



SAR Compliance Test Report

| | | | |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| Test report no.: | WR727.001 | Date of report: | 2005-04-25 |
| Template version: | 4 | Number of pages: | 46 |
| Testing laboratory: | TCC Dallas Nokia, Inc. 6021 Connection Drive Irving, TX 75039, USA Tel. +1 972 894 5000 Fax +1 972 894 4988 | Client: | Nokia, Inc. 12278 Scripps Summit Dr. San Diego, CA 92131 Tel. +1 858 831 5955 Fax +1 858 831 6500 |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Responsible test engineer: | Jesse Torres | Product contact person: | Mario Murilla |
| Measurements made by: | Anuradha Balijepalli | | |

| | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| Tested device: | RH-71 | | |
| FCC ID: | QMNRH-71 | IC: | 661X-RH71 |

Supplement reports: -

Testing has been carried out in accordance with:

- 47CFR §2.1093**
Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices
- FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01)**
Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields
- RSS-102**
Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with Respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields
- IEEE 1528 - 2003**
IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques


Documentation: The documentation of the testing performed on the tested devices is archived for 15 years at TCC Dallas.

Test results: The tested device complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the items tested. The test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

Date and signatures: 2005-04-26

For the contents:


Nerina Walton
Lab Manager


Jesse Torres
Test Engineer

CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT..... 3

 1.1 TEST DETAILS..... 3

 1.2 MAXIMUM RESULTS..... 3

 1.2.1 *Head Configuration*..... 3

 1.2.2 *Body Worn Configuration* 3

 1.2.3 *Maximum Drift* 4

 1.2.4 *Measurement Uncertainty* 4

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST..... 5

 2.1 PICTURE OF THE DEVICE..... 5

 2.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE ANTENNA 5

3. TEST CONDITIONS 6

 3.1 TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY 6

 3.2 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCIES AND OUTPUT POWER..... 6

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT 7

 4.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND COMPONENTS 7

 4.1.1 *Isotropic E-field Probe SN1504*..... 8

 4.2 PHANTOMS 8

 4.3 TISSUE SIMULANTS 9

 4.3.1 *Tissue Simulant Recipes* 9

 4.3.2 *System Checking* 10

 4.3.3 *Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements*..... 11

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE 12

 5.1 DEVICE HOLDER..... 12

 5.2 TEST POSITIONS..... 13

 5.2.1 *Against Phantom Head*..... 13

 5.2.2 *Body Worn Configuration* 13

 5.3 SCAN PROCEDURES..... 14

 5.4 SAR AVERAGING METHODS..... 14

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY 15

7. RESULTS 16

APPENDIX A: SYSTEM CHECKING SCANS..... 18

APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS..... 25

APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT(S) 45

APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S) 46

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT

1.1 Test Details

| | |
|--|--|
| Period of test | 2005-04-18 to 2005-04-25 |
| SN, HW and SW numbers of tested device | SN: 04413934559 HW: 1001 SW: R210b01.nep |
| Batteries used in testing | BL-6C |
| Headsets used in testing | HS-2R |
| Other accessories used in testing | - |
| State of sample | Prototype unit |
| Notes | - |

1.2 Maximum Results

The maximum measured SAR values for Head configuration and Body Worn configuration are given in section 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 respectively. The device conforms to the requirements of the standard(s) when the maximum measured SAR value is less than or equal to the limit.

1.2.1 Head Configuration

| Mode | Ch / f (MHz) | Conducted Power | Position | SAR limit (1g avg) | Measured SAR value (1g avg) | Result |
|----------|--------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| AMPS800 | 384 / 836.52 | 25.8 dBm | Left Cheek | 1.6 W/kg | 1.21 W/kg | PASSED |
| CDMA800 | 777 / 848.31 | 25.7 dBm | Left Cheek | 1.6 W/kg | 1.21 W/kg | PASSED |
| CDMA1900 | 25 / 1851.25 | 23.7 dBm | Left Tilt | 1.6 W/kg | 1.10 W/kg | PASSED |

1.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

| Mode | Ch / f (MHz) | Conducted Power | Separation distance | SAR limit (1g avg) | Measured SAR value (1g avg) | Result |
|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| AMPS800 | 991 / 824.04 | 25.7 dBm | 2.2 cm | 1.6 W/kg | 1.17 W/kg | PASSED |
| CDMA800 | 1013 / 824.70 | 25.7 dBm | 2.2 cm | 1.6 W/kg | 1.09 W/kg | PASSED |
| CDMA1900 | 25 / 1851.25 | 23.7 dBm | 2.2 cm | 1.6 W/kg | 0.65 W/kg | PASSED |

