

# **TEST REPORT**

EUT Description Wireless Module installed in convertible PC

Brand Name Intel® Wi-Fi 6E AX211

Model Name AX211NGW

FCC ID PD9AX211NG

Date of Test Start/End 2022-02-10 / 2022-02-15

Features 802.11ax, Dual Band, 2x2 Wi-Fi 6E + Bluetooth® 5.2

(see section 5)

Description Platform: TPN-C154 + INPAQ antenna

Applicant Intel Mobile Communications

Address 100 Center Point Circle, Suite 200 / Columbia, SC 29210 / United States

Contact Person Steven Hackett

Telephone/Fax/ Email steven.c.hackett@intel.com

Reference Standards FCC 47 CFR Part §2.1093

(see section 1)

RF Exposure Environment Portable devices - General population/uncontrolled exposure

Testing Result Limit

0mm to phantom, 1.52mm to antenna edge 2.5mm to probe tip (PD)

**Maximum Power Density** 

Result & Limit

0.18 W/m<sup>2</sup> (4cm<sup>2</sup>)

10 W/m<sup>2</sup> (4cm<sup>2</sup>)

Maximum SAR Result & Limit

Min. test separation distance

0.49 W/kg (1g)

1.6 W/kg (1g)

Test Report identification 211216-2.TR02

**Rev. 00** 

Revision Control This test report revision replaces any previous test report revision

(see section 8)

The test results relate only to the samples tested.

Issued by Reviewed by

Adel LOUNES (SAR Test Engineer)

Cheiel IN (Technical Manager Deputy)

Intel Corporation S.A.S – WRF Lab
425 rue de Goa – Le Cargo B6 - 06600 Antibes, France
Tel. +33493001400 / Fax +33493001401

Reference: WRF-DCS-TF-073 Version: 002 Application Date: 06/08/2021



# **Table of Contents**

1.	Standards, reference documents and applicable test methods				
2.	General conditions, competences and guarantees4				
3.	Environmental Conditions				
4.	Test samples5				
		•			
5.		eatures			
6.		ks and comments			
7.	Test Ve	erdicts summary	8		
8.	Docum	nent Revision History	8		
Ann	nex A.	PD Test & System Description	9		
A	.1 Po	OWER DENSITY DEFINITION	g		
Α	.2 FR	EE SPACE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	9		
	A.2.1	Measurement Setup	g		
	A.2.2	E-Field Measurement Probe	10		
	A.2.3	Worst Case Linearization Error			
	A.2.4	Data Evaluation			
Α	.3 SY	STEM CHECK	12		
Α		ST EQUIPMENT LIST			
Α		EASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION			
Α		EXPOSURE LIMITS			
	nex B.	SAR Test & System Description	_		
В	_	AR DEFINITION			
		AR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM			
D					
	B.2.1	SAR Measurement Setup E-Field Measurement Probe			
	B.2.2 B.2.3	E-rield Measurement Probe			
	в.2.3 В.2.4	Device Positioner			
ь					
		TA EVALUATION			
В		STEM AND LIQUID CHECK			
	B.4.1	System Check			
_	B.4.2	Liquid Check			
В		ST EQUIPMENT LIST			
_	B.5.1	Tissue Simulant Liquid			
В		EASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION			
В	.7 RF	EXPOSURE LIMITS	26		
Ann	nex C.	Test Results	27		
С	.1 TE	ST CONDITIONS	27		
	C.1.1	Test positions relative to the phantom	27		
	C.1.2	Test signal, Output power and Test Frequencies	27		
	C.1.3	Evaluation Exclusion and Test Reductions	28		
С	.2 <b>C</b> o	ONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS	29		
	C.2.1	WLAN 6-7GHz (U-NII)	29		
С	.3 Tis	SSUE PARAMETERS MEASUREMENT	31		
С	.4 SY	STEM CHECK MEASUREMENTS	31		
	C.4.1	E-Field	31		
	C.4.2	H-Field	31		
	C.4.3	Local Power Density	31		

# Test Report N° 211216-2.TR02



C.4	1.4	Averaged Power Density	31
C.4	1.5	SAR	32
C.5	TES	T RESULTS	33
C.5	5.1	4SAR - 802.11ax – 6.2 GHz – U-NII-5	33
C.5	5.1	SAR - 802.11ax – 6.5 GHz – U-NII-6	33
C.5	5.1	SAR - 802.11ax – 6.7 GHz – U-NII-7	34
C.5	5.1	SAR - 802.11ax – 7.0 GHz – U-NII-8	34
C.5	5.2	Power Density - 802.11ax – U-NII-5, UNII-6, UNII-7, UNII-8	35
C.5	5.3	Measurement Variability	36
C.5	5.4	Simultaneous Transmission Evaluation – SAR	37
Annex	D.	Test System Plots	38
TSL Die	electr	ic Parameters	51
D.1	HEA	.D LIQUID WIFI 6E	51
Annex	E.	Calibration Certificates	52
Annex	F.	Photographs	53
F.1	TES	T SAMPLE	53
F.2	SAF	R TEST POSITION	54
F.3	PD	TEST POSITION	55
F.4	Ant	ENNA HOST PLATFORM LOCATION AND ADJACENT EDGE POSITIONS RELATIVE TO THE BODY	56
EE		NTON LIGHTS LEVEL DUDING MEACHDEMENTS	<b>57</b>

**FCC** 



### 1. Standards, reference documents and applicable test methods

- FCC 47 CFR Part §2.1093 Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices. Edition October 2019
- 2. FCC 47 CFR Part §1.1310 Radiofrequency radiation exposure limits. Edition October 2019
- 3. FCC OET KDB 248227 D01 v02r02 SAR guidance for IEEE 802.11 (Wi-Fi) transmitters.
- FCC OET KDB 447498 D01 v06 -RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies for Mobile and Portable Devices.
- 5. FCC OET KDB 616217 D04 v01r02 SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet Computers.
- 6. FCC OET KDB 865664 D01 v01r04 SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz.
- 7. FCC OET KDB 865664 D02 v01r02 RF Exposure Compliance Reporting and Documentation Considerations.
- 8. IEEE Std 1528-2013 IEEE Recommended Practice Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communication Devices: Measurement Techniques...
- 9. RF Exposure Policies and Procedures: TCB Workshop October 2020
- IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020 Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Part 1528: Human models, instrumentation, and procedures (Frequency range of 4 MHz to 10 GHz)
- 11. SPEAG Application Note 5G Compliance Testing with DASY6 (5GModule V1.0Beta)

## 2. General conditions, competences and guarantees

- Intel Corporation SAS Wireless RF Lab (Intel WRF Lab) is an Accredited Test Firm recognized by the FCC, with Designation Number FR0011.
- ✓ Intel WRF Lab declines any responsibility with respect to the identified information provided by the customer and that may affect the validity of results.
- ✓ Intel WRF Lab only provides testing services and is committed to providing reliable, unbiased test results and interpretations.
- ✓ Intel WRF Lab is liable to the client for the maintenance of the confidentiality of all information related to the item under test and the results of the test.
- ✓ Intel WRF Lab has developed calibration and proficiency programs for its measurement equipment to ensure correlated and reliable results to its customers.
- ✓ This report is only referred to the item that has undergone the test.
- ✓ This report does not imply an approval of the product by the Certification Bodies or competent Authorities.



# 3. Environmental Conditions

✓ At the site where the measurements were performed the following limits were not exceeded during the tests:

Temperature	21.5°C ± 2°C
Humidity	45.0% ± 10%
Liquid Temperature	20.5°C ± 2°C

# 4. Test samples

Sampl e	Control #	Description	Model	Serial #	Date of receipt	Note
#01	211216-02.S01	Wireless Module installed in convertible PC	TPN-C154	7235239000017	2022-01-11	INPAQ antenna



# 5. EUT Features

The herein information is provided by the customer

The herein information is provided by the customer				
Brand Name	Intel® Wi-Fi 6E AX211			
Model Name	AX211NGW			
Software Version	22.21090.0.0-OEM.DRTU	J.13120		
Driver Version	22.90.0.5			
Prototype / Production	Production			
Host Identification	TPN-C154 series			
Supported Radios	802.11b/g/n/ax	2.4GHz (2400.0 –	2483.5 MHz)	
	802.11a/n/ac/ax 5.2GHz (5150.0 – 5250.0 MHz) 5.3GHz (5250.0 – 5350.0 MHz) 5.6GHz (5470.0 – 5725.0 MHz) 5.8GHz (5725.0 – 5850.0 MHz)			
	802.11ax Bluetooth	802.11ax 6.0GHz (5925.0 – 7125.0 MHz)* Bluetooth 2.4GHz (2400.0 – 2483.5 MHz)		
Antenna Information	Transmitter  Manufacturer  Antenna type  Part number  See Annex F for more de	Main/TX2 INPAQ PIFA DC33002JZ00 WA-P-LBLB-02-128 tails on antennas location.	Aux/TX1 INPAQ PIFA DC33002JZ00 WA-P-LBLB-02-128	
WLAN 6GHz Main + BT Aux* WLAN 6GHz Main + WLAN 6GHz Aux* WLAN 6GHz Main + WLAN 6GHz Aux + BT Aux* WLAN 2.4GHz Main + BT Aux WLAN 2.4GHz Main + BT Aux WLAN 2.4GHz Main + WLAN 2.4GHz Aux WLAN 5GHz Main + BT Aux WLAN 5GHz Main + WLAN 5GHz Aux WLAN 5GHz Main + WLAN 5GHz Aux				
	No WWAN transmitter is	considered in this report		
Additional Information	5.60-5.65 GHz band (TDWR) is supported by the device			
	Band gap is supported by the device			

