

FCC Part 24 (E) Test Report

for
Adicom Wireless
on the
Single Band PCS
Model: RAU2
FCC ID: PAJ-RAU2-PCS

Test Report: 200279943
Date of Report: October 28, 2000

Job #J20027994



NVLAP Laboratory Code 200201-0
Accredited for testing to FCC

Tested by: <i>Ollie Moyrong</i>	Engineer	Ollie Moyrong
Reviewer: <i>David Chernomordik</i>	EMC Manager	David Chernomordik

All services undertaken are subject to the following general policy: Reports are submitted for exclusive use of the client to whom they are addressed. Their significance is subject to the adequacy and representative character of the samples and to the comprehensiveness of the tests, examinations or surveys made. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written consent of Intertek Testing Services, NA Inc. This report must not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP, NIST nor any other agency of the U.S. Government.

Table of Contents

1 JOB DESCRIPTION.....	4
1.1 Client Information	4
1.2 Equipment under test (EUT)	4
1.3 Test plan reference.....	5
1.4 System test configuration	5
1.4.1 System block diagram & Support equipment.....	5
1.4.2 Justification	6
1.4.3 Mode(s) of operation.....	6
1.5 Modifications required for compliance.....	6
2 TEST SUMMARY.....	6
3 RADIATED POWER	7
3.1 Test Description.....	7
3.2 Test Procedure	7
3.3 Test Results	7
3.4 Modifications made during testing	7
3.5 Test Instrumentation	7
4 MODULATION CHARACTERISTICS.....	7
4.1 Test Description.....	8
5 OUT-OF-BAND EMISSIONS AT ANTENNA TERMINAL.....	8
5.1 Test description	9
5.2 Test Procedure	9
5.3 Test Results	9
5.4 Modifications made during testing	9
5.5 Test instrumentation	9
6 SPURIOUS EMISSION AT ANTENNA TERMINAL	10
6.1 Test description	10
6.2 Test Procedure	10
6.3 Test Results	10
6.4 Modifications made during testing	11
6.5 Test instrumentation	11
7 RADIATED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS.....	12
7.1 Test description	12
7.2 Test Procedure	12
7.3 Test Results	122
7.4 Modifications made during testing	12
7.5 Test instrumentation	12
8 FREQUENCY STABILITY.....	17
8.1 Test description	17
8.2 Test Procedure	17
8.2.1 Frequency Stability vs. Temperature	17
8.2.2 Frequency Stability vs. Voltage.....	17

8.3	Test Results	18
8.4	Modifications made during testing	18
8.5	Test instrumentation	18
9	AC LINE CONDUCTED EMISSIONS	19
9.1	Test description	19
9.2	Test Procedure	19
9.3	Test Results	19
9.4	Modifications made during testing	19
9.5	Test instrumentation	19
10	RADIATION EXPOSURE.....	20
11	LIST OF TEST EQUIPMENT.....	21
12	EXHIBIT 1.....	22

1 JOB DESCRIPTION

1.1 Client Information

The EUT has been tested at the request of

Company: Adicom Wireless
5724 West Las Positas Blvd.
Pleasanton, CA 94588

Name of contact: Brad Stribling
Telephone: (925) 201-4600
Fax: (925) 201-4610

1.2 Equipment under test (EUT)

Equipment type: Two-line Subscriber unit
Equipment class: Licensed Transmitter
Model number(s): RAU2
FCC ID: PAJ-RAU2-PCS
Manufacturer: SAME as above.
Use of Product : Data communications
Production is planned: [X] Yes, [] No

Technical Specifications:

Type of Emission	Complex CDMA
Modulation	Multiple QPSK
RF Output Power	Maximum Peak Envelope Power: +28.0 dBm Maximum Burst Average Power: +22.0 dBm Maximum Total Average Power: +19.0 dBm
Means for variation of operating power	Established from NMS Console at Base Station by specifying a Max Power parameter.
The dc voltage applied to and current into the several elements of the final RF amplifying device	5.0 V at 0.5 A
Frequency Range	1895 to 1910 MHz
Max. number of Channels	3
Antenna(s) (type, gain)	Vertically polarized patch antenna having 10 dBi gain
Detachable antenna ?	No. Antenna is integral with subscriber unit and mounted within the same enclosure
External input	Data
Frequency Tolerance	Normal operation: acquires frequency lock to the Base Station transmitter, which is itself locked to GPS and maintains equivalent fractional ppm accuracy: prior to locking to GPS, frequency controlled by VCTCXO with 2 ppm accuracy

