

# **Electromagnetic Emission**

# FCC MEASUREMENT REPORT

# CERTIFICATION OF FCC PART15 COMPLIANCE

PRODUCT : PDP Monitor

MODEL/TYPE NO : DPX-4210, DPX-4200, DPX-4230,

DPX-4240,DPX-4250,DPX-4260

FCC ID : PQZDPX-4210 TRADE NAME : Digital Device

**Digital Device Inc.** 

APPLICANT 4<sup>th</sup> FL. Hanwha B/D 78-1, Karakbon-Gong, Songpa-Gu,

Seoul, 138-169, Korea

Attn.: Jin, So-Yeon / Director

FCC CLASSIFICATION : JBP : Part 15 Class B Computing Device Peripheral

FCC RULE PART(S) : FCC Part 15 Subpart B

FCC PROCEDURE : Certification

DATES OF TEST : November 24, 2004

DATES OF ISSUE : November 30, 2004

TEST REPORT No. : BWS-04-EF-0069

TEST LAB. BWS Tech Inc. (Registration No. : 553281)

This PDP Monitor has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in ANSI C63.4-2000 at the BWS TECH/EMC Test Laboratory and has been shown to be complied with the electromagnetic emission limits specified in FCC Rule Part15 Subpart B Section15.107 and 15.109.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurement herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. The results of testing in this report apply to the product/system which was tested only. Other similar equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

November 30, 2004

(Date)

**BWS TECH Inc.** 

www.bws.co.kr

294-9, Jungdae-Dong, Kwangju-Si, Kyunggi-Do, 464-080, Korea TEL: +82 31 762 0124 FAX: +82 31 762 0126

Taehyun Nam Chief Engineer Laboratory Division



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Pages
1. General Information	3
2. Description of Test Facility	4
3. Product Information	5
4. Description of Tests	6~7
5. Test Condition	8~9
6. Test Results	10~14
7. Sample Calculation and Other Information	15
8. Test Equipment List	16

Appendix 1. Test Setup Photos

Appendix 2. FCC ID Label and location

Appendix 3. External Photos of EUT

Appendix 4. Internal Photos of EUT

Appendix 5. Block Diagram

Appendix 6. User Manual



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

# **FCC TEST REPORT**

**Scope** - Measurement and determination of electromagnetic emission(EME) of radio frequency devices including intentional radiators and/or unintentional radiators for compliance with the technical rules and regulations of the U.S Federal Communications Commission(FCC).

#### 1. General Information

#### **Applicant Information**

Company Name : Digital Device Inc.

Company Address: 4<sup>th</sup> FL. Hanwha B/D 78-1, Karakbon-Gong, Songpa-Gu, Seoul,

138-169, Korea

Phone/Fax : Phone : +82 2 431 8471 Fax : +82 2 431 8472

#### Manufacturer Information

Company Name : Digital Device Inc.

Company Address: 1358 Jangwon Industrial Zone, Juksan-Myun, Ansugn-Shi,

Kyonggi-Do, 456-890, Korea

Phone/Fax : Phone : +82 31 675 8471 Fax : +82 31 675 8461

• EUT Type : PDP Monitor

Model Number: DPX-4210,DPX-4200,DPX-4220,DPX-4230, DPX-4240,DPX-4250,DPX-4260

• FCC Identifier : PQZDPX-4210

• S/N : Prototype

• Freq. Range : N/A

FCC Rule Part(s): Part 15 Subpart BTest Procedure: ANSI C63.4-2000

• FCC Classification : JBP : Part 15 Class B Computing Device Peripheral

• Dates of Tests: November 24,2004

Place of Tests:BWS TECH Inc.

EMC Testing Lab (FCC Registration Number: 553281)

294-9, Jungdae-Dong, Kwangju-Si,

Kyunggi-Do, 464-080, Korea

TEL: +82 31 762 0124 FAX: +82 31 762 0126

• Test Report No. : BWS-04-EF-0069



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

# 2. Description of Test Facility

The measurement for radiated emission test were practiced at the open area test site of BWS TECH Inc. Measurement for conducted emission test were practiced at the semi EMC Anechoic Chamber test site of BWS TECH Inc. facility located at 294-9, Jungdae-Dong, Kwangju-Si, Kyunggi-Do, Korea. The site is constructed in conformance with the requirements of the ANSI C63.4-2000 and CISPR Publication 16. The BWS TECH measurement facility has been filed to the Commission with the FCC for 3 and 10 meter site configurations. Detailed description of test facility was found to be in compliance with the requirements of Section 2.948 FCC Rules according to the ANSI C63.4-2000 and registered to the Federal Communications Commission(Registration Number: 553281).

