.2\text{dB}$
Surface Detection	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surface(ET3DV6 only)
Dimensions	Overall length: 330mm Tip length: 16mm Body diameter: 12mm Tip diameter: 6.8mm Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 3GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

3.2.2 E-field Probe Calibration

The Annex C is the copy of the calibration certificate of the used probes.

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy was evaluated and found to be better than $\pm 0.25\text{dB}$. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies below 1 GHz, and in a wave guide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The free-space E-field measured in the medium correlates to temperature increase in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

$$\text{SAR} = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

Where: Δt = Exposure time (30 seconds),

C = Heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

ΔT = Temperature increase due to RF exposure.

Or

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{|E|^2 \sigma}{\rho}$$

Where:

σ = Simulated tissue conductivity,

ρ = Tissue density (kg/m^3).

3.3 Phantom

The Generic Twin Phantom is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot.

Specifications:

Shell Thickness: $2 \pm 0.1\text{mm}$

Filling Volume: Approx. 20 liters

Dimensions: 810 x 1000 x 500 mm (H x L x W)

Liquid depth when testing: at least 150 mm

3.4 Device Holder

In combination with the Generic Twin Phantom V3.0, the Mounting Device (POM) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeat ably positioned according to the FCC and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom etc).

CTL Test Report

4 Test Results

4.1 Operational Condition

Specifications FCC OET 65C (01-01), IEEE Std 1528™-2003
Date of Tests 2007.11.02 – 2007.11.07
Test conditions Ambient Temperature: 22.0~24.0℃
 Relative Humidity: 39.5~50.7%
Operation Mode TX at the highest output peak power level
Method of measurement: FCC OET 65C (01-01), IEEE Std 1528™-2003

4.2 Test Equipment Used

TYPE	ITEM	S/N	CALIBRATION DATE	DUE DATE
CMU200	Wireless Communication Test Set	109172	2007-03-12	2008-03-12
EX3DV4	probe	3578	2007-04-24	2008-04-24
SD000D04 BC	DAE4	685	2006-11-15	2007-11-15
D900V2	dipole	168	2007-04-17	2008-04-17
D1800V2	dipole	2d052	2007-04-23	2008-04-23
NRVD	Power Meter	835843/014	2006-12-4	2007-12-4
SME03	Signal Generator	100029	2006-12-11	2007-12-11
NRV-Z4	Power Sensor	100381	2007-09-27	2008-09-27
NRV-Z2	Power Sensor	100211	2007-09-27	2008-09-27
8491B	Attenuator	MY39262528	NA	NA
8491B	Attenuator	MY39262663	NA	NA
8491B	Attenuator	MY39262640	NA	NA
8491B	Attenuator	MY39262638	NA	NA
778D	Dual directional coupler	20040	NA	NA
E3640A	DC Power Supply	MY40008487	2007-08-14	2008-08-13
85070E	Probe kit	MY44300214	N.A.	N.A.
E5071B	Network Analyzer	MY42404001	2007-06-18	2008-06-17

4.3 Applicable Limit Regulations

Item	Limit Level
Local Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) (1g)	1.6W/kg

4.4 Test Results

The EUT complies.

Note:

All measurements are traceable to national standards.

4.5 Test Setup and Procedures

The test setup is showed as picture 1 in the annex A.

The evaluation was performed according to the following procedure:

Step 1: The SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point was measured and was used as a reference value for assessing the power drift.

Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by interpolation.

Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 25 mm was assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 6 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:

a. The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2 mm. The extrapolation was based on the least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.

b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x ~ y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.

c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation should be repeated.

