

# TEST REPORT

EUT Description	Wireless Module installed in Notebook PC
Brand Name	Intel® Wireless-AX211
Model Name	AX211D2W
FCC ID	PD9AX211D2
Date of Test Start/End	2023-04-24 / 2023-05-03
Features	802.11ax, Dual Band, 2x2 Wi-Fi + Bluetooth® 5.2 (see section 5)
Description	Platform: P91F series + Speed, WNC and Hong-Bo antenna

Applicant	Intel Corporation SAS
Address	425 Rue de Goa – Le Cargo B6 – 06600 Antibes, FRANCE
Contact Person	Benjamin Lavenant
Telephone/Fax/ Email	Benjamin.lavenant@intel.com

Reference Standards	FCC 47 CFR Part §2.1093 (see section 1)	
RF Exposure Environment	Portable devices - General population/uncontrolled exposure	
Exposure Conditions	Body worn	
	SAR Result	SAR Limit
Maximum SAR Result & Limit	0.51 W/kg (1g)	1.6 W/kg (1g)
Min. test separation distance	0mm to phantom, 5.77 mm to antenna edge	

Test Report identification	230324-01.TR02
Revision Control	Rev. 00 This test report revision replaces any previous test report revision (see section 8)

The test results relate only to the samples tested.  
Reference to accreditation shall be used only by full reproduction of test report.

Issued by \_\_\_\_\_ Reviewed by \_\_\_\_\_

Edgar Garcia  
(SAR Engineer)

Zayd OUACHICHA  
(Technical Manager)

Intel Corporation S.A.S – WRF Lab  
425 rue de Goa – Le Cargo B6 - 06600 Antibes, France  
Tel. +33493001400 / Fax +33493001401

# Table of Contents

---

<b>1. Standards, reference documents and applicable test methods .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. General conditions, competences and guarantees .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. Environmental Conditions .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4. Test samples .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5. EUT Features .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6. Remarks and comments .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>7. Test Verdicts summary .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>8. Document Revision History .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Annex A. Test &amp; System Description .....</b>	<b>8</b>
A.1 SAR DEFINITION .....	8
A.2 SPEAG SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM .....	9
A.2.1 SAR Measurement Setup .....	9
A.2.2 E-Field Measurement Probe .....	10
A.2.3 Flat Phantom .....	10
A.2.4 Device Positioner .....	11
A.3 DATA EVALUATION .....	12
A.4 SYSTEM AND LIQUID CHECK .....	15
A.4.1 System Check .....	15
A.4.2 Liquid Check .....	16
A.5 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST .....	17
A.5.1 Tissue Simulant Liquid .....	17
A.6 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION .....	18
A.7 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS .....	19
<b>Annex B. Test Results .....</b>	<b>20</b>
B.1 TEST CONDITIONS .....	20
B.1.1 Test SAR Test positions relative to the phantom .....	20
B.1.2 Test signal, Output power and Test Frequencies .....	21
B.1.3 Evaluation Exclusion and Test Reductions .....	21
B.2 CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENTS .....	23
B.2.1 WLAN 5GHz (U-NII) .....	23
B.3 TISSUE PARAMETERS MEASUREMENT .....	24
B.4 SYSTEM CHECK MEASUREMENTS .....	24
B.5 SAR TEST RESULTS .....	24
B.5.1 802.11a/n/ac/ax – 5.9 GHz – UNII-4 .....	24
B.5.2 SAR Measurement Variability .....	24
B.5.3 Simultaneous Transmission SAR Evaluation .....	25
<b>Annex C. Test System Plots .....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Annex D. TSL Dielectric Parameters .....</b>	<b>29</b>
D.1 BODY 5700MHZ-5900MHZ .....	29
<b>Annex E. Calibration Certificates .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Annex F. Photographs .....</b>	<b>31</b>
F.1 TEST SAMPLE .....	31
F.2 TEST POSITIONS .....	34
F.3 ANTENNA HOST PLATFORM LOCATION AND ADJACENT EDGE POSITIONS RELATIVE TO THE BODY .....	35



F.4 PHANTOM LIQUID LEVEL DURING MEASUREMENTS ..... 36

## 1. Standards, reference documents and applicable test methods

FCC	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. FCC Title 47 CFR Part §2.1093 – Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices. 2021-10-01 Edition</li><li>2. FCC OET KDB 447498 D04 interim v01 General RF Exposure Guidance v01– RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies for Mobile and Portable Devices.</li><li>3. FCC OET KDB 616217 D04 v01r02 – SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet Computers.</li><li>4. FCC OET KDB 865664 D01 v01r04 – SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz.</li><li>5. FCC OET KDB 865664 D02 v01r02 – RF Exposure Compliance Reporting and Documentation Considerations.</li><li>6. IEEE Std 1528-2013 – IEEE Recommended Practice Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communication Devices: Measurement Techniques...</li></ol>
-----	--

## 2. General conditions, competences and guarantees

- ✓ Tests performed under FCC standards identified in section 1 are covered by A2LA accreditation.
- ✓ Intel Corporation SAS Wireless RF Lab (Intel WRF Lab) is an ISO/IEC 17025:2017 laboratory accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) with the certificate number 3478.01.
- ✓ Intel Corporation SAS Wireless RF Lab (Intel WRF Lab) is an Accredited Test Firm recognized by the FCC, with Designation Number FR0011.
- ✓ Intel WRF Lab only provides testing services and is committed to providing reliable, unbiased test results and interpretations.
- ✓ Intel WRF Lab is liable to the client for the maintenance of the confidentiality of all information related to the item under test and the results of the test.
- ✓ Intel WRF Lab has developed calibration and proficiency programs for its measurement equipment to ensure correlated and reliable results to its customers.
- ✓ This report is only referred to the item that has undergone the test.
- ✓ This report does not imply an approval of the product by the Certification Bodies or competent Authorities.

