



## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

**Applicant Name:**

TEMCO Communications Inc.  
13 Chipping Campden Drive  
South Barrington, IL 60010  
USA

**Date of Testing:**

10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006

**Test Site/Location:**

PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA

**Test Report Serial No.:**

0610160898

**MODEL:**

**SR450**

**APPLICANT:**

**TEMCO COMMUNICATIONS INC.**

**EUT Type:**

UHF Radio

**Application Type:**

Certification

**FCC Rule Part(s):**

§2.1093; FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [July 2001]

**FCC Classification:**

Licensed Non-Broadcast Station Transmitter (TNB)

**Model(s):**

SR450

**Tx Frequency:**

346.4 - 512.6 MHz (FM)

**Conducted Power:**

2.0 W

**Max. SAR Measurement:**

3.69 W/kg PTT Body SAR / 3.45 W/kg VOX Body SAR

**Test Device Serial No.:**

*Pre-Production (S/N: SAR #1)*

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-2005 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (2001) and IEEE Std. 1528-2003.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.



**Grant Conditions:** Output power is Conducted. SAR compliance for body-worn operating configuration is based on a separation distance of 1.4 cm between the front of the unit and the face of the user. End-users must be informed of the body-worn operating requirements for satisfying RF exposure compliance. Belt clips or holsters may not contain metallic components.

*PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been denied the FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862.*



Randy Ortanez  
President





<b>Model:</b> SR450		<b>CERTIFICATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>SAR Filename:</b> 0610160898	<b>Test Dates:</b> 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	<b>EUT Type:</b> UHF Radio		Page 1 of 22

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

1	INTRODUCTION .....	3
2	TEST SITE LOCATION .....	4
3	SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP .....	5
4	DASY E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM .....	7
5	PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS .....	8
6	PHANTOM AND EQUIVALENT TISSUES.....	9
7	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT & PHANTOM SPECS.....	10
8	DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS .....	11
9	TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS .....	12
10	ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 2005 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS.....	14
11	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES .....	15
12	SYSTEM VERIFICATION.....	16
13	SAR DATA SUMMARY .....	17
14	EQUIPMENT LIST.....	19
15	CONCLUSION.....	20
16	REFERENCES .....	21

<b>Model:</b> SR450	 <small>Complete Wireless Lab</small>	<b>CERTIFICATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>SAR Filename:</b> 0610160898	<b>Test Dates:</b> 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	<b>EUT Type:</b> UHF Radio	Page 2 of 22	

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices.[1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-2005 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz. (c) 2006 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017.[2] The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave[3] is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

## 1.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density ( $\rho$ ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 1-1).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{\rho dV} \right)$$

**Figure 1-1**  
**SAR Mathematical Equation**



**SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).**

$$SAR = \sigma E^2 / \rho$$

where:

- $\sigma$  = conductivity of the tissue-simulant material (S/m)
- $\rho$  = mass density of the tissue-simulant material (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)


NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

Model: SR450		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0610160898	Test Dates: 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	EUT Type: UHF Radio		Page 3 of 22

## 2.1 INTRODUCTION

The map at the right shows the location of the PCTEST LABORATORY in Columbia, Maryland. It is in proximity to the FCC Laboratory, the Baltimore-Washington International (BWI) airport, the city of Baltimore and Washington, DC (See Figure 2).

These measurement tests were conducted at the PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. facility in New Concept Business Park, Guilford Industrial Park, Columbia, Maryland. The site address is 6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045. The test site is one of the highest points in the Columbia area with an elevation of 390 feet above mean sea level. The site coordinates are 39° 11'15" N latitude and 76° 49' 38" W longitude. The facility is 1.5 miles north of the FCC laboratory, and the ambient signal and ambient signal strength are approximately equal to those of the FCC laboratory. There are no FM or TV transmitters within 15 miles of the site. The detailed description of the measurement facility was found to be in compliance with the requirements of § 2.948 according to ANSI C63.4-2003 on January 27, 2006 and Industry Canada.