4.6 Tissue Equivalent Liquids Used and its Properties

4.6.1 Liquids for 835MHz

4.6.1.1 Head Tissue-Equivalent Liquids

Head Recipes of the liquids for 835MHz	
Ingredient	Percentage by weight
Sucrose	57.00
Water	40.45
NaCl	1.45
HEC	1.00
Preventol	0.10

Dielectric properties of the Head liquids at 835MHz					
Property	Reference value	Tolerance limit	Measured value	Error	Result
ϵ_r	41.5	5%	41.4	0.5%	Complies
σ	0.90 S/m	5%	0.894 S/m	0%	Complies

4.6.1.2 Body Tissue-Equivalent Liquids

Body Recipes of the liquids for 835MHz	
Ingredient	Percentage by weight
Sucrose	45.00
Water	52.40
NaCl	1.40
HEC	1.00
Preventol	0.10

Dielectric properties of the Body liquids at 835MHz					
Property	Reference value	Tolerance limit	Measured value	Error	Result
ϵ_r	55.2	5%	53.70	-0.1%	Complies
σ	0.97 S/m	5%	0.976 S/m	4.1%	Complies

4.6.2 Liquids for 1900MHz

4.6.2.1 Head Tissue-Equivalent Liquids

Head Recipes of the liquids for 1900MHz	
Ingredient	Percentage by weight
2-(2-butoxyethoxy) ethanol	44.92
De-ionised water	54.90
NaCl salt	0.18

Dielectric properties of the Head liquids at 1900MHz					
Property	Reference value	Tolerance limit	Measured value	Error	Result
ϵ_r	40	5%	40.2	-2.5%	Complies
σ	1.4 S/m	5%	1.45 S/m	-4.3%	Complies

4.6.2.2 Body Tissue-Equivalent Liquids

Body Recipes of the liquids for 1900MHz	
Ingredient	Percentage by weight
Sucrose	58.00
De-ionised water	40.40
NaCl salt	0.50
HEC	1.00
Preventol	0.10

Dielectric properties of the Body liquids at 1900MHz					
Property	Reference value	Tolerance limit	Measured value	Error	Result
ϵ_r	53.3	5%	53.5	1.3%	Complies
σ	1.52 S/m	5%	1.58 S/m	4.6%	Complies

4.7 System Validation Check

Validation Method:

The setup of system validation check is demonstrated as figure 5. The amplifier, low pass filter and attenuators are optional. The dipole shall be positioned and centered below the phantom, paralleling to the longest side of the phantom. A low loss and low dielectric constant spacer on the dipole may be used to guarantee the correct distance between the dipole top surface and the phantom bottom surface.

The separation d , which is defined as the distance from the liquid bottom surface to the dipole's central axis at location of the feed-point, should be as following: for 835 MHz dipole, $d = 15$ mm, and for 1900 MHz dipole, $d = 10$ mm, and this can be obtained using two different size spacer. The dipole arms shall be parallel to the flat phantom surface.

First the power meter PM1 is connected to the cable and it measures the forward power at the location of the dipole connector (X). The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power at the dipole connector (taking into account the (Att1) value) and the power meter PM2 is read at that level. Then after connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at the power meter PM2.

The system validation check procedures are the same as all measurement

procedures used for compliance tests. A complete 1 g averaged SAR measurement is performed using the flat part of the phantom. The reference dipole input power is adjusted to produce a 1 g averaged SAR value falling in the range of 0.4 – 10 mW/g. The 1 g averaged SAR is measured at 835 MHz and 1900 MHz using corresponding dipole respectively. Then the results are normalized to 1 W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR values.




Figure 5 Illustration of system validation test setup

Validation Results at 835MHz for Head Tissue-Equivalent Liquids

Test date: 2007-11-07

Liquid parameters: $\epsilon_r=41.69$, $\sigma=0.90S/m$

Ambient temperature: 23.2°C, liquid temperature: 23.5°C

Item	Target value	Tolerance limit	Verification source power	Measured value	Normalized Measured value	Error	Result
SAR (1 g)	9.92 mW/g	±10%	21 dBm	1.2 mW/g	9.6 mW/g	-3.2%	complies

Validation Results at 1900MHz for Head Tissue-Equivalent Liquids

Test date: 2007-11-07

Liquid parameters: $\epsilon_r=39.00$, $\sigma=1.32S/m$

Ambient temperature: 22.5°C, liquid temperature: 22.7°C

Item	Target value	Tolerance limit	Verification source power	Measured value	Normalized Measured value	Error	Result
SAR (1 g)	41.6 mW/g	±10%	21 dBm	4.91 mW/g	39.3 mW/g	-5.5%	complies

4.8 Maximum Output Power Measurement Methods

According to FCC OET 65 (C), maximum output power shall be measured before and after each SAR test. The test setup and method are described as following.