### 3. Environmental Conditions

- ✓ At the site where the measurements were performed the following limits were not exceeded during the tests:

Temperature	20.8°C ± 0.7°C
Humidity	45.6% ± 1.5%
Liquid Temperature	20.3°C ± 0.5°C

### 4. Test samples

Sample	Control #	Description	Model	Serial #	Date of receipt	Note
#01	230324-01.S04	Wireless Module installed in Notebook PC	AX211D2W+P91F series	7449246100001	2023-03-31	Speed antenna Black
#02	230324-01.S05	Wireless Module installed in Notebook PC	AX211D2W+P91F series	7449246400014	2023-03-31	WNC antenna Black
#03	230324-01.S06	Wireless Module installed in Notebook PC	AX211D2W+P91F series	7449246100009	2023-03-31	Hong-Bo antenna Black

## 5. EUT Features

The herein information is provided by the customer

Intel WRF Lab declines any responsibility for the accuracy of the stated customer provided information, especially if it has any impact on the correctness of test results presented in this report.

Brand Name	Intel® Wireless-AX211		
Model Name	AX211D2W		
Software Version	03227.22.190.0		
Driver Version	22.190.0.4		
Prototype / Production	Production		
Host Identification	P91F series		
Supported Radios	802.11b/g/n/ax                      2.4GHz (2400.0 – 2483.5 MHz) 802.11a/n/ac/ax                    5.2GHz (5150.0 – 5350.0 MHz) 5.6GHz (5470.0 – 5725.0 MHz) 5.8GHz (5725.0 – 5850.0 MHz) 5.9GHz (5850.0 – 5895.0 MHz) Bluetooth                          2.4GHz (2400.0 – 2483.5 MHz)		
Antenna Information	Transmitter	Main / Tx2	Aux / Tx1
	Manufacturer	Speed	Speed
	Antenna type	Monopole	Monopole
	Part number	F.0G.FH-6101-002-00 (DC33002CW1L)	F.0G.FH-6101-001-00 (DC33002CW0L)
	Transmitter	Main / Tx2	Aux / Tx1
	Manufacturer	WNC	WNC
	Antenna type	Monopole	Monopole
	Part number	Antenna P/N : 81ELAS15.G02 Compal P/N : DC33002CV1L	Antenna P/N : 81ELAS15.G01 Compal P/N : DC33002CV0L
	Transmitter	Main / Tx2	Aux / Tx1
	Manufacturer	Hong-Bo	Hong-Bo
	Antenna type	Monopole	Monopole
	Part number	260-24287 (DC33002D61L)	260-24288 (DC33002D60L)
	See Annex F for more details on antennas location.		
	WLAN 2.4GHz Main + BT Aux *		
	WLAN 2.4GHz Main + WLAN 2.4GHz Aux*		
	WLAN 5GHz Main + BT Aux*		
	WLAN 5GHz Main + WLAN 5GHz Aux*		
	WLAN 5GHz Main + WLAN 5GHz Aux + BT Aux *		
Additional Information	No WWAN transmitter is considered in this report		
	5.60-5.65 GHz band (TDWR) is supported by the device		
	Band gap is supported by the device		

\*For WiFi 2.4GHz and 5GHz band refer to the: 211105-06.TR02

### Tested Radios

Mode	Duty Cycle	Modulation	Band	UL Freq Range (MHz)	Measured Max. Conducted Power (dBm)
802.11a/n/ac/ax	100%	BPSK QPSK 16QAM 64QAM 256QAM	5.9GHz	5725-5895	17.59

NM: Not Measured

Maximum Output power specification + Tune up tolerance limit, as specified by the client			SISO mode	
Equipment Class	Mode	BW (MHz)	Aux Tx1 (dBm)	Main Tx2 (dBm)
U-NII-4	802.11a	20	18.00	17.00
	802.11n20	20	18.00	17.00
	802.11ax20	20	18.00	17.00
	802.11n40	40	18.00	17.00
	802.11ax40	40	18.00	17.00
	802.11ac80	80	18.00	17.00
	802.11ax80	80	18.00	17.00
	802.11ac160	160	18.00	17.00
	802.11ax160	160	18.00	17.00

## 6. Remarks and comments

1. The conducted values are obtained by applying the BIOS SAR power values to the AX211D2W Intel module installed in the P91F series identified in this report, as requested by the customer.
2. Only the plots for the test positions with the highest measured SAR per band/mode are included in Annex C as required per FCC OET KDB 865664 D02, paragraph 2.3.8.

## 7. Test Verdicts summary

The statement of conformity to applicable standards in the table below are based on the measured values, without taking into account the measurement uncertainties.

