

## Marianne Bosley

---

**From:** Marianne Bosley  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 19, 2002 12:51 PM  
**To:** 'Oskar Myhre Broberg'  
**Subject:** RE: Payment instructions

**Importance:** High

Hello,

The label is fine now, however, the engineer has just finished reviewing the technical data. Unfortunately he has found a major issue, listed below. Maybe you can get Delta to retest before holidays. Our reviewing engineer will be reviewing again next Thursday or Friday, so if Delta responds in a timely manner, there is still hope for the Jan 13 deadline. Any questions let me know.

The technical request from the engineer is as follows:

RT Question:

1. ANSI C63.4 Section 6.2.1.2 and Fig. 11 require a minimum test set-up configuration of at least 2 different peripherals connected to the pc. Neither the AC line conducted nor the radiated emission tests were performed with this minimum system configuration. Please retest both the AC line conducted and the radiated emissions using this minimum configuration, and submit new test data and test set-up photos.

Regards,

Marianne

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Oskar Myhre Broberg [SMTP:omb@dimentor.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 19, 2002 12:47 PM  
**To:** MBosley@metlabs.com  
**Subject:** SV: Payment instructions

Hi Marianne

I have updated the label and attached it.

I hope this does the trick

Best Regards

Oskar

-----Oprindelig meddelelse-----

Fra: MBosley@metlabs.com [ <mailto:MBosley@metlabs.com> ]  
Sendt: 19. december 2002 17:01  
Til: Oskar Myhre Broberg  
Emne: RE: Payment instructions

Hello there,

Ok, we're on our way. I've requested the engineer to start review now that we have the test report, and as far as your grantee code, it is QST and is assigned to the following:

Dimentor ApS  
Esromgade 15, opg. 2, 5. sal  
Copenhagen N, Denmark DK-2200

POC: Oskar M. Broberg, CTO  
Phone: 4535855500  
fax: 4535855611  
email: omb@dimentor.com

So now you can forward a revised label and label location.

I notice something else with the label. You have the FCC logo on it and that is to be only for devices that are claiming compliance under the Declaration of Conformity process. You are requesting us, as a TCB, to give you an FCC Grant of equipment authorization. Therefore, your label must only have the FCC Identifier on it, and the FCC logo must be removed. Any questions, please let me know.

REgards,

Marianne

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Oskar Myhre Broberg [SMTP:omb@dimentor.com]  
> Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2002 2:19 AM  
> To: MBosley@metlabs.com  
> Subject: SV: Payment instructions  
>  
> Hi Marianne  
> CTO is actually my title, Chief Technical Officer :-)  
>  
> I am sorry if I misplaced it, but I do not it very often.  
>  
> Oskar  
>  
>  
> -----Oprindelig meddelelse-----  
> Fra: MBosley@metlabs.com [ < <mailto:MBosley@metlabs.com> > ]  
> Sendt: 19. december 2002 02:01  
> Til: Oskar Myhre Broberg  
> Emne: RE: Payment instructions  
>  
>  
> Hello again,  
>  
> I hate to be a pain in the neck, however once I get the code assigned,  
> it's  
> really difficult to get the info changed because the FCC has to do it  
> themselves. Ok, The company name line is Dimentor Aps but for my own  
> knowledge, what is CTO?  
>

> I can get this to you immediately after your answer so don't get  
> discouraged.

>

>

> Marianne

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Oskar Myhre Broberg

> To: MBosley@metlabs.com

> Sent: 12/18/2002 4:28 PM

> Subject: SV: Payment instructions

>

> Hi Marianne

> The Company name line shall read: Dimentor ApS

>

> The user can access the interior by the means of an ordinary

> screwdriver. Therefore No, I do not see any point on keeping the

> schematics confidential.

>

> Oskar

>

>

>

> -----Oprindelig meddelelse-----

> Fra: MBosley@metlabs.com [ < <mailto:MBosley@metlabs.com>> ]

> << <mailto:MBosley@metlabs.com>>> ]

> Sendt: 18. december 2002 20:04

> Til: Oskar Myhre Broberg

> Emne: RE: Payment instructions

> Prioritet: Høj

>

>

> Hello,

>

> I have one question before I get the code. Is your company name CTO or

> CTO,

> Dimentor ApS? What would be on the one company name line (should be the

>

> exact company name) that appears in the FCC database?