Test setup

The output power measurement test setup is demonstrated as figure 6.




Figure 6 Demonstration of power measurement

The power control level settings are as following table.

mode	PCL setting	Permissible max.values	Channel[low]	Channel[mid]	Channel[high]
GSM/GPRS 850	5	33dBm	31.9dBm	31.7 dBm	31.7 dBm
			824.20MHz	836.60 MHz	848.80 MHz
PCS/GPRS 1900	0	30dBm	29.6dBm	29.5dBm	29.4dBm
			1850.2 MHz	1880.0 MHz	1909.8 MHz

4.9 Test Data

4.9.1 Test Specifications

(a) Duty Factor and Crest Factor

For GSM mode, the duty factor is 1:8.3 and the crest factor is 8.3; and for GPRS mode the duty factor is 1:4 and the crest factor is 4.

(b) Liquid Parameters

Conditions	Frequency	ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	Note
Head Liquid for GSM 850 MHz band				
128	824.2	42.98	0.89	--
190	836.6	41.70	0.90	--
251	848.8	42.56	0.91	--

Head Liquid for PCS 1900 MHz band				
512	1850.2	41.20	1.29	--
661	1880.0	39.00	1.32	--
810	1909.8	38.60	1.38	--
Body Liquid for GSM/GPRS 850 MHz band				
128	824.2	55.22	1.05	--
190	836.6	55.10	1.01	--
251	848.8	55.06	0.98	--
Body Liquid for PCS/GPRS 1900 MHz band				
512	1850.2	52.77	1.49	--
661	1880.0	52.60	1.59	--
810	1909.8	52.56	1.61	--

4.9.2 Test Data for Head mode

4.9.2.1 GSM 850MHz band:

EUT position	ARFCN /Frequency [MHz]	SAR (1 g) [W/kg]	Power Drift[dB]
Cheek position on the left side of the head	128/824.20	0.614	-0.0614
	190/836.6	0.719	-0.0375
	251/848.8	0.754	0.0691
Title position on the left side of the head	190/836.6	0.187	-0.0129
Cheek position on the right side of the head	190/836.6	0.409	0.0146
Tilted position on the right side of the head	190/836.6	0.172	0.0942
Cheek position on the left side of the head Blue Tooth on	251/848.8	0.672	0.124

4.9.2.2 PCS 1900MHz band:

EUT position	ARFCN /Frequency [MHz]	SAR (1 g) [W/kg]	Power Drift[dB]
Cheek position on the left side of the head	512/1850.2	0.401	0.0184
	661/1880.0	0.344	-0.0148
	810/1909.8	0.289	0.146
Tilted position on the left side of the head	661/1880.0	0.0269	0.15
Cheek position on the right side of the head	661/1880.0	0.173	0.101

Tilted position on the right side of the head	661/1880.0	0.031	-0.146
Cheek position on the right side of the head Blue Tooth on	512/1850.2	0.361	0.0386

4.9.3 Test Data for Body-Worn mode

(a) Test Mode Descriptions:

EUT Mode	Description	Setup picture
Body-Worn mode	The distance between the handset and the bottom of the flat section is 1.5 cm.	Picture 6, 7

(b) Test procedures:

Step 1: For GSM850 band, Body-Worn mode with the separation distance 1.5 cm between the back of handset and the bottom of the flat section is setup first, and the low, middle and high frequencies are tested using the configuration.

Step 2: Locate the worst frequency from the results of step 1, and then reverse the handset, i.e., with 1.5 cm between the front of handset and the bottom of the flat section, and perform the test using the worst frequency.