Adicom Wireless, Model: RAU2, FCC ID: PAJ-RAU2-PCS

Date of Test: October 16-26, 2000

EUT receive date: 10/16/00**EUT received condition:** Good condition prototype**Test start date:** 10/16/00**Test end date:** 10/26/00


1.3 Test plan reference

FCC Part 2.1033, FCC Part 24 (E)

1.4 System test configuration

1.4.1 System block diagram & Support equipment

The diagram shown below details the placement of the equipment under test on the turntable.



S:	Shielded	U:	Unshielded	F:	With Ferrite Core
-----------	----------	-----------	------------	-----------	-------------------

Support equipment					
Equip. #	Equipment	Manufacturer	Model #	S/N #	FCC ID
1	Laptop	Toshiba	PA1224UTV	10624101-1	CJGUK435

1.4.2 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical manner in accordance with ANSI C63.4 standard.

1.4.3 Mode(s) of operation

The EUT was powered from 120 VAC. During tests, EUT was operating in transmitting/receiving mode at 3 channels: 1900.8625 MHz, 1904.1125 MHz, 1908.9875 MHz.

1.5 Modifications required for compliance

No modifications were implemented by Intertek Testing Services.

2 TEST SUMMARY

FCC RULE	DESCRIPTION OF TEST	RESULT	PAGE
Transmitter Section			
2.1046	RF Power Output	0.63 W (Peak)	7
24.232(b)	Equivalent Isotropic Radiated Power (EIRP)	6.5 W (Peak)	
2.1047	Modulation Characteristics	Not Applicable	N/A
24.238	Out-of-band emissions at antenna terminal	Pass	9
2.1051 24.238	Spurious Emissions at antenna terminal	Pass	10
2.1053 24.238	Radiated Spurious Emission Attenuation	Pass	12
2.1055 24.235	Frequency Stability	Pass	18
2.1091	Radiated Exposure	Pass	20
15.107	Line Conducted Emissions	Not performed. See separate report provided by client	N/A
Digital Section			
15.109	Radiated Emissions	Not performed. See separate report provided by client	N/A

3 RADIATED POWER

3.1 Test Description

Parameter:	FCC § 2.1046
Requirement:	FCC § 24.232(a)
(EIRP):	< 1640 Watts peak

3.2 Test Procedure

The Average output power at the antenna terminal of the EUT was set to 21.8 dBm measured by HP EPM-441A Power Meter. The Peak output power then was measured with a peak power meter and with a spectrum analyzer. The resolution bandwidth of a spectrum analyzer was set to 10 MHz and the video bandwidth was set to 7 MHz. The spectrum analyzer was connected to the EUT through a 6-dB attenuator and a cable having 1.5 dB insertion loss.

The Equivalent Isotropic Radiated Power (EIRP in dBm) was calculated using equation:

$$\text{EIRP} = \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{G}$$

Where **P** is the Output Power (in dBm), **G** is an antenna Gain (in dBi)

3.3 Test Results

Frequency, MHz	Peak Output Power, dBm	Antenna Gain, dBi	Peak EIRP, dBm	Peak EIRP, Watt
1900.8625	27.6	10	37.6	5.8
1904.1125	28.1	10	38.1	6.5
1908.9875	27.4	10	37.4	5.5

See Exhibit 1 for the peak output power plots (plots # 3.1, 3.2, 3.3)

3.4 Modifications made during testing

None

3.5 Test Instrumentation

Tektronix 2784 Spectrum Analyzer

HP EPM-441A Power Meter

HP Peak Power Meter

HP 6-dB Attenuator

HP 7470A Plotter

4 MODULATION CHARACTERISTICS**4.1 Test Description**

Parameter:	FCC § 2.1047
Requirement:	Not Applicable

5 OUT-OF-BAND EMISSIONS AT ANTENNA TERMINAL

5.1 Test description

Parameter:	FCC §2.1049
Requirement:	FCC § 24.238
Emission Attenuation:	At least $43 + 10\log(P \text{ in Watts})$ dB below the transmitter Power on any frequency outside a licensee's frequency block

5.2 Test Procedure

The RF output was connected to the input of the spectrum analyzer through sufficient attenuation. The resolution bandwidth of the spectrum analyzer was set to 30 kHz, which is higher than 1% of 26-dB emission bandwidth (1.4 MHz approximately). At each fundamental frequency, the output power was measured and plotted. Then measurements were performed at the band-edge frequencies (1895 MHz for the lowest channel and 1910 MHz for the highest channel).