Standard	Band	Highest Reported SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Verdict
802.11a/n/ac/ax	5.9GHz	0.51	P

P: Pass

F: Fail

NM: Not Measured

NA: Not Applicable

According to the FCC OET KDB 690783 D01, this is the summary of the values for the Grant Listing:

Exposure Condition	Highest Reported SAR (1g) (W/kg)	
	Equipment Class	
	DSS	U-NII
Body Worn	0.06	0.51
Simultaneous Tx	Sum-SAR: 1.00 SPLSR: NA	Sum-SAR: 1.00 SPLSR: NA

Considering the results of the performed test according to FCC 47CFR Part 2.1093 the item under test is IN COMPLIANCE with the requested specifications specified in Section1. Standards, reference documents and applicable test methods

## 8. Document Revision History

Revision #	Modified by	Revision Details
Rev. 00	M.FARIA	First Issue

# Annex A. Test & System Description

---

## A.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption rate is defined as the time derivative of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) and incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density ( $\rho$ ).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \left( \frac{dW}{\rho \cdot dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of watts per kilogram (W/kg). SAR can be related to the electric field at a point by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where:

$\sigma$  = Conductivity of the tissue (S/m)

$\rho$  = Mass density of the tissue

(kg/m<sup>3</sup>)


E = RMS electric field strength

(V/m)

## A.2 SPEAG SAR Measurement System

### A.2.1 SAR Measurement Setup

The DASY6/8 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



- ✓ A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli TX/RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. It includes an arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE)
- ✓ An isotropic field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurements.
- ✓ A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- ✓ The Electro-optical Converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- ✓ The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movements interrupts.
- ✓ The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- ✓ A computer running Windows professional operating system and the DASY6/8 software.
- ✓ Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- ✓ The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.
- ✓ MAIA is a hardware interface (Antenna) used to evaluate the modulation and audio interference characteristics of RF signals.
- ✓ ANT is an ultra-wideband antenna for use with the base station simulators over 698 MHz to 6GHz.
- ✓ The base station simulator is an equipment used for SAR cellular tests in order to emulate the cellular signals characteristics and behavior between a regular base station and the equipment under test.
- ✓ Tissue simulating liquid.
- ✓ System Validation dipoles.
- ✓ Network emulator or RF test tool.

### A.2.2 E-Field Measurement Probe

The probe is constructed using three orthogonal dipole sensors arranged on an interlocking, triangular prism core. The probe has built-in shielding against static charges and is contained within a PEEK cylindrical enclosure material at the tip.



The probe's characteristics are:


Frequency Range	30MHz – 6GHz
Length	337 mm
Probe tip external diameter	2.5 mm
Typical distance between dipoles and the probe tip	1 mm
Axial Isotropy (in human-equivalent liquids)	±0.3 dB
Hemispherical Isotropy (in human-equivalent liquids)	±0.5 dB
Linearity	±0.2 dB
Maximum operating SAR	100 W/kg
Lower SAR detection threshold	0.001 W/kg

### A.2.3 Flat Phantom

Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible with the IEC 62209-2 standard and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is compatible with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and dipoles.

The phantom's characteristics are:

Material	Vynylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)
Shell thickness	2 mm ± 0.2 mm
Filling volume	30 Liters approx.
Dimensions	Major axis: 600mm / Minor axis: 400mm



### A.2.4 Device Positioner

The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source at 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of 0.5 mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of 20%. Accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions in which the devices must be measured are defined by the standards.



The DASY device holder is designed to cope with the different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation center for both scales is the ear reference point (ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.

The DASY device holder is constructed of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\epsilon=3$  and loss tangent  $\delta=0.02$ . The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.

A simple but effective and easy-to-use extension for the Mounting Device; facilitates testing of larger devices according to IEC 62209-2 (e.g., laptops, cameras, etc.); lightweight and fits easily on the upper part of the Mounting Device in place of the phone positioner. The extension is fully compatible with the Twin SAM, ELI and other Flat Phantoms.



### A.3 Data Evaluation

- **Power Reference measurement**

The robot measures the E field in a specified reference position that can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section at 4mm of the inner surface of the phantom, 2mm for frequencies above 3GHz.

- **Area Scan**

Measurement procedures for evaluating SAR from wireless handsets typically start with a coarse measurement grid to determine the approximate location of the local peak SAR values. This is known as the area-scan procedure. The SAR distribution is scanned along the inside surface of one side of the phantom head, at least for an area larger than the projection of the handset and antenna. The distance between the measured points and phantom surface should be less than 8 mm, and should remain constant (with variation less than  $\pm 1$  mm) during the entire scan in order to determine the locations of the local peak SAR with sufficient accuracy. The angle between the probe axis and the surface normal line is recommended but not required to be less than  $30^\circ$ . If this angle is larger than  $30^\circ$  and the closest point on the probe-tip housing to the phantom surface is closer than a probe diameter, the boundary effect may become larger and polarization dependent. This additional uncertainty needs to be analyzed and accounted for. To achieve this, modified test procedures and additional uncertainty analyses not described in this recommended practice may be required. The measurement and interpolation point spacing should be chosen such as to allow identification of the local peak locations to within one-half of the linear dimension of a side of the zoom-scan volume. Because a local peak having specific amplitude and steep gradients may produce a lower peak spatial-average SAR compared to peaks with slightly lower amplitude and less steep gradients, it is necessary to evaluate these other peaks as well. However, since the spatial gradients of local SAR peaks are a function of the wavelength inside the tissue-equivalent liquid and the incident magnetic field strength, it is not necessary to evaluate local peaks that are less than 2 dB or more below the global maximum peak. Two-dimensional spline algorithms (Brishoual et al. 2001; Press et al., 1996) are typically used to determine the peaks and gradients within the scanned area. If a peak is found at a distance from the scan border of less than one-half the edge dimension of the desired 1 g or 10 g cube, the measurement area should be enlarged if possible.

