


Korea Technology Institute Co., Ltd.

Page 1 of 11

Certificate of Compliance

Test Report No.:	KTIO1F0306		
Registration No.:	99058		
Applicant:	ACE Telecom Co., Ltd.		
Applicant Address:	RM 602, Najin Building, 408 Sang-Dong, Wonmi-Ku, Buchun-City, Kyungki-Do, 420-814, Korea		
Product:	Pager		
FCC ID:	PIGACE-4090F	Model No.	ACE-4090F
Receipt No.:	KTIO20010215	Date of receipt:	Feb, 14, 2001
Date of Issue:	Feb, 15, 2001		
Testing location	Korea Technology Institute Co., Ltd. 51-19, Sanglim3-Ri, Docheok-Myeun, Gwangju-Shi, Gyeonggi-Do, Korea		
Test Standards:	ANSI. C63.4 : 1992		
Rule Parts:	FCC Part 15, Subpart B		
Equipment Class:	Class B		
Test Result:	The above mentioned product has been tested and passed.		
Prepare by: J. H. Lee		Tested by: S. B. Kim/ Engineer	
 _____ Signature Date		 _____ Signature Date	
		 _____ Signature Date	
Other Aspects :			
Abbreviations :	• OK, Pass=passed • Fail=failed • N/A=not applicable		
This test report is not permitted to copy partly without our permission. This test result is dependent on only equipment to be used. This test result is based on a single evaluation of one sample of the above mentioned. This test report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S Government. We certify this test report has been based on the measurement standards that is traceable to the national or international standards.			



Contents

Contents	2
List of Tables	2
List of Figures	2
List of Photographs	3
1. General	3
2. Test Site	3
2.1 Location	4
2.2 List of Test and Measurement Instruments	4
2.3 Test Data	4
2.4 Test Environment	5
3. Description of the tested samples	5
3.1 Rating and Physical characteristics	5
3.2 Submitted documents	6
4. Measurement conditions	6
4.1 Modes of operation	6
4.2 Additional equipments	6
4.3 Uncertainty	6
4.4 Test Setup	7
5. Emission Test	8
5.1 Conducted Emissions	8
5.2 Radiated Emissions	9
6. Photographs of the Test Setup	11
Annex1 Label	13
Annex2 Photographs of EUT	14~16

List of Tables

Table 1	List of test and measurement equipment	4
Table 2	Test Data. Radiated Emissions	10

List of Photographs

Photograph 1	Setup for Conducted Emissions	11
Photograph 2	Setup for Radiated Emissions	12



1. General

This equipment has been shown to be capable of compliance with the applicable technical standards and was tested in accordance with the measurement procedures as indicated in this report.

We attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by Korea Technology Institute Co., LTD. And were made under Chief Engineer's supervision.

We assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

2. Test Site

Korea Technology Institute Co., LTD

2.1 Location

51-19, Sanglim3-Ri, Docheok-Myeun, Gwangju-Shi, Gyeonggi-Do, Korea

The Test Site is in compliance with ANSI C63.4/1992 for measurement of radio Interference.



2.2 List of Test and Measurement Instruments

Table 1 : List of Test and Measurement Equipment

• Conducted Emissions

Kind of Equipment	Type	S/N	Calibrated until
Spectrum Analyzer	R3261C	61720427	11.2001
Field Strength Meter	ESPC	832827/011	11.2001
LISN	ESH3-Z5	8254601019	10.2001
LISN	KNW407	8-1097-7	11.2001
Pulse limiter	ESH3Z2	357.8810.52	11.2001
Conducted Cable	N/A	N/A	11.2001

• Radiated Emissions

Kind of Equipment	Type	S/N	Calibrated until
Field Strength Meter	ESPC	832827/011	11.2001
Spectrum Analyzer	R3261C	61720427	11.2001
Log Periodic Antenna	3146	9105-1343	11.2001
Biconical Antenna	3110	9105-3100	11.2001
Open Site Cable	N/A	N/A	11.2001
Antenna Mast	DETT-03	N/A	N/A
Antenna & Turntable controller	DETT-03	91X519	N/A

2.3 Test Date

Date of Application : Feb. 20, 2001

Date of Test : Feb. 22, 2001

2.4 Test Environment

See each test item 's description.



3. Description of the tested samples

The EUT is Pager.

3.1 Rating and Physical Characteristics

- Frequency:929.0125MHz
- Using battery:1.5V

3.2 Submitted Documents

- User's Guide
- Block Diagram



4. Measurement Conditions

Testing Input Voltage : DC 1.5V

4.1 Modes of Operation

The EUT was in the following normal mode during all testing;

Prior to a measurement, the Pager shall be operated until stabilization has been reached.

4.2 Uncertainty

1) Radiated disturbance

UC (Combined standard Uncertainty) = $\pm 1.8\text{dB}$

Expanded uncertainty $U=KUc$

$K = 2$

$U = \pm 3.6\text{dB}$


2) Conducted disturbance

UC = $\pm 0.88\text{dB}$

$U = KUc=2 \times UC = \pm 1.8\text{dB}$



4.3 Test setup





5. EMISSION Test

5.1 Conducted Emissions

Result : Not Applicable

The line-conducted facility is located inside a 2.3M x 3.5M x 5.5M shielded closure.

The shielding effectiveness of the shielded room is in accordance with MIL-Std -285 or NSA 605-05.

A 1m x 1.5m wooden table 80cm. High is placed 80cm away from the vertical wall and 1.5m away from the side wall of the shielded room. R&S Model ESH3-Z5(10kHz-30MHz)

50ohm/50 uH line-Impedance Stabilization Networks(LISN) are bonded to the shielded room.

