



1 Jul 2008

RE: Silent Call Communications
FCC ID: PPJSCXMIT01

- 1) The new agency letter does not appear to be signed by the contact cited on the FCC site (George Elwell). Note for traceability of responsible parties, the FCC wants the letters to be signed by this contact, or alternatively a letter from this contact “deputizing” and appropriate contact to sign on their behalf to trace the chain of authorization. Please provide.

The signatory Dianne Elwell is the wife and co-owner (title Vice-President) of Silent Call communications. She had to sign the Agency Authorization as George was unavailable at the time the original request was made. George is out of the office but should be back tomorrow and another Agency Authorization can be sent in if required.

- 2) It is still uncertain if confidentiality is required (i.e. exhibits such as block diagram, operational description, schematics). Please confirm one way or another and if required an appropriate cover letter should be provided.

No confidentiality has been requested.

- 3) One ID is fine for the device and modular nature is not applicable since the remote itself is a stand alone device (i.e. not integrated into another device). **However the concern is which manual goes with the handheld TX.** It may be that they all apply if the TX may ship with each type of device. **Regardless, it should be noted that 15.21 did not appear to be found in any of the manuals and should be included in each manual where the TX is shipped with the RX. Please correct.** It should also be noted that it is uncertain if the RX's included with the TX will be Certified or DoC'd. If DoC'd – the information of 2.1077 should be included for each RX in the manual. If Certified, the statements of 15.19 may apply depending on the size of the RX and the labeling on the RX portion of the device. Additionally, the information of 15.105 may be necessary for some manuals. Please review.

An Addendum sheet to the manual has been generated and shall be supplied with each unit. See attached.

- 4) Regarding labeling, note formatting of FCC Label should be “FCC ID:”. It appears that a space may not be shown between FCC & ID. Please correct.

Corrected. See new attached label.

- 5) The new labeling exhibit provided appears to also show DoC labeling. Please note that the hand held remote does not appear to require a DoC authorization and therefore should not be labeled with this information. Please correct.

Corrected. See new attached label.

- 6) The new labeling does not include the appropriate FCC 2 part statement.

Corrected. See new attached label.

Les Payne
DNB Engineering Inc.