Step 3: Locate the worst orientation from the above results, then plug the headset into the handset and perform the test using the worst frequency and orientation.

Step 4: Pull out the headset and perform the GPRS mode test using the worst orientation at the low, middle and high frequencies.

Step 5: Repeat all the above steps for PCS 1900 band.

(c) Test Data

EUT Configurations	ARFCN /Frequency [MHz]	SAR (1 g) [W/kg]	Power Drift[dB]
GSM850 Body-Worn mode, Front toward phantom	190/836.60	0.108	-0.0275
GSM850 Body-Worn mode, Back toward phantom	128/824.20	0.374	-0.0436
	190/836.60	0.483	-0.0713
	251/848.80	0.332	0.0177
GSM850 Body-Worn mode, back toward phantom Blue Tooth on	251/848.8	0.346	-0.0555

EUT Configurations	ARFCN /Frequency [MHz]	SAR (1 g) [W/kg]	Power Drift[dB]
PCS1900 Body-Worn mode, Front toward phantom	661/1880.0	0.0401	-0.101
PCS1900 Body-Worn mode, back toward phantom	512/1850.2	0.17	0.126
	661/1880.0	0.157	-0.00534
	810/1909.8	0.141	-0.0914
PCS1900 Body-Worn mode, Back toward phantom Bluetooth On	512/1850.2	0.215	-0.00283

EUT Configurations	ARFCN /Frequency [MHz]	SAR (1 g) [W/kg]	Power Drift[dB]
GPRS 850 Body-Worn mode, Front toward phantom	190/836.60	0.128	-0.0555
GPRS 850 Body-Worn mode, Back toward phantom	128/824.20	0.383	0.00935
	190/836.60	0.391	-0.00348
	251/848.80	0.408	0.0619
GPRS 850 Body-Worn mode, back toward phantom Blue Tooth on	251/848.8	0.44	0.00613

EUT Configurations	ARFCN /Frequency [MHz]	SAR (1 g) [W/kg]	Power Drift[dB]
GPRS 1900 Body-Worn mode, Front toward phantom	661/1880.0	0.0521	-0.0553
GPRS 1900 Body-Worn mode, back toward phantom	512/1850.2	0.155	0.0411
	661/1880.0	0.146	-0.0844
	810/1909.8	0.133	0.0245
GPRS 1900 Body-Worn mode, Back toward phantom Bluetooth On	512/1850.2	0.16	0.144

CTL Test Report

4.10 Measurement uncertainty

ERROR SOURCE	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability distribution	Divisor	c_i (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Measurement equipment					
Probe calibration	5.9	Normal	1	1	5.9
Probe axial isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	1.9
Probe hemispherical isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	3.9
Probe linearity	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.7
Detection limits	0.25	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.6
Boundary effect	0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.6
Measurement device	0.3	Normal	1	1	0.3
Response time	0.0	Normal	1	1	0
Noise	0.0	Normal	1	1	0
Integration time	1.7	Normal	1	1	2.6
Mechanical constraints					
Scanning system	1.5	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.2
Positioning of the probe	2.9	Normal	1	1	2.9
Phantom shell	4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.3
Positioning of the dipole	2.0	Normal	1	1	2.0
Positioning of the phone	2.9	Normal	1	1	2.9
Device holder disturbance	3.6	Normal	1	1	3.6
Physical parameters					
Liquid conductivity (deviation from target)	5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	1.4
Liquid conductivity (measurement error)	4.3	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	1.2
Liquid permittivity (deviation from target)	5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	1.4
Liquid permittivity (measurement error)	4.3	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	1.2
Drifts in output power of the phone, probe, temperature and humidity	5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.9
Environment disturbance	3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.7
Post-processing					
SAR interpolation and extrapolation	0.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.6
Maximum SAR evaluation	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$		0.6
Combined standard uncertainty	$u_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 \cdot u_i^2} = 11.08\%$				

Expanded uncertainty
(confidence interval of 95%)

