




**SK TECH CO., LTD.**

Page 1 of 13

Certificate of Compliance

Test Report No.:	SKTOS-02011		
NVLAP CODE :	200220-0		
Applicant:	LeadTech corp.		
Applicant Address:	4F KEB bld., 358-1, Yatap, Bundang, Sungnam, Kyunggi, 463-828m Korea		
Product:	Video Capture Board		
FCC ID:	P8ZSECUMESH400	Model No.:	secumesh400
Receipt No.:	SKE20020201-80	Date of receipt:	Feb. 01, 2002
Date of Issue:	Mar. 05, 2002		
Testing location:	SK TECH CO., LTD. 820-2, Wolmoon-Ri, Wabu-Up, Namyangju-Si, Kyunggi-Do, Korea		
Test Standards:	ANSI C63.4 / 1992		
Rule Parts:	FCC part 15 Subpart B		
Equipment Class :	Class B Digital Device Peripheral		
Test Result:	The above mentioned product has been tested and passed.		
Prepared by: Y.H.Kang		Tested by: Y.B.Kim/Engineer	
		Approved by: J.Y.Hyun /Lab.Manager	
 _____ Signature Date		 _____ Signature Date	
 _____ Signature Date			
Other Aspects :			
Abbreviations :	· OK, Pass = passed · Fail = failed · N/A = not applicable		

- This test report is not permitted to copy partly without our permission.
- This test result is dependent on only equipment to be used.
- This test result is based on a single evaluation of one sample of the above mentioned.
- This test report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S Government.
- We certify that this test report has been based on the measurement standards that is traceable to the national or International standards.



NVLAP Lab. Code: 200220-0



» » Contents « «

Contents	2
List of Tables	2
List of Figures	2
1. General	3
2. Test Site	3
2.1 Location	3
2.2 List of Test and Measurement Instruments	4
2.3 Test Date	4
2.4 Test Environment	4
3. Description of the tested samples	5
3.1 Rating and Physical Characteristics	5
3.2 Submitted Documents	5
4. Measurement Conditions	6
4.1 Modes of Operation	6
4.2 List of Peripherals	6
4.3 Type of Used cables	7
4.4 Test Setup	7
4.5 Uncertainty	7
5. EMISSION Test	8
5.1 Conducted Emissions	8
5.2 Radiated Emissions	12

» List of Tables

Table 1	List of test and measurement Equipment	4
Table 2	Test Data, Conducted Emissions	11
Table 3	Test Data, Radiated Emissions	13

» List of Figures

Figure 1	Spectral Diagram, LINE-PE	9
Figure 2	Spectral Diagram, Neutral-PE	10



1. General

This equipment has been shown to be capable of compliance with the applicable technical standards and was tested in accordance with the measurement procedures as indicated in this report.

We attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by SK Tech Co., Ltd. and were made under Chief Engineer' s supervision.
We assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

2. Test Site

SK TECH Co., Ltd.

2.1 Location

820-2, Wolmoon Ri, Wabu-Up, Namyangju-Si, Kyunggi-Do, Korea

The test site is in compliance with ANSI C63.4/1992 for measurement of radio interference.



2.2 List of Test and Measurement Instruments

Table 1 : List of Test and Measurement Equipment

● Conducted Disturbance

Kind of Equipment	Type	S/N	Calibrated until
EMI Receiver	ESHS 10	862970/019	02.2002
Artificial Mains Network	ESH2-Z5	834549/001	10.2002
EMI Receiver	ESHS 10	385871/002	10.2002
Artificial Mains Network	ESH3-Z5	836679/018	10.2002
Conducted Cable	N/A	N/A	11.2002

● Radiated Disturbance

Kind of Equipment	Type	S/N	Calibrated until
EMI Receiver	ESVS 10	825120/013	02.2003
EMI Receiver	ESVS 10	834468/008	10.2002
Spectrum Analyzer	R3361A	11730187	10.2002
Amplifier	8447F	3113A05153	08.2002
Log Periodic Antenna	UHALP9107	1819	02.2003
Biconical Antenna	BBA9106	91031626	02.2003
Open Site Cable	N/A	N/A	N/A
Antenna Mast	5907	N/A	N/A
Antenna & Turntable controller	5906	N/A	N/A
Amp & Receiver connection cable	N/A	N/A	N/A
Amp & Spectrum connection cable	N/A	N/A	N/A
50 Switcher	MP59B	6100214538	N/A

2.3 Test Date

Date of Application : Feb. 01, 2002

Date of Test : Mar. 02, 2002

2.4 Test Environment

See each test item' s description.



3. Description of the tested samples

The EUT is the Video Capture Board.

