


SK TECH CO., LTD.

Page 1 of 15

Certificate of Compliance

Test Report No.:	SKTFCE-030103-002		
NVLAP CODE :	200220-0		
Applicant:	Immanuel Electronics Co., Ltd.		
Applicant Address:	156-5, Ippuk-dong, Kwonsun-ku, Suwon-City Kyungki-do, Korea		
Product:	Fingerprint Identification Mouse		
FCC ID:	PUVEF3P3UF	Model No.:	EF3P3UF
Receipt No.:	SKTEU02-0112	Date of receipt:	Dec. 27, 2002
Date of Issue:	Jan. 03, 2003		
Testing location:	SK TECH CO., LTD. 820-2, Wolmoon-Ri, Wabu-Up, Namyangju-Si, Kyunggi-Do, Korea		
Test Standards:	ANSI C63.4 / 2001		
Rule Parts:	FCC part 15 Subpart B		
Equipment Class :	Class B Digital Device Peripheral		
Test Result:	The above mentioned product has been tested and passed.		

Prepared by: Y.H.Kang

Tested by: S.B.Kim/Engineer

Approved by: K.S.Kim/Manager
& Chief Engineer

Signature	Date	Signature	Date	Signature	Date
Other Aspects :					
Abbreviations :	· OK, Pass = passed · Fail = failed · N/A = not applicable				

- This test report is not permitted to copy partly without our permission.
- This test result is dependent on only equipment to be used.
- This test result is based on a single evaluation of one sample of the above mentioned.
- This test report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S Government.
- We certify that this test report has been based on the measurement standards that is traceable to the national or International standards.

NVLAP Lab. Code: 200220-0



» » Contents « «

Contents	2
List of Tables	2
List of Figures	2
1. General	3
2. Test Site	3
2.1 Location	3
2.2 List of Test and Measurement Instruments	4
2.3 Test Date	4
2.4 Test Environment	4
3. Description of the tested samples	5
3.1 Rating and Physical Characteristics	5
3.2 Submitted Documents	5
4. Measurement Conditions	6
4.1 Modes of Operation	6
4.2 List of Peripherals	6
4.3 Type of Used cables	6
4.4 Test Setup	6
4.5 Uncertainty	7
5. EMISSION Test	10
5.1 Conducted Emissions	10
5.2 Radiated Emissions	14

» List of Tables

Table 1	List of test and measurement Equipment	4
Table 2	Test Data, Conducted Emissions	13
Table 3	Test Data, Radiated Emissions	15

» List of Figures

Figure 1	Spectral Diagram, LINE-PE	11
Figure 2	Spectral Diagram, Neutral-PE	12

**SK TECH CO., LTD.***Page 3 of 15*

1. General

This equipment has been shown to be capable of compliance with the applicable technical standards and was tested in accordance with the measurement procedures as indicated in this report.

We attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by SK Tech Co., Ltd. and were made under Chief Engineer's supervision.

We assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

2. Test Site

SK TECH Co., Ltd.

2.1 Location

820-2, Wolmoon Ri, Wabu-Up, Namyangju-Si, Kyunggi-Do, Korea

The test site is in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 for general requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.

This laboratory is accredited by NVLAP for NVLAP Lab. Code : 200220-0 and DATech for DAR-Registration No.:TTI-P-G155/97-10



2.2 List of Test and Measurement Instruments

Table 1 : List of Test and Measurement Equipment

- Conducted Disturbance

Kind of Equipment	Type	S/N	Calibrated until
EMI Receiver	ESHS10	862970/019	10.2003
Artificial Mains Network	ESH2-Z5	834549/011	10.2003
EMI Receiver	ESHS10	835871/002	10.2003
Artificial Mains Network	ESH3-Z5	836679/018	10.2003
Conducted Cable	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Radiated Disturbance

Kind of Equipment	Type	S/N	Calibrated until
EMI Receiver	ESVS 10	825120/013	10.2003
EMI Receiver	ESVS 10	834468/008	10.2003
Spectrum Analyzer	R3361A	11730187	10.2003
Amplifier	8447F	3113A05153	10.2003
Log Periodic Antenna	UHALP9107	1819	10.2003
Biconical Antenna	BBA9106	91031626	10.2003
Antenna Mast	5907	N/A	N/A
Antenna & Turntable controller	5906	N/A	N/A
Amp & Receiver connection cables	N/A	N/A	N/A
50 Switcher	MP59B	6100214538	N/A

2.3 Test Date

Date of Application : Dec. 27, 2002

Date of Test : Dec. 30, 2002 ~ Jan. 02, 2003

2.4 Test Environment

See each test item's description.



