



FCC SAR Test Report

Equipment : Ultra Rugged Tablet PC
Brand Name : Winmate
Model No. : M133XXXXXXXX
(The "X" can be 0-9, A-Z, a-z, +, or blank for marketing purpose.)
FCC ID : PX9M133NGW
Standard : FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)
ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992
IEEE 1528-2013
Applicant : Winmate Communication INC.
Manufacturer : 9F, No. 111-6, Shing-De Rd., San-Chung Dist, New Taipei 24158, Taiwan, R.O.C.

The product sample received on Oct. 02, 2015 and completely tested on Nov. 24, 2015. We, SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC., would like to declare that the tested sample has been evaluated in accordance with the procedures and shown the compliance with the applicable technical standards.

The test results in this report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC., the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.

Reviewed by:

Kevin Liang / Assistant Manager





Table of Contents

1	STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE	5
1.1	Guidance Standard	5
1.2	Testing Location Information	5
1.3	Device Category and SAR Limits	6
2	EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT)	7
2.1	General Information.....	7
3	RF EXPOSURE LIMITS.....	8
3.1	Uncontrolled Environment.....	8
3.2	Controlled Environment.....	8
4	SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR).....	9
4.1	Introduction.....	9
4.2	SAR Definition	9
5	SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND SETUP	10
5.1	E-Field Probe.....	11
5.2	E-Field Probe Specification	11
5.3	E-Field Probe Calibration	12
5.4	Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)	12
5.5	Robot	13
5.6	Measurement Server	14
5.7	Phantom	14
6	MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES.....	15
6.1	Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation	15
6.2	Power Reference Measurement.....	16
6.3	Area Scan	16
6.4	Zoom Scan	17
6.5	Volume Scan Procedures	17
6.6	Power Drift Monitoring	17
7	TEST EQUIPMENT LIST	18
8	SYSTEM VERIFICATION	19
8.1	Tissue Simulating Liquids.....	19
8.2	Tissue Verification	20
8.3	System Performance Check Results	21
9	MAXIMUM TUNE-UP LIMIT & CONDUCTED RF OUTPUT POWER (UNIT: DBM).....	22
10	SAR EXCLUSION CALCULATIONS	25
10.1	Standalone SAR Test Exclusion Considerations	25



10.2	SAR Test Exclusion Calculations for WLAN	25
10.3	Required Test Configurations.....	26
11	ANTENNA LOCATION	27
12	SAR TEST RESULTS.....	28
12.1	Body SAR	29
13	UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT	31
14	REFERENCES.....	35

APPENDIX A. PLOTS OF SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK**APPENDIX B. PLOTS OF SAR MEASUREMENT****APPENDIX C. DASY CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE****APPENDIX D. TEST SETUP PHOTOS**



Revision History



1 Statement of Compliance

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during testing as follows.

Exposure Position	Frequency Band	Reported 1g SAR (W/kg)	Equipment Class
Body	WLAN5.2GHz Band	1.36	NII
	WLAN5.3GHz Band	1.40	
	WLAN5.6GHz Band	1.32	
	WLAN5.8GHz Band	1.16	
	WLAN2.4GHz Band	0.88	DTS
	Bluetooth Band	0.00048	FHSS

This device is in compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits (1.6 W/kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013.

1.1 Guidance Standard

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing specification, method, and procedure for this device is in accordance with the following standards:

- FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)
- ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992
- IEEE 1528-2013
- FCC KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04
- FCC KDB 865664 D02 SAR Reporting v01r02
- FCC KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06
- FCC KDB 248227 D01 For IEEE802.11(Wi-Fi)Transmitters v02r02

1.2 Testing Location Information

Testing Location			
HWA YA	ADD	: No. 52, Hwa Ya 1st Rd., Hwa Ya Technology Park, Kwei-Shan District, Tao Yuan City, Taiwan, R.O.C.	TEL : 886-3-327-3456 FAX : 886-3-327-0973



1.3 Device Category and SAR Limits

This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.

1.3.1 Test Conditions

Ambient Temperature	20 to 24 °C
Humidity	< 60%

1.3.2 Test Configuration

During WLAN SAR testing EUT is configured with the WLAN continuous TX tool, and the transmission duty factor was monitored on the spectrum analyzer with zero-span setting Duty factor observed as below:

- 802.11b, 1Mbps: 100%
- 802.11g, 6Mbps: 100%
- 802.11n, MCS0: 100%
- 802.11a, 6Mbps: 100%
- 802.11an, MCS0: 100%

For WLAN SAR testing, WLAN engineering testing software installed on the Support Notebook can provide continuous transmitting RF signal.



