

To: "Timothy R. Johnson" <tjohnson@AmericanTCB.com>  
Subject: RE: Review of Microvision, Inc, FCC ID: Q4YHS2141

Hi Tim  
Welcome back. I hope you had a great time on your cruise.

The answers to the comments are below

1) The Internal photographs appears to contain 2 photographs that are identical, while only one side of the device is shown. Was this intentional, or were photographs for the second side of the device meant to be included? Note that it appears that the original application may have included 2 models, although the difference is between the models is uncertain. Please explain.

**The photos provided in this app are for reference only. I have removed the duplicate photo from the external reference photo. The Micorvisoin external photo show the module on a 'test fixture'. The internal and External photos for certification however, are to be found in the original filing.**

There are two models. The hardware of both modules is identical. The only difference is that the one module has 4 additional I/O lines. The modules were tested in the maximum configuration.

I believe the connector shown in the internal and external photos for the original app is the 'Temporary antenna Connector" used for conducted measurements mentioned in the original test report. The actual antenna(s) used are found in the antenna information sheets in the original filing.

2) The 731 form states a frequency range of 2408-2480, while the original device was granted as 2402 - 2480.

Please confirm the correct frequency range.

**Corrected, please see revised 731**

3) The modular request letter provided lists a higher output power than originally granted. Please

**Corrected, please see revised modular request.**

**Let me know if you need anything else.**

Thanks  
Dennis  
Dt Associates