<sup>\*</sup>Only these bands are treated on this document since this report is limited to WiFi 6E capabilities



# **Radios**

Mode	Duty Cycle	Modulation	Band	UL Freq Range (MHz)	Measured Max. Conducted Power (dBm)
802.11ax	100%	BPSK QPSK 16QAM 64QAM 256QAM	6.2GHz	5955-6415	13.38
802.11ax	100%	BPSK QPSK 16QAM 64QAM 256QAM	6.5GHz	6435-6515	13.22
802.11ax	100%	BPSK QPSK 16QAM 64QAM 256QAM	6.7GHz	6535-6855	12.36
802.11ax	100%	BPSK QPSK 16QAM 64QAM 256QAM	7.0GHz	6875-7115	12.38

Maximum Output power s	SISO	mode		
Equipment Class	Mode	BW (MHz)	Main/Tx2 (dBm)	Aux/Tx1 (dBm)
U-NII-5	802.11ax	20/40/80/160	13.50	13.50
U-NII-6	802.11ax	20/40/80/160	13.50	13.50
U-NII-7	802.11ax	20/40/80/160	12.75	12.75
U-NII-8	802.11ax	20/40/80/160	12.75	12.75



### 6. Remarks and comments

- 1. This report is limited to WiFi 6E capabilities. For all the modes on sub 6GHz bands, DTS, UNII-1, UNII-2A, UNII-2C, UNII-3 and BT refer to:
  - [1] 211216-02.TR01\_FCC-IC\_SAR\_WLAN\_HP TPN-C154\_AX211NGW
- 2. The conducted values are obtained by applying the available power table to the AX211NGW Intel module installed in the TPN-C154 series identified in this report.
- 3. Variability and simultaneous transmission results shown in this report are based on the highest SAR value obtained among all antenna manufacturers.
- 4. Only the plots for the test positions with the highest measured SAR/PD per band/mode are included in Annex C

## 7. Test Verdicts summary

The statement of conformity to applicable standards in the table below are based on the measured values, without taking into account the measurement uncertainties.

Standard	Band	Highest Reported SAR [W/kg]	Verdict
802.11ax	6.2GHz	0.49	Р
802.11ax	6.5GHz	0.34	Р
802.11ax	6.7GHz	0.24	Р
802.11ax	7.0GHz	0.18	Р

Standard	Band	Highest PS <sub>tot</sub> avg [W/m <sup>2</sup> ] 4cm <sup>2</sup>	Verdict
802.11ax	6.2GHz	0.18	Р
802.11ax	6.5GHz	0.11	Р
802.11ax	6.7GHz	0.10	Р
802.11ax	7.0GHz	0.10	Р

P: Pass F: Fail

NM: Not Measured NA: Not Applicable

According to the FCC OET KDB 690783 D01, this is the summary of the values for the Grant Listing:

Highest Reported SAR (1g) (W/kg)				
Exposure Condition	Equipment Class			
Exposure Condition	DSS	U-NII		
Body Worn	0.04	0.49		
Simultaneous Tx	Sum-SAR: 0.91	Sum-SAR: 0.91		

Considering the results of the performed test according to FCC 47CFR Part 2.1093 the item under test is IN COMPLIANCE with the requested specifications specified in Section1. Standards, reference documents and applicable test methods

## 8. Document Revision History

Revision #	Modified by	Revision Details
Rev. 00	A.Lounes	First Issue



# Annex A. PD Test & System Description

### A.1 Power Density Definition

The power density for an electromagnetic field represents the rate of energy transfer per unit area.

The local power density (i.e. Poynting vector) at a given spatial point is deduced from electromagnetic fields by the following formula:

$$\overrightarrow{P_{local}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left( \vec{E} \times \vec{H}^* \right)$$


Where  $\vec{E}$  is the complex electric field peak phasor and  $\vec{H}^*$  is the complex conjugate magnetic field peak phasor. This power density is also called "single-point" or "spot power density".

Considering that the FCC's Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limit is applicable on the average power density inside 1cm<sup>2</sup> area, the single point power densities in the evaluation plane should be averaged inside the 1cm<sup>2</sup> area.

### A.2 Free space Measurement System

### A.2.1 Measurement Setup

The DASY6 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



- ✓ A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Staübli TX/RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. It includes an arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE)
- An mm-wave E-field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurements.
- ✓ A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- ✓ The Electro-optical Converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- ✓ The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movements interrupts.
- ✓ The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- ✓ A computer running Win7 professional operating system and the cDASY6 software.
- ✓ Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.




### A.2.2 E-Field Measurement Probe


The probe consists of two dipoles (0.8 mm length) optimally arranged with different angles ( $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ ) to obtain pseudovector information, printed on glass substrate protected by high density foam that allows low perturbation of the measured field.

Three or more measurements are taken for different probe rotational angles, deriving the amplitude and polarization information.

The probe's characteristics are:

Frequency Range	750 MHz – 110 GHz
Length	320 mm
Probe tip external diameter	8 mm
Probe's two dipoles length	0.9mm – Diode loaded
Probe's substrate	Quartz 0.9 x 20 x 0.18mm
Flobe's substrate	(εr=3.8)
Distance between diode sensors and probe's tip	1.5 mm
Axial Isotropy	±0.6 dB
Maximum operating E-field	3000 V/m
Lower E-field detection threshold	5 V/m @ 60 GHz
Minimum Mechanical separation between probe tip and a Surface	0.5mm
Calibration reference point	Diode Sensor







#### A.2.3 Worst Case Linearization Error

For continuously transmitting signals (100% duty cycle), the worst case linearization error is given by the difference between non linearized voltage and linearized voltage using CW parameters. The error is increasing with the voltage levels. In our particular case, the measured voltages averaged over the signal period are below 1mV. We use 1mV in the below calculation to have the worst case condition. The signal PAR (Peak to Average Ratio) is 6dB and the diode compression point 100mV.

The maximum voltage through the diode is given by:

vpeak = vmeas avg × PARlinear 
$$vpeak=1*4=4 mV$$

The linearized voltage using CW parameter is given by:

vlin peak = vpeak + 
$$\frac{v_{peak}^2}{diode\ compression\ point}$$
  
vlin peak = 4 +  $\frac{4^2}{100}$  = 4.16 mV

The worst case linearization error is:

$$lin \ error = \frac{vlin \ peak}{v \ peak} = \frac{4.16}{4} = 1.04 = 4\%$$

#### A.2.4 Data Evaluation

#### A.2.4.1 Scan

The scan involves the measurement of two planes with three different probe rotations. The grid steps are optimized by the software based on the test frequency. The location of the lowest measurement plane is defined by the distance of first measurement layer from device under test (DUT) entered by the user. The DUT location settings can be used to offset the center of the grid.

### A.2.4.2 Total Field and Power Flux Density Reconstruction

Computation of the power density in general requires knowledge of the electric (E-) and magnetic (H-) field amplitudes and phases in the plane of incidence. Reconstruction of these quantities from pseudo-vector E-field measurements is feasible, as they are constrained by Maxwell's equations.

The reconstruction algorithm developed by the system manufacturer, together with the ability of the probe to measure extremely close to the source without perturbing the field, permits reconstruction of the E- and H-fields, as well as of the power density, on measurement planes located as near as 0.5mm away in the frequency band of 60 GHz.

The average of the reconstructed power density is evaluated over a circular area in each measurement plane. The area of the circle is defined by the user; the default is 1 cm<sup>2</sup>.


### A.3 System Check

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal E-field measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

In the simplified setup for system check, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated source and the power source is replaced by a controlled continuous wave generated by a signal generator. The calibrated source must be placed at the correct distance from the E-field probe according to the calibration certificate.



First, the power meter is connected to the output of the signal generator to measure the forward power at the location of the connector to the system check source. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power to match the system check source calibration setup at the connector as read by power meter. Then the power meter is replaced by the system check source.



The output power on the reference source is set to 10.0 dBm (10 mW) and the measurement results E, H and Avg PD are compared with the Numerical modeling.



