

TEST REPORT

Report No.: HK10010869-1

Hasbro Inc.

Application
For
Certification
(Original Grant)

(FCC ID: PGN93974TX27145)

Transmitter

Factory Code: E, Vendor Code: Kin Yat (ZC-086)

Prepared and Checked by:

Approved by:



Leung Siu Lung, Michael
Engineer



Chan Chi Hung, Terry
Senior Lead Engineer
Date: February 3, 2010

- The test report only allows to be revised within the retention period unless further standard or the requirement was noticed.
- This report is for the exclusive use of Intertek's Client and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its Client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the Client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the Client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. This report by itself does not imply that the material, product, or service is or has ever been under an Intertek certification program.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

GENERAL INFORMATION

Hasbro Inc.
MODEL: 93974

FCC ID: PGN93974TX27145

Grantee:	Hasbro Inc.
Grantee Address:	1027 Newport Avenue, PO Box 1059, Pawtucket, Rhode Island, 02862-1059, U. S. A.
Contact Person:	Steven Krol
Tel:	1-413-526-2690
Fax:	413-526-4131
e-mail:	Skrol@Hasbro.com
Manufacturer:	N/A
Manufacturer Address:	N/A
Brand Name:	N/A
Model:	93974
Type of EUT:	Transmitter
Description of EUT:	SW Hailfire Droid RC Vehicle
Serial Number:	N/A
FCC ID:	PGN93974TX27145
Date of Sample Submitted:	January 22, 2010
Date of Test:	January 27, 2010
Report No.:	HK10010869-1
Report Date:	February 3, 2010
Environmental Conidtions:	Temperature: +10 to 40°C Humidity: 10 to 90%

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULT

Hasbro Inc.
MODEL: 93974

FCC ID: PGN93974TX27145

TEST SPECIFICATION	REFERENCE	RESULTS
Maximum Peak Output Power	15.247(b), (c) / RSS-210 A8.4	N/A
Hopping Channel Carrier Frequencies Separation	15.247(e) / RSS-210 A8.1	N/A
20dB Bandwidth of the Hopping Channel	15.247(a) / RSS-210 A8.1	N/A
Number of Hopping Frequencies	15.247(e) / RSS-210 A8.1	N/A
Average Time of Occupancy of Hopping Frequency	15.247(e) / RSS-210 A8.1	N/A
Antennae Conducted Spurious Emissions	15.247(d) / RSS-210 A8.5	N/A
Radiated Spurious Emissions	15.247(d) / RSS-210 A8.5	N/A
RF Exposure Compliance	15.247(i) / RSS-Gen 5.5	N/A
Transmitter Power Line Conducted Emissions	15.207 / RSS-Gen 7.2.2	N/A
Transmitter Field Strength	15.227 / RSS-310 3.8	Pass
Transmitter Field Strength	15.229 / RSS-210 A2.7	N/A
Transmitter Field Strength, Bandwidth and Timing Requirement	15.231(a) / RSS-210 A1.1.1	N/A
Transmitter Field Strength, Bandwidth and Timing Requirement	15.231(e) / RSS-210 A1.1.5	N/A
Transmitter Field Strength and Bandwidth Requirement	15.239 / RSS-210 A2.8	N/A
Transmitter Field Strength and Bandwidth Requirement	15.249 / RSS-210 A2.9	N/A
Transmitter Field Strength and Bandwidth Requirement	15.235 / RSS-310 3.9	N/A
Receiver / Digital Device Radiated Emissions	15.109 / ICES-003	N/A
Digital Device Conducted Emissions	15.107 / ICES-003	N/A

Note: 1. The EUT uses a permanently attached antenna which, in accordance to section 15.203, is considered sufficient to comply with the provisions of this section.
2. Pursuant to FCC part 15 Section 15.215(c), the 20 dB bandwidth of the emission was contained within the frequency band designated (mentioned as above) which the EUT operated. The effects, if any, from frequency sweeping, frequency hopping, other modulation techniques and frequency stability over expected variations in temperature and supply voltage were considered.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