1.2.3 Maximum Drift

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Maximum drift during measurements | - 0.22 dB |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|

1.2.4 Measurement Uncertainty

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Extended Uncertainty (k=2) 95% | ± 29.8 % |
|--------------------------------|----------|

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST

| | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Device category | Portable |
| Exposure environment | General population / uncontrolled |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Modes and Bands of Operation | AMPS800 800 | CDMA 800 / 1900 |
| Modulation Mode | FM | QPSK |
| Duty Cycle | 1 | 1 |
| Transmitter Frequency Range (MHz) | 824 - 849 | 824 - 849 1850 - 1910 |

2.1 Picture of the Device



2.2 Description of the Antenna

The device has an internal patch antenna.

3. TEST CONDITIONS

3.1 Temperature and Humidity

| | |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Ambient temperature (°C): | 22 to 23 |
| Ambient humidity (RH %): | 40 to 58 |

3.2 Test Signal, Frequencies and Output Power

The device was put into operation by using a call tester. Communication between the device and the call tester was established by air link.

The device output power was set to maximum power level for all tests; a fully charged battery was used for every test sequence.

In all operating bands the measurements were performed on lowest, middle and highest channels.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT

4.1 Measurement System and Components

The measurements were performed using an automated near-field scanning system, DASY4 software version 4.5, manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Switzerland. The SAR extrapolation algorithm used in all measurements on the device was the ‘worst-case extrapolation’ algorithm.

The following table lists calibration dates of SPEAG components:

| Test Equipment | Serial Number | Calibration interval | Calibration expiry |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| DAE V1 | 389 | 12 months | 2006- 01 |
| E-field Probe ET3DV6 | 1504 | 12 months | 2005- 09 |
| Dipole Validation Kit, D835V2 | 455 | 24 months | 2005- 10 |
| Dipole Validation Kit, D1900V2 | 504 | 24 months | 2005- 07 |

Additional test equipment used in testing:

| Test Equipment | Model | Serial Number | Calibration interval | Calibration expiry |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Amplifier | AR 5S1G2 | 25583 | - | - |
| Dielectric Probe Kit | Agilent 85070D | US01440005 | - | - |
| Signal Generator | R&S SMT06 | 100243 | 12 months | 2005- 06 |
| Vector Network Analyzer | Agilent 8753ES | US39174327 | 12 months | 2006- 01 |
| Power Meter | Boonton 4232A | 26001 | 12 months | 2005- 06 |
| Power Sensor | Boonton 51015 | 31143 | 12 months | 2005- 06 |
| Power Sensor | Boonton 51015 | 31144 | 12 months | 2005- 06 |
| Call Tester | Wavetek 4303 | 1280834 | 12 months | 2005- 06 |

4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe SN1504

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Construction | Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., butyl diglycol) |
| Calibration | Calibration certificate in Appendix C |
| Frequency | 10 MHz to 3 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz) |
| Optical Surface Detection | ± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces |
| Directivity | ± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis) |
| Dynamic Range | 5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB |
| Dimensions | Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm |
| Application | General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms |

4.2 Phantoms

The phantom used for all tests i.e. for both system checking and device testing, was the twin-headed "SAM Phantom", manufactured by SPEAG. The phantom conforms to the requirements of IEEE 1528 - 2003.

System checking was performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections. Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.

The SPEAG device holder (see Section 5.1) was used to position the device in all tests whilst a tripod was used to position the validation dipoles against the flat section of phantom.

4.3 Tissue Simulants

Recommended values for the dielectric parameters of the tissue simulants are given in IEEE 1528 - 2003 and FCC Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65. All tests were carried out using simulants whose dielectric parameters were within $\pm 5\%$ of the recommended values. All tests were carried out within 24 hours of measuring the dielectric parameters.

The depth of the tissue simulant was 15.0 ± 0.5 cm measured from the ear reference point during system checking and device measurements.

4.3.1 Tissue Simulant Recipes

The following recipes were used for Head and Body tissue simulants:

800MHz Band

| Ingredient | Head (% by weight) | Body (% by weight) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Deionised Water | 51.07 | 65.45 |
| HEC | 0.23 | - |
| Sugar | 47.31 | 34.31 |
| Preservative | 0.24 | 0.10 |
| Salt | 1.15 | 0.62 |

1900MHz Band

| Ingredient | Head (% by weight) | Body (% by weight) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Deionised Water | 54.88 | 69.02 |
| Butyl Diglycol | 44.91 | 30.76 |
| Salt | 0.21 | 0.22 |

4.3.2 System Checking

The manufacturer calibrates the probes annually. Dielectric parameters of the tissue simulants were measured every day using the dielectric probe kit and the network analyser. A system check measurement was made following the determination of the dielectric parameters of the simulant, using the dipole validation kit. A power level of 250 mW was supplied to the dipole antenna, which was placed under the flat section of the twin SAM phantom. The system checking results (dielectric parameters and SAR values) are given in the table below.