5.3 Test Results

See Exhibit 1 for the out-of-band emission plots:

Plot Number	Description
5.1	Low Channel, in band
5.2	Low Channel, on the band-edge frequency
5.3	Middle Channel, in band
5.4	Middle Channel, on the band-edge frequency
5.5	High Channel, in band
5.6	High Channel, on the band-edge frequency

The EUT passed the test by 3.1 dB.

5.4 Modifications made during testing

None

5.5 Test instrumentation

Tektronix 2784 Spectrum Analyzer

HP 6-dB Attenuator

HP 7470A Plotter

6 SPURIOUS EMISSION AT ANTENNA TERMINAL

6.1 Test description

Parameter:	FCC §2.1051
Requirement:	FCC § 238
Emission Attenuation:	At least $43 + 10\log(P \text{ in Watts})$ dB below the transmitter Power on any frequency outside a licensee's frequency block.

6.2 Test Procedure

The RF output was connected to the input of the spectrum analyzer through sufficient attenuation. The resolution bandwidth of the spectrum analyzer was set to 100 kHz. At each fundamental frequency, the output power was measured and plotted. Then measurements were performed from 30 MHz up to 25 GHz.

6.3 Test Results

See Exhibit 1 for the antenna conducted spurious emission plots:

Plot Number	Description
6.1.a	Low Channel, 1-30 MHz
6.2.a	Low Channel, 30 - 1000 MHz
6.3.a	Low Channel, 1910 - 2000 MHz
6.4.a	Low Channel, 2.0- 10 GHz
6.5.a	Low Channel, 10 -20 GHz

Plot Number	Description
6.1.b	Middle Channel, 1-30 MHz
6.2.b	Middle Channel, 30 - 1000 MHz
6.3.b	Middle Channel, 2.0 - 10 GHz
6.4.b	Middle Channel, 10 -20 GHz

Plot Number	Description
6.1.c	High Channel, 1-30 MHz
6.2.c	High Channel, 30 - 1000 MHz
6.3.c	High Channel, 1.95 - 10 GHz
6.4.c	High Channel, 10 -20 GHz

The EUT passed the test by 18 dB.

6.4 Modifications made during testing

None

6.5 Test instrumentation

Tektronix 2784 Spectrum Analyzer

HP 6-dB Attenuator

HP 7470A Plotter

7 Radiated Spurious emissions

7.1 Test Description

Parameter:	FCC §2.1053
Requirement:	FCC § 24.238
Emission Attenuation:	At least $43 + 10\log(P \text{ in Watts})$ dB below the transmitter Power on any frequency outside a licensee's frequency block.

7.2 Test Procedure

The dummy load was connected to the transmitter output. The transmitter was placed on a wooden turntable.

The measurement antenna was placed at a distance of 3 meters from the EUT. During the tests, the antenna height and polarization as well as EUT azimuth were varied in order to identify the maximum level of emissions from the EUT.

The frequency range up to tenth harmonic of the fundamental frequency was investigated, with measurement equipment RBW setting at 1 MHz.

The spurious harmonic attenuation was calculated as the difference between field strength E in dB(uV/m) at the fundamental frequency and at the spurious emission frequency.

Field Strength at the fundamental frequency was calculated using equation

$$E = \frac{\sqrt{30 \cdot (EIRP)}}{D}$$

Where E is in V/m, and EIRP is in Watts.

Note 1: For this calculation, the antenna gain was not taking into account.

Note 2: FCC Part 15.109 radiated emission measurements (frequency range from 30 MHz to 1 GHz) were not performed. Test data can be found in separate FCC Part 15 report provided by the customer.

7.3 Test Results

Please see the following pages for spurious harmonic attenuation. The EUT passed the test by 13.5 dB.

