

Lucy Tsai

From: alice.lan [alice.lan@tw.ccsemc.com]
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 11:48 PM
To: application.2007
Cc: Lucy Tsai
Subject: Re:Re:FW: Alpha Networks Inc., FCC ID: RRK2007050009, Assessment NO.: AN07T7287, Notice#1
Attachments: WRG-N15_Label(961106).pdf; WRG-N15_User Manual(961105).pdf; WRG-N15_Test Setup Photo(961019).pdf; WRG-N15_Test Report(961019).pdf

Dear Lucy,

Sorry for delay the case for a long time. Please find the attachment for this case.
If you have any questions regarding this case, please contact with me.
Thank you very much.

Best Regards,
Alice Lan 藍怡蘭
Compliance Certification Services Inc.
[Tel:+886\(3\)591-0068](tel:+886(3)591-0068) Ext:504
Fax:+886(3)582-5720
alice.lan@tw.ccsemc.com

application

寄件人：alice.lan

收件人：alice.lan/ccsemc@ccsemc

副本抄送：

藍怡蘭

主旨：Re:FW: Alpha Networks Inc., FCC ID: RRK2007050009, Assessment NO.: AN07T7287, Notice#1 [連結](#)

2007/11/06 03:24 PM

"Lucy Tsai" <lucy.tsai@ccsemc.com>

2007/11/06 09:20 AM

收件人："application" <application@tw.ccsemc.com>

副本抄送：

主旨：FW: Alpha Networks Inc., FCC ID: RRK2007050009, Assessment NO.: AN07T7287, Notice#1

Hi Alice,

Any status on this application?

Best Regards,

Lucy

-----Original Message-----

From: Lucy Tsai

Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 5:37 PM

To: application@tw.ccsemc.com

Cc: Lucy Tsai

Subject: Alpha Networks Inc., FCC ID: RRK2007050009, Assessment NO.: AN07T7287, Notice#1

Hi Alice,

Please address following issues.

Q#1: Since the EUT is larger enough that the statement of 15.19 should be added into the equipment label. Please revise the label format.

Q#2: Page 77-78 of user manual indicates two types of antenna are applied. One is built-in diversity antenna and other is external dipole antenna. They do not agree with the application. Please explain.

Q#3: RF exposure statement indicates on page 87 of user manual are not enough. EUT is a mobile device that the safe distance 20cm should be maintained. Please revise.

Q#4: Output power indicates in page 77-78 for g and b modes are 14dBm and 16dBm which have more 6dBm different than the measured value specified in test report. Please explain why have such big difference.

Q#5: FCC ID indicates on test report and test set up photos is RRK20070500009 which is different from label format and other exhibits, please confirm which one is correct and do the necessary revise.

Best Regards,

Lucy

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

This e-mail transmission is confidential and intended solely for being reviewed by the recipient(s) identified above. If you are not an identified recipient, please ensure that this communication remains confidential and promptly return it to the sender. Please contact immediately by phone (Tel: 886-2-2299-9720) for any problem with this transmission. Thank you for your attention.