System checking, head tissue simulant

| f [MHz] | Description | SAR [W/kg], 1g | Dielectric Parameters | | Temp [°C] |
|---------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | | ϵ_r | σ [S/m] | |
| 835 | Reference result | 2.37 | 43.0 | 0.90 | |
| | ± 10% window | 2.13 – 2.61 | | | |
| | 2005-04-18 | 2.38 | 42.1 | 0.88 | 21.5 |
| | 2005-04-20 | 2.43 | 41.2 | 0.89 | 21.5 |
| | 2005-04-21 | 2.48 | 41.7 | 0.90 | 21.5 |
| 1900 | Reference result | 10.2 | 40.2 | 1.46 | |
| | ± 10% window | 9.18 – 11.22 | | | |
| | 2005-04-19 | 9.61 | 38.4 | 1.44 | 20.0 |

System checking, body tissue simulant

| f [MHz] | Description | SAR [W/kg], 1g | Dielectric Parameters | | Temp [°C] |
|---------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | | ϵ_r | σ [S/m] | |
| 835 | Reference result | 2.48 | 55.0 | 0.98 | |
| | ± 10% window | 2.23 – 2.73 | | | |
| | 2005-04-22 | 2.51 | 54.0 | 0.94 | 22.0 |
| 1900 | Reference result | 10.5 | 50.9 | 1.60 | |
| | ± 10% window | 9.45 – 11.55 | | | |
| | 2005-04-25 | 10.0 | 50.9 | 1.59 | 19.7 |

Plots of the system checking scans are given in Appendix A.

4.3.3 Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements

Head tissue simulant measurements

| f [MHz] | Description | Dielectric Parameters | | Temp [°C] |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | ϵ_r | σ [S/m] | |
| 836 | Recommended value | 41.5 | 0.90 | |
| | ± 5% window | 39.4 – 43.6 | 0.86 – 0.95 | |
| | 2005-04-18 | 42.1 | 0.88 | 21.5 |
| | 2005-04-20 | 41.1 | 0.89 | 21.5 |
| | 2005-04-21 | 41.6 | 0.90 | 21.5 |
| 1880 | Recommended value | 40.0 | 1.40 | |
| | ± 5% window | 38.0 – 42.0 | 1.33 – 1.47 | |
| | 2005-04-19 | 38.5 | 1.42 | 20.0 |

Body tissue simulant measurements

| f [MHz] | Description | Dielectric Parameters | | Temp [°C] |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | ϵ_r | σ [S/m] | |
| 836 | Recommended value | 55.2 | 0.97 | |
| | ± 5% window | 52.4 – 58.0 | 0.92 – 1.02 | |
| | 2005-04-22 | 54.0 | 0.95 | 22.0 |
| 1880 | Recommended value | 53.3 | 1.52 | |
| | ± 5% window | 50.6 – 56.0 | 1.44 – 1.60 | |
| | 2005-04-25 | 51.0 | 1.57 | 19.7 |

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE

5.1 Device Holder

The device was placed in the device holder (illustrated below) that is supplied by SPEAG as an integral part of the Dasy system.



Device holder supplied by SPEAG

A Nokia designed spacer (illustrated below) was used to position the device within the SPEAG holder. The spacer positions the device so that the holder has minimal effect on the test results but still holds the device securely. The spacer was removed before the tests.



Nokia spacer

5.2 Test Positions

5.2.1 Against Phantom Head

Measurements were made in “cheek” and “tilt” positions on both the left hand and right hand sides of the phantom.

The positions used in the measurements were according to IEEE 1528 - 2003 "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques".




Photo of the device in “cheek” position




Photo of the device in “tilt” position

5.2.2 Body Worn Configuration



Photo of the device positioned for Body SAR measurement.
The spacer was removed for the tests.

5.3 Scan Procedures

First, area scans were used for determination of the field distribution. Next, a zoom scan, a minimum of 5x5x7 points covering a volume of at least 30x30x30mm, was performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR value. Drift was determined by measuring the same point at the start of the area scan and again at the end of the zoom scan.

5.4 SAR Averaging Methods

The maximum SAR value was averaged over a cube of tissue using interpolation and extrapolation.