# A.4 Test Equipment List

SAR system #3

ID#	Device	Type/Model	Serial Number	Manufacturer	Cal. Date	Cal. Due Date
003-000	6-Axis Robot	TX60L speag	F17/59RCB1/A/01	STAÜBLI	NA	NA
003-001	Robot Controller	CS8C	F17/59RCB1/C/01	STAÜBLI	NA	NA
003-002	5G Phantom	mmWave	NA	SPEAG	NA	NA
003-003	Light Beam Unit	SE UKS 030 AA	1170	Di-soric	NA	NA
003-004	Measurement Server	DASY6	1547	SPEAG	NA	NA
003-005	Electro Optical Converter	EOC60	1104	SPEAG	NA	NA
003-006	Measurement Software	DASY mmWave v2.4.0.55	9-5ED1AC01	SPEAG	NA	NA
088-000	E-Field probe 750MHz- 110GHz	EUmmWV3	9366	SPEAG	2021-05-20	2022-05-20
002-013	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1658	SPEAG	2021-08-04	2022-08-04

Shared equipment

ID#	Device	Type/Model	Serial Number	Manufacturer	Cal. Date	Cal. Due Date
123-000	USB Power Sensor	NRP-Z81	102278	R&S	2021-04-13	2023-04-13
124-000	USB Power Sensor	NRP-Z81	102279	R&S	2021-04-13	2023-04-13
078-000	RF Cable	ST-18/SMAm/SMAm/48	-	Huber & Suhner	2022-02-01	2022-08-01
079-000	RF Cable	ST-18/SMAm/SMAm/48	-	Huber & Suhner	2022-02-01	2022-08-01
141-000	USB Power Sensor	NRP-Z81	104381	R&S	2020-06-03	2022-06-03
327-000	Temp & Humidity Logger	RA32E-TH1-RAS	RA32- F0DED9	AVTECH	2021-03-09	2023-03-09
098-000	Vector Signal Generator	SMW200A 20GHz	103732	R&S	2020-07-20	2022-07-20
198-000	0.8-21GHz RF amplifier	TVA-82-213A+	2004003	Mini-Circuits	2022-02-01	2022-08-01
014-023	Horn reference source	PE9859/SF-15		Pasternack	NA	NA



# A.5 Measurement Uncertainty Evaluation

The system uncertainty evaluation is shown in the table below with a coverage factor of k = 2 to indicate a 95% level of confidence:

DASY6 Uncertainty Budget According to draft of the IEC/IEEE 63159 750 MHz – 110 GHz						
Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±dB)	Probability Distribution	Div.	(Ci)	Std. Unc. (±dB)	(Vi) Veff
Measurement System					•	
Probe calibration	0.49	N	1	1	0.98	<b>∞</b>
Hemispherical Isotropy	0.50	R	√3	1	0.29	8
Linearity	0.20	R	√3	1	0.12	8
System Detection Limits	0.04	R	√3	1	0.02	80
Data acquisition	0.03	N	1	1	0.03	80
Field reconstruction	0.60	R	√3	0.3	0.35	<b>∞</b>
Probe Positioning Repeatabiility	0.04	R	√3	1	0.02	8
Probe Positioning offset	0.30	R	√3	1	0.17	80
Amplitude and Phase Noise	0.04	R	√3	1	0.02	8
Spatial Averaging	0.1	R	√3	1	0.06	8
Frequency Response	0.2	R	√3	1	0.12	8
Test Sample Related					•	
Power Drift	0.21	R	√3	1	0.12	8
Modulation response	0.40	R	√3	1	0.23	8
Device holder influence	0.1	R	√3	1	0.06	8
RF Ambient Noise	0.04	R	√3	1	0.02	8
RF Ambient Reflections 0.04 R $\sqrt{3}$ 1					0.02	8
_	Combined Std. Uncertainty 0.76 dB ∞ Expanded Std. Uncertainty 95% 1.53 dB					



# A.6 RF Exposure Limits

Power density assessments have been made in line with the requirements of FCC 47CFR Part 2.1093, in particular chapter 1.1310 specifying the MPE limits, on the limitation of exposure of the general population / uncontrolled exposure for portable devices.

Exposure Type	Power density (S)
Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure.  1.5GHz – 100GHz	50.0 W/m²
Limits for General Population/ Uncontrolled Exposure.  1.5GHz – 100GHz	10.0 W/m²



# Annex B. SAR Test & System Description

### B.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption rate is defined as the time derivative of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) and incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (p).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \left(\frac{dW}{dm}\right) = \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \left(\frac{dW}{\rho \cdot dV}\right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of watts per kilogram (W/kg). SAR can be related to the electric field at a point by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where:  $\sigma = \text{Conductivity of the tissue (S/m)}$ 

 $\rho$  = Mass density of the tissue (kg/m3) E = RMS electric field strength (V/m)


16 of 58



### **B.2** SAR Measurement System

### **B.2.1 SAR Measurement Setup**

The DASY6 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



- ✓ A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Staübli TX/RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. It includes an arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE)
- ✓ An isotropic field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurements.
- ✓ A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- ✓ The Electro-optical Converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- ✓ The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movements interrupts.
- ✓ The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- ✓ A computer running Win7 professional operating system and the DASY6 software.
- ✓ Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- ✓ The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.
- ✓ MAIA is a hardware interface (Antenna) used to evaluate the modulation and audio interference characteristics of RF signals.
- ✓ ANT is an ultra-wideband antenna for use with the base station simulators over 698 MHz to 6GHz for SAR cellular testing (not used for WLAN testing).
- ✓ The base station simulator is an equipment used for SAR cellular tests in order to emulate the cellular signals characteristics and behavior between a regular base station and the equipment under test.
- ✓ Tissue simulating liquid.
- ✓ System Validation dipoles.
- ✓ Network emulator or RF test tool



### **B.2.2 E-Field Measurement Probe**

The probe is constructed using three orthogonal dipole sensors arranged on an interlocking, triangular prism core. The probe has built-in shielding against static charges and is contained within a PEEK cylindrical enclosure material at the tip.



The probe's characteristics are:

Frequency Range	30MHz – 10GHz
Length	337 mm
Probe tip external diameter	2.5 mm
Typical distance between dipoles and the probe tip	1 mm
Axial Isotropy (in human-equivalent liquids)	±0.3 dB
Hemispherical Isotropy (in human-equivalent liquids)	±0.5 dB
Linearity	±0.2 dB
Maximum operating SAR	100 W/kg
Lower SAR detection threshold	0.001 W/kg

### **B.2.3 Flat Phantom**

Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible with the IEC 62209-2 standard and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is compatible with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and dipoles.

The phantom's characteristics are:

Material	Vinylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)
Shell thickness	2 mm ± 0.2 mm
Filling volume	30 Liters approx.
Dimensions	Major axis: 600mm / Minor axis: 400mm







#### **B.2.4** Device Positioner

The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source at 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of 0.5 mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of 20%. Accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions in which the devices must be measured are defined by the standards.



The DASY device holder is designed to cope with the different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation center for both scales is the ear reference point (ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.

The DASY device holder is constructed of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\epsilon$ =3 and loss tangent  $\delta$ =0.02. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.

A simple but effective and easy-to-use extension for the Mounting Device; facilitates testing of larger devices according to IEC 62209-2 (e.g., laptops, cameras, etc.); lightweight and fits easily on the upper part of the Mounting Device in place of the phone positioner. The extension is fully compatible with the Twin SAM, ELI and other Flat Phantoms.





#### B.3 Data Evaluation

#### Power Reference measurement

The robot measures the E field in a specified reference position that can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section at 4mm of the inner surface of the phantom, 2mm for frequencies above 3GHz.

#### Area Scan

Measurement procedures for evaluating SAR from wireless handsets typically start with a coarse measurement grid to determine the approximate location of the local peak SAR values. This is known as the area-scan procedure. The SAR distribution is scanned along the inside surface of one side of the phantom head, at least for an area larger than the projection of the handset and antenna. The distance between the measured points and phantom surface should be less than 8 mm, and should remain constant (with variation less than ± 1 mm) during the entire scan in order to determine the locations of the local peak SAR with sufficient accuracy. The angle between the probe axis and the surface normal line is recommended but not required to be less than 30°. If this angle is larger than 30° and the closest point on the probe-tip housing to the phantom surface is closer than a probe diameter, the boundary effect may become larger and polarization dependent. This additional uncertainty needs to be analyzed and accounted for. To achieve this, modified test procedures and additional uncertainty analyses not described in this recommended practice may be required. The measurement and interpolation point spacing should be chosen such as to allow identification of the local peak locations to within one-half of the linear dimension of a side of the zoom-scan volume. Because a local peak having specific amplitude and steep gradients may produce a lower peak spatial-average SAR compared to peaks with slightly lower amplitude and less steep gradients, it is necessary to evaluate these other peaks as well. However, since the spatial gradients of local SAR peaks are a function of the wavelength inside the tissue-equivalent liquid and the incident magnetic field strength, it is not necessary to evaluate local peaks that are less than 2 dB or more below the global maximum peak. Two-dimensional spline algorithms (Brishoual et al. 2001; Press et al., 1996) are typically used to determine the peaks and gradients within the scanned area. If a peak is found at a distance from the scan border of less than one-half the edge dimension of the desired 1 g or 10 g cube, the measurement area should be enlarged if possible.