2 Equipment Under Test (EUT)

2.1 General Information

Product Feature & Specification	
Equipment Name	Ultra Rugged Tablet PC
Brand Name	Winmate
Model Name	M133XXXXXXXXX (The "X" can be 0-9, A-Z, a-z, +, or blank for marketing purpose.)
FCC ID	PX9M133NGW
Frequency Range	WLAN 2.4GHz Band: 2412 MHz ~ 2462 MHz WLAN 5.2GHz Band : 5150 MHz ~5250 MHz WLAN 5.3GHz Band : 5250 MHz ~5350 MHz WLAN 5.6GHz Band : 5470 MHz ~5725 MHz WLAN 5.8GHz Band : 5725 MHz ~5850 MHz Bluetooth: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz
EUT Stage	Pre-production

Accessories or 2nd Source or Key Part	Specification of Accessory				
	AC Adapter 1	Brand Name	EDAC	Model Name	EA10633B-190
		Power Rating	I/P:100 - 240 Vac, 2000 mA,O/P:19 Vdc, 3420 mA		
	Battery 1	Power Cord	1.8meter, non-shielded cable, with ferrite core		
		Brand Name	Jhih-Hong	Model Name	B5007
	Battery 2	Power Rating	7.4 Vdc, 10280 mAh	Type	Li-ion, Rechargeable
		Brand Name	Jhih-Hong	Model Name	B5036
		Power Rating	7.4 Vdc, 350 mAh	Type	Li-ion, Rechargeable



3 RF Exposure Limits

3.1 Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

3.2 Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. The exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.4	8.0	20.0

Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.08	1.6	4.0

Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any 1gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.



4 Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

4.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

4.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$


SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

5 System Description and Setup

The DASY system used for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



- A standard high precision 6-axis robot with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- An isotropic Field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurement.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. To use optical surface detection, a special version of the EOC is required. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer running WinXP or Win7 and the DASY5 software.
- Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.

5.1 E-Field Probe

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe (manufactured by SPEAG). The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. This probe has a built in optical surface detection system to prevent from collision with phantom.

5.2 E-Field Probe Specification

<EX3DV4 Probe>

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 μ W/g to 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (noise: typically $< 1 \mu$ W/g)
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm



5.3 E-Field Probe Calibration

Each probe needs to be calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy shall be evaluated and within $\pm 0.25\text{dB}$. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, and NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested. The calibration data can be referred to appendix C of this report.

5.4 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

The data acquisition electronics (DAE) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The input impedance of the DAE is 200 M Ω ; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



5.5 Robot

The SPEAG DASY system uses the high precision robots (DASY4: RX90BL; DASY5: TX90XL) type from Stäubli SA (France). The Stäubli robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability ± 0.035 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)




5.6 Measurement Server

The measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with CPU (DASY5: 400 MHz, Intel Celeron), chipdisk (DASY5: 128 MB), RAM (DASY5: 128 MB). The necessary circuits for communication with the DAE electronic box, as well as the 16 bit AD converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY I/O board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board. The measurement server performs all the real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operations.



5.7 Phantom

Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm (sagging: <1%)
Filling Volume	Approx. 30 liters
Dimensions	Major ellipse axis: 600 mm Minor axis: 400 mm



The ELI4 phantom is intended for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI4 is fully compatible with standard and all known tissue simulating liquids.



6 Measurement Procedures

The measurement procedures are as follows:

<Conducted power measurement>

- (a) For WWAN power measurement, use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in conducted connection with RF cable, at maximum power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- (b) Read the WWAN RF power level from the base station simulator.
- (c) For WLAN/BT power measurement, use engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band
- (d) Connect EUT RF port through RF cable to the power meter, and measure WLAN/BT output power

<SAR measurement>

- (a) Use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in radiated connection, and engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power, in the highest power channel.
- (b) Place the EUT in the positions as Appendix D demonstrates.
- (c) Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the DASY software.
- (d) Measure SAR results for the highest power channel on each testing position.
- (e) Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band
- (f) Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the reported SAR of highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- (a) Area scan
- (b) Power reference measurement
- (c) Zoom scan
- (d) Power drift measurement

6.1 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a "cube" measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- (a) Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
- (g) Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
- (b) Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
- (c) Interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- (d) Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- (e) Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g



6.2 Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurements are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. This distance cannot be smaller than the distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties.