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines within Dasy4 are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method (Robert J. Renka, "Multivariate Interpolation Of Large Sets Of Scattered Data", University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988, pp. 139-148).

The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method with a weighted average method. A trivariate 3-D / bivariate 2-D quadratic function is computed for each measurement point and fitted to neighbouring points by a least-square method. For the zoom scan, inverse distance weighting is incorporated to fit distant points more accurately. The interpolating function is finally calculated as a weighted average of the quadratics.

In the zoom scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the deepest measurement points to the inner surface of the phantom.

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 6.1 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation

| Uncertainty Component | Section in IEEE 1528 | Tol. (%) | Prob Dist | Div | C_i | $C_i \cdot U_i$ (%) | V_i |
|---|----------------------|----------|-----------|-----|-----------------|---------------------|-------|
| Measurement System | | | | | | | |
| Probe Calibration | E2.1 | ±5.8 | N | 1 | 1 | ±5.8 | ∞ |
| Axial Isotropy | E2.2 | ±4.7 | R | √3 | $(1-c_p)^{1/2}$ | ±1.9 | ∞ |
| Hemispherical Isotropy | E2.2 | ±9.6 | R | √3 | $(c_p)^{1/2}$ | ±3.9 | ∞ |
| Boundary Effect | E2.3 | ±8.3 | R | √3 | 1 | ±4.8 | ∞ |
| Linearity | E2.4 | ±4.7 | R | √3 | 1 | ±2.7 | ∞ |
| System Detection Limits | E2.5 | ±1.0 | R | √3 | 1 | ±0.6 | ∞ |
| Readout Electronics | E2.6 | ±1.0 | N | 1 | 1 | ±1.0 | ∞ |
| Response Time | E2.7 | ±0.8 | R | √3 | 1 | ±0.5 | ∞ |
| Integration Time | E2.8 | ±2.6 | R | √3 | 1 | ±1.5 | ∞ |
| RF Ambient Conditions - Noise | E6.1 | ±3.0 | R | √3 | 1 | ±1.7 | ∞ |
| RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections | E6.1 | ±3.0 | R | √3 | 1 | ±1.7 | ∞ |
| Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance | E6.2 | ±0.4 | R | √3 | 1 | ±0.2 | ∞ |
| Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell | E6.3 | ±2.9 | R | √3 | 1 | ±1.7 | ∞ |
| Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation | E5.2 | ±3.9 | R | √3 | 1 | ±2.3 | ∞ |
| Test sample Related | | | | | | | |
| Test Sample Positioning | E4.2.1 | ±6.0 | N | 1 | 1 | ±6.0 | 11 |
| Device Holder Uncertainty | E4.1.1 | ±5.0 | N | 1 | 1 | ±5.0 | 7 |
| Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement | 6.6.3 | ±10.0 | R | √3 | 1 | ±5.8 | ∞ |
| Phantom and Tissue Parameters | | | | | | | |
| Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances) | E3.1 | ±4.0 | R | √3 | 1 | ±2.3 | ∞ |
| Conductivity Target - tolerance | E3.2 | ±5.0 | R | √3 | 0.64 | ±1.8 | ∞ |
| Conductivity - measurement uncertainty | E3.3 | ±5.5 | N | 1 | 0.64 | ±3.5 | 5 |
| Permittivity Target - tolerance | E3.2 | ±5.0 | R | √3 | 0.6 | ±1.7 | ∞ |
| Permittivity - measurement uncertainty | E3.3 | ±2.9 | N | 1 | 0.6 | ±1.7 | 5 |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty | | | RSS | | | ±14.9 | 206 |
| Coverage Factor for 95% | | | k=2 | | | | |
| Expanded Standard Uncertainty | | | | | | ±29.8 | |

7. RESULTS

The measured Head SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

AMPS800 Head SAR results

| Test configuration | | SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg) | | |
|--------------------|-------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | Ch 991 824.04 MHz | Ch 384 836.52 MHz | Ch 799 848.97 MHz |
| Power | | 25.7 dBm | 25.8 dBm | 25.8 dBm |
| Left | Cheek | 0.99 | 1.21 | 1.16 |
| | Tilt | 0.76 | 0.89 | 0.94 |
| Right | Cheek | 0.97 | 1.02 | 1.12 |
| | Tilt | - | 0.72 | - |