- **Zoom Scan**

To evaluate the peak spatial-average SAR values for 1 g or 10 g cubes, fine resolution volume scans, called zoom scans, are performed at the peak SAR locations identified during the area scan. The minimum zoom scan volume size should extend at least 1.5 times the edge dimension of a 1 g cube in all directions from the center of the scan volume, for both 1 g and 10 g peak spatial-average SAR evaluations. Along the phantom curved surfaces, the front face of the volume facing the tissue/liquid interface conforms to the curved boundary, to ensure that all SAR peaks are captured. The back face should be equally distorted to maintain the correct averaging mass. The flatness and orientation of the four side faces are unchanged from that of a cube whose orientation is within  $\pm 30^\circ$  of the line normal to the phantom at the center of the cube face next to the phantom surface. The peak local SAR locations that were determined in the area scan (interpolated values) should be used for the centers of the zoom scans. If a scan volume cannot be centered due to proximity of a phantom shape feature, the probe should be tilted to allow scan volume enlargement. If probe tilt is not feasible, the zoom-scan origin may be shifted, but not by more than half of the 1 g or 10 g cube edge dimension.

After the zoom-scan measurement, extrapolations from the closest measured points to the surface, for example along lines parallel to the zoom-scan centerline, and interpolations to a finer resolution between all measured and extrapolated points are performed. Extrapolation algorithm

considerations are described in 6.5.3, and 3-D spline methods (Brishoual et al., 2001; Kreyszig, 1983; Press et al., 1996) can be used for interpolation. The peak spatial-average SAR is finally determined by a numerical averaging of the local SAR values in the interpolation grid, using for example a trapezoidal algorithm for the integration (averaging).

In some areas of the phantom, such as the jaw and upper head regions, the angle of the probe with respect to the line normal to the surface may be relatively large, e.g., greater than  $\pm 30^\circ$ , which could increase the boundary effect error to a larger level. In these cases, during the zoom scan a change in the orientation of the probe, the phantom, or both is recommended but not required for the duration of the zoom scan, so that the angle between the probe axis and the line normal to the surface is within  $30^\circ$  for all measurement points.

- **Power Drift measurement**

The robot re-measures the E-Field in the same reference location measured at the Power Reference. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the first to the last reference reading. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test that must remain within a maximum variation of  $\pm 5\%$ .

- **Post-processing**

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1528 and IEC 62209-1/2 standards. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g.

The software allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such as:

- ✓ Maximum search
- ✓ Extrapolation
- ✓ Boundary correction
- ✓ Peak search for averaged SAR

Interpolation between the measured points is performed when the resolution of the grid is not fine enough to compute the average SAR over a given mass.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.


## A.4 System and Liquid Check

### A.4.1 System Check

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results.

The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

In the simplified setup for system check, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a controlled continuous wave generated by a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the phantom at the correct distance.



The equipment setup is shown below:

- ✓ Signal Generator
- ✓ Amplifier
- ✓ Directional coupler
- ✓ Power meter
- ✓ Calibrated dipole

First, the power meter PM1 (including attenuator Att1) is connected to the cable to measure the forward power at the location of the connector (x) to the system check source. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power at the connector as read by power meter PM1 after attenuation Att1 and also as coupled through Att2 to PM2. After connecting the cable to the source, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

SAR results are normalized to a forward power of 1W to compare the values with the calibration reports results as described at IEEE 1528, IEC 62209 standards

### A.4.2 Liquid Check

The dielectric parameters check is done prior to the use of the tissue simulating liquid. The verification is made by comparing the relative permittivity and conductivity to the values recommended by the applicable standards.

The liquid verification was performed using the following test setup:

- ✓ VNA (Vector Network Analyzer)
- ✓ Open-Short-Load calibration kit
- ✓ RF Cable
- ✓ Open-Ended Coaxial probe
- ✓ DAK software tool
- ✓ SAR Liquid
- ✓ De-ionized water
- ✓ Thermometer

These are the target dielectric properties of the tissue-equivalent liquid material as defined in FCC OET KDB 865664 D01.

Frequency	Body SAR	
(MHz)	$\epsilon_r$ (F/m)	$\sigma$ (S/m)
150	61.9	0.80
300	58.2	0.92
450	56.7	0.94
835	55.2	0.97
900	55.0	1.05
1450	54.0	1.30
1800-2000	53.3	1.52
2450	52.7	1.95
3000	52.0	2.73
5800	48.2	6.00

( $\epsilon_r$  = relative permittivity,  $\sigma$  = conductivity and  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

The measurement system implement a SAR error compensation algorithm as documented in IEEE Std 1528-2013 (equivalent to draft standard IEEE P1528-2011) to automatically compensate the measured SAR results for deviations between the measured and required tissue dielectric parameters (applied to only scale up the measured SAR, and not downward) so, according to FCC OET KDB 865664 D01, the tolerance for  $\epsilon_r$  and  $\sigma$  may be relaxed to  $\pm 10\%$ .