**Figure 2-1**



Map of the Greater Baltimore and Metropolitan Washington, D.C. area

## 2.2 Test Facility / A2LA Accreditation:

Measurements were performed at an independent accredited PCTEST Engineering Lab located in Columbia, MD 21045, U.S.A.



- PCTEST facility is an FCC registered (PCTEST Reg. No. 90864) test facility with the site description report on file and has met all the requirements specified in Section 2.948 of the FCC Rules and Industry Canada (IC 2451).
- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP Lab code: 100431-0) in EMC, FCC and Telecommunications.
- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) for Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing, CTIA Test Plans, FCC, Hearing-Aid Compatibility (HAC) testing, CTIA OTA and Industry Canada Rules.
- PCTEST Lab is a recognized U.S. Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) in EMC and R&TTE (n.b. 0982) under the US-EU Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA).
- PCTEST TCB is a Telecommunication Certification Body (TCB) accredited to ISO/IEC Guide 65 by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in all scopes of FCC Rules and all Industry Canada Standards (RSS).
- PCTEST facility is an IC registered (IC-2451) test laboratory with the site description on file at Industry Canada.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) in AMPS and CDMA mobile phones.

Model: SR450		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0610160898	Test Dates: 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	EUT Type: UHF Radio		Page 4 of 22


### 3.1 Robotic System

Measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY4 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Pentium 4 computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Figure 3-1).

### 3.2 System Hardware



A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Gateway Pentium 4 2.53 GHz computer with Windows XP system and SAR Measurement Software DASY4, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.

### 3.3 System Electronics



**Figure 3-1**  
**SAR Measurement System Setup**

The DAE4 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in [7].

<b>Model:</b> SR450		<b>CERTIFICATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>SAR Filename:</b> 0610160898	<b>Test Dates:</b> 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	<b>EUT Type:</b> UHF Radio		Page 5 of 22

### 3.4 Automated Test System Specifications

#### Positioner

Robot: Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot RX60L  
Repeatability: 0.02 mm  
No. of Axes: 6

#### Data Acquisition Electronic System (DAE)

##### Cell Controller

Processor: Pentium 4  
Clock Speed: 2.53 GHz  
Operating System: Windows XP Professional

##### Data Converter

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter & control logic  
Software: DASY4, SEMCAD software  
Connecting Lines: Optical Downlink for data and status info  
Optical upload for commands and clock

#### PC Interface Card



Function: 166MHz low power Pentium MMX 32MB chipdisk  
Link to DAE  
16-bit A/D converter for surface detection system  
Two Serial & Ethernet link to robotics  
Direct emergency stop output for robot

#### Phantom

Type: SAM Twin Phantom (V4.0)  
Shell Material: Composite  
Thickness:  $2.0 \pm 0.2$  mm



**Figure 3-2**  
**DASY4 SAR Measurement System**

<b>Model:</b> SR450		<b>CERTIFICATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>SAR Filename:</b> 0610160898	<b>Test Dates:</b> 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	<b>EUT Type:</b> UHF Radio		Page 6 of 22



### 4.1 Probe Measurement System



**Figure 4-1**  
**SAR System**


The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4, designed in the classical triangular configuration [7] (see Fig. 4.2) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multi-fiber line ending at the front of the probe tip (see Fig. 4.3). It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting (see Figure 5-1). The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

### 4.2 Probe Specifications

<b>Model:</b>	EX3DV4
<b>Frequency Range:</b>	10 MHz – 6.0 GHz
<b>Calibration:</b>	In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies from 835 up to 5800MHz
<b>Linearity:</b>	$\pm 0.2$ dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
<b>Dynamic Range:</b>	10 mW/kg – 100 W/kg
<b>Probe Length:</b>	330 mm
<b>Probe Tip Length:</b>	20 mm
<b>Body Diameter:</b>	12 mm
<b>Tip Diameter:</b>	2.5 mm
<b>Tip-Center:</b>	1 mm
<b>Application:</b>	SAR Dosimetry Testing Compliance tests of mobile phones





**Figure 4-2**  
**Probe Thick Film Technique**



**Δ - BEAM**

**Figure 4-3**  
**Triangular Probe Configuration**

<b>Model:</b> SR450		<b>CERTIFICATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>SAR Filename:</b> 0610160898	<b>Test Dates:</b> 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	<b>EUT Type:</b> UHF Radio		Page 7 of 22

### 5.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. A TEM cell calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) using an RF Signal generator, TEM cell, and RF Power Meter.