3. Description of the tested samples

The EUT is the Fingerprint Identification Mouse.

3.1 Rating and Physical Characteristics

- System Requirements
 - Windows 98se/ME/2000/XP
 - CPU Pentium 133MHz or higher
 - 20MB or more Free Hard Disk Space
 - 16MB or higher RAM
 - USB Port
 - CD-ROM Drive

3.2 Submitted Documents

N/A



4. Measurement Conditions

Operating voltage of the EUT is supplied by the PC.

(The PC Input Voltage is AC 120, 60Hz)

4.1 Modes of Operation

The EUT was in the following operation mode during all testing;

EUT is connected the PC by USB cable. Check to continuous fingerpinter identification.

4.2 List of Peripherals

Description	Manufacturer	Model Name	Serial No.	FCC ID
PC	LG Electronics	W8S	202KI 10585	N/A
Monitor	Comtec	CT-151B	N/A	DoC
Keyboard	Jing Mold	LKB-0107	20101342	DoC
Printer	H.P	2225C	3132S00310	DSI6XU2225
Adaptor (for Printer)	E&Tel	SY-1010K	N/A	N/A
Adaptor (for Monitor)	Item Elect	F1650K	N/A	N/A

4.3 Type of Used Cables

Description	Length	Type of shield	Manufacturer	Remark
USB interface cable	1.0m	Non-Shield	None	For EUT
PC power cable	1.6m	Non-Shield	None	
PS/2 interface cable	1.0m	Non-Shield	None	For Keyboard
AC/DC power cable	1.6m	Non-Shield	None	For Monitor
VGA cable	1.0m	Shield	None	
AC/DC power cable	1.6m	Non-Shield	None	For Printer
Parallel interface cable	1.0m	Shield	None	For Printer

4.4 Test Setup

The test setup photographs showed the external supply connections and interfaces.



4.5 Uncertainty

1) Radiated disturbance

Horizontally polarized radiated disturbances from 30MHz to 1000MHz at a distance of 10m

Input quantity	Uncertainty of Xi		U(Xi) dB	Ci	Ciu(xi)	CISPR 16-4
	dB	Probability distribution function				
1) Receiver reading	±0.1	K=1	0.1	1	0.1	0.10
2) Attenuation: antenna-receiver	±0.18	K=2	0.09	1	0.09	0.05
3) Antenna factor	±1.5	K=2	0.75	1	0.75	1.00
RECEIVER CORRECTIONS:						
4) Sine wave voltage	±0.56	K=2	0.28	1	0.50	0.50
5) Pulse amplitude response	±1.5	Rectangular (1/3)	0.87	1	0.87	0.87
6) Pulse repetition rate response	±1.5	Rectangular (1/3)	0.87	1	0.87	0.87
7) Noise floor proximity	±0.5	K=2	0.25	1	0.25	0.25
8) AF frequency interpolation	±0.3	Rectangular (1/3)	0.17	1	0.17	0.17
9) Balance	±0.3	Rectangular (1/3)	0.17	1	0.17	0.53
10) AF height deviations	±0.5	Rectangular (1/3)	0.29	1	0.29	0.29
11) Phase center location	±0.3	Rectangular (1/3)	0.17	1	0.17	0.17
12) Directive difference	+1.0	Rectangular (1/3)	0.29	1	0.29	0.29
13) Cross polarization	±0.9	Rectangular (1/3)	0.52	1	0.52	0.52
14) Site corrections	±2.6	Rectangular (1/3)	1.5	1	1.5	1.63
15) Mismatch (ant-receiver)	±1.06	U-shaped (1/2)	0.75	1	0.75	0.67

Combined Uncertainty

$$U_c(x_i) = \sqrt{(1)^2 + (2)^2 + (3)^2 + (4)^2 + (5)^2 + (6)^2 + (7)^2 + (8)^2 + (9)^2 + (10)^2 + (11)^2 + (12)^2 + (13)^2 + (14)^2 + (15)^2} = 2.37$$