## A.5 Test Equipment List

### SAR system #5

ID #	Device	Type/Model	Serial Number	Manufacturer	Cal. Date	Cal. Due Date
001-000	6-Axis Robot	TX60 Lspeag	F12/5MZ3A1/A/01	STAÜBLI	NA	NA
001-001	SAM PHANTOM	Twin SAM V5.0	1838	SPEAG	NA	NA
001-002	Light Beam Unit	-	-	Di-soric	NA	NA
001-003	Laptop Holder	-	N/A	SPEAG	NA	NA
001-004	Robot Controller	CS8C	F12/5MZ3A1/C/01	STAÜBLI	NA	NA
001-005	Electro Optical Converter	EOC60	1076	SPEAG	NA	NA
003-007	Dosimetric E-Field probe	EX3DV4	7465	SPEAG	2022-07-18	2023-07-18
489-007	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAEip	1706	SPEAG	2022-07-11	2023-07-11
001-008	Oval Flat Phantom	ELI V8.0	2059	SPEAG	NA	NA
001-009	Measurement Software	DASY6 vxx	9-618AE2F1	SPEAG	NA	NA
001-010	MAIA Antenna	MAIA	1255	SPEAG	NA	NA

### Shared equipment

ID #	Device	Type/Model	Serial Number	Manufacturer	Cal. Date	Cal. Due Date
017-001	USB Power Sensor	NRP-Z57	101486	R&S	2022-03-15	2024-03-15
008-025	USB Power Sensor	NRP-Z57	101280	R&S	2022-04-22	2024-04-22
099-000	Liquid measurement SW	DAK-3.5 V2.6.0.5	9-2687B491	SPEAG	NA	NA
069-000	Dielectric Probe Kit	DAK-3.5	1037	SPEAG	2021-07-14	2023-07-14
077-000	Coupler	CD0.5-8-20-30	1251-002	Amd-group	2023-02-20	2024-02-20
079-001	RF Cable	CBL-0.5M-SMSM+	226527	Mini-Circuits	2023-02-20	2024-02-20
167-001	RF Cable	CBL-2M-SMSM+	233846	Mini-Circuits	2023-02-20	2024-02-20
126-000	Vector Signal Generator	ESG E4438C	MY45092885	Agilent	2021-05-27	2023-05-27
094-000	Temp & Humidity Logger	RA32E-TH1-RAS	RA32-FBFD5A	AVTECH	2021-07-30	2023-07-30
089-000	Vector Reflectometer R140	PLANAR R140	0190616	R&S	2021-09-02	2023-09-02
084-000	5GHz System Validation Dipole	D5GHzv2	1259	SPEAG	2022-03-17	2024-03-17
458-000	Measurement Software	SARA V2.3	NA	Intel	NA	NA

### A.5.1 Tissue Simulant Liquid

TSL	Manufacturer / Model	Freq Range (MHz)	Main Ingredients
Body WideBand	SPEAG MBBL600-6000V6 Batch 220309-01	600-6000	Ethanediol, Sodium petroleum sulfonate, Hexylene Glycol / 2-Methyl-pentane-2.4-diol, Alkoxylated alcohol

## A.6 Measurement Uncertainty Evaluation

The system uncertainty evaluation is shown in the table below with a coverage factor of  $k = 2$  to indicate a 95% level of confidence:

SPEAG DASY6 Uncertainty Budget According to IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 (4 MHz - 6 GHz) including IEEE 1528-2013 and IEC 62209-1/2016, IEC 62209-2/2010								
Symbol	Error Description	Uncert. Value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	(ci) 1g	(ci) 10g	Std Unc. (1g)	Std Unc. (10g)
<b>Measurement System Errors</b>								
CF	Probe Calibration	±14.0 %	N	2	1	1	±7.0 %	±7.0 %
CF <sub>drift</sub>	Probe Calibration Drift	±1.0 %	N	1	1	1	±1.0 %	±1.0 %
LIN	Probe Linearity	±4.7 %	R	√3	1	1	±2.7 %	±2.7 %
BBS	Broadband Signal	±3.0 %	N	2	1	1	±1.5 %	±1.5 %
ISO	Axial Isotropy	±4.7 %	R	√3	0.5	0.5	±1.4 %	±1.4 %
ISO	Hemispherical Isotropy	±9.6 %	R	√3	0.5	0.5	±2.8 %	±2.8 %
DAE	Data Acquisition	±0.3 %	N	1	1	1	±0.3 %	±0.3 %
AMB	RF Ambient	±1.8 %	N	1	1	1	±1.8 %	±1.8 %
Δ <sub>sys</sub>	Probe Positioning	±0.2 %	N	1	0.33	0.33	±0.1 %	±0.1 %
DAT	Data Processing	±2.3 %	N	1	1	1	±2.3 %	±2.3 %
<b>Phantom and Device Errors</b>								
LIQ(σ)	Conductivity (meas.) <sub>DAK</sub>	±2.5 %	N	1	0.78	0.71	±2.0 %	±1.8 %
LIQ(Tσ)	Conductivity (temp.) <sub>BB</sub>	±3.4 %	R	√3	0.78	0.71	±1.5 %	±1.4 %
EPS	Phantom Permittivity	±14.0 %	R	√3	0.25	0.25	±2.0 %	±2.0 %
DAS	Distance DUT - TSL	±2.0 %	N	1	2	2	±4.0 %	±4.0 %
H	Device Holder	±3.6 %	N	1	1	1	±3.6 %	±3.6 %
MOD	DUT Modulation <sub>m</sub>	±2.4 %	R	√3	1	1	±1.4 %	±1.4 %
TAS	Time-average SAR	±2.6 %	R	√3	1	1	±1.5 %	±1.5 %
RF <sub>drift</sub>	DUT drift	±5.0 %	N	1	1	1	±2.9 %	±2.9 %
<b>Correction to the SAR results</b>								
C(ε, σ)	Deviation to Target	±1.9 %	N	1	1	0.84	±1.9 %	±1.6 %
Combined Std. Uncertainty							±11.5 %	±11.4 %
<b>Expanded STD Uncertainty</b>							<b>±23.1 %</b>	<b>±22.9 %</b>

## A.7 RF Exposure Limits

SAR assessments have been made in line with the requirements of FCC 47CFR Part 2.1093 on the limitation of exposure of the general population / uncontrolled exposure for portable devices.

