

November 22, 2004

RE: Robert Bosch Corporation

FCC ID: PFJPK3R0

The following is in response to comments made on the above referenced Application.

1) Since all 3 have different surfaces, please provide information to show the label placement on all 3 devices.

The drawings provided demonstrate the location of the ID label for all three models and should be sufficient. No additional drawings are available from the manufacturer.

2) What were the RBW settings used > 150 kHz. The report mentions 300 and 1 kHz on page 4. ANSI C63.4 requires > 9 kHz for 150 kHz – 30 MHz. Note the table mentions 9 kHz. All this appears inconsistent. Please review/correct as necessary.

For radiated emission measurements on the OATS above 150 kHz, > 9KHz RBW was used per ANSI C63.4. For indoor pretest measurements (those made in the Anechoic Chamber) and outdoor radiated emission measurements below 150 KHz, the choice of RBW was made so as not to decrease the EBW (emission bandwidth) of the device. The report mentions 300Hz and 1KHz bandwidths as those used to measure the fundamental emission (which is < 150 KHz). The test report has been modified to make this clear.

3) It is uncertain if the loop antenna was rotated about the vertical axis as required by section 8.2 ANSI C63.4. The FCC expects this to be maximized during testing.

As always, the loop antenna was rotated about the vertical axis and the DUT was rotated to maximize radiated emission measurements, as noted in the antenna orientation and comments section of the data table.

4) Please provide information about how the emissions on page 7 were calculated. It appears that the calculations are off by about 10 dB. It almost appears that the Ka factor may not have been included.

There was a typographical error in the table. It has been fixed and a revised test report has been uploaded.