Normal $u_e = 1.96u_c = 21.7\%$

Annex A Photographs



Picture 1 test setup



Picture 2 cheek position on the right side of the head



Picture 3 tilted position on the right side of the head



Picture 4 cheek position on the left side of the head



Picture 5 tilted position on the left side of the head



Picture 6 Body-Worn mode with Front towards Phantom 1.5cm



Picture 7 Body-Worn mode with Back towards Phantom 1.5cm

Picture



Picture 8 Liquid Depth at Ear Reference Point for 835MHz Head Liquid



Picture 9 Liquid Depth at Ear Reference Point for 1900MHz Head Liquid

CTL Test Report

Annex B Graphical Results

B.1 Cheek position on the left side of the head

Test Date: 2007-10-29

DUT: MEGA2;IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Cheek

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.906$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity: 44; Ambient temperature: 22; Liquid temperature: 21.4;

Phantom section: Left Section ;Phantom: SAM with Right;Type: QD 000 P40 CA

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(8.12, 8.12, 8.12); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

high/Area Scan (71x131x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.849 mW/g

high/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 9.47 V/m; Power Drift = 0.069 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.25 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.754 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.480 mW/g

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.810 mW/g



CTL Test Report

B.2 Cheek position on the right side of the head BlueTooth on

Test Date: 2007-10-29

DUT: MEGA2;IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Cheek

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.906$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity: 44; Ambient temperature: 22; Liquid temperature: 21.4;

Phantom section: Left Section ;Phantom: SAM with Right;Type: QD 000 P40 CA

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(8.12, 8.12, 8.12); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

high/Area Scan (71x131x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.743 mW/g

high/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 8.87 V/m; Power Drift = 0.124 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.11 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.672 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.432 mW/g

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.719 mW/g



CTL Test Report

B.3 Cheek position on the left side of the head

Test Date: 2007-10-30

DUT: MEGA2; IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Cheek

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1850.2$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.4$;
 $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity:32; Ambient temperature: 21.5; Liquid temperature: 20.7;

Phantom section: Left Section ;Phantom: SAM with Front;Type: QD 000 P40 CA

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(6.9, 6.9, 6.9); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

low/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 4.33 V/m; Power Drift = 0.018 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.647 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.401 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.232 mW/g


Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.440 mW/g

low/Area Scan (71x131x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.437 mW/g



CTL Test Report

B.4 Cheek position on the right side of the head Bluetooth on

Test Date: 2007-10-30

DUT: MEGA2;IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Cheek

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1850.2$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.4$;
 $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity: 32; Ambient temperature: 21.5; Liquid
temperature: 20.7;

Phantom section: Left Section ;Phantom: SAM with Front;Type: QD 000 P40 CA

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(6.9, 6.9, 6.9); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

low/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm,
dz=5mm

Reference Value = 4.33 V/m; Power Drift = 0.018 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.647 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.401 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.232 mW/g


Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.440 mW/g

low/Area Scan (71x131x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.437 mW/g



CTL Test Rep

B.5 GPRS Body-Worn mode

Test Date: 2007-11-01

DUT: MEGA2;IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Back

Communication System: GPRS class 8; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.991$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 53.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity:46; Ambient temperature: 21.5; Liquid temperature: 20.9;

Phantom section: Flat Section ;Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI4.0;Type: QDOVA001B

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(8.02, 8.02, 8.02); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

high/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.435 mW/g

high/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 13.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.062 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.550 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.408 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.288 mW/g

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.430 mW/g



B. 6 GPRS 850 Body-Worn mode Bluetooth On

Test Date: 2007-11-1

DUT: MEGA2;IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Back

Communication System: GPRS class 8; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.991$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 53.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity:46; Ambient temperature: 21.5; Liquid temperature: 20.9;

Phantom section: Flat Section ;Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI4.0;Type: QDOVA001B

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(8.02, 8.02, 8.02); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

high/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.473 mW/g

high/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 14.0 V/m; Power Drift = 0.006 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.599 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.440 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.309 mW/g