7.4 Modifications made during testing

None

7.5 Test instrumentation

EMCO 3115 Horn antenna

EMCO 3160-9 Horn antenna

HP 8566B Spectrum Analyzer

Preamplifiers: CDI P1000, AFT18855, ACO/400

Radiated Emissions Test Data

Company:	Adicom Wireless	Model #:	RAU2	Req:	FCC	24.238
EUT:	Transceiver	FCC ID:	PAJ-RAU2-PCS	Test:	3	microwave
Project:	J20027994	Test Date:	Oct 23, 2000	RF:	0.58	Watt
Test:	Low channel, 1900.86 MHz	Engineer:	Barry S.	Min:	10.6	dBc

	Antenna Used			Pre-Amp Used			Cable			Transducer Used	
Number	14	21	12	8	10	13	21	21	None	0	
Model	EMCO	EMCG	EMCO	CDI	AFT1885S	ACO/400	Gri	M+L	None	None	
	3115	3116-9	3104	P1000							

Notes:

- a) O.C.F.: Other Correction Factor
- b) Insert. Loss = Cable A + Cable B + Cable C + Transducer.
- c) Net = Reading + Antenna Factor - Pre-Amp + Insert. Loss.
- d) Attn. = Field Strength (Fundamental) - Field Strength (Harmonics).
- e) Negative signs (-) in Margin column signify levels below the limits.
- f) * indicates a noise floor

Radiated Emissions Test Data

Company	Adicom Wireless	Model #:	RAU2	Req.	FCC	24.238
EUT:	Transceiver	FCC ID:	PAJ-RAU2-PCS	Test	3	metres
Project	J20027994	Test Date:	Oct 23, 2000	TP	0.65	wait
Test	Middle channel, 1904.11 MHz	Engineer:	Barry S.	MR	41.1	dBc

	Antenna Used			Pre-Amp Used			Cable		Transducer Used	
Number	14	21	12	8	10	13	21		0	
Model	EMCO	EMCG	EMCO	CDI	AF118ESS	ACO/400	Grn. M+L	None	None	
	3115	3161-9	3104	P1000						

Notes:

- O.C.F.: Other Correction Factor
- Insert. Loss = Cable A + Cable B + Cable C + Transducer.
- Net = Reading + Antenna Factor - Pre-Amp + Insert. Loss.
- Attn = Field Strength (Fundamental) - Field Strength (Harmonics).
- Negative signs (-) in Margin column signify levels below the limits.
- * indicates a noise floor

Radiated Emissions Test Data

Company	Adicom Wireless	Model #:	RAU2	Req	FCC	24.238
EUT:	Transceiver	FCC ID:	PAJ-RAU2-PCS	Test	3	meters
Project	J20027994	Test Date:	Oct 23, 2000	TP	0.55	Watt
Test	High channel, 1908.9875 MHz	Engineer:	Barry S.	Min	40.4	dBc

	Antenna Used			Pic_Amp Used			Cable			Transducer Used		
Number	14	21	12	8	10	13	21				0	
Model:	EMCO	EMCO	EMCO	CDI	AFT18855	ACO400	Gm_M-L	None	None	None	None	
	3115	3160.9	3104	P1000								

a) O.C.F.:Other Correction Factor

b) Insert. Loss = Cable A + Cable B + Cable C + Transducer.

c) Net = Reading + Antenna Factor - Pre-Amp + Insert. Loss.

d) Attn. = Field Strength (Fundamental) - Field Strength (Harmonics).

e) Negative signs (-) in Margin column signify levels below the limits

* indicates a noise floor

8 FREQUENCY STABILITY

8.1 Test description

Parameter:	FCC §2.1055
Requirement:	FCC § 24.235
Frequency Tolerance:	Sufficient to ensure that the fundamental emission stays within the authorized frequency block

8.2 Test Procedure

The ppm frequency error of the transmitter was calculated by:

$$\text{ppm error} = \left(\frac{MCF}{ACF} - 1 \right) \cdot 10^6$$

Where MCF is the Measured Carrier Frequency in MHz
ACF is the Assigned Carrier Frequency in MHz

8.2.1 Frequency Stability vs. Temperature

The equipment under test was connected to a power supply and the RF output was connected to a frequency counter via feedthrough attenuators. The EUT was placed inside the temperature chamber. After the temperature stabilized for approximately 20 minutes, the frequency of the output signal was recorded from the counter.

8.2.2 Frequency Stability vs. Voltage

At room temperature (25 ± 5 °C), a power supply was connected to the EUT. The frequency of the transmitter was measured for 115%, 100% and 85% of the nominal operating input voltage.