The measurement procedure described in American National Standard for Method of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emission from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the Range of 9kHz to 40GHz (ANSI C.63.4-2000) was used in determining radiated and conducted emissions from the Digital Device Inc. PDP Monitor Model: DPX-4210.



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

#### 3. Product Information

#### 3.1 Equipment Description

The Equipment Under Test(EUT) is the Digital Device Inc. PDP Monitor model : DPX-4210 (FCC ID : PQZDPX-4210). This PDP Monitor is powered by the 100-240 VAC.

#### 3.2 General Specification

- Chassis Type	: Metal
- List of Each OSC. or X-Tal. Freq.(>=1MHz)	: X-Tal:18.5625 MHz
- Operation Condition	: Temp, 0 ~ 40 , Humidity 20 ~ 80 %
- Power Source	: AC100 ~ 240 VAC, 50/60 Hz
- Power Consumption	: Typ. < 340 Watts
- Interface	: DVI, VIDEO, AV, S-VIDEO, SCART, RS-232
- Display Mode	: Normal, Zoom out
- Screen Size	: 1080(H) x 670(W) x 99.5(D) mm(Diagonal)
- Screen rate	: 16:9
- Brightness	: 1000 cd/m²
- Color	: 16,770,000 Colors
- Size	: 1080(W) x 700(H) x 99.5(D)mm (without stand)
- Weight	: 33 Kg (without stand)
- RF Input a formula	: NTSC, PAL, SECAM
- Video Input a formula	: NTSC, PAL, SECAM, Sub Standard

#### 3.3 Variations covered by this report

Model Differences: This equipment is consists of five models as follow:

- The model DPX-4210 is basic model that was tested.
- The other models, DPX-4200, DPX-4220, DPX-4230, DPX-4240, DPX-4250, DPX-4260 are identical to basic model DPX-4210 except for model designation and the configuration of front bezel enclosure (mask).

Technical Deviation: N/A

#### 3.4 Additional Information Related to Testing

N/A



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

# 4. Description of Tests

#### 4.1 Conducted Emission Measurement

Conducted emissions measurements were made in accordance with section 11, "Measurement of Information Technology Equipment" of ANSI C63.4-2000. The measurement were performed over the frequency range of 0.15MHz to 30MHz using a 50 /50uH LISN as the input transducer to a Spectrum Analyzer or a Field Intensity Meter. The measurements were made with the detector set for "Peak" amplitude within an bandwidth of 10KHz or for "quasi-peak" within a bandwidth of 9KHz.

The line-conducted emission test is conducted inside a shielded anechoic chamber room with 1m x 1.5m x 0.8m wooden table which is placed 40cm away from the vertical wall and 1.5m away from the side wall of the chamber room. Two LISNs are bonded to the shielded room. The EUT is powered from the PMM LISN and the support equipment is powered from the another Koritsu LISN. Power to the LISNs is filtered by a noise cut power line filters. All electrical cables are shielded by braided tinned steel tubing with inner φ 1.2cm. If the EUT is a DC-powered device, power will be derived from the source power supply it normally will be powered from and these supply lines will be connected to the EMCO LISN. All interconnecting cables more than 1m were shortened by non-inductive bundling(serprntine fashion) to a 1m length. Sufficient time for the EUT, support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition. The RF output of the LISN was connected to the R3261A Spectrum Analyzer to determine the frequency producing the max. emission from the EUT. The frequency producing the max. level was reexamined using the detector function set to the CISPR Quasi-Peak mode by manual, after scanned by automatic Peak mode from 0.15 to 30MHz. The bandwidth of the Spectrum Analyzer was set to 9kHz. The EUT, support equipment, and interconnecting cables were arranged and manipulated to maximize each emission. Each emission was maximized by switching power lines, varying the mode of operation or resolution, clock or data exchange speed, if applicable, whichever determined the worst-case emission. Each emission reported was calibrated using self-calibrating mode.