Expanded Uncertainty

$$U = k * U_c(x_i) = 2 * 2.37 = 4.74 \text{ dB}$$

(The coverage factor k =2 yields approximately a 95% level of confidence)


Vertically polarized radiated disturbances from 30MHz to 1000MHz at a distance of 10m

Input quantity	Uncertainty of Xi		U(Xi) dB	Ci	Ciu(xi)	CISPR 16-4
	dB	Probability distribution function				
1) Receiver reading	±0.1	K=1	0.1	1	0.1	0.10
2) Attenuation: antenna-receiver	±0.18	K=2	0.09	1	0.09	0.05
3) Antenna factor	±1.5	K=2	0.75	1	0.75	1.00
RECEIVER CORRECTIONS:						
4) Sine wave voltage	±0.56	K=2	0.28	1	0.50	0.50
5) Pulse amplitude response	±1.5	Rectangular (1/3)	0.87	1	0.87	0.87
6) Pulse repetition rate response	±1.5	Rectangular (1/3)	0.87	1	0.87	0.87
7) Noise floor proximity	±0.5	K=2	0.25	1	0.25	0.25
8) AF frequency interpolation	±0.3	Rectangular (1/3)	0.17	1	0.17	0.17
9) Balance	±0.9	Rectangular (1/3)	0.52	1	0.52	0.52
10) AF height deviations	±0.3	Rectangular (1/3)	0.17	1	0.17	0.17
11) phase center location	±0.3	Rectangular (1/3)	0.17	1	0.17	0.17
12) directive difference	+1.0	Rectangular (1/3)	0.29	1	0.29	0.29
13) cross polarization	±0.9	Rectangular (1/3)	0.52	1	0.52	0.52
14) site corrections	±2.6	Rectangular (1/3)	1.5	1	1.5	1.63
15) Mismatch (ant-receiver)	±1.06	U-shaped (1/2)	0.75	1	0.75	0.67

Combined Uncertainty

$$Uc(xi) = \sqrt{(1)^2 + (2)^2 + (3)^2 + (4)^2 + (5)^2 + (6)^2 + (7)^2 + (8)^2 + (9)^2 + (10)^2 + (11)^2 + (12)^2 + (13)^2 + (14)^2 + (15)^2} = 2.43$$

Expanded Uncertainty

$$U = k * Uc(xi) = 2 * 2.43 = 4.86 \text{dB}$$

(The coverage factor k =2 yields approximately a 95% level of confidence)



2) Conducted disturbance

Conducted disturbance from 150KHz to 30MHz using a 50W/50uH AMN

input quantity	Uncertainty of Xi		U(Xi) dB	Ci	Ciu(xi)	CISPR 16-4
	dB	Probability distribution function				
1) Receiver Readeing	±0.1	K =1	0.1	1	0.1	0.10
2) Attenuation:AMN-receiver	±0.36	Triangular (√6)	0.15	1	0.15	0.05
RECEIVER CORRECTIONS:						
3) Sine wave voltage	±0.5	K=2	0.25	1	0.25	0.50
4) Pulse amplitude response	±1.5	Rectangular (√3)	0.87	1	0.87	0.87
5) Pulse repetition rate response	±1.5	Rectangular (√3)	0.87	1	0.87	0.87
6) AMN voltage division factor	±0.07	K=2	0.04	1	0.04	0.1
7) Mismatch : AMN-receiver	±0.55	U-shaped (√2)	0.39	1	0.39	0.53
8) AMN impedance	±1.52	Triangular (√6)	0.62	1	0.62	1.08

- 1)~8) For numbered comments, refer to following articles

Combined Uncertainty

$$Uc(xi) = \sqrt{(1)^2 + (2)^2 + (3)^2 + (4)^2 + (5)^2 + (6)^2 + (7)^2 + (8)^2} = 1.47$$

Expanded uncertainty

$$U = k * Uc(xi) = 2 * 1.47 = 2.94 \text{dB}$$

The coverage factor k =2 yields approximately a 95% level of confidence

Refer

- 1) receiver' s resolution capacity
- 2) refer to the sub clause 11. of a calibration report
- 3) quoted from CISPR 16-4
- 4) refer to a calibration report
- 5) refer to CISPR 16-4 article 5. 7)
- 6) refer to a calibration report and a measured AMN impedance data



5. EMISSION Test

5.1 Conducted Emissions

Result:**Pass**

The line-conducted facility is located inside a 2.0M x 3.6M x 7.2M shielded enclosure.