3.1 Rating and Physical Characteristics

- **System Requirement**

- CPU : Pentium IV 1.5GHz or above
- OS : Windows 98, ME
- Memory : 128 MB or above
- HDD : 40GB or above
- VGA : AGP 32MB or above

- **Technical Specification**

- Display : Max. 120 frames/sec
- Recording : Max. 100 frames/sec
- Resolution : 320 x 240
- Codec : MJPEG
- Frame size : 4 ~ 12 Kbytes/frame
- Screens : 1, 4, 9, 16
- Recording Mode : Continuous, Sensor triggered, Motion triggered
- Pan/Tilt : Yes, (Camera dependent)

3.2 Submitted Documents

N/A



4. Measurement Conditions

Testing Input Voltage : AC 120V, 60Hz

4.1 Modes of Operation

The EUT was in the following operation mode during all testing;

EUT installed in PC. Tested in mode of displaying picture on the screen .

4.2 List of Peripherals

Description	Manufacturer	Model Name	Serial No.	FCC ID
Personal Computer	LG-IBM	R70	103KI00189	N/A
Monitor	Viewtech	CT-141	N/A	N/A
Keyboard	LG-IBM	LKB-0107	10209670	N/A
Mouse	Samsung	Scroll Mouse P801	01030680	FSVGMZFT
Camera	Hitron Systems	4300SC	N/A	N/A
Adapter 1 (for Monitor)	L.S.E	LSE9802B1240	2K1711039	N/A
Adaptor 2 (for Camera)	Dream Electronics	DR-12500	N/A	N/A
Video Distributor	Artpia	N/A	N/A	N/A



4.3 Type of Used Cables

Description	Length	Type of shield	Manufacturer	Remark
PC Power Cable	1.6m	Non-Shield	None	
BNC Cable (x3)	1.0m	Non-Shield	None	For EUT
AC Adapter Cable	1.7m	Non-Shield	None	For Monitor
Video interface Cable	1.8m	Shield	None	
BNC Cable	1.0m	Non-Shield	None	For Camera
AC Adapter Cable	1.7m	Non-Shield	None	For Camera
Keyboard Cable	1.2m	Non-Shield	None	
Mouse Cable	1.2m	Non-Shield	None	

4.4 Test Setup

The test setup photographs showed the external supply connections and interfaces.

4.5 Uncertainty

1) Radiated disturbance

U_c (Combined standard Uncertainty) = $\pm 1.9\text{dB}$

Expanded uncertainty $U = KU_c$

$K = 2$

$U = \pm 3.8\text{dB}$

2) Conducted disturbance

$U_c = \pm 0.88\text{dB}$

$U = KU_c = 2 \times U_c = \pm 1.8\text{dB}$



5. EMISSION Test

5.1 Conducted Emissions

Result:**Pass**

The line-conducted facility is located inside a 2.0M x 3.6M x 7.2M shielded enclosure.

The shielding effectiveness of the shielded room is in accordance with MIL-Std-285 or NSA 604-05.

A 1m x 1.5m wooden table 80cm. high is placed 40cm. away from the vertical wall and 1.5m away from the side wall of the shielded room. ROHDE & SCHWARZ Model ESH3-Z5 (10kHz-30MHz)

50ohm/50 uH Line-Impedance Stabilization Networks(LISNs) are bonded to the shielded room.

The EUT is powered from the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN and the support equipment is

powered from the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN. Power to the LISNs are filtered by a high-current high-insertion loss Lindgren enclosures power line filters (100dB 14kHz-10GHz).

The purpose of the filter is to attenuate ambient signal interference and this filter is also bonded to the shielded enclosure.

All electrical cables are shielded by braided tinned copper zipper tubing with inner diameter of 1/2".

If the EUT is a DC-powered device, power will be derived from the source power supply it normally will be powered from and this supply lines will be connected to the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN.

All interconnecting cables more than 1 meter were shortened by non-inductive bundling (serpentine fashion) to a 1-meter length.

Sufficient time for the EUT, support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition. The RF output of the LISN was connected to the spectrum analyzer to determine the frequency producing the maximum EME from the EUT.

The spectrum was scanned from 450kHz to 30MHz with 100msec. sweep time.

The frequency producing the maximum level was reexamined using EMI/field Intensity Meter (ESHS 10) and Quasi-Peak adapter. The detector function was set to CISPR quasi-peak mode.

The bandwidth of the receiver was set to 10kHz. The EUT, support equipment, and interconnecting cables were arranged and manipulated to maximize each EME emission.

Each emission was maximized by: switching power lines; varying the mode of operation or resolution; clock or data exchange speed; if applicable; whichever determined the worst-case emission.

Photographs of the worst-case emission can be seen in photograph of conducted test.

Each EME reported was calibrated using self-calibrating mode.