#### Zoom Scan

To evaluate the peak spatial-average SAR values for 1 g or 10 g cubes, fine resolution volume scans, called zoom scans, are performed at the peak SAR locations identified during the area scan. The minimum zoom scan volume size should extend at least 1.5 times the edge dimension of a 1 g cube in all directions from the center of the scan volume, for both 1 g and 10 g peak spatial-average SAR evaluations. Along the phantom curved surfaces, the front face of the volume facing the tissue/liquid interface conforms to the curved boundary, to ensure that all SAR peaks are captured. The back face should be equally distorted to maintain the correct averaging mass. The flatness and orientation of the four side faces are unchanged from that of a cube whose orientation is within  $\pm$  30° of the line normal to the phantom at the center of the cube face next to the phantom surface. The peak local SAR locations that were determined in the area scan (interpolated values) should be used for the centers of the zoom scans. If a scan volume cannot be centered due to proximity of a phantom shape feature, the probe should be tilted to allow scan volume enlargement. If probe tilt is not feasible, the zoom-scan origin may be shifted, but not by more than half of the 1 g or 10 g cube edge dimension.

After the zoom-scan measurement, extrapolations from the closest measured points to the surface, for example along lines parallel to the zoom-scan centerline, and interpolations to a finer resolution between all measured and extrapolated points are performed. Extrapolation algorithm considerations are described in 6.5.3, and 3-D spline methods (Brishoual et al., 2001; Kreyszig, 1983; Press et al., 1996) can be used for interpolation. The peak spatial-average SAR is finally determined by a numerical averaging of the local SAR values in the interpolation grid, using for example a trapezoidal algorithm for the integration (averaging).

In some areas of the phantom, such as the jaw and upper head regions, the angle of the probe with respect to the line normal to the surface may be relatively large, e.g., greater than  $\pm$  30°, which could increase the boundary effect error to a larger level. In these cases, during the zoom scan a change in the orientation of the probe, the phantom, or both is recommended but not required for the duration of the zoom scan, so that the angle between the probe axis and the line normal to the surface is within 30° for all measurement points.



#### • Power Drift measurement

The robot re-measures the E-Field in the same reference location measured at the Power Reference. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the first to the last reference reading. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test that must remain within a maximum variation of ±5%.

### Post-processing

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1528 and IEC 62209-1/2 standards. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g.

The software allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such as:

- ✓ Maximum search
- ✓ Extrapolation
- √ Boundary correction
- ✓ Peak search for averaged SAR

Interpolation between the measured points is performed when the resolution of the grid is not fine enough to compute the average SAR over a given mass.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.




### B.4 System and Liquid Check

### **B.4.1 System Check**

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results.

The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

In the simplified setup for system check, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a controlled continuous wave generated by a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the phantom at the correct distance.



The equipment setup is shown below:

- ✓ Signal Generator
- ✓ Amplifier
- ✓ Directional coupler
- ✓ Power meter
- ✓ Calibrated dipole

First, the power meter PM1 (including attenuator Att1) is connected to the cable to measure the forward power at the location of the connector (x) to the system check source. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power at the connector as read by power meter PM1 after attenuation Att1 and also as coupled through Att2 to PM2. After connecting the cable to the source, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

SAR results are normalized to a forward power of 1W to compare the values with the calibration reports results as described at IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209 standards.

### **B.4.2 Liquid Check**

The dielectric parameters check is done prior to the use of the tissue simulating liquid. The verification is made by comparing the relative permittivity and conductivity to the values recommended by the applicable standards.

The liquid verification was performed using the following test setup:

- ✓ VNA (Vector Network Analyzer)
- ✓ Open-Short-Load calibration kit
- ✓ RF Cable
- ✓ Open-Ended Coaxial probe
- ✓ DAK software tool
- ✓ SAR Liquid
- ✓ De-ionized water
- √ Thermometer

These are the target dielectric properties of the tissue-equivalent liquid material according to the manufacturer's datasheet:

Frequency	Head Tissue Simulating Medium				
(MHz)	ε <sub>r</sub> (F/m)	σ (S/m)			
6000	35.07	5.48			
6500	34.46	6.07			
7000	33.88	6.65			

( $\epsilon_r$  = relative permittivity,  $\sigma$  = conductivity and  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m3)

The measurement system implement a SAR error compensation algorithm as documented in IEEE Std 1528-2013 (equivalent to draft standard IEEE P1528-2011) to automatically compensate the measured SAR results for deviations between the measured and required tissue dielectric parameters (applied to only scale up the measured SAR, and not downward) so, according to FCC OET KDB 865664 D01, the tolerance for  $\varepsilon_r$  and  $\sigma$  may be relaxed to  $\pm$  10%.

# B.5 Test Equipment List

SAR system #4

ID#	Device	Type/Model	Serial Number	Manufacturer	Cal. Date	Cal. Due Date
086-000	Dosimetric E-field Probe	EX3DV4	7455	SPEAG	2022-03-19	2022-03-19
004-007	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1628	SPEAG	2021-08-06	2022-08-06
004-000	6-axis Robot	TX90 XL	F11/5JL2A1/A/01	STAÜBLI	n/a	n/a
004-001	Robot Controller	CS8C	F11/5JL2A1/C/01	STAÜBLI	n/a	n/a
004-005	Measurement Server	DASY6 P/N: SE UMS 028 BB	-	SPEAG	n/a	n/a
004-004	Light Beam Unit	SE UKS 030 AA	1030	Di-soric	n/a	n/a
004-002	Oval Flat Phantom	ELI v8.0	2124	SPEAG	n/a	n/a
004-005	Measurement SW	DASY6 16.0	9-658E90FA	SPEAG	n/a	n/a
004-010	Laptop Holder	P/N SM LH1 001 CD	-	SPEAG	n/a	n/a

# Shared equipment

ID#	Device	Type/Model	Serial Number	Manufacturer	Cal. Date	Cal. Due Date
123-000	USB Power Sensor	NRP-Z81	102278	R&S	2021-04-13	2023-04-13
124-000	USB Power Sensor	NRP-Z81	102279	R&S	2021-04-13	2023-04-13
077-000	Coupler	CD0.5-8-20-30	1251-002	Amd-group	2022-02-01	2022-08-01
078-000	RF Cable	ST-18/SMAm/SMAm/48	-	Huber & Suhner	2022-02-01	2022-08-01
079-000	RF Cable	ST-18/SMAm/SMAm/48	-	Huber & Suhner	2022-02-01	2022-08-01
384-000	0.1-6GHz RF amplifier	AMT-A0328	1818	Agile Microwave Technology	2022-02-01	2022-08-01
098-000	Vector Signal Generator	SMW200A 20GHz	103732	R&S	2020-07-20	2022-07-20
327-000	Temp & Humidity Logger	RA32E-TH1-RAS	RA32-F0DED9	AVTECH	2021-03-09	2023-03-09
099-000	Liquid measurement SW	DAK-3.5 V2.6.0.5	9-2687B491	SPEAG	n/a	n/a
369-000	Dielectric Probe Kit	DAK-3.5	1309	SPEAG	2021-03-10	2023-03-10
089-000	VNA	ZNB 40	101740	R&S	2020-07-10	2022-07-10
096-000	System Validation Dipole 6500MHz	D6.5GHzV2	1013	SPEAG	2020-09-25	2022-09-25

# **B.5.1 Tissue Simulant Liquid**

TSL	Manufacturer / Model	Freq Range (MHz)	Main Ingredients
Head WideBand	SPEAG HBBL600-10000V6 Batch 191016-02	600-10000	Ethanediol, Sodium petroleum sulfonate, Hexylene Glycol / 2-Methyl-pentane-2.4- diol, Alkoxylated alcohol



# **B.6** Measurement Uncertainty Evaluation

The system uncertainty evaluation is shown in the table below with a coverage factor of k=2 to indicate a 95% level of confidence:

	SPEAG DASY6 Uncertainty Budget According to IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 (6 GHz - 10 GHz)							
Symbol	Error Description	Uncert Prob (ci) (ci) Std Unc Std Unc					Std Unc.	
Measure	Measurement System Errors							
CF	Probe Calibration	±18.6 %	N	2	1	1	±9.3 %	±9.3 %
CFdrift	Probe Calibration Drift	±1.0 %	N	1	1	1	±1.0 %	±1.0 %
LIN	Probe Linearity	±4.7 %	R	√3	1	1	±2.7 %	±2.7 %
BBS	Broadband Signal	±3.0 %	N	2	1	1	±1.5 %	±1.5 %
ISO	Axial Isotropy	±4.7 %	R	√3	0.5	0.5	±1.4 %	±1.4 %
ISO	Hemspherical Isotropy	±9.6 %	R	√3	0.5	0.5	±2.8 %	±2.8 %
DAE	Data Acquisition	±0.3 %	N	1	1	1	±0.3 %	±0.3 %
AMB	RF Ambient	±1.8 %	N	1	1	1	±1.8 %	±1.8 %
Δ sys	Probe Positioning	±0.2 %	N	1	0.33	0.33	±0.1 %	±0.1 %
DAT	Data Processing	±3.5 %	N	1	1	1	±3.5 %	±3.5 %
Phanton	and Device Errors							
LIQ(σ)	Conductivity (meas.)DAK	±2.5 %	N	1	0.78	0.71	±2.0 %	±1.8 %
LIQ(Tσ)	Conductivity (temp.)BB	±2.4 %	R	√3	0.78	0.71	±1.1 %	±1.0 %
EPS	Phantom Permittivity	±14.0 %	R	√3	0.5	0.5	±4.0 %	±4.0 %
DAS	Distance DUT - TSL	±2.0 %	N	1	2	2	±4.0 %	±4.0 %
Н	Device Holder	±3.6 %	N	1	1	1	±3.6 %	±3.6 %
MOD	DUT Modulation <sub>m</sub>	±2.4 %	R	√3	1	1	±1.4 %	±1.4 %
TAS	Time-average SAR	±2.6 %	R	√3	1	1	±1.5 %	±1.5 %
RFdrif t	DUT drift	±5.0 %	N	1	1	1	±2.9 %	±2.9 %
Correcti	on to the SAR results							
C(ε, σ)	Deviation to Target	±1.9 %	N	1	1	0.84	±1.9 %	±1.6 %
C(R)	SAR scaling <sub>p</sub>	±0 %	R	√3	1	1	±0 %	±0 %
Comb	pined Std. Uncertainty						±13.7 %	±13.7 %
Expand	ded STD Uncertainty						±27.5 %	±27.3 %