6.3 Area Scan

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a fine measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY software can find the maximum found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB0) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE standard 1528 and IEC 62209 standards, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan), if only one zoom scan follows the area scan, then only the absolute maximum will be taken as reference. For cases where multiple maximums are detected, the number of zoom scans has to be increased accordingly.

Area scan parameters extracted from FCC KDB 865664 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz

	≤ 3 GHz	> 3 GHz
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface	5 ± 1 mm	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta \cdot \ln(2) \pm 0.5$ mm
Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location	$30^\circ \pm 1^\circ$	$20^\circ \pm 1^\circ$
	≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 15 mm $2 - 3$ GHz: ≤ 12 mm	$3 - 4$ GHz: ≤ 12 mm $4 - 6$ GHz: ≤ 10 mm
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: Δx_{Area} , Δy_{Area}	When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be \leq the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.	



6.4 Zoom Scan

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 gram and 10 gram of simulated tissue. The zoom scan measures points (refer to table below) within a cube whose base faces are centered on the maxima found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. When the measurement is done, the zoom scan evaluates the averaged SAR for 1 gram and 10 gram and displays these values next to the job's label.

Zoom scan parameters extracted from FCC KDB 865664 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz.

		≤ 3 GHz	> 3 GHz
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: Δx_{Zoom} , Δy_{Zoom}		≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 8 mm $2 - 3$ GHz: ≤ 5 mm*	$3 - 4$ GHz: ≤ 5 mm* $4 - 6$ GHz: ≤ 4 mm*
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	uniform grid: $\Delta z_{Zoom}(n)$ graded grid	≤ 5 mm	$3 - 4$ GHz: ≤ 4 mm $4 - 5$ GHz: ≤ 3 mm $5 - 6$ GHz: ≤ 2 mm
		$\Delta z_{Zoom}(1)$: between 1 st two points closest to phantom surface	≤ 4 mm
		$\Delta z_{Zoom}(n>1)$: between subsequent points	$\leq 1.5 \cdot \Delta z_{Zoom}(n-1)$
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z	≥ 30 mm	$3 - 4$ GHz: ≥ 28 mm $4 - 5$ GHz: ≥ 25 mm $5 - 6$ GHz: ≥ 22 mm

Note: δ is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see draft standard IEEE P1528-2011 for details.

* When zoom scan is required and the reported SAR from the *area scan based 1-g SAR estimation* procedures of KDB 447498 is ≤ 1.4 W/kg, ≤ 8 mm, ≤ 7 mm and ≤ 5 mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.

6.5 Volume Scan Procedures

The volume scan is used to assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the EUT remains in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing. When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD postprocessor can combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

6.6 Power Drift Monitoring

All SAR testing is under the EUT installed full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In DASY measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drifts more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.



7 Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1424	2015/2/20	2016/2/19
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	EX3DV4	3976	2015/2/26	2016/2/25
SPEAG	2450MHz System Validation Kit	D2450V2	929	2015/2/25	2016/2/24
SPEAG	5000MHz System Validation Kit	D5GHzV2	1171	2015/2/26	2016/2/25
SPEAG	Device Holder	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
Mini-Circuits	Power Amplifier	ZHL-42W+	15542	NCR	NCR
Mini-Circuits	Power Amplifier	ZVE-8G+	605601404	NCR	NCR
Agilent	ENA Series Network Analyzer	E5071C	MY46419201	2015/1/21	2016/1/20
Agilent	EXA Signal Analyzer	N9010A	MY54200432	2015/8/12	2016/8/11
Agilent	MXG-B RF Vector Signal Generator	N5182B	MY53050081	2015/3/30	2016/3/28
SPEAG	Dielectric Probe Kit	SM DAK 040CA	1146	NCR	NCR
Anritsu	Power Meter	ML2495A	1124009	2015/1/29	2016/1/28
Anritsu	Power sensor	MA2411B	1027452	2015/1/29	2016/1/28
Anritsu	Power Meter	ML2495A	0949003	2015/2/17	2016/2/16
Anritsu	Power sensor	MA2411B	0917017	2015/2/17	2016/2/16
SPEAG	Flat Phantom ELI5.0	QD OVA 002 AA	1238	NCR	NCR
Wisewind	Themometer	HTC1	HTC1	2014/12/25	2015/12/24
Wisewind	Themometer	YF-160A	130504609	2014/12/25	2015/12/24