The shielding effectiveness of the shielded room is in accordance with MIL-Std-285 or NSA 604-05.

A 1m x 1.5m wooden table 80cm. high is placed 40cm. away from the vertical wall and 1.5m away from the side wall of the shielded room. ROHDE & SCHWARZ Model ESH3-Z5 (10kHz-30MHz)

50ohm/50 uH Line-Impedance Stabilization Networks(LISNs) are bonded to the shielded room.

The EUT is powered from the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN and the support equipment is powered from the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN. Power to the LISNs are filtered by a high-current high-insertion loss Lindgren enclosures power line filters (100dB 14kHz-10GHz).

The purpose of the filter is to attenuate ambient signal interference and this filter is also bonded to the shielded enclosure.

All electrical cables are shielded by braided tinned copper zipper tubing with inner diameter of 1/2".

If the EUT is a DC-powered device, power will be derived from the source power supply it normally will be powered from and this supply lines will be connected to the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN.

All interconnecting cables more than 1 meter were shortened by non-inductive bundling (serpentine fashion) to a 1-meter length.

Sufficient time for the EUT, support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition. The RF output of the LISN was connected to the spectrum analyzer to determine the frequency producing the maximum EME from the EUT.

The spectrum was scanned from 450kHz to 30MHz with 100msec. sweep time.

The frequency producing the maximum level was reexamined using EMI/field Intensity Meter (ESHS 10) and Quasi-Peak adapter. The detector function was set to CISPR quasi-peak mode.

The bandwidth of the receiver was set to 10kHz. The EUT, support equipment, and interconnecting cables were arranged and manipulated to maximize each EME emission.

Each emission was maximized by: switching power lines; varying the mode of operation or resolution; clock or data exchange speed; if applicable; whichever determined the worst-case emission.

Photographs of the worst-case emission can be seen in photograph of conducted test.

Each EME reported was calibrated using self-calibrating mode.

**SK TECH CO., LTD.**

Page 11 of 15


Figure 1 : Spectral Diagram, LINE - PE**CONDUCTED DISTURBANCE**

30 Dec 2002 20:48

EUT: EF3P3UF
Manuf: IMMANUEL
Op Cond:
Operator: SBKIM
Test Spec:
Comment: LINE-PE

Result File: 1.dat : New Measurement

Scan Settings	(1 Range)			Receiver Settings				
Start	Stop	Step	IF BW	Detector	M-Time	Atten	Preamp	OpRge
150kHz	30MHz	10kHz	10kHz	PK	100msec	Auto	OFF	60dB
Final Measurement:								
	Detector:	X_QP						
	Meas Time:	1sec						
	Peaks:	0						
	Acc Margin:	35 dB						



**SK TECH CO., LTD.**

Page 12 of 15

Figure 2 : Spectral Diagram, NEUTRAL – PE


30 Dec 2002 21:01

CONDUCTED DISTURBANCE

EUT: EF3P3UF
Manuf: IMMANUEL
Op Cond:
Operator: SBKIM
Test Spec:
Comment: NEUTRAL-PE

Result File: n.dat : New Measurement

Scan Settings	(1 Range)			Receiver Settings				
Start	Stop	Step	IF BW	Detector	M-Time	Atten	Preamp	OpRge
150kHz	30MHz	10kHz	10kHz	PK	100msec	Auto	OFF	60dB
Final Measurement:		Detector:	X QP					
		Meas Time:	1sec					
		Peaks:	8					
		Acc Margin:	35 dB					



**Table 2: Test Data, Conducted Emissions****LINE-PE**

Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dB μ V)	C/F (dB)	CL (dB)	Limit (dB μ V)	Margin (dB)
0.150	41.66	0.09	0.0	66.00	24.25
0.390	38.60	0.09	0.1	58.06	19.27
0.490	35.31	0.09	0.1	56.17	20.67
0.780	41.19	0.13	0.1	56.00	14.58
4.090	38.27	0.23	0.4	56.00	17.10
5.360	33.77	0.23	0.4	60.00	25.60