Table of Contents

1.0	<u>General Description</u>	1
1.1	Product Description	1
1.2	Related Submittal(s) Grants.....	1
1.3	Test Methodology	1
1.4	Test Facility	1
2.0	<u>System Test Configuration</u>	2
2.1	Justification	2
2.2	EUT Exercising Software.....	2
2.3	Special Accessories	2
2.4	Equipment Modification	2
2.5	Measurement Uncertainty.....	2
2.6	Support Equipment List and Description	3
3.0	<u>Emission Results</u>	3
3.1	Field Strength Calculation.....	3
3.2	Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph.....	4
3.3	Radiated Emission Data	4
4.0	<u>Equipment Photographs</u>	6
5.0	<u>Product Labelling</u>	6
6.0	<u>Technical Specifications</u>	6
7.0	<u>Instruction Manual</u>	6
8.0	<u>Miscellaneous Information</u>	6
8.1	Measured Bandwidth.....	6
8.2	Discussion Pulse Desensitivity	7
8.3	Calculation of Average Factor.....	7
8.4	Emissions Test Procedures	8
9.0	<u>Equipment List</u>	9

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

1.0 General Description

1.1 Product Description

The equipment under test (EUT) is a transmitter for a RC Car operating at 27.145 MHz which is controlled by a crystal. The EUT is powered by 3 x AAA batteries. The EUT has an ON/OFF switch, 2 control sticks and a fire button. The 2 control sticks is used to control the RC Car moving forward and backward, turning left and right direction by corresponding control. The fire button is used to control the RC Car launch the missiles.

Antenna Type : External, Integral

For electronic filing, the brief circuit description is saved with filename: descri.pdf.

1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants

The receiver for this transmitter is exempted from the Part 15 technical rules per 15.101(b).

1.3 Test Methodology

Radiated emission measurements was performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4 (2003). All radiated measurements were performed in an Open Area Test Site. Preliminary scans were performed in the Open Area Test Site only to determine worst case modes. All radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the “**Justification Section**” of this Application.

1.4 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated data is located at Garment Centre, 576 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong. This test facility and site measurement data have been placed on file with the FCC.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

2.0 System Test Configuration

2.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in ANSI C63.4 (2003).

The EUT was powered by 3 new AAA batteries during test.

For maximizing emissions below 30 MHz, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the centre of the loop antenna was placed 1 meter above the ground, and the antenna polarization was changed. For maximizing emission at and above 30 MHz, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data report in Exhibit 3.0.

The unit was operated standalone and placed in the center of the turntable.

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was mounted to a plastic stand if necessary and placed on the wooden turntable, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes.

2.2 EUT Exercising Software

There was no special software to exercise the device. Once the unit is powered up, it transmits the RF signal continuously.

2.3 Special Accessories

There are no special accessories necessary for compliance of this product.

2.4 Equipment Modification

Any modifications installed previous to testing by Hasbro Inc. will be incorporated in each production model sold/leased in the United States.

No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Ltd.

2.5 Measurement Uncertainty

When determining of the test conclusion, the Measurement Uncertainty of test has been considered.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

2.6 Support Equipment List and Description

N/A.

3.0 **Emission Results**

Data is included of the worst case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels). A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

3.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the Antenna Factor and Cable Factor, and subtracting the Amplifier Gain (if any), Average Factor (optional) from the measured reading. The basic equation with a sample calculation is as follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG - AV$$

where FS = Field Strength in dB μ V/m
 RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in dB μ V
 CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB
 AF = Antenna Factor in dB
 AG = Amplifier Gain in dB
 AV = Average Factor in dB

In the following table(s), the reading shown on the data table reflects the preamplifier gain. An example for the calculations in the following table is as follows:

$$FS = RR + LF$$

where FS = Field Strength in dB μ V/m
 $RR = RA - AG - AV$ in dB μ V
 $LF = CF + AF$ in dB

Assume a receiver reading of 52.0 dB μ V is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB are added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB and average factor of 5 dB are subtracted, giving a field strength of 27 dB μ V/m. This value in dB μ V/m was converted to its corresponding level in μ V/m.

$$\begin{aligned} RA &= 52.0 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} \\ AF &= 7.4 \text{ dB} & RR &= 18.0 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V} \\ CF &= 1.6 \text{ dB} & LF &= 9.0 \text{ dB} \\ AG &= 29.0 \text{ dB} \\ AV &= 5.0 \text{ dB} \\ FS &= RR + LF \\ FS &= 18 + 9 = 27 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Level in } \mu\text{V/m} = \text{Common Antilogarithm } [(27 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m})/20] = 22.4 \mu\text{V/m}$$

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph

Worst Case Radiated Emission at 81.435 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photographs are saved with filename: radiated photos.pdf.