General Note:

1. The calibration certificate of DASY can be referred to appendix C of this report.
2. The Insertion Loss calibration of Dual Directional Coupler and Attenuator were characterized via the network analyzer and compensated during system check.
3. In system check we need to monitor the level on the power meter, and adjust the power amplifier level to have precise power level to the dipole; the measured SAR will be normalized to 1W input power according to the ratio of 1W to the input power to the dipole. For system check, the calibration of the power amplifier is deemed not critically required for correct measurement; the power meter is critical and we do have calibration for it
4. Attenuator 1 insertion loss is calibrated by the network Analyzer, which the calibration is valid, before system check.
5. NCR: No calibration request.

8 System Verification

8.1 Tissue Simulating Liquids

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom with DASY, the phantom must be filled with around 25 liters of homogeneous body tissue simulating liquid. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm and 10 cm, which is shown in Fig. 8.1.&8.2.




Fig 8.1 Photo of Liquid Height for Body Frequency 2450MHz



Fig 8.2 Photo of Liquid Height for Body Frequency 5GHz



8.2 Tissue Verification

The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

Frequency (MHz)	Water (%)	Sugar (%)	Cellulose (%)	Salt (%)	Preventol (%)	DGBE (%)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (ϵ_r)
For Head								
2450	55.0	0	0	0	0	45.0	1.80	39.2

Simulating Liquid for 5GHz, Manufactured by SPEAG

Ingredients	(% by weight)
Water	64~78%
Mineral oil	11~18%
Emulsifiers	9~15%
Additives and Salt	2~3%

< Tissue Dielectric Parameter Check Results >


Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Temp. (°C)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (ϵ_r)	Conductivity Target (σ)	Permittivity Target (ϵ_r)	Delta (σ) (%)	Delta (ϵ_r) (%)	Limit (%)	Date
2450	22.7	2.005	51.281	1.95	52.7	2.82	-2.69	± 5	2015/10/19
2450	22.3	2.015	51.289	1.95	52.7	3.33	-2.68	± 5	2015/11/10
5200	22.5	5.38	48.152	5.3	49	1.51	-1.73	± 5	2015/10/16
5300	22.5	5.512	47.961	5.42	48.9	1.70	-1.92	± 5	2015/10/16
5600	22.5	5.901	47.419	5.77	48.5	2.27	-2.23	± 5	2015/10/16
5800	22.5	6.21	47.161	6	48.2	3.50	-2.16	± 5	2015/10/16

1. The dielectric properties of the tissue is within $\pm 5\%$ of the target values.
2. Liquid temperature during dielectric property measurement by more than ± 2 °C
3. The dielectric properties of the tissue-equivalent liquids shall be measured within 24 h before the SAR measurements.

8.3 System Performance Check Results

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SPEAG, the verification data should be within its specification of 10 %. Below table shows the target SAR and measured SAR after normalized to 1W input power. The table below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix A of this report.

Date	Frequency (MHz)	Input Power (mW)	Dipole S/N	Probe S/N	DAE S/N	Measured SAR (W/kg)	Targeted SAR (W/kg)	Normalized SAR (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
2015/10/19	2450	250mW	929	3976	1424	13.2	51.1	52.80	3.327
2015/11/10	2450	250mW	929	3976	1424	13	51.1	52.00	1.761
2015/10/16	5200	100mW	1171	3976	1424	7.08	71.4	70.80	-0.840
2015/10/16	5300	100mW	1171	3976	1424	7.52	72.5	75.20	3.724
2015/10/16	5600	100mW	1171	3976	1424	7.73	76	77.30	1.711
2015/10/16	5800	100mW	1171	3976	1424	7.69	73.1	76.90	5.198



System Performance Check Setup



Setup Photo



9 Maximum Tune-up Limit & Conducted RF Output Power (Unit: dBm)

<Bluetooth Conducted Power>

Bluetooth Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Mode	Power vs. Channel			
	v2.1-BR	v4.0-LE		
	BR-1Mbps	LE-1Mbps		
Frequency(MHz)	2480	2480		
Bluetooth	7.17	5.39	7.50	

<WLAN Conducted Power>

General Note:

Per FCC KDB 24822 7 D01, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.

