

SAR Compliance Test Report

Test report no.:	DTX10461-EN	Date of report:	May 26, 2004
Template version:	2	Number of pages:	20
Testing laboratory:	TCC Copenhagen Nokia Danmark A/S Frederikskaj DK-1790 Copenhagen V Denmark Tel. +45 33 29 29 29 Fax. +45 33 29 20 01	Client:	Nokia Germany/Ulm Lise Meitner Strasse 10 89081 Ulm Germany Tel. +49-731-1754-0 Fax. +49-731-1754-6800
Responsible test engineer:	Leif Funch Klysner	Product contact person:	Jukka K. Pekkala
Measurements made by:	Lili Nie / Leif Klysner		
Tested device:	RH-37		
FCC ID:	PPIRH-37	IC:	661U-RH37
Supplement reports:	-		
Testing has been carried out in accordance with:	47CFR §2.1093 Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01) Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields RSS-102 Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with Respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields IEEE 1528 - 2003 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques		
Documentation:	The documentation of the testing performed on the tested devices is archived for 15 years at TCC Copenhagen.		
Test results:	The tested device complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the items tested. The test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.		

Date and signatures: 26.05.2004

For the contents:


Leif Funch Klysner
Test Engineer

SAR Report
DTX10461-EN
Applicant: Nokia Corporation

Type: RH-37

Copyright © 2004 TCC Copenhagen

CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT.....	3
1.1 TEST DETAILS.....	3
1.2 MAXIMUM RESULTS.....	3
1.2.1 <i>Head Configuration</i>	3
1.2.2 <i>Body Worn Configuration</i>	3
1.2.3 <i>Maximum Drift</i>	3
1.2.4 <i>Measurement Uncertainty</i>	4
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST.....	5
2.1 PICTURE OF THE DEVICE.....	5
2.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE ANTENNA	5
3. TEST CONDITIONS	6
3.1 TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY	6
3.2 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCIES, AND OUTPUT POWER	6
4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT	7
4.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM AND COMPONENTS	7
4.1.1 <i>Isotropic E-field Probe 1429</i>	8
4.2 PHANTOMS	8
4.3 SIMULATING LIQUIDS	8
4.3.1 <i>Liquid Recipes</i>	9
4.3.2 <i>Verification of the System</i>	10
4.3.3 <i>Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements</i>	11
5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE	12
5.1 DEVICE HOLDER.....	12
5.2 TEST POSITIONS.....	13
5.2.1 <i>Against Phantom Head</i>	13
5.2.2 <i>Body Worn Configuration</i>	13
5.3 SCAN PROCEDURES.....	14
5.4 SAR AVERAGING METHODS.....	14
6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	15
7. RESULTS	16
APPENDIX A: VALIDATION SCANS	17
APPENDIX B: MEASUREMENT SCANS.....	18
APPENDIX C: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT(S)	19
APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE VALIDATION KIT REPORT(S)	20

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT

1.1 Test Details

Period of test	29.04.2004 – 04.05.2004
SN, HW and SW numbers of tested device	HW: 4165 SW: A3.01.1 IMEI: 004400/29/162671/9 DUT#233860 HW: 4167 SW: A3.01.1 IMEI: 004400/40/178917/5 DUT#233859
Batteries used in testing	BL-5B, DUT#233861, DUT#233862, DUT#233828
Headsets used in testing	LPS-4, DUT#233864
Other accessories used in testing	Active Cover DUT#233865
State of sample	Prototype unit
Notes	

1.2 Maximum Results

The maximum measured SAR values for Head configuration and Body Worn configuration are given in section 1.2.1 and 1.2.2 respectively. The device conforms to the requirements of the standard(s) when the maximum measured SAR value is less than or equal to the limit.

1.2.1 Head Configuration

Mode	HWID	Ch / f (MHz)	EIRP	Position	SAR limit (1g avg)	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Result
GSM1900	4167	661 / 1880.0	31.3 dBm	Left, Cheek	1.6 W/kg	0.57 W/kg	PASSED

1.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

Mode	HWID	Ch / f (MHz)	EIRP	Separation distance	SAR limit (1g avg)	Measured SAR value (1g avg)	Result
GPRS1900	4167	810 / 1908.8	31.7 dBm	2.2 cm	1.6 W/kg	0.83 W/kg	PASSED

1.2.3 Maximum Drift

Maximum drift during measurements	-0.40 dB
-----------------------------------	----------

1.2.4 Measurement Uncertainty

Extended Uncertainty (k=2) 95%	$\pm 29.1 \%$
--------------------------------	---------------

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device category	Portable
Exposure environment	Uncontrolled

Modes and Bands of Operation	GSM 1900	GPRS (GSM)
Modulation Mode	GMSK	GMSK
Duty Cycle	1/8	1/8 or 2/8
Transmitter Frequency Range (MHz)	1850.2 - 1909.8	1850.2 - 1909.8

Outside of USA and Canada, the transmitter of the device is capable of operating also in GSM900/GSM1800, which are not part of this filing.

