

CCP Co., Ltd.

Application
For
Certification
(FCC ID: PSN4351-TX49)

Transmitter, Model : 4351

We hereby certify that the sample of the above item is considered to comply with the requirements of FCC Part 15, Subpart C for Intentional Radiator, mention 47 CFR [24-5-2001]

0306578
WN/at
May 13, 2003

- The test results reported in this report shall refer only to the sample actually tested and ~~shall~~ refer or be deemed to refer to bulk from which such a sample may be said to have been obtained.
- This report shall not be reproduced except in full without ~~authorization~~ from Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Limited.
- The evaluation data of the report will be kept for 3 years from the date of issuance.

FCC ID : PSN4351-TX49

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

LIST OF EXHIBITS

INTRODUCTION

| | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|
| <i>EXHIBIT 1:</i> | General Description |
| <i>EXHIBIT 2:</i> | System Test Configuration |
| <i>EXHIBIT 3:</i> | Emission Results |
| <i>EXHIBIT 4:</i> | Equipment Photographs |
| <i>EXHIBIT 5:</i> | Product Labelling |
| <i>EXHIBIT 6:</i> | Technical Specifications |
| <i>EXHIBIT 7:</i> | Instruction Manual |
| <i>EXHIBIT 8:</i> | Miscellaneous Information |

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

MEASUREMENT/TECHNICAL REPORT

**CCP Co., Ltd. - MODEL: 4351
FCC ID: PSN4351-TX49**

May 13, 2003

This report concerns (check one:) Original Grant Class II Change

Equipment Type: Low Power Transmitter (example: computer, printer, modem, etc.)

Deferred grant requested per 47 CFR 0.457(d)(1)(ii)? Yes No

Company Name agrees to notify the Commission by: _____
date _____

of the intended date of announcement of the product so that the grant can be issued on that date.

Transition Rules Request per 15.37? Yes No

If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart C for intentional radiator - the new 47 CFR [24-5-2001 Edition] provision.

Report prepared by:

Wilbur Ng
Intertek Testing Services
2/F., Garment Center,
576, Castle Peak Road,
HONG KONG
Phone: 852-2173-8502
Fax: 852-2742-9149

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

Table of Contents

| | | |
|------------|--|----|
| 1.0 | <u>General Description</u> | 2 |
| 1.1 | Product Description | 2 |
| 1.2 | Related Submittal(s) Grants | 2 |
| 1.3 | Test Methodology | 3 |
| 1.4 | Test Facility | 3 |
| 2.0 | <u>System Test Configuration</u> | 5 |
| 2.1 | Justification | 5 |
| 2.2 | EUT Exercising Software | 5 |
| 2.3 | Special Accessories | 5 |
| 2.4 | Equipment Modification | 6 |
| 2.5 | Support Equipment List and Description | 6 |
| 3.0 | <u>Emission Results</u> | 8 |
| 3.1 | Field Strength Calculation | 9 |
| 3.1 | Field Strength Calculation (cont'd) | 10 |
| 3.2 | Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph | 11 |
| 3.3 | Radiated Emission Data | 12 |
| 4.0 | <u>Equipment Photographs</u> | 15 |
| 5.0 | <u>Product Labelling</u> | 17 |
| 6.0 | <u>Technical Specifications</u> | 19 |
| 7.0 | <u>Instruction Manual</u> | 21 |
| 8.0 | <u>Miscellaneous Information</u> | 23 |
| 8.1 | Measured Bandwidth | 24 |
| 8.2 | Discussion of Pulse Desensitization | 25 |
| 8.3 | Calculation of Average Factor | 26 |
| 8.4 | Emission Test Procedures | 27 |
| 8.4 | Emission Test Procedures (Cont'd) | 28 |

