

SAR Compliance Test Report

Test report no.:	TCC 0364	Date of report:	2004-09-01
Template version:	2	Number of pages:	35
Testing laboratory:	TCC Beijing Nokia Tower Pacific Century Place, 2A, Gong Ti Bei Lu, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100027 Tel: +8610-65392828 Fax: +8610-65393824	Client:	Nokia Finland Frederikskaj, 1790 COPENHAGEN V, Copenhagen State, Denmark Tel: +4533292554 Fax: +4533292318
Responsible test engineer:	Gao Min-Judy	Product contact person:	Ole Soerensen
Measurements made by:	Gao Min-Judy		

Tested device:	RH-54		
FCC ID:	QTKRH-54	IC:	661AD-RH54
Supplement reports:	-		

Testing has been carried out in accordance with:	47CFR §2.1093 Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01) Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields RSS-102 Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with Respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields IEEE 1528 - 2003 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
--	---

Documentation:	The documentation of the testing performed on the tested devices is archived for 15 years at TCC Beijing.
----------------	---

Test results:	The tested device complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the items tested. The test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.
---------------	---

Date and signatures: 2004-09-02
For the contents:

Gao Min
Gao Min
Test Engineer

CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT.....	3
1.1 TEST DETAILS.....	3
1.2 MAXIMUM RESULTS.....	3
1.2.1 Head Configuration.....	3
1.2.2 Body Worn Configuration	3
1.2.3 Maximum Drift	3
1.2.4 Measurement Uncertainty	4
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST.....	5
2.1 PICTURE OF THE DEVICE.....	5
2.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE ANTENNA	5
3. TEST CONDITIONS	6
3.1 TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY	6
3.2 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCIES, AND OUTPUT POWER	6
4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT	6
4.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND COMPONENTS	6
4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe SN 1650.....	7
4.2 PHANTOMS	8
4.3 SIMULATING LIQUIDS	8
4.3.1 Liquid Recipes.....	8
4.3.2 Verification of the System.....	9
4.3.3 Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements.....	10
5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE	11
5.1 DEVICE HOLDER.....	11
5.2 TEST POSITIONS.....	11
5.2.1 Against Phantom Head.....	11
5.2.2 Body Worn Configuration	12
5.3 SCAN PROCEDURES.....	12
5.4 SAR AVERAGING METHODS.....	13
6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	14
7. RESULTS	15
APPENDIX A: VALIDATION SCANS	17
APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS.....	19
APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT(S)	35
APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S)	35

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT

1.1 Test Details

Period of test	2004-08-27 to 2004-08-31
SN, HW and SW numbers of tested device	SN: 001004001669408; HW: 0491; SW:3.41 DUT: 0796
Batteries used in testing	BL-4C
Headsets used in testing	HDC-10
Other accessories used in testing	-
State of sample	Prototype unit
Notes	-

1.2 Maximum Results

The maximum measured SAR values for Head configuration and Body Worn configuration are given in section 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 respectively. The device conforms to the requirements of the standard(s) when the maximum measured SAR value is less than or equal to the limit.

1.2.1 Head Configuration

Mode	Ch / f (MHz)	ERP/EIRP	Position	SAR limit (1g avg)	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Result
GSM850	128/824	28.0dBm	Right Cheek	1.6 W/kg	0.72W/kg	PASSED
GSM1900	661/1880	31.4dBm	Left Tilt	1.6 W/kg	0.87W/kg	PASSED

1.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

Mode	Ch / f (MHz)	ERP/EIRP	Separation distance	SAR limit (1g avg)	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Result
GSM850	128/824	28.0dBm	1.5cm	1.6 W/kg	0.87W/kg	PASSED
GSM1900	661/1880	31.4dBm	1.5cm	1.6 W/kg	0.50W/kg	PASSED

1.2.3 Maximum Drift

Maximum drift during measurements	-0.2dB
-----------------------------------	--------

1.2.4 Measurement Uncertainty

Extended Uncertainty (k=2) 95%	$\pm 29.1 \%$
--------------------------------	---------------

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device category	portable	
Exposure environment	general population/uncontrolled	
Modes and Bands of Operation	GSM 850	GSM 1900
Modulation Mode	GMSK	GMSK
Duty Cycle	1/8	1/8
Transmitter Frequency Range (MHz)	824.2 – 848.8	1850.2 - 1909.8

2.1 Picture of the Device



Flip closed



Flip open (front view)



Flip open (side view)

2.2 Description of the Antenna

The device has an internal patch antenna.