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.471 mW/g



0 dB = 0.471mW/g

CTL Test Rep

B.7 GPRS 1900 Body-Worn mode

Test Date: 2006-07-12

DUT: MEGA2; IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Back

Communication System: GPRS 1900 class 8; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1850.2$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 53.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity: 46; Ambient temperature: 21.5; Liquid temperature: 20.9;

Phantom section: Flat Section ; Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI4.0; Type: QDOVA001B

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(6.97, 6.97, 6.97); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

low/Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.168 mW/g

low/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 7.60 V/m; Power Drift = 0.041 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.248 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.155 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.098 mW/g

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.167 mW/g



CTL Test Report

B.8 GPRS1900 Body-Worn mode Bluetooth On

Test Date: 2007-11-01

DUT: MEGA2; IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Back

Communication System: GPRS 1900 class 8; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1850.2$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 53.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity: 46; Ambient temperature: 21.5; Liquid temperature: 20.9;

Phantom section: Flat Section ; Phantom: Flat Phantom ELI4.0; Type: QDOVA001B

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(6.97, 6.97, 6.97); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

low/Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.178 mW/g

low/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 7.06 V/m; Power Drift = 0.144 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.244 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.160 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.101 mW/g

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.171 mW/g



CTL Test Report

B.9 Cheek position on the right side of the head

Test Date: 2007-11-01

DUT: MEGA2; IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Cheek

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.906$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity: 44; Ambient temperature: 22; Liquid temperature: 21.4;

Phantom section: Left Section ; Phantom: SAM with Right; Type: QD 000 P40 CA

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(8.12, 8.12, 8.12); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

high/Area Scan (71x131x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.849 mW/g

high/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 9.47 V/m; Power Drift = 0.069 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.25 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.754 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.480 mW/g

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.810 mW/g



CTL Test Rep

B.10 Cheek position on the right side of the head Bluetooth on

Test Date: 2007-11-01

DUT: MEGA2; IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Cheek

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.8$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.906$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity: 44; Ambient temperature: 22; Liquid temperature: 21.4;

Phantom section: Left Section ; Phantom: SAM with Right; Type: QD 000 P40 CA

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(8.12, 8.12, 8.12); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

high/Area Scan (71x131x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.743 mW/g

high/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 8.87 V/m; Power Drift = 0.124 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.11 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.672 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.432 mW/g

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.719 mW/g



CTL Test Report

B.11 Cheek position on the right side of the head

Test Date: 2007-11-01

DUT: MEGA2; IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Cheek

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1850.2$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.4$;
 $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity: 32; Ambient temperature: 21.5; Liquid
temperature: 20.7;

Phantom section: Left Section ; Phantom: SAM with Front; Type: QD 000 P40 CA

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(6.9, 6.9, 6.9); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

low/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm,
dz=5mm

Reference Value = 4.33 V/m; Power Drift = 0.018 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.647 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.401 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.232 mW/g


Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.440 mW/g

low/Area Scan (71x131x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.437 mW/g



CTL Test Report

B.12 Cheek position on the right side of the head Bluetooth on

Test Date: 2007-11-01

DUT: MEGA2; IMEI: 135790246811220; Position: Cheek

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1850.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1850.2$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.4$;
 $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ ;

Medium Notes: Ambient humidity: 32; Ambient temperature: 21.5; Liquid
temperature: 20.7;

Phantom section: Left Section ; Phantom: SAM with Front; Type: QD 000 P40 CA

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3578; ConvF(6.9, 6.9, 6.9); Calibrated: 2007-4-24
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn679; Calibrated: 2007-4-20
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 176

low/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm,
dz=5mm

Reference Value = 4.60 V/m; Power Drift = 0.039 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.591 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.361 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.208 mW/g


Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.391 mW/g

low/Area Scan (71x131x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.387 mW/g



0 dB = 0.387mW/g

ANNEX C Probes Calibration Certificates

The System Validation was conducted following the requirements of standard IEEE 1528: 2003 Clause 8.3.