### 5.2 Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>.

### 5.3 Temperature Assessment

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

$$SAR = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

where:

$\Delta t$  = exposure time (30 seconds),

$C$  = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

$\Delta T$  = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

$$SAR = \frac{|E|^2 \cdot \sigma}{\rho}$$

where:

$\sigma$  = simulated tissue conductivity,

$\rho$  = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm<sup>3</sup> for brain tissue)

SAR is proportional to  $\Delta T/\Delta t$ , the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;




Figure 5-1 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 900MHz [7]






Figure 5-2 E-Field and temperature measurements at 1.9GHz [7]

Model: SR450		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0610160898	Test Dates: 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	EUT Type: UHF Radio		Page 8 of 22



## 6

## PHANTOM AND EQUIVALENT TISSUES

## 6.1 PCTEST Flat Plexiglass Planar Phantom

Figure 6-1  
Phantom

The phantom is 6.3 mm thick with the following dimensions:

L                      X                      W                      X                      H  
88 cm                      45 cm                      22 cm

## 6.2 Brain &amp; Muscle Simulating Mixture Characterization

Figure 6-2  
Head Simulated



The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution (see Table 6.1). Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to make sure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 have been incorporated in the following table. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in IEEE-1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations. The mixture characterizations used for the brain and muscle tissue simulating liquids are according to the data by C. Gabriel and G. Hartsgrrove [13]. (See Table 6-1)

**Table 6-1**  
Composition of the Brain & Muscle Tissue Equivalent Matter

Frequency (ΔHz)	300	450	835	900			1450	1800				1900		1950	2000	2100			2450		3000
Recipe #	1	1	3	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	2	3	1	2	4	1	1	2	2	3	2
Ingredient: (% by weight)																					
1,2-Propanediol						64.81															
Bactericide	0.19	0.19	0.50	0.10	0.10		0.50					0.50								0.50	
Diacetin			48.90				49.20					49.43								49.75	
DGBE								45.41	47.00	13.84	44.92		44.94	13.84	45.00	50.00	50.00	7.99	7.99		7.99
HEC	0.98	0.98		1.00	1.00																
NaCl	5.95	3.95	1.70	1.45	1.48	0.79	1.10	0.67	0.36	0.35	0.18	0.64	0.18	0.35				0.16	0.16		0.16
Sucrose	55.32	56.32		57.00	56.50																
Triton X-100										30.45				30.45				19.97	19.97		19.97
Water	37.56	38.56	48.90	40.45	40.92	34.40	49.20	53.80	52.64	55.36	54.90	49.43	54.90	55.36	55.00	50.00	50.00	71.88	71.88	49.75	71.88
Measured dielectric parameters:																					
ε <sub>r</sub> '	46.00	43.4	44.3	41.6	41.2	41.8	42.7	40.9	39.3	41	40.4	39.2	39.9	41	40.1	37	36.8	41.1	40.3	39.2	37.9
σ(S/m)	0.86	0.87	0.9	0.9	0.98	0.97	0.99	1.21	1.39	1.38	1.4	1.4	1.42	1.38	1.41	1.4	1.51	1.55	1.88	1.82	2.46
Temp. (°C)	22	22	20	22	22	22	20	22	22	21	22	20	21	21	20	22	22	20	20	20	20
Target dielectric parameters (Table 2)																					
ε <sub>r</sub> '	45.30	43.50	41.5		41.50		40.5					40.0					39.80		39.2		38.5
σ(S/m)	0.87	0.87	0.9		0.97		1.2					1.4					1.49		1.8		2.4
NOTE—Multiple columns for any single frequency are optional recipes. Recipe #1 reference: 1 (Kandh et al. [B85]), 2 (Vignani [B143]), 3 (Vignani and Calvel [B159]), 4 (Palanques et al. [B50]).																					