3. TEST CONDITIONS

3.1 Temperature and Humidity

Period of measurement:	2004-08-27 to 2004-08-31
Ambient temperature (°C):	22.2 to 24.0
Ambient humidity (RH %):	30 to 58

3.2 Test Signal, Frequencies, and Output Power

The device was put into operation by using a call tester. Communication between the device and the call tester was established by air link.

The device output power was set to maximum power level for all tests; a fully charged battery was used for every test sequence.

In all operating bands the measurements were performed on lowest, middle and highest channels.

The power output was measured by a separate test laboratory on the same unit as used for SAR testing.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT

4.1 Measurement System and Components

The measurements were performed using an automated near-field scanning system, DASY 4 software version 4.2, manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Switzerland. The SAR extrapolation algorithm used in all measurements on the device was the 'worst-case extrapolation' algorithm.

The following table lists calibration dates of SPEAG components:

Test Equipment	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
AE3	480	12 months	10/2004
E-field Probe ET3DV6	1650	12months	03/2005
Unipole validation Kit, D1800V2	2d021	24 months	10/2005
Unipole validation Kit, D835V2	4d005	24months	06/2006

Additional test equipment used in testing:

Test Equipment	Model	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
Signal Generator	Agilent 8648C	3847m00258	12months	12/2004
Amplifier	AR 5S1G4M3	302339	12months	12/2004
Power Meter	Agilent E4419B	MY41291520	12months	12/2004
Power Sensor	Agilent 8482A	US37295411	12months	12/2004
Call Tester	CMU200	100359	12months	07/2005
Call Tester	CMU200	835353/008	12months	07/2005
Vector Network Analyzer	Agilent 8753S	MY40002096	12months	07/2005
Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent 85070C	01033717	-	-

4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe SN 1650

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., butyl diglycol)
Calibration	Calibration certificate in Appendix C
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Optical Surface Detection	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB

Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm
Application	Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

4.2 Phantoms

The phantom used for all tests i.e. for both validation testing and device testing, was the twin-headed "SAM Phantom", manufactured by SPEAG. The phantom conforms to the requirements of IEEE 1528 - 2003.

Validation tests were performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections. Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.

The SPEAG device holder (see Section 5.1) was used to position the device in all tests whilst a tripod was used to position the validation dipoles against the flat section of phantom.

4.3 Simulating Liquids

Recommended values for the dielectric parameters of the simulating liquids are given in IEEE 1528 - 2003 and FCC Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65. All tests were carried out using liquids whose dielectric parameters were within $\pm 5\%$ of the recommended values. All tests were carried out within 24 hours of measuring the dielectric parameters.

The depth of the liquid was 15.0 ± 0.5 cm measured from the ear reference point during validation and device measurements.

4.3.1 Liquid Recipes

The following recipes were used for Head and Body liquids:

850MHz band

Ingredient	Head (% by weight)	Body (% by weight)
Deionised Water	39.74	55.97
HEC	0.25	1.21
Sugar	58.31	41.76
Preservative	0.15	0.27
Salt	1.55	0.79

1900MHz band

Ingredient	Head (% by weight)	Body (% by weight)
Deionised Water	54.88	69.02
Butyl Diglycol	44.91	30.76
Salt	0.21	0.22

4.3.2 Verification of the System

The manufacturer calibrates the probes annually. Dielectric parameters of the simulating liquids were measured every day using the dielectric probe kit and the network analyser. A SAR measurement was made following the determination of the dielectric parameters of the liquids, using the dipole validation kit. A power level of 250 mW was supplied to the dipole antenna, which was placed under the flat section of the twin SAM phantom. The validation results (dielectric parameters and SAR values) are given in the table below.