>

> Also, as for confidentiality, you are right, if the consumer can access

> the

> internal workings of the device themselves, then the FCC will not grant

> confidentiality on that. Now if they cannot, for instance you need a

> special, controlled key to do that, then that would be a different

> scenario.

>

>

> So then you don't wish the schematics to be held?

>

> I'll wait for your answer re: the code.

>

> Marianne  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Oskar Myhre Broberg  
> To: MBosley@metlabs.com  
> Sent: 12/18/2002 12:46 PM  
> Subject: SV: Payment instructions  
>  
> Hi Marianne  
> I have wired the money and I have attached a receipt of it (payment.pdf)  
>  
> regreftfully it is in Danish.  
>  
> The POC info is in attached file "POC info.doc"  
>  
> The Letter of Agent Authorization have just been faxed to you and the  
> original is being posted to you along with the Service Agreement.  
>  
> Regarding the confidentiality I do not believe we have any request for  
> this. As I understand it I can only ask for confidentiality on matters  
> such as schematics, not photos of the disassembled product etc. Is this  
> correct? The reasons for not requesting confidentiality is both the time  
>  
> issue and the fact that the layout is a 2 sided PCB which is quite easy  
> to copy if you intend to do so. But of course you advice me to request  
> for confidentiality I will consider it, again.  
>  
> Finally the "small" letter (with the long title) concerning the 15.19  
> has been faxed, attached and sent along with the Service Agreement and  
> Letter Of Agent Authorization.  
>  
> Sincerely  
>  
> Oskar  
>  
>  
>  
> -----Oprindelig meddelelse-----  
> Fra: MBosley@metlabs.com [ < <mailto:MBosley@metlabs.com> >]  
> < < <mailto:MBosley@metlabs.com> >>  
> < < <mailto:MBosley@metlabs.com> > < < <mailto:MBosley@metlabs.com> >> >> ]  
> Sendt: 18. december 2002 14:49  
> Til: Oskar Myhre Broberg  
> Emne: RE: Payment instructions  
>  
>  
>  
> Hello,  
>  
> Knowing that the funds should be here by Friday, I'll just go ahead and  
> get

> you the code today. I'll Make sure that the instructions are sent to  
> you.  
>  
> For the code, please send to me full company name and address as you  
> would  
> have it read in the FCC records, phone number of POC, fax number of POC,  
>  
>  
> email of POC, and of course, POC. This name will be the name to appear  
> on  
> the grant.  
>  
> As for the 15.19 statement, the manual is fine. I just need you to send  
>  
> an  
> extra letter (a short one is fine) requesting it be put in the manul due  
>  
> to  
> size of device per Rules section 15.\_\_\_\_.  
>  
> Please don't forget about the confidentiality issue, and also, I don't  
> know  
> if I included it before, you need to send a Letter of Agency giving us  
> the  
> right to work with the FCC in your behalf.  
>  
> Anymore questions, let me know, and I'll be waiting for the grantee code  
>  
>  
> info.  
>  
> Regards,  
>  
> Marianne  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Oskar Myhre Broberg  
> To: MBosley@metlabs.com  
> Sent: 12/18/2002 8:19 AM  
> Subject: Payment instructions  
>  
> Hi Marianne  
> I believe that you can receive my payment no earlier than Friday the  
> 20th, that is if I receive the instructions today. Is it correct that  
> you can not order the Grantee Code before you received payment for it?  
> if so could I in some way guarantee you the payment is on its way in  
> order to hurry things a little?  
>  
> My problem is that I need the Grantee code before the 6th of January in  
> order to have time to print the labels before the 16th of January. Do  
> you know when I can expect to receive the Grantee Code?

>  
> Best Regards  
>  
> Oskar  
>  
> \_\_\_\_\_  
> CTO, Oskar Myhre Broberg  
> Dimentor  
> Esromgade 15, opgang 2, 5.sal  
> DK 2200 Copenhagen N  
> t: +45 35855500, c: +45 51926742  
> e: omb@dimentor.com, w: www.dimentor.com  
>  
>  
> <<Agreement Rev.1.pdf>> <<Letter Of Agent Authorization.doc>>  
> <<Payment.pdf>> <<POC info.doc>> <<Request for placing FCC statement  
> in User's Guide.doc>>  
>  
<< File: The FCC ID Label v2.0.pdf >>