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

List of attached file

| Exhibit type | File Description | filename |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Test Report | Test Report | report.pdf |
| Operation Description | Technical Description | descri.pdf |
| Test Setup Photo | Radiated Emission | radiated photos.pdf |
| Test Report | Bandwidth Plot | bw.pdf |
| External Photo | External Photo | external photos.pdf |
| Internal Photo | Internal Photo | internal photos.pdf |
| Block Diagram | Block Diagram | block.pdf |
| Schematics | Circuit Diagram | circuit.pdf |
| ID Label/Location | Label Artwork and Location | label.pdf |
| User Manual | User Manual | manual.pdf |
| Test Report | Average Factor | af.pdf |

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

EXHIBIT 1

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

1.0 General Description

1.1 Product Description

The equipment under test (EUT) is a transmitter for a RC Car operating at 49.860 MHz, which is controlled by a crystal. The EUT is powered by a 9V battery. The EUT has a ON/OFF switch, four control buttons, two function buttons and a channel switch to select the coding for different receiver. The control buttons on the left control the forward/backward movement of the receiver. The control buttons on the right control the left/right wheeling of the receiver. The two function buttons control the gun shooting and LED/sound of the receiver. When the shooting button was pressed, the motor on the EUT will vibrate once.

The brief circuit description is saved with filename : descri.pdf

1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants

This is a single application for certification of a transmitter. The receiver for this transmitter is authorized by Certification procedure with FCC ID: PSN4351-RX49.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

1.3 Test Methodology

The radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4 (1992). All measurements were performed in Open Area Test Sites. Preliminary scans were performed in the Open Area Test Sites only to determine worst case modes. For each scan, the procedure for maximizing emissions in Appendices D and E were followed. All Radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the "**Justification Section**" of this Application.

1.4 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the emission data is located at Garment Centre, 576 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong. This test facility and site measurement data have been fully placed on file with the FCC.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

EXHIBIT 2

SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

2.0 System Test Configuration

2.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in ANSI C63.4 (1992.)

The EUT was powered by a new 9V battery during test.

For maximizing emissions, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Exhibit 3.0.

The unit was operated standalone and placed in the center of the turntable.

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was placed on a turn table, and the Antenna of EUT was fully extended, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes.

For simplicity of testing, the unit was wired to transmit continuously.

2.2 EUT Exercising Software

There was no special software to exercise the device.

2.3 Special Accessories

There are no special accessories necessary for compliance of this product.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

2.4 Equipment Modification

Any modifications installed previous to testing by CCP Co., Ltd. will be incorporated in each production model sold/leased in the United States.

No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services.


2.5 Support Equipment List and Description

This product was tested in a standalone configuration.

All the items listed under section 2.0 of this report are

Confirmed by:

*Wilbur Ng
Manager
Intertek Testing Services
Agent for CCP Co., Ltd.*

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Wilbur Ng", is placed within a rectangular box.

Signature

May 13, 2003 Date

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

EXHIBIT 3
EMISSION RESULTS

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

3.0 Emission Results

Data is included worst case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels). A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

3.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the reading on the Spectrum Analyzer to the factors associated with preamplifiers (if any), antennas, cables, pulse desensitization and average factors (when specified limit is in average and measurements are made with peak detectors). A sample calculation is included below.

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

where FS = Field Strength in dB μ V/m

RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in dB μ V

CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

AF = Antenna Factor in dB

AG = Amplifier Gain in dB

PD = Pulse Desensitization in dB

AV = Average Factor in -dB

In the radiated emission table which follows, the reading shown on the data table may reflect the preamplifier gain. An example of the calculations, where the reading does not reflect the preamplifier gain, follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

3.1 Field Strength Calculation (cont'd)

Example

Assume a receiver reading of 62.0 dB μ V is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB is added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB is subtracted. The pulse desensitization factor of the spectrum analyzer was 0 dB, and the resultant average factor was -10 dB. The net field strength for comparison to the appropriate emission limit is 32 dB μ V/m. This value in dB μ V/m was converted to its corresponding level in μ V/m.

