

## Mike Kuo

---

**From:** Y.G. Gwon [ykkwon@onetech.co.kr]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2005 5:55 PM  
**To:** Mike Kuo  
**Subject:** Fw: Hoseo Telnet Co., Ltd., FCC ID: R2SEFC, Assessment NO.: AN05T4730, Notice#1

Hi Mike :

How are you doing?

Could you please confirm me current status for the subject project at your earliest convenience.

Best regards,

Y. G. Gwon  
ONETECH Corp.  
RF/EMC Team  
TEL: +82-31-765-8289  
FAX: +82-31-766-2904  
email: [ykkwon@onetech.co.kr](mailto:ykkwon@onetech.co.kr)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Y.G. Gwon  
**To:** Mike Kuo  
**Sent:** Monday, May 23, 2005 5:05 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Hoseo Telnet Co., Ltd., FCC ID: R2SEFC, Assessment NO.: AN05T4730, Notice#1

Hi Mike :

Did you have a nice weekend?

I'd like to answer your kind comments as following.  
Could you please review those at your earliest convenience.

Question #1: Please provide emission designator and necessary bandwidth information. For your information, necessary bandwidth can be justified by using 99% bandwidth measurement.

[YK] The 99% Bandwidth is 7.25kHz, so I revised emission designator 7K25F1D in our test report. Enclose please find attached file.

Question #2: User manual does not provide information to address RF exposure compliance. RF exposure statement must be included a required separation distance of 20 cm from the antenna to the body of user.  
Please provide revised user manual.

[YK] Enclosed please find a file for revised user manual.

Question #3: The radiated spurious emission limit is not correct for  $43 + 10\log(p)$ . The correct limit should be -13dBm. Please make necessary correction on the test report.

[YK] Thanks a lot. Enclosed pleas find a revised test report.

Question #4: The reference standard called out in the test report for ANSI C63.4 should be ANSI C63.4: 2003. Please make necessary correction.

[YK] Thanks a lot. Enclosed pleas find a revised test report. By the way, when was the effective date for ANSI C63.4/2003?

Best regards,

Y. G. Gwon  
ONETECH Corp.  
RF/EMC Team  
TEL: +82-31-765-8289  
FAX: +82-31-766-2904  
email: [ykkwon@onetech.co.kr](mailto:ykkwon@onetech.co.kr)

----- Original Message -----

From: "Mike Kuo" <[MKUO@CCSEMC.com](mailto:MKUO@CCSEMC.com)>

To: <[ykkwon@onetech.co.kr](mailto:ykkwon@onetech.co.kr)>

Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2005 11:55 PM

Subject: FW: Hoseo Telnet Co., Ltd., FCC ID: R2SEFC, Assessment NO.: AN05T4730, Notice#1

>  
>  
> Best Regards

> Mike Kuo  
> Compliance Certification Services  
> 561F Monterey Road  
> Morgan Hill CA 95037  
> Tel: (408)463-0885 x: 105  
> Fax: (408)463-0888  
> <http://www.ccsemc.com>

>  
>  
> -----Original Message-----

> From: Compliance Certification Services [mailto:[MKuo@ccsemc.com](mailto:MKuo@ccsemc.com)]

> Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2005 7:51 AM

> To: Mike Kuo  
> Subject: Hoseo Telnet Co., Ltd., FCC ID: R2SEFC, Assessment NO.:  
> AN05T4730, Notice#1

>  
> Question #1: Please provide emission designator and necessary bandwidth  
> information. For your information, necessary bandwidth can be justified  
> by using 99% bandwidth measurement.

>  
> Question #2: User manual does not provide information to address RF  
> exposure compliance. RF exposure statement must be included a required  
> separation distance of 20 cm from the antenna to the body of user.  
> Please provide revised user manual.

>  
> Question #3: The radiated spurious emission limit is not correct for 43  
> + 10log(p). The correct limit should be -13dBm. Please make necessary  
> correction on the test report.

>  
> Question #4: The reference standard called out in the test report for  
> ANSI C63.4 should be ANSI C63.4: 2003. Please make necessary  
> correction.

>  
> Best Regards

>  
> Mike Kuo

>  
>  
>

> The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can  
> continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the  
> requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may  
> result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also,  
> please note that partial responses increase processing time and should  
> not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence  
> should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the  
> sender.