Exposure Type	General Population / Uncontrolled Environment
Peak spatial-average SAR (averaged over any 1 gram of tissue)	<b>1.6 W/kg</b>
Whole body average SAR	<b>0.08 W/kg</b>
Peak spatial-average SAR (extremities) (averaged over any 10 grams of tissue)	<b>4.0 W/kg</b>

# Annex B. Test Results

---

The herein test results were performed by:

Test case measurement	Test Personnel
Conducted measurement	F. Heurtematte
SAR measurement	M.FARIA

## B.1 Test Conditions

### B.1.1 Test SAR Test positions relative to the phantom

The device under test was an Intel® Wireless-AX211 card inside a Notebook host platform (P91F series) using a set of Monopole antennas. The card was operated utilizing proprietary software (DRTU version 03227.22.190.0) and each channel was measured using a broadband power meter to determine the maximum average power.

According to FCC OET KDB 616217 D04, laptop position should be tested for SAR compliance with the display screen opened at an angle of 90° to the keyboard compartment and the notebook bottom surface must be touching the phantom.

Antenna	Main	Aux
Position	Laptop	Laptop

See B1.3.1 for a more detailed list of the applied reductions.

See section F.2 for more information on the tested positions.

## B.1.2 Test signal, Output power and Test Frequencies

For 802.11 transmission modes the device was put into operation by using an own control software to program the test mode required to select the continuous transmission with 100% duty cycle.

The output power of the device was set to transmit at maximum power for all tests.

## B.1.3 Evaluation Exclusion and Test Reductions

### B.1.3.1 SAR evaluation exclusion

The SAR Test Exclusion Threshold in FCC OET KDB 447498 can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations. For 100MHz to 6GHz and test separation distances  $\leq 50$ mm, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds are determined by the following formula:

$$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune - up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot \left[ \sqrt{f_{(\text{GHz})}} \right] \quad (1)$$

$\leq 3.0$  for 1g SAR, and  $\leq 7.5$  for 10g extremity SAR

Where:

- $f(\text{GHz})$  is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
- Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
- The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison
- The values 3.0 and 7.5 are referred to as numeric thresholds

The test exclusions are applicable only when the minimum test separation distance is  $\leq 50$  mm, and for transmission frequencies between 100 MHz and 6 GHz. When the minimum test separation distance is  $< 5$  mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

For test separation distances  $> 50$  mm, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds are determined using the following formulas:

$$\langle (\text{Power allowed at numeric threshold for 50 mm in (1)}) + (\text{test separation distance} - 50 \text{ mm}) \cdot (f_{\text{MHz}} / 150) \rangle \text{mW}, \quad (2)$$

*for 100MHz to 1500MHz*

$$\langle (\text{Power allowed at numeric threshold for 50 mm in (1)}) + (\text{test separation distance} - 50 \text{ mm}) \cdot 10 \rangle \text{mW}, \quad (3)$$

*for 1500MHz and  $\leq 6$ GHz*

LAN Antenna	Band Name	Output power		Laptop	Top Edge	Bottom Edge	Back Face	Left Edge	Right Edge
		dBm	mW						
WLAN Main	UNII-4	17.00	50.12	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
WLAN Aux	UNII-4	18.00	63.10	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50

Laptop	Top Edge	Bottom Edge	Back Face	Left Edge	Right Edge
T	R	R	R	R	R
T	R	R	R	R	R

See Annex F for a more detailed explanation of the separation distance related to the platform.

### B.1.3.2 General SAR test reduction

According to FCC OET KDB 447498, testing of other required channels within the operating mode of a frequency band is not required when the reported 1-g or 10-g SAR for the mid-band or highest output power channel is:

- $\leq 0.8$  W/kg or 2.0 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is  $\leq 100$  MHz
- $\leq 0.6$  W/kg or 1.5 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is between 100 MHz and 200 MHz
- $\leq 0.4$  W/kg or 1.0 W/kg, for 1-g or 10-g respectively, when the transmission band is  $\geq 200$  MHz