$$RA = 62.0 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}$$

$$AF = 7.4 \text{ dB}$$

$$CF = 1.6 \text{ dB}$$

$$AG = 29.0 \text{ dB}$$

$$PD = 0 \text{ dB}$$

$$AV = -10 \text{ dB}$$

$$FS = 62 + 7.4 + 1.6 - 29 + 0 + (-10) = 32 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m}$$

$$\text{Level in mV/m} = \text{Common Antilogarithm} [(32 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m})/20] = 39.8 \mu\text{V/m}$$

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph

Worst Case Radiated Emission

99.683 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photograph is saved with filename: radiated photos.pdf

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

3.3 Radiated Emission Data

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgement: Passed by 11.0 dB

TEST PERSONNEL:



Signature

Ivan Y. M. Wong, Compliance Engineer
Typed/Printed Name

May 13, 2003

Date

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

Company: CCP Co., Ltd.

Date of Test: May 5, 2003

Model: 4351

Table 1

Radiated Emissions

| Polarization | Frequency (MHz) | Reading (dB μ V) | Antenna Factor (dB) | Pre-Amp Gain (dB) | Average Factor (-dB) | Net at 3m (dB μ V/m) | Limit at 3m (dB μ V/m) | Margin (dB) |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| V | 49.860 | 78.8 | 11.0 | 16.0 | 20 | 53.8 | 80.0 | -26.2 |
| H | 99.683 | 37.5 | 11.0 | 16.0 | -- | 32.5 | 43.5 | -11.0 |
| H | 149.558 | 32.7 | 13.0 | 16.0 | -- | 29.7 | 43.5 | -13.8 |
| H | 199.414 | 30.4 | 16.0 | 16.0 | -- | 30.4 | 43.5 | -13.1 |
| H | 249.315 | 27.0 | 20.0 | 16.0 | -- | 31.0 | 46.0 | -15.0 |
| H | 299.189 | 25.5 | 22.0 | 16.0 | -- | 31.5 | 46.0 | -14.5 |

Notes: 1. Peak Detector Data unless otherwise stated.

2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3 meter distance were measured at 0.3 meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3 meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3 meter.
3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.
4. Horn antenna are used for the emission over 1000MHz.

*Emission within the restricted band meets the requirement of part 15.205. The corresponding limit as per 15.209 is based on Quasi peak detector data for frequencies below 1000 MHz and peak detector data with average factor for frequencies over 1000 MHz.

Test Engineer: Ivan Y. M. Wong

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

EXHIBIT 4

EQUIPMENT PHOTOGRAPHS

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

4.0 Equipment Photographs

For electronic filing, the photographs are saved with filename:
external photos.pdf and internal photos.pdf

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

EXHIBIT 5

PRODUCT LABELLING

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

5.0 Product Labelling

For electronic filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: label.pdf

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

EXHIBIT 6

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

6.0 Technical Specifications

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematics are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

EXHIBIT 7

INSTRUCTION MANUAL

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

7.0 Instruction Manual

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

EXHIBIT 8

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

8.0 Miscellaneous Information

This miscellaneous information includes details of the measured bandwidth, the test procedure and calculation of factors such as pulse desensitization and averaging factor.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

8.1 Measured Bandwidth

The plot on saved in bw.pdf shows the fundamental emission is confined in the specified band. The field strength of any emission appearing between the band edges and up to 10kHz above and below the band edges (49.81 and 49.91 MHz) is at least 26 dB below the carrier level. And at 49.81 & 49.91 MHz, there are at least 37 dB below the carrier level. It meets requirement of Section 15.235(b).

Figure 8.1 Bandwidth

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

8.2 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization

The determination of pulse desensitivity was made in accordance with Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2, *Spectrum Analysis ... Pulsed RF*.

