

dward

From: kari.o.koskela@nokia.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2003 4:40 PM
To: dward@americantcb.com
Subject: RE: 14-May response for QTL-RH4 COMMENTS

Hi Dennis,

First of all, sorry for the not good scanning resolution of my documents.

The name of the anti-drug statement attestation is Minna Ruohonen, email address for her is: minna.ruohonen@nokia.com

Here (in attachment) are the pictures for the SAR distribution also (Request item (6)).

Also to the comment/request (4) calibration due dates, response from TCC Tampere:
 - All measurement devices are calibrated once a year. The laboratory has a computer based application, which reminds the user, if the calibration due date for any device is closing. Uncalibrated equipment are not used for the measurements

Br, Kari

-----Original Message-----

From: ext dward [mailto:dward@atcb.com]
Sent: 14 May, 2003 4:57
To: Koskela Kari.O (NMP/Beijing)
Subject: RE: QTL-RH4 COMMENTS

Hi Kari

The name of the person attesting to the anti-drug statement on the last page is unreadable. Who has signed this and what is the email address of this person.

Thanks
 Dennis

-----Original Message-----

From: kari.o.koskela@nokia.com [mailto:kari.o.koskela@nokia.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2003 12:02 AM
To: dward@americantcb.com
Subject: RE: QTL-RH4 COMMENTS

Hi Dennis,

Response to the Power and SAR question (5):

Power levels were not measured during or after SAR measurements and so such data is not available.

The phone was packed carefully for transportation between the labs. If the package had

5/14/2003

shown signs of rough handling,

the sample had not been used for SAR measurements. Power level setting is stored in digital format in memory and power level

setting does not change due to environmental conditions. The phones have been designed to stand transportation e.g. from factory to consumer.

Call was established by communication tester and highest power level was chosen for EMC and SAR measurements.

The battery was fully charged for each scan and the maximum drift noticed during SAR measurements was -0.12 dB.

It is evident, that power levels have been the same in EIRP and SAR measurements except for the minor power drift typical for the tested handset model.

Response to question for more clear form 731(3):

Here is also the form 731 in word format, is this better? (In attachment)

Br, Kari

-----Original Message-----

From: ext dward [mailto:dward@atcb.com]

Sent: 09 May, 2003 0:48

To: Koskela Kari.O (NMP/Beijing)

Subject: FW: QTL-RH4 COMMENTS

Hi Kari

Have you had a chance to look at the comments yet?

Thanks

Dennis

-----Original Message-----

From: dward [mailto:dward@atcb.com]

Sent: Friday, April 25, 2003 12:57 PM

To: 'kari.o.koskela@nokia.com'

Subject: QTL-RH4 COMMENTS

Hi Kari

Attached are the ATCB comments so you can respond before the date you would like to grant issued. If all of the responses are adequate, I can then issue the grant on May 20 as requested.

Thanks

Dennis