CDMA800 Head SAR results

| Test configuration | | SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg) | | |
|--------------------|-------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | Ch 1013 824.70 MHz | Ch 384 836.52 MHz | Ch 777 848.31 MHz |
| Power | | 25.7 dBm | 25.7 dBm | 25.7 dBm |
| Left | Cheek | 1.06 | 1.19 | 1.21 |
| | Tilt | 0.81 | 0.92 | 0.98 |
| Right | Cheek | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.14 |
| | Tilt | - | 0.70 | - |

CDMA1900 Head SAR results

| Test configuration | | SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg) | | |
|--------------------|-------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | | Ch 25 1851.25 MHz | Ch 600 1880.00 MHz | Ch 1175 1908.75 MHz |
| Power | | 23.7 dBm | 23.5 dBm | 23.3 dBm |
| Left | Cheek | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.72 |
| | Tilt | 1.10 | 1.09 | 0.95 |
| Right | Cheek | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.60 |
| | Tilt | 0.91 | 0.88 | 0.73 |

The measured Body SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

AMPS800 Body SAR results

| Test configuration | SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg) | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Ch 991 824.04 MHz | Ch 384 836.52 MHz | Ch 799 848.97 MHz |
| Power | 25.7 dBm | 25.8 dBm | 25.8 dBm |
| Without headset | 1.17 | 1.02 | 0.82 |
| HS-2R Headset | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.70 |

CDMA800 Body SAR results

| Test configuration | SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg) | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Ch 1013 824.70 MHz | Ch 384 836.52 MHz | Ch 777 848.31 MHz |
| Power | 25.7 dBm | 25.7 dBm | 25.7 dBm |
| Without headset | 1.09 | 0.98 | 0.83 |
| HS-2R Headset | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.68 |

CDMA1900 Body SAR results

| Test configuration | SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg) | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | Ch 25 1851.25 MHz | Ch 600 1880.00 MHz | Ch 1175 1908.75 MHz |
| Power | 23.7 dBm | 23.5 dBm | 23.3 dBm |
| Without headset | 0.58 | 0.57 | 0.51 |
| HS-2R Headset | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.62 |

Plots of the Measurement scans are given in Appendix B.

APPENDIX A: SYSTEM CHECKING SCANS

Date/Time: 04/18/2005 10:50:58
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

835MHz Head System Check

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.876 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 42.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Flat Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


System Check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 56.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.54 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.38 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.56 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.58 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/20/2005 10:23:19
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

835MHz Head System Check

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.886 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.2$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Flat Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


System Check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 56.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.011 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.59 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.43 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.59 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.62 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/21/2005 10:23:31
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

835MHz Head System Check


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.896 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.7$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Flat Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

System Check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 56.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.70 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.48 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.61 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.67 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/19/2005 10:51:14
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

1900MHz Head System Check

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.44$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 20.0

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM3 PCS Head and Body; Phantom section: Flat Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


System Check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 92.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.1 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.61 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.01 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.8 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/22/2005 10:34:32
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

835MHz Body System Check

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.944 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 54$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Liquid Temperature: 22.0

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM2 Cellular Body; Phantom section: Flat Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


System Check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 55.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.059 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.62 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.51 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.66 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.72 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/25/2005 11:18:09
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

1900MHz Body System Check

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.59$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 50.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 19.7

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(4.56, 4.56, 4.56); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM3 PCS Head and Body; Phantom section: Flat Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


System Check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 91.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.010 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.23 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.4 mW/g



APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS

Date/Time: 04/18/2005 16:46:58
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

RH-71, AMPS800 Channel 384, Left Cheek Position with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: AMPS; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 836.52$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.879$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 42.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Left Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


Left Cheek/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 33.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.049 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.18 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.21 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.677 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.19 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)



Date/Time: 04/21/2005 15:30:28
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

RH-71, AMPS800, Channel 799, Left Tilt Position with BL-6C

Communication System: AMPS; Frequency: 848.97 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.97$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.909$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Left Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


Left Tilt/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 31.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.035 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.88 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.939 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.509 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.04 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/20/2005 15:50:55
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas


RH-71, AMPS800, Channel 799, Right Cheek with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: AMPS; Frequency: 848.97 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.97$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.899$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Right Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Right Cheek/Zoom Scan 1 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 34.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.037 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.45 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.12 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.702 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.14 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/21/2005 13:27:35
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

RH-71, AMPS800, Channel 384, Right Tilt Position with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: AMPS; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 836.52$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.897$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Right Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


Right Tilt/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 27.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.033 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.45 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.723 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.400 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.774 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/20/2005 11:42:12
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas


RH-71, CDMA800, Channel 777, Left Cheek Position with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: CDMA800; Frequency: 848.31 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.31$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.899$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Left Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Left Cheek/Zoom Scan 1 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 34.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.012 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.56 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.21 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.722 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.22 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/20/2005 11:42:12
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas


RH-71, CDMA800, Channel 777, Left Cheek Position with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: CDMA800; Frequency: 848.31 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.31$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.899$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Left Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Left Cheek/Zoom Scan 1 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 34.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.012 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.56 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.21 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.722 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.22 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/21/2005 14:49:09
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas


RH-71, CDMA800, Channel 777, Left Tilt Position with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: CDMA800; Frequency: 848.31 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.31$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.909$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Left Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Left Tilt/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 31.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.069 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.00 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.980 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.528 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.09 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/20/2005 13:31:11
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas


RH-71, CDMA800 Ch777, Right Cheek Position with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: CDMA800; Frequency: 848.31 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 848.31$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.899$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Right Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Right Cheek/Zoom Scan 1 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 33.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.056 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.56 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.14 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.697 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.16 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/21/2005 12:26:31
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

RH-71, CDMA800 Channel 384, Right Tilt Position with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: CDMA800; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 836.52$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.897$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 21.5

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.73, 6.73, 6.73); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM1 Cellular Head; Phantom section: Right Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


Right Tilt/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 27.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.038 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.40 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.703 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.392 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.745 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/19/2005 12:57:52
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

RH-71, CDMA1900, Channel 25, Left Cheek Position with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: CDMA1900; Frequency: 1851.25 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1851.25 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.39 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 38.6$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Liquid Temperature: 20.0

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM3 PCS Head and Body; Phantom section: Left Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


Left Cheek/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 19.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.082 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.93 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.882 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.458 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.957 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/19/2005 12:28:58
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

RH-71, CDMA1900, Channel 25, Left Tilt Position with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: CDMA1900; Frequency: 1851.25 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1851.25$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.39$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 20.0

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM3 PCS Head and Body; Phantom section: Left Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


Left Tilt/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 23.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.082 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.49 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.1 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.538 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.23 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/19/2005 12:28:58
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas


RH-71, CDMA1900, Channel 25, Left Tilt Position with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: CDMA1900; Frequency: 1851.25 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1851.25$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.39$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 20.0

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM3 PCS Head and Body; Phantom section: Left Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Left Tilt/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 23.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.082 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.49 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.1 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.538 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.23 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/19/2005 14:21:15
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

RH-71, CDMA1900, Channel 600, Right Cheek Position with BL-6C Battery


Communication System: CDMA1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.42$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 20.0

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM3 PCS Head and Body; Phantom section: Right Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Right Cheek/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 20.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.091 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.37 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.732 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.410 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.796 mW/g

Right Cheek/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 1: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 20.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.091 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.30 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.626 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.349 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.662 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/19/2005 15:36:35
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

RH-71, CDMA1900, Channel 25, Right Tilt Position with BL-6C Battery

Communication System: CDMA1900; Frequency: 1851.25 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1851.25$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.39$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 20.0

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(5.13, 5.13, 5.13); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM3 PCS Head and Body; Phantom section: Right Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


Right Tilt/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.91 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.907 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.483 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.959 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/22/2005 13:15:54
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

RH-71, AMPS800, Channel 991, Body Position with 2.2cm Spacer and BL-6C Battery

Communication System: AMPS; Frequency: 824.04 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 824.04$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.934$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 54.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 22.0

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM2 Cellular Body; Phantom section: Flat Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


Body/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 21.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.033 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.73 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.17 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.821 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.23 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/22/2005 13:15:54
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas


RH-71, AMPS800, Channel 991, Body Position with 2.2cm Spacer and BL-6C Battery

Communication System: AMPS; Frequency: 824.04 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 824.04$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.934$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 54.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 22.0

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM2 Cellular Body; Phantom section: Flat Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Body/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 21.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.033 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.73 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.17 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.821 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.23 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/22/2005 11:38:36
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas


RH-71, CDMA800 Channel 1013, Body Position with 2.2cm Spacer and BL-6C Battery

Communication System: CDMA800; Frequency: 824.7 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 824.7$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.935$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 54.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 22.0

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM2 Cellular Body; Phantom section: Flat Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Body/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 21.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.069 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.62 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.09 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.766 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.16 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/25/2005 14:17:31
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

RH-71, CDMA1900, Channel 25, Body Position with 2.2cm Spacer, BL-6C Battery and HS-2R Headset

Communication System: CDMA1900; Frequency: 1851.25 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1851.25$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.54$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 19.7

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(4.56, 4.56, 4.56); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM3 PCS Head and Body; Phantom section: Flat Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


Body/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 14.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.046 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.40 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.649 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.363 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.683 mW/g