System verification, head tissue simulant

f [MHz]	Description	SAR [W/kg], 1g	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
			ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
835	Reference result	2.53	41.8	0.92	N/A
	$\pm 10\%$ window	2.28 to 2.78			
	2004-08-31	2.33	42.3	0.92	23.3
1800	Reference result	9.52	41.0	1.38	N/A
	$\pm 10\%$ window	8.57 to 10.47			
	2004-08-27	8.59	41.6	1.32	23.0

Plots of the Verification scans are given in Appendix A.

4.3.3 Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements

Head tissue simulant measurements

f [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
836	Recommended value	41.5	0.90	N/A
	$\pm 5\%$ window	39.4 – 43.6	0.86 – 0.95	
	2004-08-31	42.3	0.92	23.3
1880	Recommended value	40.0	1.40	N/A
	$\pm 5\%$ window	38.0 – 42.0	1.33 – 1.47	
	2004-08-27	41.3	1.40	23.0

Body tissue simulant measurements

f [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
836	Recommended value	55.2	0.97	N/A
	$\pm 5\%$ window	52.4 – 58.0	0.92 – 1.02	
	2004-08-31	55.9	0.94	22.8
1880	Recommended value	53.3	1.52	N/A
	$\pm 5\%$ window	50.6 – 56.0	1.44 – 1.60	
	2004-08-27	53.0	1.54	21.7

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE

5.1 Device Holder

The device was placed in the device holder (illustrated below) that is supplied by SPEAG as an integral part of the Dasy system.



Device holder supplied by SPEAG

A Nokia designed spacer (illustrated below) was used to position the device within the SPEAG holder. The spacer positions the device so that the holder has minimal effect on the test results but still holds the device securely. The spacer was removed before the tests.



Nokia spacer

5.2 Test Positions

5.2.1 Against Phantom Head

Measurements were made in “cheek” and “tilt” positions on both the left hand and right hand sides of the phantom.

The positions used in the measurements were according to IEEE 1528 - 2003 "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques".



Photo of the device in “cheek” position



Photo of the device in “tilt” position

5.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

The device was placed in the SPEAG holder using the Nokia spacer and placed below the flat section of the phantom. The distance between the device and the phantom was kept at the separation distance indicated in the photo below using a separate flat spacer that was removed before the start of the measurements. The device was oriented with its antenna facing the phantom since this orientation gave higher results.



Photo of the device positioned for Body SAR measurement.
The spacer was removed for the tests.

5.3 Scan Procedures

First coarse scans were used for determination of the field distribution. Next a cube scan, 5x5x7 points covering a volume of 30x30x30mm was performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR value. Drift was determined by measuring the same point at the start of the coarse scan and again at the end of the cube scan.

5.4 SAR Averaging Methods

The maximum SAR value was averaged over a cube of tissue using interpolation and extrapolation.

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines within Dasy4 are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method (Robert J. Renka, "Multivariate Interpolation Of Large Sets Of Scattered Data", University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988, pp. 139-148).

The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method with a weighted average method. A trivariate 3-D / bivariate 2-D quadratic function is computed for each measurement point and fitted to neighbouring points by a least-square method. For the cube scan, inverse distance weighting is incorporated to fit distant points more accurately. The interpolating function is finally calculated as a weighted average of the quadratics.

In the cube scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the deepest measurement points to the inner surface of the phantom.

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 6.1 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation

Uncertainty Component	Section in IEEE 1528	Tol. (%)	Prob Dist	Div	G_i	$G_i \cdot U_i$ (%)	V_i
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	E2.1	±4.8	N	1	1	±4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	±4.7	R	√3	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	±1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	±9.6	R	√3	$(c_p)^{1/2}$	±3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E2.3	±8.3	R	√3	1	±4.8	∞
Linearity	E2.4	±4.7	R	√3	1	±2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E2.5	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E2.6	±1.0	N	1	1	±1.0	∞
Response Time	E2.7	±0.8	R	√3	1	±0.5	∞
Integration Time	E2.8	±2.6	R	√3	1	±1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2	±0.4	R	√3	1	±0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E6.3	±2.9	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E5.2	±3.9	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2.1	±6.0	N	1	1	±6.0	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1.1	±5.0	N	1	1	±5.0	7
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.3	±10.0	R	√3	1	±5.8	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E3.1	±4.0	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity Target - tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.64	±1.8	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±5.5	N	1	0.64	±3.5	5
Liquid Permittivity Target tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.6	±1.7	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±2.9	N	1	0.6	±1.7	5
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			±14.5	187
Coverage Factor for 95%			k=2				
Expanded Standard Uncertainty						±29.1	

