Suzhou Sanex Electronics Co., Ltd.

Application
For
Certification
(FCC ID: OUMSDF120)

Transmitter

WO# 9909714 CKL/at December 30, 1999

- The test results reported in this report shall refer only to the sample actually tested and shall not refer or be deemed to refer to bulk from which such a sample may be said to have been obtained.
- This report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior authorization from Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Limited

LIST OF EXHIBITS

INTRODUCTION

EXHIBIT 1: General Description

EXHIBIT 2: System Test Configuration

EXHIBIT 3: Emission Results

EXHIBIT 4: Equipment Photographs

EXHIBIT 5: Product Labelling

EXHIBIT 6: Technical Specifications

EXHIBIT 7: Instruction Manual

EXHIBIT 8: Miscellaneous Information

MEASUREMENT/TECHNICAL REPORT

Suzhou Sanex Electronics Co., Ltd. - MODEL: SDF-26, SDF-24, SDF-22, SDF-20, SDF-18, SDF-16, SDF-14, SDF-12, SDF-10

FCC ID: OUMSDF120

December 30, 1999

This report concerns (check one:) Or	riginal Grant <u>X</u>	Class I	I Change
Equipment Type: RF Lighting Device			
Deferred grant requested per 47 CFR 0.457(d)(1)(ii)?	Yes	No_X_
	If yes, defer u	ıntil:	
			date
Company Name agrees to notify the Commis	*		<u> </u>
	date		
	e product so that the	e grant car	n be issued on that
	e product so that the	e grant car	n be issued on that
date.		e grant car	n be issued on that
date.	C. K.		
date.	C. K.	. Lam	g Services
date.	C. K. Intert 2/F., 576,	Lam tek Testing Garment (Castle Pea	g Services Center, ık Road,
date.	C. K. Intert 2/F., 576, HON	Lam tek Testing Garment C Castle Pea	g Services Center, lk Road,
of the intended date of announcement of the date. Report prepared by:	C. K. Intert 2/F., 576, HON	Lam tek Testing Garment (Castle Pea	g Services Center, kk Road,

Table of Contents

1.0 General Description	
1.1 Product Description	2
1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants	2
1.3 Test Methodology	3
1.4 Test Facility	
1.5 Equipment List	4
2.0 System Test Configuration	6
2.1 Justification	
2.2 EUT Exercising Software	6
2.3 Special Accessories	6
2.4 Equipment Modification	
2.5 Support Equipment List and Description	7
3.0 Emission Results	9
3.1 Field Strength Calculation	10
3.1 Field Strength Calculation (cont)	11
3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph	12
3.3 Radiated Emission Data	13
3.4 Line Conducted Configuration Photograph (cont)	15
3.5 Line Conducted Emission Data	17
4.0 Equipment Photographs	19
5.0 Product Labelling	21
6.0 <u>Technical Specifications</u>	23
7.0 Instruction Manual	25

List of attached file

Exhibit type	File Description	filename	
Cover Letter	Letter of Agency	letter.pdf	
Test Report	Test Report	report.doc	
Operation Description	Technical Description	descri.pdf	
Test Setup Photo	Radiated Emission	radiated1.jpg to radiated2.jpg	
Test Setup Photo	Conducted Emission	conduct1.jpg to conduct3.jpg	
Test Report	Conducted Emission Test Result	conduct.pdf	
External Photo	External Photo	ophoto1.jpg	
Internal Photo	Internal Photo	iphoto1.jpg to iphoto2.jpg	
Block Diagram	Block Diagram	block.pdf	
Schematics	Circuit Diagram	circuit.pdf	
ID Label/ Location	Label Artwork and Location	label.pdf	
User Manual	User Manual	manual.pdf	

EXHIBIT 1

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

1.0 **General Description**

1.1 Product Description

The equipment under test (EUT) is a self-ballasted lamp, models: SDF-26(26W), SDF-24(24W), SDF-22(22W), SDF-20(20W), SDF-18(18W), SDF-16(16W), SDF-14(14W), SDF-12(12W) and SDF-10(10W). The EUT is operated at 20kHz and powered by AC 120V, 60 Hz. All lamps were tested and the worst case result SDF-26(26W) is presented in the report.

The brief circuit description is saved with filename: descri.pdf

1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants

This is a single application for certification of a RF lighting device.

1.3 Test Methodology

Both AC mains line-conducted and radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in MP-5. All measurements were performed in Open Area Test Sites. Preliminary scans were performed in the Open Area Test Sites only to determine worst case modes. For each scan, the procedure for maximizing emissions in Appendices D and E were followed. All Radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the "Justification Section" of this Application.

1.4 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the emission data is located at Garment Centre, 576 Castle Peak Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong. This test facility and site measurement data have been fully placed on file with the FCC.

1.5 Equipment List

1) Radiated Emission Test for FCC Part 18

Equipment	Registration No.	Manufacturer	Model No.	Serial No.	Calibration Due Date
EMI Test Receiver	EW-0016	R&S	ESVS30	8693342/008	January 1999
Antenna	EW-0446	EMCO	3146	9905-5219	November 2000
Set	EW-0448	EMCO	3104C	9904-4850	November 2000
EMI Test Receiver	EW-0017	R&S	ESHS30	842053/002	January 2000

2) Disturbance Voltage Tests for FCC Part 18

Equipment	Registration No.	Manufacturer	Model No.	Serial No.	Calibration Due Date
EMI Test Receiver	EW-0017	R&S	ESHS30	842053/002	January 2000
Absorbing Clamp	EW-0019	R&S	MDS21	828228/006	February 2000
LISN	EW-0090	R&S	ESH3-Z5	840731/013	February 2000

`

EXHIBIT 2

SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

2.0 **System Test Configuration**

2.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in MP-5.