# B.7 RF Exposure Limits

SAR assessments have been made in line with the requirements of FCC 47CFR Part 2.1093 on the limitation of exposure of the general population / uncontrolled exposure for portable devices.

Exposure Type	General Population / Uncontrolled Environment
Peak spatial-average SAR (averaged over any 1 gram of tissue)	1.6 W/kg
Whole body average SAR	0.08 W/kg
Peak spatial-average SAR (extremities) (averaged over any 10 grams of tissue)	4.0 W/kg



# Annex C. Test Results

The herein test results were performed by:

Test case measurement	Test Engineer		
Conducted measurement	F. Heurtematte		
SAR/PD measurement	R.Luciani		

#### C.1 Test Conditions

### C.1.1 Test positions relative to the phantom

The device under test was an Intel® Wi-Fi 6E AX211 card inside a convertible PC host platform (TPN-C154 series) using a set of PIFA antenna. The card was operated utilizing proprietary software (DRTU version 22.21090.0.0-OEM.DRTU.13120) and each channel was measured using a broadband power meter to determine the maximum average power.

According to FCC OET KDB 616217 D04, laptop position should be tested for SAR compliance with the display screen opened at an angle of 90° to the keyboard compartment and the notebook bottom surface must be touching the phantom.

According to FCC OET KDB 616217 D04, adjacent edges should be tested for SAR compliance with the tablet touching the phantom. The SAR Test Exclusion Threshold in FCC OET KDB 447498 D01 can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations.

As per the Interim Procedures for UNII 6-7GHz RF Exposure, explained in *RF Exposure Policies and Procedures: TCB Workshop – October 2020*, the testing has been performed on SAR following IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020 and then on Power Density for the highest SAR test configurations.

The power density measurement was tested in the relative highest SAR position. Due to constraints on the power density method of testing, the testing at 0mm distance from the DUT could not be performed. The closest distance, without damaging the test system, was chosen to be 2.5mm

See Section G.2 for more information on the tested positions

### C.1.2 Test signal, Output power and Test Frequencies

For 802.11 transmission modes the device was put into operation by using an own control software to program the test mode required to select the continuous transmission with 100% duty cycle.

The output power of the device was set to transmit at maximum power for all tests.



### C.1.3 Evaluation Exclusion and Test Reductions

#### C.1.3.1 SAR evaluation exclusion

The SAR Test Exclusion Threshold in FCC OET KDB 447498 D01 v06 can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations. For 100MHz to 6GHz and test separation distances ≤50mm, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds are determined by the following formula:

[(max. power of channel, including tune – up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] 
$$\cdot \left[ \sqrt{f_{(GHz)}} \right]$$
 (1)  $\leq 3.0 \ for \ 1g \ SAR, \ and \ \leq 7.5 \ for \ 10g \ extremity \ SAR$ 

#### Where:

- f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
- Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
- The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison
- The values 3.0 and 7.5 are referred to as numeric thresholds

The test exclusions are applicable only when the minimum test separation distance is  $\leq$  50 mm, and for transmission frequencies between 100 MHz and 6 GHz. When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

For test separation distances > 50 mm, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds are determined using the following formulas:

$$\langle (Power \ allowed \ at \ numeric \ threshold \ for \ 50 \ mm \ in \ (1)) + (test \ separation \ distance - 50 \ mm) \cdot (f_{MHz}/150) \rangle mW,$$
 (2) 
$$\langle (Power \ allowed \ at \ numeric \ threshold \ for \ 50 \ mm \ in \ (1)) + (test \ separation \ distance - 50 \ mm) \cdot 10) \rangle mW,$$
 for  $1500MHz \ and \leq 6GHz$  (3)

		Output	power	
LAN Antenna	Band Name	dBm	mW	Laptop
	U-NII-5	13.50	22.39	<50
WLAN	U-NII-6	13.50	22.39	<50
Main	U-NII-7	12.75	18.84	<50
	U-NII-8	12.75	18.84	<50
	U-NII-5	13.50	22.39	<50
WLAN Aux	U-NII-6	13.50	22.39	<50
	U-NII-7	12.75	18.84	<50
	U-NII-8	12.75	18.84	<50

Laptop	Bottom Edge	Back Face
Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	Т

T: Tested position

R: Reduced

See Annex F for a more detailed explanation of the separation distance related to the platform.



# **C.2** Conducted Power Measurements

# C.2.1 WLAN 6-7GHz (U-NII)

# C.2.1.1 6.2GHz (U-NII-5)

					Aux	/Tx1	Main/	Гх2	
Band	Mode Data Rate	BW	Ch#	Freq (MH z)	INPAQ	Tune-up Pwr (dBm)	INPAQ	Tune-up Pwr (dBm)	SAR Test?
	802.11ax HE0	20	1	5955		5.00		5.00	
	802.11ax HE0	20	45	6175		5.00		5.00	
	802.11ax HE0	20	93	6415		5.00		5.00	
	802.11ax HE0	40	3	5965		8.25		8.25	
	802.11ax HE0	40	43	6165	NR	8.25	NR	8.25	No
U-NII-5	802.11ax HE0	40	91	6405		8.25		8.25	
<u></u> 5	802.11ax HE0	80	7	5985		10.75		10.75	
	802.11ax HE0	80	39	6145		10.75		10.75	
	802.11ax HE0	80	87	6385		10.75		10.75	
	802.11ax HE0	160	15	6025	13.38	13.50	13.13	13.50	Yes
	802.11ax HE0	160	47	6185	13.31	13.50	13.22	13.50	No
laidal taat	802.11ax HE0	160	79	6345	13.19	13.50	13.21	13.50	Yes

Initial test configuration

1. NR: Not Required

# C.2.1.2 6.5GHz (U-NII-6)

						Aux/T	x1	Main/	Гх2	
	Band	Mode Data Rate	BW	Ch#	Freq (MH z)	INPAQ	Tune-up Pwr (dBm)	INPAQ	Tune-up Pwr (dBm)	SAR Test?
		802.11ax HE0	20	97	6435	NR	4.00	NR	4.00	
			20	105	6475		4.00		4.00	No
			20	113	6515		4.00		4.00	
	Ç	802.11ax	40	99	6445		8.25		8.25	
	U-NII-6	HE0	40	107	6485		8.25		8.25	
1-6	802.11ax	80	103	6465		10.75		10.75		
	HE0	80	119	6545		10.75		10.75		
		802.11ax HE0	160	111	6505	13.05	13.50	13.22	13.50	Yes

Initial test configuration

1. NR: Not Required



# C.2.1.3 6.7GHz (U-NII-7)

					Aux/	Tx1	Main/Tx2		
Band	Mode Data Rate	BW	Ch#	Freq (MH z)	INPAQ	Tune-up Pwr (dBm)	INPAQ	Tune-up Pwr (dBm)	SAR Test?
	222.44	20	117	6535		4.00	NR	4.00	
	802.11ax HE0 20	20	149	6695		4.00		4.00	No
		20	181	6855	NR	4.00		4.00	
	200.44	40	115	6525		7.50		7.50	
Ç	HE0	40	147	6685		7.50		7.50	
U-NII-7		40	179	6845		7.50		7.50	
-7	200.44	80	135	6625		10.00		10.00	
	802.11ax HE0	80	151	6705		10.00		10.00	
	TILO	80	167	6785		10.00		10.00	
	802.11ax HE0	160	143	6665	12.05	12.75	12.36	12.75	Yes
		160	175	6825	11.94	12.75	12.34	12.75	Yes

Initial test configuration

1. NR: Not Required

# C.2.1.4 7.0GHz (U-NII-8)

						Aux/T	x1	Main/	Гх2	
	Band	Mode	Data Rate	Ch#	Freq (MH z)	INPAQ	Tune-up Pwr (dBm)	INPAQ	Tune-up Pwr (dBm)	SAR Test?
		000 44	20	185	6875		3.50		3.50	
802.11ax HE0	802.11ax HF0	20	209	6995		3.50		3.50		
		1120	20	233	7115	NR -	3.50	NR	3.50	No
	_	002.11ax	40	187	6885		7.50		7.50	
	8-IIN-N		40	227	7085		7.50		7.50	
	II-8	000.44	80	183	6865		10.00		10.00	
		802.11ax HE0	80	199	6945		10.00		10.00	
	1120	80	215	7025		10.00	-	10.00		
		802.11ax HE0	160	207	6985	12.38	12.75	12.34	12.75	Yes

Initial test configuration

1. NR: Not Required

#### C.3 Tissue Parameters Measurement

#### **Head TSL**

Freq.	Target Pa	arameters	Measur Paran	ed TSL neters	Devia	Date	
(MHz)	ε' (F/m)	σ (S/m)	ε' (F/m)	σ (S/m)	ε'	σ	
6500.0	34.46	6.07	33.42	6.35	-3.02	4.61	2022-02-10

See D.1 for more details.