WLAN 2.4GHz 802.11b Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
		1Mbps		
CH 1	2412	15.39	15.50	
CH 6	2437	18.22	18.50	
CH 11	2462	17.68	18.00	

WLAN 2.4GHz 802.11g Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
		6Mbps		
CH 1	2412	14.95	15.00	
CH 6	2437	17.61	18.00	
CH 11	2462	15.58	16.00	

WLAN 2.4GHz 802.11n_HT20 Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
		MCS0		
CH 1	2412	14.24	14.50	
CH 6	2437	17.51	18.00	
CH 11	2462	15.41	15.50	

WLAN 2.4GHz 802.11n_HT40 Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
		MCS0		
CH 3	2422	12.59	13.00	
CH 6	2437	13.05	13.50	
CH 9	2452	9.91	10.00	

<5G>

WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 36	5180	15.02	15.50	
CH 40	5200	14.93	15.00	
CH 48	5240	15.00	15.00	

WLAN 5GHz 802.11n_HT20 Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 36	5180	15.00	15.00	
CH 40	5200	14.95	15.00	
CH 48	5240	15.25	15.50	

WLAN 5GHz 802.11n_HT40 Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 38	5190	15.40	15.50	
CH 46	5230	15.59	16.00	

WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 52	5260	15.36	15.50	
CH 60	5300	14.68	15.00	
CH 64	5320	14.47	14.50	

WLAN 5GHz 802.11n_HT20 Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 52	5260	15.37	15.50	
CH 60	5300	15.29	15.50	
CH 64	5320	15.99	16.00	

WLAN 5GHz 802.11n_HT40 Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 54	5270	15.86	16.00	
CH 62	5310	17.03	17.50	



WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 100	5500	13.83	14.00	
CH 116	5580	14.76	15.00	
CH 140	5700	13.63	14.00	

WLAN 5GHz 802.11n_HT20 Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 100	5500	13.85	14.00	
CH 116	5580	14.98	15.00	
CH 140	5700	12.88	13.00	

WLAN 5GHz 802.11n_HT40 Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 102	5510	14.57	15.00	
CH 110	5550	15.57	16.00	
CH 134	5670	15.45	15.50	

WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 149	5745	14.62	15.00	
CH 157	5785	14.71	15.00	
CH 165	5825	14.73	15.00	

WLAN 5GHz 802.11n_HT20 Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 149	5745	13.46	13.50	
CH 157	5785	14.66	15.00	
CH 165	5825	14.63	15.00	

WLAN 5GHz 802.11n_HT40 Average Power (dBm)			Tune up Limit (dBm)	
Power vs. Channel				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate		
CH 151	5755	14.76	15.00	
CH 159	5795	14.82	15.00	



10 SAR Exclusion Calculations

The 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm
 $[(\text{max. pwr. of channel including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})]^{1/f(\text{GHz})} \leq 3.0$ for 1-g SAR,

Where

- $f(\text{GHz})$ is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
- Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
- The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

10.1 Standalone SAR Test Exclusion Considerations

The standalone SAR test exclusion procedure in KDB 447498) 4.3.1) is applied to determine the minimum test separation distance:

- The separation distance from the antenna to adjacent edge is ≤ 5 mm, distance of 5mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.
- The Separation distance from the antenna to adjacent edge is > 5 mm, the actual antenna to edge separation distance is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

10.2 SAR Test Exclusion Calculations for WLAN

<WLAN Antennas>

Antennas ≤ 50 mm to edges

Radio	Frq. (MHz)	Tune-upPower		Separation distances (mm)				Calculated Threshold(mW)					
		dBm	mW	Rear	Edge 1	Edge 2	Edge 3	Edge 4	Rear	Edge 1	Edge 2	Edge 3	Edge 4
802.11b	2437	18.22	66	6	40	131	209	19	12	77	252	402	37
802.11n_HT40	5230	15.59	36	6	40	131	209	19	8	52	172	274	25
802.11n_HT40	5310	17.03	50	6	40	131	209	19	8	52	171	272	25
802.11n_HT40	5550	15.57	36	6	40	131	209	19	8	51	167	266	24
802.11n_HT40	5795	14.82	30	6	40	131	209	19	7	50	163	260	24
Bluetooth	2480	7.17	5	6	40	131	209	19	11	76	250	398	36