Figure 1 : Spectral Diagram, LINE - PE







Figure 2 : Spectral Diagram, NEUTRAL – PE



**Table 2: Test Data, Conducted Emissions**

Frequency (MHz)	(1)Reading (dB _{mV})	Line	(2)C/F (dB)	(3)C/L (dB)	(4)Actual (dB _{mV})	(5)Limit (dB _{mV})	(6)Margin (dB)
0.500	35.06	B	0.2	0.1	35.36	48.0	12.64
0.670	27.79	A	0.0	0.1	27.89	48.0	20.11
1.000	27.40	B	0.2	0.1	27.70	48.0	20.30
1.510	31.14	B	0.1	0.3	31.54	48.0	16.46
28.080	26.21	A	0.8	0.8	27.81	48.0	20.19
28.670	24.42	B	0.5	0.8	25.72	48.0	22.28

NOTES:

1. All modes of operation were investigated and the worst-case emission are reported.
2. All other emissions are non-significant.
3. All readings are calibrated by self-mode in receiver.
4. Measurements using CISPR quasi-peak mode.
5. Line A = LINE-PE, Line B = NEUTRAL-PE
6. C/F = Correction Factor
7. C/L = Cable Loss

Margin Calculation

$$(6)\text{Margin} = (5)\text{Limit} - (4)\text{Actual}$$

$$[(4)\text{Actual} = (1)\text{Reading} + (2)\text{C/F} + (3)\text{C/L}]$$



5.2 Radiated Emissions

Result :**Pass**

Preliminary measurements were made indoors at 1 meter using broadband antennas, broadband amplifier, and spectrum analyzer to determine the frequency producing the maximum EME.

Appropriate precaution was taken to ensure that all EME from the EUT were maximized and investigated. The system configuration, clock speed, mode of operation or video resolution, turntable azimuth with respect to the antenna were noted for each frequency found.

The spectrum was scanned from 30 to 300 MHz using biconical antenna and from 300 to 1000 MHz using log-periodic antenna. Above 1GHz, linearly polarized double ridge horn antennas were used.

Final measurements were made outdoors at 3-meter test range using SCHWARZBECK dipole antennas. The test equipment was placed on a wooden table situated on a 4x4 meter area adjacent to the measurement area. Turntable was to protect from weather in the dome that made with FRP.

Sufficient time for the EUT, support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition. Each frequency found during pre-scan measurements was re-examined and investigated using EMI/Field Intensity Meter(ESVS 10) and Quasi-Peak Adapter. The detector function was set to CISPR quasi-peak mode and the bandwidth of the receiver was set to 100kHz or 1MHz depending on the frequency or type of signal.

The half-wave dipole antenna was tuned to the frequency found during preliminary radiated measurements. The EUT, support equipment and interconnecting cables were re-configured to the set-up producing the maximum emission for the frequency and were placed on top of a 0.8-meter high non-metallic 1 x 1.5 meter table.

The EUT, support equipment, and interconnecting cables were re-arranged and manipulated to maximize each EME emission. The turntable containing the system was rotated; the antenna height was varied 1 to 4 meters and stopped at the azimuth or height producing the maximum emission. Each emission was maximized by: varying the mode of operation or resolution; clock or data exchange speed, and/or support equipment, if applicable; and changing the polarity of the antenna, whichever determined the worst-case emission.

Photographs of the worst-case emission can be seen in photograph of radiated emission test.

Each EME reported was calibrated using self-calibrating mode.

**Table 3 : Test Data, Radiated Emissions**

Frequency (MHz)	Pol.	Height [m]	Angle [°]	(1) Reading (dBμV)	(2) AFCL (dB/m)	(3) Actual (dBμV/m)	(4) Limit (dBμV/m)	(5) Margin (dB)
667.50	H	4.0	231	14.1	27.6	41.7	46.0	4.3
286.50	V	1.2	165	16.2	21.5	37.7	46.0	8.3
935.30	H	3.8	236	11.8	31.7	43.5	46.0	2.5

Table. Radiated Measurements at 3-meters

The measured result is below the limit, but by a margin less than half of the uncertainty interval: it is therefore not possible to state compliance based on the 95% level of confidence.

However, the result indicates that compliance is more probable than non-compliance.

NOTES:

1. All modes of operation were investigated
and the worst-case emission are reported.
2. All other emission are non-significant.
3. All readings are calibrated by self-mode in receiver.
4. Measurements using CISPR quasi-peak mode.
5. AFCL = Antenna factor and cable loss
6. H = Horizontal, V = Vertical Polarization

Margin Calculation

$$(5)\text{Margin} = (4)\text{Limit} - (3)\text{Actual}$$

$$[(3)\text{Actual} = (1)\text{Reading} + (2)\text{AFCL}]$$