2.1 Picture of the Device



2.2 Description of the Antenna

The device has an internal PIFA antenna.

3. TEST CONDITIONS

3.1 Temperature and Humidity

Period of measurement:	29.04.2004 – 04.05.2004
Ambient temperature (°C):	22 ±1
Ambient humidity (RH %):	45 ±10

3.2 Test Signal, Frequencies, and Output Power

The device was put into operation by using a call tester. Communication between the device and the call tester was established by air link.

The device output power was set to maximum power level for all tests; a fully charged battery was used for every test sequence.

In all operating bands the measurements were performed on lowest, middle and highest channels.

The power output was measured by a separate test laboratory on the same unit as used for SAR testing.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST EQUIPMENT

4.1 Measurement System and Components

The measurements were performed using an automated near-field scanning system, DASY 3 software version 3.1d, manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Switzerland. The SAR extrapolation algorithm used in all measurements on the device was the 'worst-case extrapolation' algorithm.

The following table lists calibration dates of SPEAG components:

Test Equipment	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
DASY3 DAE V1	501	12 months	01/2005
E-field Probe ET3DV6R	1429	12 months	01/2005
Dipole Validation Kit, D1900V2	5d026	24 months	02/2005

Additional test equipment used in testing:

Test Equipment	Model	Serial Number	Calibration interval	Calibration expiry
Signal Generator	SMIQ03B	826046/034	36 months	02/2007
Amplifier	ZHL-42W	E012903	-	-
Power Meter	NRVD	840297/008	24 months	11/2005
Power Sensor	NRV-Z51	100184	24 months	11/2005
Call Tester	4400M	0411216	-	-
Vector Network Analyzer	AT8753ES	MY40001091	12 months	09/2004
Dielectric Probe Kit	HP85070B	US33020403	-	-

4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe 1429

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., butyl diglycol)
Calibration	Calibration certificate in Appendix C
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm
Application	Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

4.2 Phantoms

The phantom used for all tests i.e. for both validation testing and device testing, was the twin-headed "SAM Phantom", manufactured by SPEAG. The phantom conforms to the requirements of IEEE 1528 - 2003.

Validation tests were performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections. Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.

The SPEAG device holder (see Section 5.1) was used to position the device in all tests whilst a tripod was used to position the validation dipoles against the flat section of phantom.

4.3 Simulating Liquids

Recommended values for the dielectric parameters of the simulating liquids are given in IEEE 1528 - 2003 and FCC Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65. All tests were carried out using liquids whose dielectric parameters were within $\pm 5\%$ of the recommended values. All tests were carried out within 24 hours of measuring the dielectric parameters.

The depth of the liquid was 15.0 ± 0.5 cm measured from the ear reference point during validation and device measurements.

4.3.1 Liquid Recipes

The following recipes were used for Head and Body liquids:

Head 1900MHz band

Ingredient	% by weight
Deionised Water	48.45
Diacetin	50.90
Preservative	0.35
Salt	0.30

Body 1900MHz band

Ingredient	% by weight
Deionised Water	65.07
Diacetin	34.46
Preservative	0.08
Salt	0.39

4.3.2 Verification of the System

The manufacturer calibrates the probes annually. Dielectric parameters of the simulating liquids were measured every day using the dielectric probe kit and the network analyser. A SAR measurement was made following the determination of the dielectric parameters of the liquids, using the dipole validation kit. A power level of 250 mW was supplied to the dipole antenna, which was placed under the flat section of the twin SAM phantom. The validation results (dielectric parameters and SAR values) are given in the table below.

System verification, head tissue simulant

f [MHz]	Description	SAR [W/kg], 1g	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
			ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
1900	Reference result	10.4	38.6	1.46	N/A
	$\pm 10\%$ window	9.36 – 11.4			
	29.04.2004	10.1	37.9	1.49	22 ± 1
	03.05.2004	9.94	38.7	1.48	22 ± 1
	04.05.2004	10.1	38.4	1.49	22 ± 1

System verification, body tissue simulant

f [MHz]	Description	SAR [W/kg], 1g	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
			ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
1900	Reference result	10.6	51.2	1.59	N/A
	$\pm 10\%$ window	9.54 – 11.7			
	04.05.2004	10.4	50.9	1.60	22 ± 1

Plots of the Verification scans are given in Appendix A.

4.3.3 Tissue Simulants used in the Measurements

Head tissue simulant measurements

f [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
1880	Recommended value	40.0	1.40	N/A
	$\pm 5\%$ window	38.0 – 42.0	1.33 – 1.47	
	29.04.2004	38.1	1.47	22 $\pm$ 1
	03.05.2004	38.8	1.46	22 $\pm$ 1
	04.05.2004	38.4	1.47	22 $\pm$ 1

Body tissue simulant measurements

f [MHz]	Description	Dielectric Parameters		Temp [°C]
		ϵ_r	σ [S/m]	
1880	Recommended value	53.3	1.52	N/A
	$\pm 5\%$ window	50.6 – 56.0	1.44 – 1.60	
	04.05.2004	50.9	1.57	22 $\pm$ 1

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST PROCEDURE

5.1 Device Holder

The device was placed in the device holder (illustrated below) that is supplied by SPEAG as an integral part of the Dasy system.