### C.4 System Check Measurements

#### C.4.1 E-Field

Frequency	Signal Type	Target E-field (V/m)	Measured E-field (V/m)	Deviation (%)	Date
6.5GHz	Continuous Wave	52.47	55.39	5.56	2022-02-13

The E-fields presented in the System Check Measurements table are Peak values. The target E-field value is obtained by simulation. The maximum target E-field value at 10 mm with 10 dBm (10 mW) source power is 52.47 V/m. The maximum measured E-field value at 10 mm with 10 dBm (10 mW) is 55.39 V/m.

### C.4.2 H-Field

Frequency	Signal Type	Target H-field (A/m)	Measured H-field (A/m)	Deviation (%)	Date
6.5 GHz	Continuous Wave	0.14	0.13	-7.14	2022-02-13

The H-fields presented in the System Check Measurements table are Peak values. The target H-field value is obtained by simulation. The maximum target H-field value at 10 mm with 10 dBm (10 mW) source power is 0.14 A/m. The maximum measured E-field value at 10 mm with 10 dBm (10 mW) is 0.13 A/m.

### C.4.3 Local Power Density

Frequency	Signal Type	Target Local Power Density (W/m2)	Measured Local Power Density (W/m2)	Deviation (%)	Date
6.5 GHz	Continuous Wave	3.46	3.58	3.47	2022-02-13

The Local Power Density presented in the System Check Measurements table are Peak values. The target Local Power Density value is obtained by simulation. The maximum target Local Power Density value at 10 mm with 10 dBm (10 mW) source power is 3.46 W/m². The maximum measured E-field value at 10 mm with 10 dBm (10 mW) is 3.58 W/m².

### C.4.4 Averaged Power Density

Frequency	Signal Type	Target Spatially Averaged Power Density (W/m2)	Measured Spatially Averaged Power Density (W/m2)	Deviation (%)	Date
6.5 GHz	Continuous Wave	3.31	3.44	3.93	2022-02-13

The Spatially Averaged Power Density presented in the System Check Measurements table are Peak values. The target Spatially Averaged Power Density value is obtained by simulation. The maximum target Spatially Averaged Power Density value at 10 mm with 10 dBm (10 mW) source power is 3.31 W/m². The maximum measured Spatially Averaged Power Density value at 10 mm with 10 dBm (10 mW) is 3.44 W/m².

See Annex D for more details.



# C.4.5 SAR

# **Head Measurements**

Frequency (MHz)	Average	Target SAR (W/Kg)	Measured SAR (W/Kg)	Deviation to target (%)	Limit (%)	Date
6500	1g	291.0	287.9	-1.08	10.00	2022-01-10
6500	10g	53.8	53.21	-1.10	10.00	2022-01-10



### C.5 Test Results

# C.5.1 SAR - 802.11ax - 6.2 GHz - U-NII-5

Antenna Manufacturer	Mode Data	BW (MHz)	Channel Number	Freq (MHz)	Test position	Ant	Scalin g Factor	Measu red SAR	Report ed SAR	Estim epitheli (W/n	ial PD	No Plot
a.rarastara	Rate	(		(	mode		(dB).	1g. (W/kg)	1g (W/Kg)	1cm <sup>2</sup>	4cm <sup>2</sup>	
			15	6025		Main	0.37	0.04	0.05			
			79	6345	Laptop	IVIAIII	0.29	0.05	0.05			
			15	6025		A	0.12	0.03	0.03			
			79	6345		Aux	0.31	0.03	0.04			
			15	6025	Bottom	Main	0.37	0.45	0.49	4.51	3.11	1
INIDAO	802.11ax	160	79	6345		Main	0.29	0.36	0.39			
INPAQ	HE0	160	15	6025	Edge	Auv	0.12	0.27	0.28			
			79	6345		Aux	0.31	0.35	0.38			
			15	6025		Main	0.37	0.06	0.07			
			79	6345	Back Face	IVIAIII	0.29	0.05	0.05			
			15	6025		Auv	0.12	0.06	0.06			
			79	6345		Aux	0.31	0.05	0.06			

<sup>\*</sup> For reference purposes only, not specifically for compliance, the estimated absorbed (epithelial) power density derived from the measured SAR is shown

# C.5.1 SAR - 802.11ax - 6.5 GHz - U-NII-6

Antenn a	Mode Data	BW	Channe	Freq	Test position	Ant	Scaling Factor	Measur ed SAR	Reporte d SAR	Estimated epithelial PD (W/m²)*		No
Manufa cturer	Rate	(MHz)	Number	(MHz)	mode		(dB).	1g. (W/kg)	1g (W/Kg)	1cm <sup>2</sup>	4cm <sup>2</sup>	Plot
					Lonton	Main	0.28	0.03	0.03			
	802.11a AQ x 160 111 6505		Laptop	Aux	0.45	0.03	0.03					
INPAQ		111	6505	Bottom	Main	0.28	0.32	0.34	3.20	2.22	2	
	HE0				Edge	Aux	0.45	0.18	0.20			
					Back	Main	0.28	0.04	0.04			
					Face	Aux	0.45	0.04	0.04			

<sup>\*</sup> For reference purposes only, not specifically for compliance, the estimated absorbed (epithelial) power density derived from the measured SAR is shown



# C.5.1 SAR - 802.11ax - 6.7 GHz - U-NII-7

Antenna Manufacture	Mode Data Rate	BW (MH	Channel Number	Freq (MHz)	Test position	Ant	Scaling Factor	Measured SAR 1g.	Reported SAR 1g	epithe	nated lial PD m²)*	No Plot
r		z)		()	mode		(dB).	(dB). (W/kg)	(W/Kg)	1cm <sup>2</sup>	4cm <sup>2</sup>	00
					Lonton	Main	0.39	0.01	0.02			
					Bottom Edge  Back Face	Aux	0.70	0.03	0.03			
INDAG	802.11ax	400	4.40	0005		Main	0.39	0.22	0.24	2.15	1.45	3
INPAQ	HE0	160	143	6665		Aux	0.70	0.16	0.19			
						Main	0.39	0.03	0.03			
						Aux	0.70	0.03	0.04			

<sup>\*</sup> For reference purposes only, not specifically for compliance, the estimated absorbed (epithelial) power density derived from the measured SAR is shown

### C.5.1 SAR - 802.11ax - 7.0 GHz - U-NII-8

Antenna Manufacture	Mode Data Rate	BW (MH z)	Channel Number	Freq (MHz)	Test position mode	sition Ant		Scaling Measured Factor SAR 1g. (W/kg)	Reported SAR 1g (W/Kg)	Estimated epithelial PD (W/m²)*		No Plot
<u>'</u>		-,				(GD).	(Wing)		1cm <sup>2</sup>	4cm <sup>2</sup>		
					Laptop	Main	0.41	0.02	0.02			
					Bottom Edge Back Face	Aux	0.37	0.03	0.03			
INPAQ	802.11ax	160	207	6985		Main	0.41	0.16	0.18	1.61	1.01	4
INPAQ	HE0					Aux	0.37	0.15	0.16			
						Main	0.41	0.02	0.02			
						Aux	0.37	0.03	0.03			

<sup>\*</sup> For reference purposes only, not specifically for compliance, the estimated absorbed (epithelial) power density derived from the measured SAR is shown



# C.5.2 Power Density - 802.11ax - U-NII-5, UNII-6, UNII-7, UNII-8

Ant.	Transmitter	Mode Data rate	BW (MHz)	Ch#	Freq (MHz)	Position	PStot avg [W/m²] 1cm²	PStot avg [W/m²] 4cm²	EM E [V/m]	EM H [A/m]	Plot #
INPAQ	Main/Tx2	802.11ax	400	15	6025	Bottom Edge	0.27	0.18	15.4	0.04	5
INPAQ	IVIAITI/ I XZ	HE0	160	79	6345		0.18	0.15	10.6	0.04	