The EUT was powered by AC 120V, 60 Hz.

For maximizing emissions, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Exhibit 3.0.

The unit was operated standalone and placed in the center of the turntable.

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was mounted to a cardboard box, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes.

The worst case bit sequence was applied during test.

2.2 EUT Exercising Software

There was no special software to exercise the device. Once the EUT is turned on, it emits the RF noise.

2.3 Special Accessories

There are no special accessories necessary for compliance of this product.

2.4 Equipment Modification

Any modifications installed previous to testing by Suzhou Sanex Electronics Co., Ltd. will be incorporated in each production model sold/leased in the United States.

No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services.

2.5 Support Equipment List and Description

This product was tested in a standalone configuration.

All the items listed under section 2.0 of this report are

Confirmed by:

C. K. Lam
Technical Manager
Intertek Testing Services
Agent for Suzhou Sanex Electronics Co., Ltd.

Signature

December 30, 1999

Date

EXHIBIT 3

EMISSION RESULTS

3.0 **Emission Results**

Data is included worst case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels). A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

3.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the reading on the Spectrum Analyzer to the factors associated with preamplifiers (if any), antennas, cables, pulse desensitization and average factors (when specified limit is in average and measurements are made with peak detectors). A sample calculation is included below.

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

where $FS = Field Strength in dB\mu V/m$

RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in $dB\mu V$

CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

AF = Antenna Factor in dB

AG = Amplifier Gain in dB

PD = Pulse Desensitization in dB

AV = Average Factor in -dB

In the radiated emission table which follows, the reading shown on the data table may reflect the preamplifier gain. An example of the calculations, where the reading does not reflect the preamplifier gain, follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

3.1 Field Strength Calculation (cont)

Example

Assume a receiver reading of $62.0~dB\mu V$ is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4~dB and cable factor of 1.6~dB is added. The amplifier gain of 29~dB is subtracted. The pulse desensitization factor of the spectrum analyzer was 0~dB, and the resultant average factor was -10 dB. The net field strength for comparison to the appropriate emission limit is $32~dB\mu V/m$. This value in $dB\mu V/m$ was converted to its corresponding level in $\mu V/m$.

 $RA = 62.0 dB\mu V$

AF = 7.4 dB

CF = 1.6 dB

AG = 29.0 dB

PD = 0 dB

AV = -10 dB

 $FS = 62 + 7.4 + 1.6 - 29 + 0 + (-10) = 32 dB\mu V/m$

Level in mV/m = Common Antilogarithm [(32 dB μ V/m)/20] = 39.8 μ V/m

3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph

Worst Case Radiated Emission

70.987 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photograph is saved with filename: radiated1.jpg to radiated2.jpg

3.3 Radiated Emission Data

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgement: Passed by 16.1 dB

TEST PERSONNEL:

Signature

Danny T. L. Chui, Compliance Engineer

Typed/Printed Name

December 30, 1999

Date

Company: Suzhou Sanex Electronics Co., Ltd.

Date of Test: September 30, 1999

Model: SDF-26

Table 1

Radiated Emissions

Polarity	Frequency	Reading	Antenna	Pre-	Net	Calculated	Limit	Margin
	(MHz)	$(dB\mu V)$	Factor	Amp	at 3m	Net at 30m	at 30m	(dB)
			(dB)	Gain	$(dB\mu V/m)$	$(dB\mu V/m)$	$(dB\mu V/m)$	
				(dB)				
Н	54.873	28.4	11	16	21.7	1.7	20	-18.3
Н	61.647	30.8	10	16	22.4	2.4	20	-17.6
Н	64.783	34.6	9	16	23.8	3.8	20	-16.2
Н	70.987	36.8	7	16	23.9	3.9	20	-16.1
Н	74.276	35.8	6	16	22.9	2.9	20	-17.1
Н	82.009	31.8	7	16	21.4	1.4	20	-18.6

Notes: 1. Peak Detector Data unless otherwise stated.

- 2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.
- 3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.

Test Engineer: Danny T. L. Chui

3.4 Line Conducted Configuration Photograph

Worst Case Line-Conducted Configuration

0.50 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case line-conducted configuration photograph are saved with filename: conduct1.jpg & conduct3.jpg

Company: Suzhou Sanex Electronics Co., Ltd.

Date of Test: September 30, 1999

Model: SDF-26

Conducted Emissions Section 18.307 Requirements

For electronic filing, the conducted emission test result is saved with filename: conduct.pdf

3.5 Line Conducted Emission Configuration Data

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit, and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgement: Passed by 11.1 dB

* All readings are peak unless stated otherwise.

TEST PERSONNEL:	
Signature	
Danny T. L. Chui, Compliance Enginee Typed/Printed Name	<u>r</u>
Date	

EXHIBIT 4

EQUIPMENT PHOTOGRAPHS

4.0 **Equipment Photographs**

For electronic filing, the photographs are saved with filename: ophoto1.jpg and iphoto1.jpg to iphoto2.jpg

EXHIBIT 5

PRODUCT LABELLING

5.0 **Product Labelling**

For electronic filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: label.pdf

EXHIBIT 6

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

6.0 <u>Technical Specifications</u>

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematics are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf respectively.

EXHIBIT 7

INSTRUCTION MANUAL

7.0 **Instruction Manual**

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf

This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States.