Device holder supplied by SPEAG

A Nokia designed spacer (illustrated below) was used to position the device within the SPEAG holder. The spacer positions the device so that the holder has minimal effect on the test results but still holds the device securely. The spacer was removed before the tests.



Nokia spacer

5.2 Test Positions

5.2.1 Against Phantom Head

Measurements were made in “cheek” and “tilt” positions on both the left hand and right hand sides of the phantom.

The positions used in the measurements were according to IEEE 1528 - 2003 "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques".



Photo of the device in “cheek” position



Photo of the device in “tilt” position

5.2.2 Body Worn Configuration

The device was placed in the SPEAG holder using the Nokia spacer and placed below the flat section of the phantom. The distance between the device and the phantom was kept at the separation distance indicated in the photo below using a separate flat spacer that was removed before the start of the measurements. The device was oriented with its antenna facing the phantom since this orientation gave higher results.



Photo of the device positioned for Body SAR measurement. The spacer was removed for the tests.

5.3 Scan Procedures

First coarse scans were used for determination of the field distribution. Next a cube scan, 5x5x7 points covering a volume of 32x32x30mm was performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR value. Drift was determined by measuring the same point at the start of the coarse scan and again at the end of the cube scan.

5.4 SAR Averaging Methods

The maximum SAR value was averaged over a cube of tissue using interpolation and extrapolation.

The interpolation of the points was done with a 3d-Spline. The 3d-Spline comprised three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" -condition [W. Gander, Computermathematik, p. 141-150] (x, y and z -directions) [Numerical Recipes in C, Second Edition, p 123].

The extrapolation was based on least square algorithm [W. Gander, Computermathematik, p.168-180]. Through the points in the first 30 mm in all z-axis, a fourth order polynomial was calculated. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the phantom surface and the probe tip. The points, calculated from the phantom surface, were at 1mm spacing.

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 6.1 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation

Uncertainty Component	Section in IEEE 1528	Tol. (%)	Prob Dist	Div	G_i	$G_i \cdot U_i$ (%)	V_i
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	E2.1	±4.8	N	1	1	±4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E2.2	±4.7	R	√3	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	±1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E2.2	±9.6	R	√3	$(c_p)^{1/2}$	±3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E2.3	±8.3	R	√3	1	±4.8	∞
Linearity	E2.4	±4.7	R	√3	1	±2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E2.5	±1.0	R	√3	1	±0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E2.6	±1.0	N	1	1	±1.0	∞
Response Time	E2.7	±0.8	R	√3	1	±0.5	∞
Integration Time	E2.8	±2.6	R	√3	1	±1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E6.1	±3.0	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E6.2	±0.4	R	√3	1	±0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E6.3	±2.9	R	√3	1	±1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E5.2	±3.9	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	E4.2.1	±6.0	N	1	1	±6.0	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	E4.1.1	±5.0	N	1	1	±5.0	7
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.3	±10.0	R	√3	1	±5.8	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E3.1	±4.0	R	√3	1	±2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity Target - tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.64	±1.8	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±5.5	N	1	0.64	±3.5	5
Liquid Permittivity Target tolerance	E3.2	±5.0	R	√3	0.6	±1.7	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E3.3	±2.9	N	1	0.6	±1.7	5
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			±14.5	187
Coverage Factor for 95%			k=2				
Expanded Standard Uncertainty						±29.1	

7. RESULTS

The measured Head SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

GSM1900 Head SAR results

Hardware ID	Position		SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
			Ch 512 1850.2 MHz	Ch 661 1880.0 MHz	Ch 810 1909.8 MHz
4165	Power level		30.0 dBm	31.4 dBm	31.8 dBm
	Left	Cheek	0.49	0.49	0.50
		Tilt		0.36	
	Right	Cheek		0.36	
		Tilt		0.28	
4167	Power level		31.1 dBm	31.3 dBm	32.0 dBm
	Left	Cheek	0.56	0.57	0.56
		Tilt		0.39	
	Right	Cheek		0.48	
		Tilt		0.32	
4167	Highest SAR configuration repeated with Active back cover		0.50	0.50	0.51

The measured Body SAR values for the test device are tabulated below:

GPRS1900 Body SAR results

Hardware ID	Body-worn location setup		SAR, averaged over 1g (W/kg)		
			Ch 512 1850.2 MHz	Ch 661 1880.0 MHz	Ch 810 1909.8 MHz
4165	Power level		30.4 dBm	31.3 dBm	32.1 dBm
	Without headset		0.42	0.56	0.67
	Loopset LPS-4		0.44	0.58	0.68
4167	Power level		30.9 dBm	31.6 dBm	31.7 dBm
	Without headset		0.49	0.60	0.80
	Loopset LPS-4		0.50	0.62	0.83
4167	Highest SAR configuration repeated with Active back cover		0.33	0.44	0.45

Plots of the Measurement scans are given in Appendix B.

APPENDIX A: VALIDATION SCANS

See the following pages.