Photographs of the worst-case emission can be seen in photographs of conducted emission test setup.



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

#### 4.2 Radiated Emission Measurement

Preliminary measurements were made at indoors 3 meter semi EMC Anechoic Chamber using broadband antennas, broadband amplifier, and spectrum analyzer to determine the emission frequencies producing the maximum EME.

Appropriate precaution was taken to ensure that all emissions from the EUT were maximized and investigated. The system configuration, mode of operation, turntable azimuth with respect to the antenna were noted for each frequency found. The spectrum was scanned from 30 to 1000MHz using biconilog antenna and above 1000MHz, linearly polarized double ridge horn antennas were used. Above 1GHz, linearly polarized double ridge horn antennas were used. The measurements were performed with three frequencies which were selected as bottom, middle and top frequency in the operating band. Emission level from the EUT with various configurations were examined on the spectrum analyzer connected with the RF amplifier and plotted graphically.

Final measurements were made outdoors open site at 3-meter test range using biconical and logperiodic antenna. The output from the antenna was connected, via a preselector or a preamplifier, to the input of the EMI Measuring Receiver and Spectrum analyzer(for above 1GHz). The detector function was set to the quasi-peak or peak mode as appropriate. The measurement bandwidth on the Field strength receiver was set to at least 120kHz (1MHz for measurement above 1GHz), with all post-detector filtering no less than 10 times the measurement bandwidth. Sufficient time for the EUT, support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition.

Each frequency found during preliminary measurement was examined and investigated as the same set up and configuration which produced the maximum emission The EUT , support equipment and interconnecting cables were configured to the set-up producing the maximum emission for the frequency and were placed on top of a 0.8-meter high non-metallic 1m x 1.5 meter table. The turntable containing the system was rotated and the antenna height was varied 1 to 4 meters and stopped at the azimuth or height producing the maximum emission.

Each emission was maximized by varying the mode of operating frequencies of the EUT. The system was tested in all the three orthogonal planes and changing the polarity of the antenna. The worst case emissions are recorded in the data tables. If necessary, the radiated emission measurement could be performed at a closer distance to ensure higher accuracy and the results were extrapolated to the specified distance using an inverse linear distance extrapolation factor(20dB/decade) as per section 15.31(f).

Photographs of the worst-case emission test setup can be seen in Appendix A.



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

## 5. Test Condition

#### 5.1 Test Configuration

The device was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). During the tests, the EUT and the supported equipments were installed to meet FCC requirement and operated in a manner which tends to maximize its emission level in a typical application.


#### **Radiated Emission Test**

Preliminary radiated emission tests were conducted using the procedure in ANSI C63.4/2000 Clause 8.3.1.1 to determine the worst operating condition. Final radiated emission tests were conducted at 10 meter open field test site.

#### 5.2 EUT operation

EUT was tested according to the video resolution specifications given by the manufacturer, and reported the worst emissions.

#### 5.3 Test System layout on EUT and peripherals



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

# 5.4 Peripherals / Support Equipment Used

Following peripheral devices and interface cables were connected during the measurement:

#### Type of Peripheral Equipment Used:

Description	Model Name	Serial No.	Manufacturer	FCC ID
EUT	DPX-4210	N/A	Digital Device Inc.	PQZDPX-4210
Computer	d530CMT	CNG416075Z	HP	DOC
Keyboard	KB-9963	B28AC0NGANB1W H	COMPAQ	DOC
Mouse	Wheel Mouse 3.0 PS/2 COMPATIBLE	3211626-2	Microsoft	DOC
DVD Player	LC-93	N/A	LG Electronics, Co., Ltd.	DOC

#### Type of Cables Used:

Device from	Device to	Type of Cable	Length(m)	Type of shield
Computer	Keyboard	PS/2	2.1	Unshielded
Computer	Mouse	PS/2	1.8	Unshielded
Computer	Power Socket	INLET	1.5	Unshielded
EUT	Computer	VIDEO	1.8	Unshielded
EUT	Computer	DVI	1.8	Unshielded
EUT	Computer	S-VIDEO	1.5	Unshielded
EUT	DVD Player	A/V	1.8	Unshielded
EUT	DVD Player	SCART	1.8	Unshielded
EUT	ANT	NTSC	20.0	Unshielded
EUT	Power Socket	INLET	1.5	Unshielded



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

#### 6. TEST RESULTS

### 6.1 Summary of Test Results

The measurement results were obtained with the EUT tested in the conditions described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum emission of the EUT are reported.