A	ınt.	Transmitter	Mode Data Rate	BW (MHz)	Ch#	Freq (MHz)	Position	PStot avg [W/m²] 1cm²	PStot avg [W/m²] 4cm²	EM E [V/m]	EM H [A/m]	Plot #
INF	PAQ	Main/Tx2	802.11ax HE0	160	111	6505	Bottom Edge	0.13	0.11	12.3	0.04	6

Ant.	Transmitter	Mode Data Rate	BW (MHz)	Ch #	Freq (MHz)	Position	PStot avg [W/m²] 1cm²	PStot avg [W/m²] 4cm²	EM E [V/m]	EM H [A/m]	Plot #
INPAQ	Main/Tx2	802.11ax HE0	160	143	6665	Bottom Edge	0.14	0.10	12.8	0.04	7

Ant.	Transmitter	Mode Data rate	BW (MHz)	Ch#	Freq (MHz)	Position	PStot avg [W/m²] 1cm²	PStot avg [W/m²] 4cm²	EM E [V/m]	EM H [A/m]	Plot #
INPAQ	Main/Tx2	802.11ax HE0	160	207	6985	Bottom Edge	0.12	0.10	12.9	0.04	8



# C.5.3 Measurement Variability

According to FCC OET KDB 865664, SAR Measurement variability is assessed when the maximum initial measured SAR is >=0.8 W/kg for a certain band/mode. Since all the results are <0.8W/kg, no variability measurement is needed



#### C.5.4 Simultaneous Transmission Evaluation - SAR

According to FCC OET KDB 447498 D01, when the sum of 1g SAR for all simultaneously transmitting antennas in an operating mode and exposure condition combination is within the SAR limit, SAR test exclusion applies to that simultaneous transmission configuration.

All the values stated in the table below are the worst case found for standalone measurement with disregard of the

transmission mode or channel where the worst case was found

Antenna	Position	Highest Reported SAR (1g) (W/Kg)		
		WLAN 6.0GHz	Bluetooth*	
	Laptop	0.04	0.01	
Aux/Tx1	Back Face	0.06	0.02	
	Bottom Edge	0.38	0.04	
	Laptop	0.05		
Main/Tx2	Back Face	0.07		
	Bottom Edge	0.49		

<sup>\*</sup>For Bluetooth value refer to report: 211216-02.TR01\_FCC-IC\_SAR\_WLAN\_HP TPN-C154\_AX211NGW

Position	Simultaneous Tx A	ntenna Combination	Σ SAR 1g (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)
	Aux/Tx1	Main/Tx2		
	WLAN 6.0GHz	WLAN 6.0GHz	0.09	
Laptop	WLAN 6.0GHz + BT	WLAN 6.0GHz	0.10	
	BT	WLAN 6.0GHz	0.06	
	WLAN 6.0GHz	WLAN 6.0GHz	0.13	
Back Face	WLAN 6.0GHz + BT	WLAN 6.0GHz	0.15	1.6
	BT	WLAN 6.0GHz	0.09	
	WLAN 6.0GHz	WLAN 6.0GHz	0.87	
Bottom Edge	WLAN 6.0GHz + BT	WLAN 6.0GHz	0.91	
	BT	WLAN 6.0GHz	0.53	

Considering the results described above and according to the simultaneous transmission evaluation exclusions described in FCC OET KDB 447498 D01, no SPLSR calculation nor enlarged zoom scan measurements are required.



# Annex D. Test System Plots

1.	U-NII-5 - 802.11ax, CH15, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2 Transmitter – Bottom Edge (SAR)	39
2.	U-NII-6 - 802.11ax, CH111, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2 Transmitter - Bottom Edge (SAR)	40
3.	U-NII-7 - 802.11ax, CH143, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2 Transmitter – Bottom Edge (SAR)	41
4.	U-NII-8 - 802.11ax, CH207, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2 Transmitter - Bottom Edge (SAR)	42
5.	U-NII-5 - 802.11ax, CH15, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2Transmitter – Bottom Edge (PD)	43
6.	U-NII-6 - 802.11ax, CH111, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2Transmitter - Bottom Edge (PD)	44
7.	U-NII-7 - 802.11ax, CH143, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2Transmitter – Bottom Edge (PD)	45
8.	U-NII-8 - 802.11ax, CH207, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2Transmitter – Bottom Edge (PD)	46
9.	Power Density System Check From 6500MHz	47
10.	SAR System Check From 6500MHz	50



# 1. U-NII-5 - 802.11ax, CH15, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2 Transmitter – Bottom Edge (SAR)

#### **Device under Test Properties**

Name, Manufacturer	Dimensions [mm]	IMEI	DUT Type
TPN-C154	240.0 x 350.0 x 20.0	7235239000017	Convertible PC

#### **Exposure Conditions**

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Conversion Factor	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat HSL	EDGE BOTTOM 0.00	U-NII-5	WLAN10755AAC	6025.0 15	5.2	5.64	34.2


#### **Hardware Setup**

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
ELI V8.0 (20deg probe tilt) -	HBBL-600-10000 , 2022-Feb-10	EX3DV4 - SN7455, 2021-03-19	DAE4 Sn1628, 2021-08-06
2124			

#### Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	80.0 x 80.0	22.0 x 22.0 x 22.0
Grid Steps [mm]	10.0 x 10.0	3.4 x 3.4 x 1.4
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4
Graded Grid	Yes	Yes
Grading Ratio	1.5	1.4
MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA
Surface Detection	Yes	Yes
Scan Method	Measured	Measured

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2022-02-10,	2022-02-10, 17:12
	17:00	
SAR1g [W/Kg]	0.394	0.451
SAR10g [W/Kg]	0.122	0.134
Power Drift [dB]	0.10	0.00
Power Scaling	Disabled	Disabled
Scaling Factor		
[dB]		
TSL Correction	Positive Only	Positive Only





# 2. U-NII-6 - 802.11ax, CH111, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2 Transmitter – Bottom Edge (SAR)

#### **Device under Test Properties**

Name, Manufacturer	Dimensions [mm]	IMEI	DUT Type
TPN-C154	240.0 x 350.0 x 20.0	7235239000017	Convertible PC

### **Exposure Conditions**

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Conversion Factor	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat HSL	EDGE BOTTOM 0.00	U-NII-6	WLAN10755AAC	6505.0 111	5.2	6.35	33.4


#### **Hardware Setup**

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
ELI V8.0 (20deg probe tilt) -	HBBL-600-10000 , 2022-Feb-10	EX3DV4 - SN7455, 2021-03-19	DAE4 Sn1628, 2021-08-06

#### Scan Setup

Area Scan	Zoom Scan
80.0 x 80.0	22.0 x 22.0 x 22.0
10.0 x 10.0	3.4 x 3.4 x 1.4
3.0	1.4
Yes	Yes
1.5	1.4
Confirmed by MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA
Yes	Yes
Measured	Measured
	80.0 x 80.0 10.0 x 10.0 3.0 Yes 1.5 Confirmed by MAIA Yes

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2022-02-10,	2022-02-10, 16:16
	16:04	
SAR1g [W/Kg]	0.261	0.320
SAR10g [W/Kg]	0.081	0.095
Power Drift [dB]	-0.02	0.08
Power Scaling	Disabled	Disabled
Scaling Factor		
[dB]		
TSL Correction	Positive Only	Positive Only





## 3. U-NII-7 - 802.11ax, CH143, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2 Transmitter - Bottom Edge (SAR)

#### **Device under Test Properties**

Name, Manufacturer	Dimensions [mm]	IMEI	DUT Type
TPN-C154	240.0 x 350.0 x 20.0	7235239000017	Convertible PC

#### **Exposure Conditions**

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Conversion Factor	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat HSL	EDGE BOTTOM 0.00	U-NII-7	WLAN10755AAC	6665.0 143	5.2	6.56	33.0


#### **Hardware Setup**

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
ELI V8.0 (20deg probe tilt) -	HBBL-600-10000 , 2022-Feb-10	EX3DV4 - SN7455, 2021-03-19	DAE4 Sn1628, 2021-08-06
2124			

#### Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	80.0 x 80.0	22.0 x 22.0 x 22.0
Grid Steps [mm]	10.0 x 10.0	3.4 x 3.4 x 1.4
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4
Graded Grid	Yes	Yes
Grading Ratio	1.5	1.4
MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA
Surface Detection	Yes	Yes
Scan Method	Measured	Measured

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2022-02-10,	2022-02-10, 09:36
	09:24	
SAR1g [W/Kg]	0.175	0.215
SAR10g [W/Kg]	0.054	0.062
Power Drift [dB]	-0.08	-0.06
Power Scaling	Disabled	Disabled
Scaling Factor		
[dB]		
TSL Correction	Positive Only	Positive Only





## 4. U-NII-8 - 802.11ax, CH207, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2 Transmitter – Bottom Edge (SAR)

#### **Device under Test Properties**

Name, Manufacturer	Dimensions [mm]	IMEI	DUT Type
TPN-C154	240.0 x 350.0 x 20.0	7235239000017	Convertible PC

#### **Exposure Conditions**

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Conversion Factor	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat HSL	EDGE BOTTOM 0.00	U-NII-8	WLAN10755AAC	6985.0 207	5.2	6.94	32.5


#### **Hardware Setup**

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
ELI V8.0 (20deg probe tilt) -	HBBL-600-10000 , 2022-Feb-10	EX3DV4 - SN7455, 2021-03-19	DAE4 Sn1628, 2021-08-06
2124			

#### Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	80.0 x 80.0	22.0 x 22.0 x 22.0
Grid Steps [mm]	10.0 x 10.0	3.4 x 3.4 x 1.4
Sensor Surface	3.0	1.4
[mm]		
Graded Grid	Yes	Yes
Grading Ratio	1.5	1.4
MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA
Surface Detection	Yes	Yes
Scan Method	Measured	Measured

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2022-02-11,	2022-02-11, 09:54
	09:43	
SAR1g [W/Kg]	0.119	0.161
SAR10g [W/Kg]	0.035	0.044
Power Drift [dB]	0.20	-0.20
Power Scaling	Disabled	Disabled
Scaling Factor		
[dB]		
TSL Correction	Positive Only	Positive Only





### 5. U-NII-5 - 802.11ax, CH15, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2Transmitter - Bottom Edge (PD)

DUT: TPN-C154 series ; Type: DC33002JZ00 WA-P-LBLB-02-128

Signal Source: modulation Custom Channel for 802.11ax, level 13.50 dBm.