3.3 Radiated Emission Data

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgment: Passed by 7.7 dB

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

Company: Hasbro Inc.
Model: 93974
Mode: TX
Sample: 1/1

Date of Test: January 27, 2010

Table 1

Radiated Emissions

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dB μ V)	Pre-Amp (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Average Factor (-dB)	Net at 3m (dB μ V/m)	Limit at 3m (dB μ V/m)	Margin (dB)
V	27.145	66.8	0	9.5	6.7	69.6	80.0	-10.4
V	54.290	31.0	16	11.0	-	26.0	40.0	-14.0
V	81.435	41.3	16	7.0	-	32.3	40.0	-7.7
H	108.580	33.4	16	14.0	-	31.4	43.5	-12.1
H	135.725	33.1	16	14.0	-	31.1	43.5	-12.4
H	162.870	33.4	16	16.0	-	33.4	43.5	-10.1
H	190.015	30.8	16	16.0	-	30.8	43.5	-12.7
H	217.160	30.1	16	17.0	-	31.1	46.0	-14.9
H	244.305	25.6	16	20.0	-	29.6	46.0	-16.4
H	271.450	30.0	16	22.0	-	36.0	46.0	-10.0

NOTES: 1. Peak Detector Data unless otherwise stated.

2. All measurements were made at 3 meters. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distances were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.

3. Negative sign in the column shows value below limit.

4. Loop antenna is used for the emissions below 30 MHz.

5. Horn antenna is used for the emissions over 1000MHz.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

4.0 **Equipment Photographs**

For electronic filing, the photographs are saved with filename: external photos.pdf and internal photos.pdf.

5.0 **Product Labelling**

For electronics filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: label.pdf.

6.0 **Technical Specifications**

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematic of the tested EUT are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf respectively.

7.0 **Instruction Manual**

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf.

This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States.

8.0 **Miscellaneous Information**

This miscellaneous information includes details of the measured bandwidth, the test procedure and calculation of factors such as pulse desensitization and averaging factor.

8.1 **Measured Bandwidth**

The plot saved in bw.pdf which shows the fundamental emission is confined in the specified band. And it also shows that the emission is at least 34 dB below the carrier level at the band edge (26.96 and 27.28 MHz). It meets the requirement of Section 15.227(b).

Pursuant to FCC part 15 Section 15.215(c), the 20dB bandwidth of the emission was contained within the frequency band designated (mentioned as above) which the EUT operated. The effects, if any, from frequency sweeping, frequency hopping, other modulation techniques and frequency stability over excepted variations in temperature and supply voltage were considered.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

8.2 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization

The determination of pulse desensitivity was made in accordance with Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2, *Spectrum Analysis ... Pulsed RF*.

The effective period (T_{eff}) was approximately 400 μs for a digital "1" bit, as shown in the plots of Exhibit 8.3. With a resolution bandwidth (3 dB) of 100 kHz, the pulse desensitivity factor was 0 dB.

8.3 Calculation of Average Factor

Averaging factor in dB = $20 \log (\text{duty cycle})$

The specification for output field strengths in accordance with the FCC rules specify measurements with an average detector. During testing, a spectrum analyzer incorporating a peak detector was used. Therefore, a reduction factor can be applied to the resultant peak signal level and compared to the limit for measurement instrumentation incorporating an average detector.