FCC Rule Parts	Measurement Required	Result
15.107(a)	Conducted Emission	Passed by - 3.16 dB
15.109(g)	Radiated Emissions	Passed by - 5.80 dB

The data collected shows that the Digital Device Inc. PDP Monitor, DPX-4210 complies with technical requirements of the Part 15.107 and 15.109 of the FCC Rules.

#### Note: Modification to EUT

The device tested is not modified anything, mechanical or circuits to improve EMI status during a measurement. No EMI suppression device(s) was added and/or modified during testing.



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

#### 6.2 Conducted Emissions

EUT : PDP Monitor Model: DPX-4210 (SN:Prototype)
Limit apply to : FCC Part15 Subpart B Section 15.107(a)

Test Date : November 24,2004 Operating Condition : "H" phrase display mode

Environment Condition : Humidity Level : 52 %RH, Temperature : 26

Result : Passed by - 3.16 dB

The following table shows the highest levels of conducted emissions on both phase of Hot and Neutral line.

**Tabulated Conducted Emission Test Data** 

Detector Mode; CISPR Quasi Peak mode (6dB Bandwidth: 9kHz)

_	Corre	ecton		Qı	uasi-Peak	Mode	Av	erage Mo	de	
Freq [MHz] AMN	AMN	C.L	CI	Phase [H/N]	Limit	Reading	Emission Level	Limit	Reading	Emission Level
[11112]	AIVIIN	0.1	[11/14]	[dBuV]	[dBuV]	[dBuV]	[dBuV]	[dBuV]	[dBuV]	
0.150	0.06	0.03	Н	66.00	54.70	54.79	56.00			
0.218	0.07	0.10	Н	64.10	48.80	48.97	54.10			
0.251	0.07	0.16	Н	63.10	39.00	39.23	53.10			
0.296	0.07	0.16	Н	61.90	31.30	31.53	51.90			
0.367	0.08	0.24	Н	59.90	32.70	33.02	49.90			
0.434	0.08	0.26	Ν	58.00	40.50	40.84	48.00			
0.870	0.06	0.33	Н		42.20	42.59		26.90	27.29	
1.304	0.03	0.45	Ν	56.00	41.90	42.38	46.00	23.60	24.08	
1.739	0.03	0.51	Ν		52.30	52.84		35.60	36.14	
2.391	0.03	0.57	Ν	30.00	45.60	46.20		27.30	27.90	
3.479	0.03	0.68	Ν		43.40	44.11		27.30	28.01	
4.130	0.03	0.78	N		41.80	42.61		26.10	26.91	
6.740	0.04	0.95	Ν		51.10	52.09		37.30	38.29	
9.920	0.08	1.03	Ν		49.70	50.81		35.70	36.81	
11.660	0.04	1.12	Н	60.00	43.90	45.06	50.00	29.40	30.56	
14.050	0.07	1.22	Н	00.00	49.20	50.49	30.00	49.20	50.49	
17.330	0.07	1.26	N		48.60	49.93		34.70	36.03	
19.940	0.05	1.35	Н		48.10	49.50		34.00	35.40	

#### NOTES:

- 1. H : Hot Line , N :Neutral Line
- 2. Emission Level = Reading + Correction Factor
- 3. Measurements were performed at the AC Power Inlet of the host PC with the EUT plugged in the frequency band of 150kHz ~30MHz

Tested by Park, Eun-Seock



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

#### 6.3 Radiated Emissions

EUT : PDP Monitor DPX-4210 (SN:Prototype)
Limit apply to : FCC Part15 Subpart B Section 15.109(g)

Test Date : November 24,2004

Operating Condition : "H" phrase display mode

Environment Condition : Humidity Level : 54 %RH, Temperature : 20

Result : Passed by - 5.80 dB

#### **Radiated Emission Test Data**

The following table shows the highest levels of radiated emissions on both polarization of horizontal and vertical.