Medium parameters used:  $\sigma = 0$  S/m,  $\varepsilon_r = 1$ ;  $\rho = 0$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Table Section

Measurement Standard: DASY6 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

**DASY Configuration:** 

Probe: EUmmW - SN9366; ConvF(1, 1, 1); Calibrated: 2021-05-20;

Modulation Compensation:

• Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface), z = 2.5 mm

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn1658; Calibrated: 2021-08-04;

• Phantom: Cover; Type: SPEAG Phantom Cover;


cDASY6 5G Module v2.4.0.55

Test Date: 2022-02-14

#### Distance-2.5mm:

Measurement Resolution =  $\lambda/16$  mm

Measurement Scan area = 120 mm x 120 mm





### 6. U-NII-6 - 802.11ax, CH111, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2Transmitter - Bottom Edge (PD)

DUT: TPN-C154 series ; Type: DC33002JZ00 WA-P-LBLB-02-128

Signal Source: modulation Custom Channel for 802.11ax, level 13.50 dBm.

Medium parameters used:  $\sigma = 0$  S/m,  $\epsilon_r = 1$ ;  $\rho = 0$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Table Section

Measurement Standard: DASY6 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

**DASY Configuration:** 

• Probe: EUmmW - SN9366; ConvF(1, 1, 1); Calibrated: 2021-05-20;

Modulation Compensation:

Sensor-Surface : 0mm (Fix Surface), z = 2.5 mm

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn1658; Calibrated: 2021-08-04;

• Phantom: Cover; Type: SPEAG Phantom Cover;


cDASY6 5G Module v2.4.0.55

Test Date: 2022-02-14

#### Distance-2.5mm:

Measurement Resolution =  $\lambda/16$  mm

Measurement Scan area = 120 mm x 120 mm





## 7. U-NII-7 - 802.11ax, CH143, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2Transmitter – Bottom Edge (PD)

DUT: TPN-C154 series ; Type: DC33002JZ00 WA-P-LBLB-02-128

Signal Source: modulation Custom Channel for 802.11ax, level 12.75 dBm.

Medium parameters used:  $\sigma$  = 0 S/m,  $\epsilon_r$  = 1;  $\rho$  = 0 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Table Section

Measurement Standard: DASY6 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

**DASY Configuration:** 

• Probe: EUmmW - SN9366; ConvF(1, 1, 1); Calibrated: 2021-05-20;

o Modulation Compensation:

Sensor-Surface : 0mm (Fix Surface), z = 2.5 mm
Electronics: DAE4 Sn1658; Calibrated: 2021-08-04;

Phantom: Cover; Type: SPEAG Phantom Cover;


cDASY6 5G Module v2.4.0.55

• Test Date: 2022-02-14

#### Distance-2.5mm:

Measurement Resolution =  $\lambda/16$  mm

Measurement Scan area = 120 mm x 120 mm





### 8. U-NII-8 - 802.11ax, CH207, INPAQ Antenna, Main/Tx2Transmitter - Bottom Edge (PD)

DUT: TPN-C154 series ; Type: DC33002JZ00 WA-P-LBLB-02-128

Signal Source: modulation Custom Channel for 802.11ax, level 12.75 dBm.

Medium parameters used:  $\sigma$  = 0 S/m,  $\epsilon_r$  = 1;  $\rho$  = 0 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Table Section

Measurement Standard: DASY6 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

**DASY Configuration:** 

Probe: EUmmW - SN9366; ConvF(1, 1, 1); Calibrated: 2021-05-20;

o Modulation Compensation:

• Sensor-Surface : 0mm (Fix Surface), z = 2.5 mm

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn1658; Calibrated: 2021-08-04;;

• Phantom: Cover; Type: SPEAG Phantom Cover;


cDASY6 5G Module v2.4.0.55

Test Date: 2022-02-14

#### Distance-2.5mm:

Measurement Resolution =  $\lambda/16$  mm

Measurement Scan area = 120 mm x 120 mm





### 9. Power Density System Check From 6500MHz

DUT: Horn reference source; Type: PE9859/SF-15; Signal Source: modulation CW, level 10 dBm.

Medium parameters used:  $\sigma = 0$  S/m,  $\epsilon_r = 1$ ;  $\rho = 0$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Table Section

Measurement Standard: DASY6 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY Configuration:

Probe: EUmmW - SN9366; ConvF(1, 1, 1); Calibrated: 2021-05-20;

Modulation Compensation:

Sensor-Surface : 0mm (Fix Surface), z = 10 mm
 Electronics: DAE4 Sn1658; Calibrated: 2021-08-04;


Phantom: Cover; Type: SPEAG Phantom Cover;

cDASY6 5G Module v2.4.0.55;

• Test Date: 2022-02-13


#### Distance-10mm/Measure Horn reference source (86.9x63.5):

Measurement Resolution =  $\lambda/4$  mm Measurement Scan area = 200 mm x 200 mm The plots below show the comparison between the Numerical Modeling results and the system check measurement results in terms of E-field, H Field, single point power density and Avg Power density 1cm<sup>2</sup>.





The plots below show the comparison between the numerical modeling and the system check results in terms of normalized E-field distribution and the 1D variation along the two axis of the maximum.





## 10. SAR System Check From 6500MHz

### **Device under Test Properties**

Name, Manufacturer	Dimensions [mm]	IMEI	DUT Type
D6.5GHzV2, Speag	50.0 x 10.0 x 20.0	1013	Validation Dipole

#### **Exposure Conditions**

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Conversion Factor	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat HSL			,	6500.0	5.2	6.34	33.4


#### **Hardware Setup**

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date	
ELI V8.0 (20deg probe tilt) -	HBBL-600-10000 , 2022-Feb-10	EX3DV4 - SN7455, 2021-03-19	DAE4 Sn1628, 2021-08-06	

#### Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	51.0 x 85.0	22.0 x 22.0 x 22.0
Grid Steps [mm]	8.5 x 8.5	3.4 x 3.4 x 1.4
Sensor Surface	3.0	1.4
[mm]		
Graded Grid	Yes	Yes
Grading Ratio	1.5	1.4
MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA
Surface Detection	Yes	Yes
Scan Method	Measured	Measured

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2022-02-10,	2022-02-10, 11:39
	11:30	
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	3.22	4.22
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.716	0.781
Power Drift [dB]	0.03	0.03
Power Scaling	Disabled	Disabled
Scaling Factor		
[dB]		
TSL Correction	Positive Only	Positive Only





## **TSL Dielectric Parameters**

## D.1 Head Liquid WiFi 6E

			2022-02-10		
<b>5</b> (MIL)	Target		Measured		
Freq.(MHz)	ε'(F/m)	σ(S/m)	ε'1(F/m)	σ1(S/m)	
6000.0	35.07	5.48	34.26	5.6	
6050.0	35.01	5.54	34.21	5.68	
6100.0	34.95	5.59	34.15	5.76	
6150.0	34.89	5.65	34.07	5.83	
6200.0	34.83	5.71	33.98	5.91	
6250.0	34.77	5.77	33.89	5.98	
6300.0	34.7	5.83	33.80	6.05	
6350.0	34.64	5.89	33.71	6.12	
6400.0	34.58	5.95	33.64	6.2	
6450.0	34.52	6.01	33.54	6.27	
6500.0	34.46	6.07	33.42	6.35	
6550.0	34.4	6.13	33.30	6.41	
6600.0	34.34	6.19	33.18	6.47	
6650.0	34.29	6.25	33.07	6.54	
6700.0	34.23	6.3	32.98	6.61	
6750.0	34.17	6.36	32.91	6.67	
6800.0	34.11	6.42	32.82	6.73	
6850.0	34.05	6.48	32.72	6.78	
6900.0	33.99	6.53	32.64	6.84	
6950.0	33.94	6.59	32.53	6.89	
7000.0	33.88	6.65	32.44	6.96	