Antennas > 50 mm edges

Radio	Frq. (MHz)	Tune-upPower		Separation distances (mm)				Calculated Threshold(mW)					
		dBm	mW	Rear	Edge 1	Edge 2	Edge 3	Edge 4	Rear	Edge 1	Edge 2	Edge 3	Edge 4
802.11b	2437	18.22	66	6	40	131	209	19	≤ 50 mm	≤ 50 mm	901.0	1686.0	≤ 50 mm
802.11n_HT40	5230	15.59	36	6	40	131	209	19	≤ 50 mm	≤ 50 mm	876.0	1656.0	≤ 50 mm
802.11n_HT40	5310	17.03	50	6	40	131	209	19	≤ 50 mm	≤ 50 mm	870.0	1655.0	≤ 50 mm
802.11n_HT40	5550	15.57	36	6	40	131	209	19	≤ 50 mm	≤ 50 mm	869.0	1654.0	≤ 50 mm
802.11n_HT40	5795	14.82	30	6	40	131	209	19	≤ 50 mm	≤ 50 mm	867.0	1652.0	≤ 50 mm
Bluetooth	2480	7.17	5	6	40	131	209	19	≤ 50 mm	≤ 50 mm	905.0	1685.0	≤ 50 mm




10.3 Required Test Configurations

Test Configurations	Frq. (MHz)	Rear Face	Edge1	Edge2	Edge3	Edge4
802.11b	2437	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
802.11n_HT40	2437	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
802.11n_HT40	5230	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
802.11n_HT40	5270	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
802.11n_HT40	5670	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
802.11n_HT40	5755	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Bluetooth	2480	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes

Note:

1. Yes= SAR is required.
2. No= SAR is not required.

11 Antenna Location



<EUT Rear View>

Antenna	Rear Face (mm)	Edge1 (mm)	Edge2 (mm)	Edge3 (mm)	Edge4 (mm)
Main+ Bluetooth_ANT	5.6	40.3	130.5	209	19
AUX_ANT	5.7	20.3	150.5	18	210



12 SAR Test Results

General Note:

1. Per KDB 447498, the reported SAR is the measured SAR value adjusted for maximum tune-up tolerance.
 - a. Tune-up scaling Factor = tune-up limit power (mW) / EUT RF power (mW), where tune-up limit is the maximum rated power among all production units.
 - b. For SAR testing of WLAN signal with non-100% duty cycle, the measured SAR is scaled-up by the duty cycle scaling factor which is equal to "1/(duty cycle)"
 - c. Reported SAR(W/kg)= Measured SAR(W/kg)*Tune-up Scaling Factor
2. Per KDB 447498 for each exposure position, testing of other required channels within the operating mode of a frequency band is not required when the *reported* 1-g or 10-g SAR for the mid-band or highest output power channel is:
 - $\leq 0.8 \text{ W/kg}$ or 2.0 W/kg , for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is $\leq 100 \text{ MHz}$
 - $\leq 0.6 \text{ W/kg}$ or 1.5 W/kg , for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is between 100 MHz and 200 MHz
 - $\leq 0.4 \text{ W/kg}$ or 1.0 W/kg , for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is $\geq 200 \text{ MHz}$
3. Per KDB 616217, the additional separation introduced by the contour against a flat phantom is $< 5 \text{ mm}$ and reported SAR is $< 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$, a curved or contoured back surface or edge SAR is not required, more detail information please refer to the setup photo.
4. Per KDB 248227D01 v02, the Wi-Fi transmission modes include all channel bandwidth, modulation and data rate combinations for the 802.11a/g/n/ac OFDM configurations in a standalone or aggregated frequency band. For 2.4 GHz, 802.11b DSSS and 802.11g/n OFDM configurations are considered separately.
5. Per KDB 248227D01 v02 5.1.1 Initial Test Position SAR Test Reduction Procedure.
6. When the WLAN transmission was verified using a spectrum analyzer.