Date/Time: 04/25/2005 14:17:31
Test Laboratory: TCC Dallas

RH-71, CDMA1900, Channel 25, Body Position with 2.2cm Spacer, BL-6C Battery and HS-2R Headset

Communication System: CDMA1900; Frequency: 1851.25 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 1851.25$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.54$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Liquid Temperature: 19.7

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1504; ConvF(4.56, 4.56, 4.56); Calibrated: 09/22/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn389; Calibrated: 01/12/2005
- Phantom: SAM3 PCS Head and Body; Phantom section: Flat Section
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146


Body/Zoom Scan 1 (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 14.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.046 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.40 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.649 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.363 mW/g (Worst Case Extrapolation)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.683 mW/g



APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT(S)

02954

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **Nokia TX**

Certificate No: **ET3-1504_Sep04**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ET3DV6 - SN:1504**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v5
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **September 22, 2004**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

| Primary Standards | ID # | Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.) | Scheduled Calibration |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------|
| Power meter E4419B | GB41293874 | 5-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00388) | May-05 |
| Power sensor E4412A | MY41495277 | 5-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00388) | May-05 |
| Reference 3 dB Attenuator | SN: S5054 (3c) | 3-Apr-03 (METAS, No. 251-00403) | Aug-05 |
| Reference 20 dB Attenuator | SN: S5086 (20b) | 3-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00389) | May-05 |
| Reference 30 dB Attenuator | SN: S5129 (30b) | 3-Apr-03 (METAS, No. 251-00404) | Aug-05 |
| Reference Probe ES3DV2 | SN:3013 | 8-Jan-04 (SPEAG, No. ES3-3013_Jan04) | Jan-05 |
| DAE4 | SN: 617 | 26-May-04 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-617_May04) | May-05 |
| Secondary Standards | ID # | Check Date (in house) | Scheduled Check |
| Power sensor HP 8481A | MY41092180 | 18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03) | In house check: Oct 05 |
| RF generator HP 8648C | US3642U01700 | 4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Dec-03) | In house check: Dec-05 |
| Network Analyzer HP 8753E | US37390585 | 18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-03) | In house check: Nov 04 |

| | Name | Function | Signature |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Calibrated by: | Nico Vetterli | Laboratory Technician | |

| | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| Approved by: | Kaja Polovic | Technical Manager | |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|

Issued: September 24, 2004

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1504**Sensitivity in Free Space^A****Diode Compression^B**

| | | | | |
|-------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| NormX | 2.18 ± 9.9% | $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ | DCP X | 91 mV |
| NormY | 1.82 ± 9.9% | $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ | DCP Y | 91 mV |
| NormZ | 1.72 ± 9.9% | $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ | DCP Z | 91 mV |

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect**TSL 900 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm**

| | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance | | 3.7 mm | 4.7 mm |
| SAR _{be} [%] | Without Correction Algorithm | 9.3 | 4.8 |
| SAR _{be} [%] | With Correction Algorithm | 0.1 | 0.1 |

TSL 1900 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm



| | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance | | 3.7 mm | 4.7 mm |
| SAR _{be} [%] | Without Correction Algorithm | 13.9 | 9.3 |
| SAR _{be} [%] | With Correction Algorithm | 0.7 | 0.2 |

Sensor OffsetProbe Tip to Sensor Center **2.7 mm**

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

Conversion Factor Assessment



| f [MHz] | Validity [MHz] ^c | TSL | Permittivity | Conductivity | Alpha | Depth | ConvF Uncertainty |
|---------|-----------------------------|------|--------------|--------------|-------|-------|--------------------|
| 835 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Head | 41.5 ± 5% | 0.90 ± 5% | 0.77 | 1.65 | 6.73 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 900 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Head | 41.5 ± 5% | 0.97 ± 5% | 0.73 | 1.73 | 6.42 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 1750 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Head | 40.0 ± 5% | 1.40 ± 5% | 0.72 | 2.06 | 5.30 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 1900 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Head | 40.0 ± 5% | 1.40 ± 5% | 0.64 | 2.30 | 5.13 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 2450 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Head | 39.2 ± 5% | 1.80 ± 5% | 0.74 | 2.24 | 4.54 ± 11.8% (k=2) |
| 835 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Body | 55.2 ± 5% | 0.97 ± 5% | 0.60 | 1.94 | 6.30 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 900 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Body | 55.0 ± 5% | 1.05 ± 5% | 0.59 | 2.00 | 6.03 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 1750 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Body | 53.3 ± 5% | 1.52 ± 5% | 0.63 | 2.55 | 4.67 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 1900 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Body | 53.3 ± 5% | 1.52 ± 5% | 0.62 | 2.65 | 4.56 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 2450 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Body | 52.7 ± 5% | 1.95 ± 5% | 0.80 | 1.95 | 4.32 ± 11.8% (k=2) |