NEUTRAL-PE

Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dB μ V)	C/F (dB)	CL (dB)	Limit (dB μ V)	Margin (dB)
0.150	42.22	0.13	0.0	66.00	23.65
0.390	37.74	0.15	0.1	58.06	20.07
0.490	34.59	0.15	0.1	56.17	21.33
0.780	41.23	0.15	0.1	56.00	14.52
3.870	40.66	0.24	0.4	56.00	14.70
3.990	42.12	0.24	0.4	56.00	13.24

NOTES:

1. All modes of operation were investigated and the worst-case emission are reported.
2. All other emissions are non-significant.
3. All readings are calibrated by self-mode in receiver.
4. Measurements using CISPR quasi-peak mode.
5. C/F = Correction Factor
6. C/L = Cable Loss

Margin Calculation

$$(6) \text{Margin} = (5)\text{Limit} - (4)\text{Actual}$$

$$[(4)\text{Actual} = (1)\text{Reading} + (2)\text{C/F} + (3)\text{C/L}]$$



5.2 Radiated Emissions

Result :**Pass**

Preliminary measurements were made indoors at 3 meter using broadband antennas, broadband amplifier, and spectrum analyzer to determine the frequency producing the maximum EME.

Appropriate precaution was taken to ensure that all EME from the EUT were maximized and investigated. The system configuration, clock speed, mode of operation or video resolution, turntable azimuth with respect to the antenna were noted for each frequency found.

The spectrum was scanned from 30 to 300 MHz using biconical antenna and from 300 to 1000 MHz using log-periodic antenna. Above 1GHz, linearly polarized double ridge horn antennas were used.

Final measurements were made outdoors at 3-meter test range using SCHWARZBECK dipole antennas. The test equipment was placed on a wooden table situated on a 4x4 meter area adjacent to the measurement area. Turntable was to protect from weather in the dome that made with FRP.

Sufficient time for the EUT, support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition. Each frequency found during pre-scan measurements was re-examined and investigated using EMI/Field Intensity Meter(ESVS 10) and Quasi-Peak Adapter.

The detector function was set to CISPR quasi-peak mode and the bandwidth of the receiver was set to 100kHz or 1MHz depending on the frequency or type of signal.

The half-wave dipole antenna was tuned to the frequency found during preliminary radiated measurements. The EUT, support equipment and interconnecting cables were re-configured to the set-up producing the maximum emission for the frequency and were placed on top of a 0.8-meter high non-metallic 1 x 1.5 meter table.

The EUT, support equipment, and interconnecting cables were re-arranged and manipulated to maximize each EME emission. The turntable containing the system was rotated; the antenna height was varied 1 to 4 meters and stopped at the azimuth or height producing the maximum emission.

Each emission was maximized by: varying the mode of operation or resolution; clock or data exchange speed, and/or support equipment, if applicable; and changing the polarity of the antenna, whichever determined the worst-case emission.

Photographs of the worst-case emission can be seen in photograph of radiated emission test.

Each EME reported was calibrated using self-calibrating mode.

**Table 3 : Test Data, Radiated Emissions**

Frequency (MHz)	Pol.	Height [m]	Angle [°]	(1) Reading (dB μ V)	(2) AFCL (dB/m)	(3) Actual (dB μ V/m)	(4) Limit (dB μ V/m)	(5) Margin (dB)
169.10	H	2.9	62	18.4	17.7	36.1	43.5	7.4
193.40	H	2.1	135	17.4	18.4	35.8	43.5	7.7
283.10	H	2.0	121	15.1	21.3	36.4	46.0	9.6
533.10	V	2.1	322	11.9	24.3	36.2	46.0	9.8
555.50	H	1.6	79	15.0	24.9	39.9	46.0	6.1
751.40	H	1.5	129	7.3	29.0	36.3	46.0	9.7

Table. Radiated Measurements at 3-meters

NOTES:

1. All modes of operation were investigated and the worst-case emission are reported.
2. All other emission are non-significant.
3. All readings are calibrated by self-mode in receiver.
4. Measurements using CISPR quasi-peak mode.
5. AFCL = Antenna factor and cable loss
6. H = Horizontal, V = Vertical Polarization

Margin Calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5)\text{Margin} &= (4)\text{Limit} - (3)\text{Actual} \\
 (3)\text{Actual} &= (1)\text{Reading} + (2)\text{AFCL}
 \end{aligned}$$