### WLAN SAR Test reduction

Transmission Mode	SAR test exclusion/reduction
DSSS	<p>According to FCC OET KDB 248227 D01, SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b, SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is <math>\leq 0.8</math> W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.</li> <li>▪ When the reported SAR is <math>&gt; 0.8</math> W/kg, SAR is required for that exposure configuration using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is <math>&gt; 1.2</math> W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel.</li> </ul> <p>According to FCC OET KDB 248227 D01, SAR is not required for 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions when the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is <math>\leq 1.2</math> W/kg.</p>
OFDM	<p>According to FCC OET KDB 248227 D01, 802.11a/g/n/ac modes have the same specified maximum output power, largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate, the lowest order 802.11 mode is selected; i.e., 802.11a is chosen over 802.11n then 802.11ac or 802.11g is chosen over 802.11n.</p> <p>According to FCC OET KDB 248227 D01, an <i>initial test configuration</i> is determined for OFDM and DSSS transmission modes according to the channel bandwidth, modulation and data rate combination(s) with the highest maximum output power specified for production units in each standalone and aggregated frequency band. SAR is measured using the highest measured maximum output power channel. SAR test reduction for subsequent highest output test channels is determined according to reported SAR of the initial test configuration.</p> <p>The <i>initial test configuration</i> for 5 GHz OFDM transmission modes is determined by the 802.11 configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units, including tune-up tolerance, in each standalone and aggregated frequency band. SAR for the initial test configuration is measured using the highest maximum output power channel determined by the default power measurement procedures.</p> <p>According to FCC OET KDB 248227 D01, when the reported SAR of the initial test configuration is <math>&gt; 0.8</math> W/kg, SAR measurement is required for subsequent next highest measured output power channel(s) in the initial test configuration until reported SAR is <math>\leq 1.2</math> W/kg or all required channels are tested.</p>

## B.2 Conducted Power Measurements

### B.2.1 WLAN 5GHz (U-NII)

#### B.2.1.1 5.9GHz (U-NII-4)

Band	Mode	Data Rate	Ch #	Frequency (MHz)	Main				Aux				SAR Test ?
					Avg Pwr (dBm)-Speed	Avg Pwr (dBm)-WNC	Avg Pwr (dBm)-Hong-Bo	Tune-up Pwr (dBm)	Avg Pwr (dBm)-Speed	Avg Pwr (dBm)-WNC	Avg Pwr (dBm)-Hong-Bo	Tune-up Pwr (dBm)	
U-NII-4	802.11a	6M bps	169	5845	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	17.00	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	18.00	NO
			173	5865				17.00				18.00	
			177	5885				17.00				18.00	
	802.11n 20	HT 0	169	5845				17.00				18.00	
			173	5865				17.00				18.00	
			177	5885				17.00				18.00	
	802.11a x20	HE 0	169	5845				17.00				18.00	
			173	5865				17.00				18.00	
			177	5885				17.00				18.00	
	802.11n 40	HT 0	167	5835				17.00				18.00	
			175	5875				17.00				18.00	
	802.11a x40	HE 0	167	5835				17.00				18.00	
			175	5875				17.00				18.00	
	802.11a c80	VHT 0	171	5855				17.00				18.00	
	802.11a x80	HE 0	171	5855				17.00				18.00	
	802.11a c160	VHT 0	163	5815	16.67	16.60	16.55	17.00	17.40	17.59	17.13	18.00	Yes
	802.11a x160	HE 0	163	5815	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	17.00	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	NR <sup>1,3</sup>	18.00	NO

Initial test configuration

1. NR: Not Required
2. The initial test configuration for 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz OFDM transmission modes is determined by the 802.11 configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units, including tune-up tolerance, in each standalone and aggregated frequency band. SAR for the initial test configuration is measured using the highest maximum output power channel determined by the default power measurement procedures. When multiple transmission modes (802.11a/g/n/ac) have the same specified maximum output power, largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate, lowest order 802.11 mode is selected (i.e. a, g, n, ac then ax)
3. Additional conducted power measurement is required when reported SAR is > 1.2W/kg. In case the subsequent test configuration and the channel bandwidth is smaller than the initial test configuration, all channels that overlap with the larger channel bandwidth in the initial configuration should be tested.
4. When the reported SAR of the initial test configuration is > 0.8W/kg, SAR measurement is required for the subsequent next highest measured output power channel(s) in the initial test configuration until reported SAR is ≤1.2W/kg or all required channels are tested.
5. When the highest reported SAR for the initial test configuration (when applicable, include subsequent highest output channels), according to the initial test position or fixed exposure requirements, is adjusted by the ratio of the subsequent test configuration to the initial test configuration specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for that subsequent test configuration.
6. SAR for subsequent highest measured maximum output power channels in the subsequent test configuration is required only when the reported SAR of the preceding higher maximum output power channel(s) in the subsequent test configuration is >1.2 W/kg or until all required channels are tested.

### B.3 Tissue Parameters Measurement

#### Body TSL

Freq. (MHz)	Target Parameters		Measured TSL Parameters		Deviation (%)		Date
	$\epsilon'$ (F/m)	$\sigma$ (S/m)	$\epsilon'$ (F/m)	$\sigma$ (S/m)	$\epsilon'$	$\sigma$	
5800	48.2	6.0	46.44	6.36	-3.65	6.0	2023-04-24

See Annex D for more details.

### B.4 System Check Measurements

#### Body Measurements

Frequency (MHz)	Average	Target SAR (W/kg)	Measured SAR (W/kg)	Forwarded Power (mW)	Deviation to target (%)	Limit (%)	Date
5800	1g	74.80	74.60	50	-0.27	± 10	2023-04-24
	10g	20.20	20.80		2.97		

See Annex C for more details.