The time period over which the duty cycle is measured is 100 milliseconds, or the repetition cycle, whichever is a shorter time frame. The worst case (highest percentage on) duty cycle is used for the calculation. The duty cycle is measured by placing the spectrum analyzer in zero scan (receiver mode) and linear mode at maximum bandwidth (3 MHz at 3 dB down) and viewing the resulting time domain signal output from the analyzer on a Tektronix oscilloscope. The oscilloscope is used because of its superior time base and triggering facilities.

A plot of the worst-case duty cycle as detected in this manner are saved with filename: af.pdf

The duty cycle is simply the on-time divided by the period:

The duration of one cycle = 24.1 ms
Effective period of the cycle = $6 \times 800 \text{ ms} + 16 \times 400 \text{ ms}$
= 11.2 ms

DC = $11.2 \text{ ms} / 24.1 \text{ ms} = 0.46473$ or 46.473%

Therefore, the averaging factor is found by $20 \log_{10} 0.46473 = -6.7 \text{ dB}$

8.4 Emissions Test Procedures

The following is a description of the test procedure used by Intertek Testing Services in the measurements of transmitters operating under Part 15, Subpart C rules.

The test set-up and procedures described below are designed to meet the requirements of ANSI C63.4 - 2003.

The transmitting equipment under test (EUT) is placed on a wooden turntable which is four feet in diameter and approximately one meter in height above the ground plane. During the radiated emissions test, the turntable is rotated and any cables leaving the EUT are manipulated to find the configuration resulting in maximum emissions. The EUT is adjusted through all three orthogonal axes to obtain maximum emission levels. The antenna height and polarization are varied during the testing to search for maximum signal levels.

Detector function for radiated emissions is in peak mode. Average readings, when required, are taken by measuring the duty cycle of the equipment under test and subtracting the corresponding amount in dB from the measured peak readings. A detailed description for the calculation of the average factor can be found in Exhibit 8.3.

The frequency range scanned is from the lowest radio frequency signal generated in the device which is greater than 9 kHz to the tenth harmonic of the highest fundamental frequency or 40 GHz, whichever is lower. For line conducted emissions, the range scanned is 150 kHz to 30 MHz.

INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES

8.4 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)

The EUT is warmed up for 15 minutes prior to the test.

AC power to the unit is varied from 85% to 115% nominal and variation in the fundamental emission field strength is recorded. If battery powered, a new, fully charged battery is used.

Conducted measurements are made as described in ANSI C63.4 - 2003.

The IF bandwidth used for measurement of radiated signal strength was 10 kHz for emission below 30 MHz and 120 kHz for emission from 30 MHz to 1000 MHz. Where pulsed transmissions of short enough pulse duration warrant, a greater bandwidth is selected according to the recommendations of Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2. A discussion of whether pulse desensitivity is applicable to this unit is included in this report (See Exhibit 8.2). Above 1000 MHz, a resolution bandwidth of 1 MHz is used.

Transmitter measurements are normally conducted at a measurement distance of three meters. However, to assure low enough noise floor in the restricted bands and above 1 GHz, signals are acquired at a distance of one meter or less. All measurements are extrapolated to three meters using inverse scaling, but those measurements taken at a closer distance are so marked.

9.0 Equipment List

Radiated Emissions Test

Equipment	EMI Test Receiver	Biconical Antenna	Log Periodic Antenna	Active H-field Loop Antenna
Registration No.	EW-0016	EW-0954	EW-0446	EW-0191
Manufacturer	R&S	EMCO	EMCO	EMCO
Model No.	ESVS30	3104C	3146	6502
Calibration Date	Apr. 14, 2009	Sep. 30, 2008	Oct. 02, 2008	Jun. 26, 2008
Calibration Due Date	Apr. 14, 2010	Mar. 30, 2010	Apr. 02, 2010	Dec. 26, 2010

Equipment	Spectrum Analyzer	Double Ridged Guide Antenna	Double Ridged Guide Antenna
Registration No.	EW-2188	EW-0194	EW-1015
Manufacturer	AGILENTTECH	EMCO	EMCO
Model No.	E4407B	3115	3115
Calibration Date	Dec. 25, 2009	Dec. 24, 2008	Jul. 28, 2008
Calibration Due Date	Dec. 31, 2010	Jun 24, 2010	Jan. 28, 2010