7. RESULTS

The measured Head SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

GSM850 Head SAR results

Position		SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
		Ch 128 824 MHz	Ch 190 836 MHz	Ch 251 849 MHz
Power level		28.0 dBm	28.3 dBm	28.2 dBm
Left	Cheek		0.59	
	Tilt		0.41	
Right	Cheek	0.72	0.67	0.52
	Tilt		0.52	

GSM1900 Head SAR result

Position		SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
		Ch 512 1850 MHz	Ch 661 1880 MHz	Ch 810 1910 MHz
Power level		31.5 dBm	31.4 dBm	30.3 dBm
Left	Cheek		0.65	
	Tilt	0.80	0.87	0.74
Right	Cheek		0.79	
	Tilt		0.74	

The measured Body SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

GSM850 Body SAR results

Body-worn location setup	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
	Ch 128 824 MHz	Ch 190 836 MHz	Ch 251 849 MHz
Power level	28.0 dBm	28.3 dBm	28.2 dBm
Without headset	0.87	0.67	0.52
Headset HDC-10	0.78	0.61	0.48

GSM1900 Body SAR result

Body-worn location setup	SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
	Ch 512 1850 MHz	Ch 661 1880 MHz	Ch 810 1910 MHz
Power level	31.5 dBm	31.4 dBm	30.3 dBm
Without headset	0.46	0.50	0.40
Headset HDC-10	0.47	0.49	0.41

Plots of the Measurement scans are given in Appendix B.

APPENDIX A: VALIDATION SCANS

Date:2004-08-31

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid Temperature: 23.3C

DUT Type: D835V2; SN: 4d005

Program Name: System Performance Check at 835MHz, Advanced extrapolation

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.922 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 42.3$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(6.53, 6.53, 6.53); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM1;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

d=15mm, Pin=250mW/Area Scan (61x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 54 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.52 mW/g


d=15mm, Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 54 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.52 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.52 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.33 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.51 mW/g



Date: 2004-08-27

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid Temperature: 23.0C

DUT Type: D1800V2; SN: 2d021

Program Name: System Performance Check at 1800 MHz; Advanced extrapolation

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 1800$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.32$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(5.36, 5.36, 5.36); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM1;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 91 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 10.6 mW/g


d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 91 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 9.69 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 14.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.59 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.67 mW/g



APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS

Date:2004-08-31

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid temperature: 22.6C

DUT Type: RH-54

Program Name: GSM850, Left side, worst case extrapolation

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 836 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.923 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 42.3$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(6.53, 6.53, 6.53); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM1;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Touch position - Middle/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 26.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.659 mW/g

Touch position - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 26.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.632 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.02 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.594 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.359 mW/g


Touch position - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 26.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.584 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.06 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.543 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.330 mW/g



0 dB = 0.584mW/g

2004-08-31

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

LIQUID Temperature: 22.6C

DUT Type: RH-54

Program Name: GSM850, Left side, worst case extrapolation

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 836 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.923 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 42.3$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(6.53, 6.53, 6.53); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM1; ;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Tilt position - Middle/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 21.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.442 mW/g


Tilt position - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 21.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.415 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.890 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.406 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.213 mW/g



Date:2004-08-31

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid Temperature: 22.6C

DUT Type: RH-54

Program Name: GSM850, Right side, worst case extrapolation

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 824 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 824 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.91 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 42.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(6.53, 6.53, 6.53); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM1;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Touch position - low/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 27.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.776 mW/g

Touch position - low/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 27.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.727 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.61 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.718 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.410 mW/g

Touch position - low/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$


Reference Value = 27.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.582 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.08 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.554 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.341 mW/g

Warning: Maximum averaged SAR over 10 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube. This cube might not incorporate the absolute averaged SAR. Please consider a refinement of the Area Scan measurement.