The effective period (T_{eff}) was approximately 150 μ s for a digital "1" bit, as shown in the plots of Exhibit 8.3. With a resolution bandwidth (3 dB) of 100 kHz, the pulse desensitivity factor was 0 dB.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

8.3 Calculation of Average Factor

Averaging factor in dB = $20 \log_{10} (\text{duty cycle})$

The specification for output field strengths in accordance with the FCC rules specify measurements with an average detector. During testing, a spectrum analyzer incorporating a peak detector was used. Therefore, a reduction factor can be applied to the resultant peak signal level and compared to the limit for measurement instrumentation incorporating an average detector.

The time period over which the duty cycle is measured is 100 milliseconds, or the repetition cycle, whichever is a shorter time frame. The worst case (highest percentage on) duty cycle is used for the calculation. The duty cycle is measured by placing the spectrum analyzer in zero scan (receiver mode) and linear mode at maximum bandwidth (3 MHz at 3 dB down) and viewing the resulting time domain signal output from the analyzer on a Tektronix oscilloscope. The oscilloscope is used because of its superior time base and triggering facilities.

A plot of the worst-case duty cycle as detected in this manner are saved with filename: af.pdf

The duty cycle is simply the on-time divided by the period:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{The duration of one cycle} &= 81.25 \text{ ms} \\ \text{Effective period of the cycle} &= 650 \mu\text{s} \times 1 + 400 \mu\text{s} \times 11 + 150 \mu\text{s} \times 13 \\ &= 7.0 \text{ ms} \end{aligned}$$

$$DC = 7.0 \text{ ms} / 81.25 \text{ ms} = 0.08615 \text{ or } 8.615\%$$

Therefore, the averaging factor is found by $20 \log_{10} 0.08615 = 21.3 \text{ dB}$

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

8.4 Emissions Test Procedures

The following is a description of the test procedure used by Intertek Testing Services in the measurements of transmitters operating under Part 15, Subpart C rules.

The test set-up and procedures described below are designed to meet the requirements of ANSI C63.4 - 1992.

The transmitting equipment under test (EUT) is placed on a wooden turntable which is four feet in diameter and approximately one meter in height above the ground plane. The antenna of EUT was fully extended. During the radiated emissions test, the turntable is rotated and any cables leaving the EUT are manipulated to find the configuration resulting in maximum emissions. The wood is adjusted through all three orthogonal axes to obtain maximum emission levels. The antenna height and polarization are varied during the testing to search for maximum signal levels.

Detector function for radiated emissions is in peak mode. Average readings, when required, are taken by measuring the duty cycle of the equipment under test and subtracting the corresponding amount in dB from the measured peak readings. A detailed description for the calculation of the average factor can be found in Exhibit 8.3.

The frequency range scanned is from the lowest radio frequency signal generated in the device which is greater than 9 kHz to the tenth harmonic of the highest fundamental frequency or 40 GHz, whichever is lower. For line conducted emissions, the range scanned is 450 kHz to 30 MHz.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

8.4 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)

The EUT is warmed up for 15 minutes prior to the test.

AC power to the unit is varied from 85% to 115% nominal and variation in the fundamental emission field strength is recorded. If battery powered, a new, fully charged battery is used.

Conducted measurements are made as described in ANSI C63.4 - 1992.

The IF bandwidth used for measurement of radiated signal strength was 100 kHz or greater below 1000 MHz. Where pulsed transmissions of short enough pulse duration warrant, a greater bandwidth is selected according to the recommendations of Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2. A discussion of whether pulse desensitivity is applicable to this unit is included in this report (See Exhibit 8.2). Above 1000 MHz, a resolution bandwidth of 1 MHz is used.

Transmitter measurements are normally conducted at a measurement distance of three meters. However, to assure low enough noise floor in the restricted bands and above 1 GHz, signals are acquired at a distance of one meter or less. All measurements are extrapolated to three meters using inverse scaling, but those measurements taken at a closer distance are so marked.

When determining the test result, the Measurement Uncertainty of the test has been considered.