12.1 Body SAR

<DTS WLAN 2.4G SAR>

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Gap (cm)	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Data Rate	Average Power (dBm)	Tune-up Limit (dBm)	Tune-up Scaling Factor	Measured 1g SAR (W/kg)	Reported 1g SAR (W/kg)	Note
1	802.11b	-	Rear Face	0	6	2437	1M	18.22	18.50	1.07	0.827	0.88	
2	802.11b	-	Edge1	0	6	2437	1M	18.22	18.50	1.07	0.02	0.021	
5	802.11b	-	Edge4	0	6	2437	1M	18.22	18.50	1.07	0.126	0.13	
6	802.11b	-	Rear Face	0	11	2462	1M	17.68	18.00	1.08	0.604	0.65	
35	802.11b	-	Rear Face	0	6	2437	1M	18.22	18.50	1.07	0.769	0.82	Repeated

**<NII WLAN 5G SAR>**

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Gap (cm)	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Data Rate	Average Power (dBm)	Tune-up Limit (dBm)	Tune-up Scaling Factor	Measured 1g SAR (W/kg)	Reported 1g SAR (W/kg)	Note
7	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	46	5230	MCS0	16.00	15.59	1.10	1.24	1.36	
8	802.11n	HT40	Edge1	0	46	5230	MCS0	16.00	15.59	1.10	0.00138	0.0015	
11	802.11n	HT40	Edge4	0	46	5230	MCS0	16.00	15.59	1.10	0.172	0.19	
27	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	38	5190	MCS0	16.00	15.59	1.02	1.16	1.27	
28	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	46	5230	MCS0	15.50	15.40	1.10	1.23	1.26	Repeated
12	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	62	5310	MCS0	17.03	17.50	1.11	1.26	1.40	
13	802.11n	HT40	Edge1	0	62	5310	MCS0	17.03	17.50	1.11	0.00502	0.0055	
16	802.11n	HT40	Edge4	0	62	5310	MCS0	17.03	17.50	1.11	0.279	0.31	
29	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	54	5270	MCS0	15.86	16.00	1.03	1.14	1.17	
30	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	62	5310	MCS0	17.03	17.50	1.11	1.24	1.38	Repeated
17	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	110	5550	MCS0	15.57	16.00	1.10	1.2	1.32	
18	802.11n	HT40	Edge1	0	110	5550	MCS0	15.57	16.00	1.10	0.0000472	0.00005	
21	802.11n	HT40	Edge4	0	110	5550	MCS0	15.57	16.00	1.10	0.169	0.19	
31	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	134	5670	MCS0	15.45	15.50	1.01	1.12	1.13	
32	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	110	5550	MCS0	15.57	16.00	1.10	1.19	1.31	Repeated
22	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	159	5795	MCS0	14.82	15.00	1.04	1.11	1.16	
23	802.11n	HT40	Edge1	0	159	5795	MCS0	14.82	15.00	1.04	0.014	0.0145	
26	802.11n	HT40	Edge4	0	159	5795	MCS0	14.82	15.00	1.04	0.15	0.156	
33	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	151	5755	MCS0	14.76	15.00	1.06	1.09	1.15	
34	802.11n	HT40	Rear Face	0	159	5795	MCS0	14.82	15.00	1.04	1	1.04	Repeated

< Bluetooth SAR>

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Gap (cm)	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Data Rate	Average Power (dBm)	Tune-up Limit (dBm)	Tune-up Scaling Factor	Measured 1g SAR (W/kg)	Reported 1g SAR (W/kg)
35	Bluetooth	-	Rear Face	0	78	2480	BR-1Mbps	7.17	7.50	1.08	NA	NA
36	Bluetooth	-	Edge1	0	78	2480	BR-1Mbps	7.17	7.50	1.08	0.000445	0.00048
37	Bluetooth	-	Edge4	0	78	2480	BR-1Mbps	7.17	7.50	1.08	0.0000741	0.00008



13 Uncertainty Assessment

The component of uncertainty may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience, and knowledge of the behavior and properties of relevant materials and instruments, manufacturer's specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in Table 14.1

Uncertainty Distributions	Normal	Rectangular	Triangular	U-Shape
Multi-plying Factor ^(a)	$1/k^{(b)}$	$1/\sqrt{3}$	$1/\sqrt{6}$	$1/\sqrt{2}$

(a) standard uncertainty is determined as the product of the multiplying factor and the estimated range of variations in the measured quantity

(b) k is the coverage factor

Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASY uncertainty Budget is shown in the following tables.