The scanned copy of the calibration certificate of the probe used is as following.

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zugwegstrasse 41, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
Service suisse d'étalonnage
C Servizi svizzeri di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client: **Auden**

Certificate No: **EX3-3578_Apr07**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object: **EX00V4 - SN:3578**

Calibration procedure(s): **QA CAL-01 v5 and QA CAL14 v3
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **April 24, 2007**

Condition of the calibrated item: **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 0.1°C and humidity ± 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (MTE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by: Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E44198	Q84128274	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00870)	Mar-08
Power sensor E4412A	MY41486271	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00870)	Mar-08
Power sensor E4412A	MY41486267	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00870)	Mar-08
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	10-Aug-06 (METAS, No. 217-00580)	Aug-07
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20c)	29-Mar-07 (METAS, No. 217-00871)	Mar-08
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30c)	10-Aug-06 (METAS, No. 217-00580)	Aug-07
Reference Probe E330V2	SN: 3013	4-Jan-07 (SPEAG, No. E33-3013_Jan07)	Jan-08
DAC4	SN: 654	21-Jun-06 (SPEAG, No. DAC4-654_Jun06)	Jun-07

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8445C	US3542UD1700	8-Aug-06 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-05)	In house check: Nov-07
Network Analyzer HP 8710B	US37300560	16-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-06)	In house check: Oct-07

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Kolja Föllmi	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Flu Barmut	R&D Director	

Issued: April 24, 2007

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Enggstrasse 41, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Service suisse de tarature
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Glossary:

TSL tissue simulating liquid
 NORM_{x,y,z} sensitivity in free space
 ConvF sensitivity in TSL / NORM_{x,y,z}
 DCP diode compression point
 Polarization φ φ rotation around probe axis
 Polarization β β rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\beta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}: Assessed for E-field polarization $\beta = 0$ ($f < 600$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not effect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM_f(β)_{x,y,z} = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCP_{x,y,z}: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f < 600$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 600$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

EX3DV4 SN:3578

April 24, 2007

Probe EX3DV4

SN:3578

Manufactured:	November 4, 2005
Last calibrated:	March 20, 2006
Recalibrated:	April 24, 2007

Calibrated for DASY Systems


(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

EX3DV4 SN:3578

April 24, 2007

Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:R110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)






Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ ($k=2$)

EX3DV4 SN:3578

April 24, 2007

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ (k=2)

EX3DV4 SN:3578

April 24, 2007

Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (Waveguide R22, $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$)




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

EX3DV4 SH-3578

April 24, 2007

Conversion Factor Assessment



f [MHz]	Velocity [MHz] ²	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.60	0.90	8.12 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.24	1.00	6.90 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2000	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.40	1.00	6.52 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.45	1.00	6.35 ± 11.8% (k=2)
5200	± 50 / ± 100	Head	36.0 ± 5%	4.68 ± 5%	0.43	1.70	4.61 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5800	± 50 / ± 100	Head	35.3 ± 5%	5.27 ± 5%	0.43	1.70	4.10 ± 13.1% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.60	0.80	8.02 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.22	1.00	6.97 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2000	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.45	1.00	6.56 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.50	1.00	6.38 ± 11.8% (k=2)
5200	± 50 / ± 100	Body	49.0 ± 5%	5.30 ± 5%	0.48	1.75	3.88 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5800	± 50 / ± 100	Body	48.2 ± 5%	6.00 ± 5%	0.47	1.75	3.76 ± 13.1% (k=2)


² The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for SAR3 v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

EX3DV4 SN:3578

April 24, 2007

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (θ, ϕ), $f = 900$ MHz




Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 2.8\%$ ($k=2$)

EX3DV4 SN:3578

April 24, 2007

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (θ , ϕ), $f = 900$ MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 2.6\%$ ($k=2$)

ANNEX D Deviations from Prescribed Test Methods

No deviation from Prescribed Test Methods.

————— **The End of this Report** —————

CTL Test Report