Detector mode: CISPR Quasi-Peak mode (6dB Bandwidth: 120 kHz)

Measurement Distance: 3 meters

Frequency [MHz]	Reading [dB <i>µ</i> V]	Polarizatio n [*H/**V]	Ant.Factor [dB]	Cable Loss [dB]	Limit [dB <i>µ</i> V/m]	Emission Level [dB $\mu$ V/m]	Margin 04 [dB]
34.45	14.76	V	16.72	1.32	40.00	32.80	-7.20
55.20	19.61	V	9.61	1.68	40.00	30.90	-9.10
78.80	22.93	Н	6.72	1.95	40.00	31.60	-8.40
98.90	21.61	Н	10.40	2.20	43.50	34.20	-9.30
148.60	17.21	Н	14.90	2.69	43.50	34.80	-8.70
190.85	15.90	V	16.33	3.07	43.50	35.30	-8.20
236.00	16.02	Н	16.88	3.41	46.00	36.30	-9.70
345.80	16.12	Н	13.95	4.13	46.00	34.20	-11.80
400.00	19.11	Н	14.44	4.55	46.00	38.10	-7.90
436.03	15.74	V	16.05	4.71	46.00	36.50	-9.50
585.10	14.58	V	20.11	5.51	46.00	40.20	-5.80
975.14	6.94	V	25.13	7.53	53.97	39.60	-14.37


#### NOTES:

- 1. \* H : Horizontal polarization , \*\* V : Vertical polarization
- 2. Emission Level = Reading + Antenna factor + Cable loss
- 3. Margin value = Limit Emission Level
- 4. All other emissions not reported were more than 25dB below the permitted limit.

Tested by Park, Eun-Seock


Date of Test: November 24, 2004

#### **Plots of Conducted Emission Test**





Date of Test: November 24, 2004



Date of Test: November 24, 2004

# 7. Sample Calculation and Other Information

#### 7.1 Sample Calculations

$$dB\mu V = 20 log 10 (\mu V/m)$$
  
 $\mu V = 10^{(dB\mu V/20)}$ 

EX. 1.

@ 1.739 MHz Class B limit(Average) = 56.00 dBµV

Reading = 52.30 dB $\mu$ V (calibrated level) AMN factor + Cable Loss = 0.54 dB Total = 52.84 dB $\mu$ V/m 10  $^{(51.56/20)}$  =  $\mu$ V Margin = 52.84 - 56.00 = -3.16 3.16 dB; below limit

EX. 2.

@ 585.10 MHz Class B limit = 46.00 dBµV/m

Reading = 14.58 dB $\mu$ V(calibrated level) Antenna factor + Cable Loss = 25.62 dB Total = 40.20 dB $\mu$ V/m  $10^{(40.49/20)}$  =  $\mu$ V/m Margin = 40.20 - 46.00 = -5.80 dB 5.80 dB; below limit

#### 7.2 Measurement Uncertainty

Measurement uncertainty of RFI Voltage Measurement test was estimated at  $\pm 3.51$  dB (k=2). Measurement uncertainty of RFI Field Strength Measurement test was estimated at  $\pm 4.34$  dB (k=2).

Date of Test: November 24, 2004

# 8. TEST EQUIPMENTS LIST

The listing below denotes the test equipments utilized for the test(s).

Test Equipment	<u>Manufacture</u> <u>Model Number</u>	Serial Number	<u>Calibration</u> <u>Due date</u>
Signal Analyzer	PMM PMM9000	3100570602	09/09/05
EMC Analyzer	HP E7403A	US39150108	05/18/05
Shield Room 7m x 4m x 4m	SEMITECH	000815	N/A
Artificial Mains Network	PMM L3-25	1110K70403	04/06/05
LISN multiline	COM-POWER LI-115	241017	10/27/05
Conducted Cable	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bilog Antenna	VULB 9160 SCHWARZBECK	9160-3052	03/23/05
Open Site Cable	N/A OSC-30	BWS-01	02/04/05
Antenna Turntable Controller	JAEMC JAC-2	N/A	N/A
Antenna Mast	Dae-il EMC JAC-3	N/A	N/A