8.3 Test Results

Frequency Stability vs Temperature		
ACF (MHz): 1904.1125		
Temperature, C	MCF (MHz)	ppm Error
50	1904.122	5.0
40	1904.122	5.0
30	1904.123	5.5
20	1904.123	5.5
10	1904.135	11.8
0	1904.135	11.8
-10	1904.123	5.5
-20	1904.123	5.5
-30	1904.123	5.5

Frequency Stability vs Voltage			
ACF (MHz):			
%	Voltage, V	MCF (MHz)	ppm Error
85	102	1904.133	10.8
100	120	1904.133	10.8
115	138	1904.133	10.8

The EUT passed the test

8.4 Modifications made during testing

None

8.5 Test instrumentation

- Data provided by applicant
- Temperature Chamber, -50C to +100C
- Hewlett Packard 5383A Frequency Counter
- Tektronix 2784 Spectrum Analyzer

9 AC LINE CONDUCTED EMISSIONS

9.1 Test description

Parameter:	ANSI C63.4
Requirement:	FCC § 15.107

9.2 Test Procedure

The EUT was connected to the AC line through the LISNs.

Both HOT and NEUTRAL leads were tested.

9.3 Test Results

Test was not performed. Test data can be found in the separate FCC Part 15 report provided by client.

9.4 Modifications made during testing

None

9.5 Test instrumentation

HP 8568B Spectrum Analyzer

LISN

10 RADIATION EXPOSURE

The BRFM Radio is the up-mast transceiver intended to service multiple subscribers on a point-to-multipoint basis. Antenna is fix-mounted, generally quite high, so it is impossible to use the product in any portable application. Therefore, to comply with RF Exposure Requirement, the MPE is calculated.

The maximum Peak EIRP measured and calculated is 38.1 dBm or 6.5 W. The Power Density can be calculated using the formula

$$S = EIRP / 4\pi D^2$$

Where: S is Power Density in W/m^2

D is the distance from the antenna.

The transmitter operates with the Duty Cycle of 0.47 (3.8 ms – ON, 4.25 ms – OFF).

In the table below, the calculated Power Density at different distances and MPE Limit (FCC 1.1310, Uncontrolled Exposure) are presented.

Distance, m	Power Density, W/m^2 (with no Duty Cycle)	Power Density, W/m^2 (with 47% Duty Cycle)	MPE, W/m^2
0.2	12.9	6.1	10.0
0.3	5.7	2.7	10.0
0.4	3.2	1.5	10.0
0.5	2.1	1.0	10.0
0.6	1.4	0.7	10.0
0.7	1.1	0.5	10.0
0.8	0.8	0.4	10.0
0.9	0.6	0.3	10.0
1.0	0.5	0.2	10.0

As can be seen from the Table, Power Density at 0.2 m distance from the antenna is well below the limit. A Warning Statement is written in the User Manual.

11 LIST OF TEST EQUIPMENT

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model	Serial #	Cal. Int.	Cal. Due	Used
Double-ridged Horn Antenna	EMCO	3115	9107-3712	12	6/25/01	X
Horn Antenna	EMCO	3160-9	N/A	#	#	X
Pre-amplifier	CDI	P1000	N/A	12	11/14/00	X
Pre-amplifier	Avantek	AFT18855	8723H705	12	11/14/00	X
Pre-amplifier	CTT	ACO/400	47526	12	11/14/00	X
Spectrum Analyzer w/8650 QP Adapter	Hewlett Packard	HP 8566B	2416A00317 2521A01021	6	2/03/01	X
Spectrum Analyzer	Tektronix	2784	B3020108	12	8/4/01	X
Peak Power Meter	Hewlett Packard	8900D	3607U00673	12	7/31/01	X
Peak Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	84811A	3318A05091	12	12/7/99	X
Power Meter	Hewlett Packard	EPM-441A	US37481023	12	5/17/01	X

CALIBRATION IS NOT REQUIRED

12 EXHIBIT 1

Plot No	Description
3.1 – 3.3	Output Power
5.1 – 5.6	Out-of-band conducted emission at antenna terminal
6.1a – 6.5a	Spurious conducted emissions at antenna terminal, low channel
6.1b – 6.4b	Spurious conducted emissions at antenna terminal, middle channel
6.1c – 6.4c	Spurious conducted emissions at antenna terminal, high channel