### B.5 SAR Test Results

#### B.5.1 802.11a/n/ac/ax – 5.9 GHz – UNII-4

Antenna Manufacturer	Ant.	Mode Data rate	BW (MHz)	Ch #	Freq (MHz)	Correct. Factor (dB)	SAR 1g (W/kg)	Reported SAR 1g (W/kg)	Plot #
Speed	Aux	802.11ac VHT0	160	163	5815	0.60	0.22	0.25	
	Main	802.11ac VHT0	160	163	5815	0.33	0.26	0.28	
WNC	Aux	802.11ac VHT0	160	163	5815	0.41	0.45	0.49	
	Main	802.11ac VHT0	160	163	5815	0.40	0.37	0.41	
Hong-Bo	Aux	802.11ac VHT0	160	163	5815	0.87	0.35	0.43	
	Main	802.11ac VHT0	160	163	5815	0.45	0.46	0.51	1

#### B.5.2 SAR Measurement Variability

According to FCC OET KDB 865664, SAR Measurement variability is assessed when the maximum initial measured SAR is  $\geq 0.8$  W/kg for a certain band/mode.

As all measured SAR results are below 0.8W/kg, therefore SAR variability is not required

### B.5.3 Simultaneous Transmission SAR Evaluation

According to FCC OET KDB 447498, when the sum of 1g SAR for all simultaneously transmitting antennas in an operating mode and exposure condition combination is within the SAR limit, SAR test exclusion applies to that simultaneous transmission configuration.

All the values stated in the table below are the worst case found for standalone measurement with disregard of the transmission mode or channel where the worst case was found

Antenna	Position	Highest Reported SAR (1g) (W/kg)	
		WLAN 5GHz	Bluetooth
Main	Laptop	0.51	
Aux	Laptop	0.43	0.06

Position	Simultaneous Tx Antenna Combination		$\Sigma$ SAR 1g (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)
	Main Antenna	Aux Antenna		
Laptop	WLAN 5GHz	WLAN 5GHz	0.94	1.6
	WLAN 5GHz	WLAN 5GHz + BT	1.00	
	WLAN 5GHz	BT	0.57	

\*For the Bluetooth refer to the report:  
211105-06.TR02

Considering the results described above and according to the simultaneous transmission evaluation exclusions described in FCC OET KDB 447498, no enlarged zoom scan measurements are required



# Annex C. Test System Plots

---

- 1. UNII-4 - 802.11ax, CH163, Main Antenna – Laptop- Hong-Bo..... 27
- 2. System Check Body Liquid 5800MHz ..... 28

## 1. UNII-4 - 802.11ax, CH163, Main Antenna – Laptop- Hong-Bo

### Device under Test Properties

Model, Manufacturer	Dimensions [mm]	IMEI	DUT Type
Dell P91F series	310.0 x 222.5 x 15.0	7449246100009	Notebook PC

### Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Conversion Factor	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	FRONT, 0.00	Custom Band	CW, 10456-AAC	5815.0, 5815000	4.31	6.39	46.4

### Hardware Setup


Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
ELI V8.0 (20deg probe tilt)	MBBL-600-6000 , 2023-Apr-24	EX3DV4 - SN7465, 2022-07-18	DAE4ip Sn1706, 2022-07-11

### Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	100.0 x 100.0	22.0 x 22.0 x 22.0
Grid Steps [mm]	10.0 x 10.0	4.0 x 4.0 x 1.4
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4
Graded Grid	Yes	Yes
Grading Ratio	1.5	1.4
MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA
Surface Detection	VMS + 6p	VMS + 6p
Scan Method	Measured	Measured

### Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2023-04-24, 17:25	2023-04-24, 17:31
psSAR1g [W/kg]	0.437	0.462
psSAR10g [W/kg]	0.164	0.166
Power Drift [dB]	0.01	-0.15
Power Scaling	Disabled	Disabled
Scaling Factor		
TSL Correction [dB]	Positive Only	Positive Only
M2/M1 [%]		60.4
Dist 3dB Peak [mm]		8.4



## 2. System Check Body Liquid 5800MHz

### Device under Test Properties

Model, Manufacturer	Dimensions [mm]	IMEI	DUT Type
D5.0GHzV2, SPEAG	50.0 x 10.0 x 8.0	1259	Validation Dipole

### Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Conversion Factor	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	,		, 0--	5800.0, 0	4.31	6.36	46.4

### Hardware Setup


Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
ELI V8.0 (20deg probe tilt)	MBBL-600-6000 2023-Apr-24	EX3DV4 - SN7465, 2022-07-18	DAE4ip Sn1706, 2022-07-11

### Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	40.0 x 80.0	22.0 x 22.0 x 22.0
Grid Steps [mm]	10.0 x 10.0	4.0 x 4.0 x 1.4
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4
Graded Grid	Yes	Yes
Grading Ratio	1.5	1.4
MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA	Confirmed by MAIA
Surface Detection	VMS + 6p	VMS + 6p
Scan Method	Measured	Measured

### Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2023-04-24, 11:26	2023-04-24, 11:31
psSAR1g [W/kg]	3.27	3.73
psSAR10g [W/kg]	0.961	1.04
Power Drift [dB]	-0.02	-0.14
Power Scaling	Disabled	Disabled
Scaling Factor		
[dB]		
TSL Correction	Positive Only	Positive Only
M2/M1 [%]		57.8
Dist 3dB Peak [mm]		6.9



# Annex D. TSL Dielectric Parameters

## D.1 Body 5700MHz-5900MHz

Freq.(MHz)	Target		Measured 2023-04-24	
	$\epsilon'(F/m)$	$\sigma(S/m)$	$\epsilon'(F/m)$	$\sigma(S/m)$
5700	48.34	5.88	46.67	6.21
5750	48.27	5.94	46.56	6.29
5800	48.20	6.00	46.44	6.36
5850	48.13	6.06	46.32	6.44
5900	48.06	6.12	46.19	6.52