^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY 4.3 B17 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S)

Client **Nokia Inc., Texas**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE



Object(s) **D835V2 - SN 455**
 Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v2
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits**
 Calibration date: **October 3, 2003**
 Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)**

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

| Model Type | ID # | Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.) | Scheduled Calibration |
|---------------------------|------------|---|------------------------|
| Power sensor HP 8481A | MY41092317 | 18-Oct-02 (Agilent, No. 20021018) | Oct-04 |
| Power sensor HP 8481A | US37292783 | 30-Oct-02 (METAS, No. 252-0236) | Oct-03 |
| Power meter EPM E442 | GB37480704 | 30-Oct-02 (METAS, No. 252-0236) | Oct-03 |
| RF generator R&S SML-03 | 100698 | 27-Mar-2002 (R&S, No. 20-92389) | In house check: Mar-05 |
| Network Analyzer HP 8753E | US37390585 | 18-Oct-01 (Agilent, No. 24BR1033101) | In house check: Oct 03 |

| | Name | Function | Signature |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------|---|
| Calibrated by: | Judith Mueller | Technician |  |
| Approved by: | Katja Pokovic | Laboratory Director |  |

Date issued: October 10, 2003

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

3453

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN455

Communication System: CW-835; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 835 MHz ($\sigma = 0.9$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 43$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASy4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASy4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.7, 6.7, 6.7); Calibrated: 1/18/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 - SN411; Calibrated: 1/16/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006
- Measurement SW: DASy4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 60

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 55.4 V/m

Power Drift = -0.007 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 2.56 mW/g

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.52 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.37 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.56 mW/g

Reference Value = 55.4 V/m

Power Drift = -0.007 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 2.56 mW/g



0 dB = 2.56mW/g

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN455

Communication System: CW-835; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Muscle 835 MHz ($\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 54.98$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DAS4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 1/18/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 - SN411; Calibrated: 1/16/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006
- Measurement SW: DAS4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 60

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 54.8 V/m

Power Drift = -0.006 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 2.66 mW/g

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.48 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.64 mW/g

Reference Value = 54.8 V/m

Power Drift = -0.006 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 2.68 mW/g



Client **Nokia Inc. Texas**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s) **D1900V2 - SN:504**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v2**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits

Calibration date: **July 16, 2003**



Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)**

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

| Model Type | ID # | Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.) | Scheduled Calibration |
|---------------------------|------------|---|------------------------|
| RF generator R&S SML-03 | 100698 | 27-Mar-2002 (R&S, No. 20-92389) | In house check: Mar-05 |
| Power sensor HP 8481A | MY41092317 | 18-Oct-02 (Agilent, No. 20021018) | Oct-04 |
| Power sensor HP 8481A | US37292783 | 30-Oct-02 (METAS, No. 252-0236) | Oct-03 |
| Power meter EPM E442 | GB37480704 | 30-Oct-02 (METAS, No. 252-0236) | Oct-03 |
| Network Analyzer HP 8753E | US37390585 | 18-Oct-01 (Agilent, No. 24BR1033101) | In house check: Oct 03 |

| | Name | Function | Signature |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------|---|
| Calibrated by: | Judith Mueller | Technician |  |
| Approved by: | Katja Pokovic | Laboratory Director |  |

Date issued: July 17, 2003

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

Date/Time: 07/16/03 17:31:56

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland
 File Name: SN504_SN1507_HSL1900_160703.da4

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN504
Program: Dipole Calibration

Communication System: CW-1900; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 1900 MHz ($\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 40.17$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 1/18/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 - SN411; Calibrated: 1/16/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 93.5 V/m

Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 11.4 mW/g

Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10.2 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.29 mW/g

Reference Value = 93.5 V/m

Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 11.4 mW/g



Date/Time: 07/16/03 11:37:18

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland
 File Name: SN504_SN1507_M1900_160703.da4

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN504
Program: Dipole Calibration

Communication System: CW-1900; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Muscle 1900 MHz ($\sigma = 1.6 \text{ mho/m}$, $\epsilon_r = 50.87$, $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$)

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(4.8, 4.8, 4.8); Calibrated: 1/18/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 - SN411; Calibrated: 1/16/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 92 V/m

Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 11.7 mW/g

Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.2 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 10.5 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.45 mW/g

Reference Value = 92 V/m

Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 11.8 mW/g