Error Description	Uncertainty Value ($\pm\%$)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Standard Uncertainty ($\pm\%$) (1g)
Measurement System					
Probe Calibration	6.0	Normal	1.0	1.0	6.0
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	1.9
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	3.9
Boundary effects	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.6
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	2.7
System Detection Limits	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.6
Modulation Response	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.4
Readout Electronics	0.3	Normal	1.0	1.0	0.3
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.5
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.5
RF Ambient Noise	3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.7
RF Ambient Reflections	3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.7
Probe Positioner	0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.2
Probe Positioning	2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.7
Max. SAR Eval.	2.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.2
Dipole Related					
Device Positioning	2.9	Normal	1.0	1.0	2.9
Device Holder	3.6	Normal	1.0	1.0	3.6
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	2.9
Power Scaling	0.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.0
Phantom and Tissue parameters					
Phantom Uncertainty	6.1	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	3.5
SAR correction	1.9	Normal	1.0	1.0	1.9
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	2.0	Normal	1.0	0.8	1.6
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	2.1	Normal	1.0	0.3	0.5
Temp. unc. - Conduct	3.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.8	1.5
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.2	0.1
Combined Standard Uncertainty					
Coverage Factor for 95 %					
Expanded Uncertainty					

Uncertainty Budget for frequency range 30 MHz to 3 GHz



Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (±%) (1g)
Measurement System					
Probe Calibration	6.6	Normal	1.0	1.0	6.6
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	1.9
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	3.9
Boundary effects	2.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.2
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	2.7
System Detection Limits	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.6
Modulation Response	2.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.4
Readout Electronics	0.3	Normal	1.0	1.0	0.3
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.5
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.5
RF Ambient Noise	3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.7
RF Ambient Reflections	3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.7
Probe Positioner	0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.5
Probe Positioning	6.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	3.9
Max. SAR Eval.	4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	2.3
Dipole Related					
Device Positioning	2.9	Normal	1.0	1.0	2.9
Device Holder	3.6	Normal	1.0	1.0	3.6
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	2.9
Power Scaling	0.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.0
Phantom and Tissue parameters					
Phantom Uncertainty	6.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	3.8
SAR correction	1.9	Normal	1.0	1.0	1.9
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	2.0	Normal	1.0	0.8	1.6
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	2.1	Normal	1.0	0.3	0.5
Temp. unc. - Conduct	3.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.8	1.5
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.2	0.1
Combined Standard Uncertainty					
Coverage Factor for 95 %					
Expanded Uncertainty					

Uncertainty Budget for frequency range 3 GHz to 6 GHz



Error Description	Uncertainty Value ($\pm\%$)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Standard Uncertainty ($\pm\%$) (1g)
Measurement System					
Probe Calibration	6.0	Normal	1.0	1.0	6.6
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	1.9
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	3.9
Boundary effects	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.6
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	2.7
System Detection Limits	1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.6
Modulation Response	0.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.0
Readout Electronics	0.3	Normal	1.0	1.0	0.3
Response Time	0.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.0
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.5
RF Ambient Noise	3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.7
RF Ambient Reflections	3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.7
Probe Positioner	0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	0.2
Probe Positioning	2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.7
Max. SAR Eval.	2.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.2
Dipole Related					
Device Of experimental dipole	5.5	Normal	1.0	1.0	5.5
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.2
Input Power & SAR Drift	3.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	2.0
Phantom and Tissue parameters					
Phantom Uncertainty	6.1	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	3.5
SAR correction	1.9	Normal	$\sqrt{3}$	1.0	1.1
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	2.0	Normal	1.0	0.8	1.6
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	2.1	Normal	1.0	0.2	0.5
Temp. unc. - Conduct	3.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.8	1.5
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.2	0.1
Combined Standard Uncertainty					
Coverage Factor for 95 %					
Expanded Uncertainty					

Uncertainty Budget for System Validation for the 0.3-6 GHz range



14 References

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, 'Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation', Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.
- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, 'Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields', Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commision, O_ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, 'Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments', IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph Kastle, and Thomas Schmid, 'Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision', IEICE Transactions on communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, 'Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz - 6GHz', Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electro technical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, 'Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies', in ICECOM '97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120{124.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, 'E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids', in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172{175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, 'The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz', IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865{1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, 'The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz', IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Receipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992..Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9.