The EUT is powered from the R&S LISN and the support equipment is powered from the Kyoritsu LISN. Power to the LISN are filtered by a high-current high-insertion loss shield enclosures power line filters(100dB 14kHz-1Ghz).

The purpose of the filter is to attenuate ambient signal interference and this filter is also bonded to the shielded enclosure.

All electrical cables are shielded by braided tinned copper zipper tubing with inner diameter of 1/2".

If the EUT is a DC-Powered device, power will be derived from the source power supply it normally will be powered from and this supply lines will be connected to the Kyoritsu LISN.

All interconnecting cables more than 1 meter were shortened by non-inductive bundling (serpentine fashion) to a 1-meter length.

Sufficient time for the EUT, Support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition. The RF output of the LISN was connected to the spectrum analyzer to determine the frequency producing the maximum EME from the EUT.

The spectrum was scanned from 450kHz to 30MHz with 100sec. sweep time.

The frequency producing the maximum level was reexamined using EMI field Intensity meter (ESPC)and Quasi-Peak adapter. The detector function was set to CISPR Q.P. mode.

The bandwidth of the receiver was set to 10kHz. The EUT, support equipment, and interconnecting each emission was maximized by: switching power lines; varying the mode of operation or resolution; clock or data exchange speed; if applicable; whichever determined the worstcase emission.

Photographs of the worst-case emission can be seen in photograph of conducted test.

Each EME reported was calibrated using self-calibrating mode.



Table 2 : Test Data, Conducted Emissions

Frequency (MHz)	(1)Reading (dB V)	Line	(2)Limit (dB V)	(3)Margin (dB)
			48.00	

NOTES:

1. All modes of operation were investigated
And the worst-case emission are reported.
2. All other emissions are non-significant.
3. All readings are calibrated by self-mode in receiver.
4. Measurements using CISPR quasi-peak mode.
5. Line H = LINE-PE, Line N = NEUTRAL-PE
6. C/F = Correction Factor
7. C/L = Cable Loss
8. The limit for Class B digital device is 250 uV (48dBuV) from 450KHz to 30MHz

Margin Calculation

$$(6)\text{Margin} = (2)\text{Limit} - (1)\text{Reading}$$



5.2 Radiated Emissions

Result : Pass

Preliminary measurements were made indoors at 1 meter using broadband antennas, broadband Amplifier, and spectrum analyzer to determine the frequency producing the maximum EME.

Appropriate precaution was taken to ensure that all EME from the EUT were maximized and investigated. The system configuration, clock speed, mode of operation or video resolution, turntable azimuth with respect to the antenna were noted for each frequency found.

The spectrum was scanned from 30 to 300 MHz using biconical antenna and from 300 to 1000 MHz using log-periodic antenna. Above 1 GHz, linearly polarized double ridge horn antennas were used.

Final measurements were made outdoors at 3-meter test range using O.K antennas. The test equipment was placed on a wooden table situated on a 4x4 meter area adjacent to the measurement area. Turntable was to protect from weather in the dome that made with Polyethylene film. Sufficient time for the EUT, support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition. Each frequency found during pre-scan measurements was re-examined and investigated using EMI/Field Intensity Meter(ESPC) O.K. The detector function was set to CISPR quasi-peak mode and the bandwidth of the receiver was set to 120kHz or 1 MHz depending on the frequency or type or signal.

The half-wave dipole antenna was tuned to the frequency found during preliminary radiated Measurements. The EUT, support equipment and interconnecting cables were re-configured to the set-up producing the maximum emission for the frequency and were placed on top of a 0.8=meter high non-metallic 1 x 1.5 meter table.

The EUT, support equipment, and interconnecting cables were re-arranged and manipulated to maximize each EME emission. The turntable containing the system was rotated; the antenna height was varied 1 to 4 meters and stopped at the azimuth or height producing the maximum emission. Each emission was maximized by: varying the mode of operation or resolution; clock or data exchange speed, and/or support equipment, if applicable; and changing the polarity of the antenna, whichever determined the worst-case emission.

Photographs of the worst-case emission can be seen in photograph of radiated emission test.

Each EME reported was calibrated using self-calibrating mode.



Table 3 : Test Data, Radiated Emissions

Frequency (MHz)	Pol.	Height [m]	Angle [°]	(1) Reading (dB V)	(2) AFCL (dB/m)	(3) Actual (dB V/m)	(4) Limit (dB V/m)	(5) Margin (dB)
42.2	V	3.42	20	5.21	16.71	21.92	40	18.08
56.9	V	3.18	30	5.43	11.60	17.03		22.97
61.2	H	1.53	194	7.26	10.26	17.52		22.48
160.65	V	1.47	81	6.35	18.56	24.91	43.5	18.59
464.55	H	1.17	56	6.25	23.19	29.44	46	16.56
487.5	H	1.73	72	5.36	23.75	29.11		16.89
495.75	H	2.52	52	5.96	23.75	29.71		16.29

Table. Radiated Measurements at 3-meters

Test results were under the required limit with 20dB margin or more.

Notes:

1. All modes of operation were investigated
And the worst-case emission are reported.
2. All other emission are non-significant.
3. All readings are calibrated by self-mode in receiver.
4. Measurements using CISPR quasi-peak mode.
5. AFCL = Antenna factor and cable loss
6. H = Horizontal, V = Vertical Polarization
7. The limit for Class B digital device is 100uV(40dBuV) from 30MHz to 88MHz,
150 uV (43.5dBuV) from 88MHz to 216MHz, 200uV(46dBuV) from 216MHz to
960MHz and 500 uV (54dBuV) from above 960MHz.

Margin Calculation

$$(5)\text{Margin} = (4)\text{Limit} - (3)\text{Actual}$$

$$[(3)\text{Actual} = (1)\text{Reading} + (2)\text{AFCL}]$$