Date:2004-08-31

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid Temperature: 22.3C

DUT Type: RH-54

Program Name: GSM850, Right side, worst case extrapolation

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 836 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.923 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 42.3$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(6.53, 6.53, 6.53); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM1;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Tilt position -middle/Area Scan (41x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 21.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.006 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.529 mW/g


Tilt position -middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 21.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.006 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.553 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.38 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.517 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.246 mW/g



0 dB = 0.553mW/g

Date:2004-08-27

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid Temperature: 23.0C

DUT Type: RH-54

Program Name: DCS1900, Left side, worst case extrapolation

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(5.36, 5.36, 5.36); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM1;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Touch position - Middle/Area Scan (51x111x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 18.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.2 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.653 mW/g


Touch position - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 18.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.2 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.679 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.26 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.646 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.344 mW/g



Date: 2004-08-27

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid Temperature: 22.9C

DUT Type: RH-54

Program Name: DCS1900, Left side, worst case extrapolation

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(5.36, 5.36, 5.36); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM1;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Tilt position - Middle/Area Scan (51x111x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 24.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.009 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.983 mW/g


Tilt position - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 24.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.009 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.936 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.85 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.870 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.445 mW/g





Date: 2004-08-27

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid Temperature: 22.5°C

DUT Type: RH-54

Program Name: DCS1900, Right side, worst extrapolation

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(5.36, 5.36, 5.36); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM1;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Touch position - Middle/Area Scan (51x111x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm

Reference Value = 17.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.904 mW/g


Touch position - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 17.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.875 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.49 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.789 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.384 mW/g



0 dB = 0.875mW/g

Date: 2004-08-27

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid Temperature: 22.5C

DUT Type: RH-54

Program Name: DCS1900, Right side, worst case extrapolation

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(5.36, 5.36, 5.36); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM1;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Tilt position - Middle/Area Scan (51x111x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 21 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.841 mW/g


Tilt position - Middle/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 21 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.820 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.44 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.740 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.375 mW/g



Date:2004-08-31

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid Temperature: 22.7C

DUT Type : RH-54

Program Name: GSM850, body, without Headset, worst case extrapolation

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 824 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 824 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.93 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 56$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(6.23, 6.23, 6.23); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM2; ;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

without headset - low/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 11.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.898 mW/g


without headset - low/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$


Reference Value = 11.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.930 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.62 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.874 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.547 mW/g





Date: 2004-08-31

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid temperature: 22.0C

DUT Type: RH-54

Program Name: GSM850, body, with HDC-10, worst case extrapolation

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 824 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 824$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.93$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 56$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(6.23, 6.23, 6.23); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM2;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

With HDC-10 - low/Area Scan (51x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 11.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.801 mW/g


With HDC-10 - low/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.822 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.44 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.777 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.489 mW/g



0 dB = 0.822mW/g

Date:2004-08-27

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid Temperature: 20.9C

DUT Type: RH-54

Program Name: GSM1900, body, without headset, worst case extrapolation

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.54$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 53$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(4.73, 4.73, 4.73); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM2;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Body - Middle, without headset, display up/Area Scan (41x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 10.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.577 mW/g

Body - Middle, without headset, display up/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 10.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.1 dB


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.519 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.09 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.501 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.278 mW/g

Warning: Maximum averaged SAR over 10 g is located on the boundary of the measurement cube. This cube might not incorporate the absolute averaged SAR. Please consider a refinement of the Area Scan measurement.





Date:2004-08-27

Test Laboratory: Nokia China

Liquid tTemperature: 20.9C

DUT Type: RH-54

Program Name: GSM1900, body, with HDC-10, worst case extrapolation

Communication System: DCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.54$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 53$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1650; ConvF(4.73, 4.73, 4.73); Calibrated: 3/23/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn480; Calibrated: 10/10/2003

- Phantom: SAM2;

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.2 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 112

Body - Middle, with HDC-10,display up/Area Scan (41x91x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 8.72 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.576 mW/g


Body - Middle, with HDC-10,display up/Zoom Scan (5x5x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 8.72 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.522 mW/g

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.07 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.491 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.270 mW/g



APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT(S)

Please see file: ET3DV6-SN1650.pdf

APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S)

Please see file: D1800-head.pdf and D835-Head&Body.pdf