

To: "Timothy R. Johnson" <tjohnson@AmericanTCB.com>
Subject: Fw: Review of New Atico Intl. Ltd., FCC ID: QJHW58G0040-re-send

Dear Timothy,

Do you receive my previous e-mail listed as below?
If yes, are the documents and explanations acceptable?
Due to our client is urgent to know whether they can
put the label on their products for shipment.
Please kindly advise us.

Additionally, for the FCC ID: QLEGBU301,
is the status ok to issue the Grant? Can you
check it for me?

Thanks for your kindly help in advance.

If you have further question, please feel free to let me know.

Best Regards,
Julia Chu

----- Original Message -----

From: "Julia Chu" <jchu@itslabtest-twn.com>
To: "Timothy R. Johnson" <tjohnson@AmericanTCB.com>
Cc: "Michael Chen ITS/LAB-TPE" <MCHEN@itslabtest-twn.com>; "Elton Chan
ITS/EMC-TPE" <Echan@itslabtest-twn.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 04, 2002 8:06 PM
Subject: FW: Review of New Atico Intl. Ltd., FCC ID: QJHW58G0040

Dear Timothy,

Regarding to your review comments, please see the response listed as below:

- 1) The EUT has a receiver device and we tested under a DoC authorization.
The transmitter and receiver was deemed as a set.
For this condition, should both the Tx and Rx device labeled the FCC
logo,
or only the Rx device can labeled the FCC logo ?
Please kindly advise us.
- 2) We did tested with the EUT positioned in each of 3 axis,
and the worst case condition data has stated in the report.
Please find the revised report as attached.
- 3) For the test procedural, please refer to section 2.2 of report
as attached.
- 4) The EUT was tested with a new 3Vdc battery.

If you have any question, please feel free to contact me.

Best Regards,
Julia Chu



[report2.pdf](#)