NOTE—Multiple columns for any single frequency are optional recipes. Recipe #, reference: 1 (Kondo et al. [88]), 2 (Vignone [8143]), 3 (Feynman and Gabriel [8119]), 4 (Pérez et al. [850]).


\*The formulas containing Triton X-100 and corresponding measured parameters are under review and verification.

Model: SR450		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0610160898	Test Dates: 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	EUT Type: UHF Radio		Page 9 of 22

### 7.1 Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:

1. The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
2. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 3.0mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15mm x 15mm.
3. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 30mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see Figure 7-1):
  - a. The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm [15]. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions) [15][16]. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
  - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 1, was re-measured. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation is repeated.





**Figure 7-1**  
**Sample SAR Area Scan**

### 7.2 Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) Specifications

The phantom for handset SAR assessment testing is a low-loss dielectric shell, with shape and dimensions derived from the anthropometric data of the 90th percentile adult male head dimensions as tabulated by the US Army. The SAM Twin Phantom shell is bisected along the mid-sagittal plane into right and left halves (see Figure 7-2). The perimeter sidewalls of each phantom halves are extended to allow filling with liquid to a depth that is sufficient to minimized reflections from the upper surface. The liquid depth is maintained at a minimum depth of 15cm to minimize reflections from the upper surface.




**Figure 7-2**  
**SAM Twin Phantom Shell**

<b>Model:</b> SR450		<b>CERTIFICATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>SAR Filename:</b> 0610160898	<b>Test Dates:</b> 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	<b>EUT Type:</b> UHF Radio		Page 10 of 22

### 8.1 EAR REFERENCE POINT

Figure 8-1 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point “M” is the reference point for the center of the mouth, “LE” is the left ear reference point (ERP), and “RE” is the right ERP. The ERP is 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 8-1. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 8-2). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].




**Figure 8-1**  
Close-Up Side view  
of ERP

### 8.2 HANDSET REFERENCE POINTS



Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the “test device reference point” located along the “vertical centerline” on the front of the device aligned to the “ear reference point” (See Figure 8-3). The “test device reference point” was then located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the “vertical centerline” was bisecting the front surface of the handset at it’s top and bottom edges, positioning the “ear reference point” on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.



**Figure 8-2**  
Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom




**Figure 8-3**  
Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

Model: SR450		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0610160898	Test Dates: 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	EUT Type: UHF Radio		Page 11 of 22


### 9.1 Positioning for Cheek/Touch

1. The test device was positioned with the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 9-1), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.



**Figure 9-1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position**

2. The handset was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE & LE until the handset touches the ear.
3. While maintaining the handset in this plane, the handset was rotated around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (reference plane).
4. The phone was then rotated around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) was symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, the handset was rotated about the line NF until any point on the handset made contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). See Figure 9-2)





**Figure 9-2 Side view w/ relevant markings**



### 9.2 Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the “Cheek/Touch Position”:

1. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was retracted parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15 degree.
2. The phone was then rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degree.
3. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was moved parallel to the reference plane until any part of the phone touches the head. (In this position, point A was located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone would then be reduced. The tilted

Model: SR450		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0610160898	Test Dates: 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	EUT Type: UHF Radio		Page 12 of 22

A diagram of a human head in profile, facing right. It shows the positions of four electrodes: F (frontal), RE (ERP) (right ear), N (nasal), and M (mastoid). A coordinate system is overlaid with B<sub>0</sub> at +30 and -30. A 10 mm square scale bar is provided.

<b>Model:</b> SR450	 <b>CERTIFICATION REPORT</b> 		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>SAR Filename:</b> 0610160898	<b>Test Dates:</b> 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	<b>EUT Type:</b> UHF Radio	Page 13 of 22

### 10.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

### 10.2 Controlled Environment



CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT Occupational (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>1</sup> Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR <sup>2</sup> Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>3</sup> Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

1 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

2 The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

3 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

Model: SR450	 <b>PCTEST</b> Complete Wireless Lab	CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0610160898	Test Dates: 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	EUT Type: UHF Radio		Page 14 of 22





## 11

## MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = c x f/e	i = c x g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	IEEE 1528 Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c <sub>i</sub> 1gm	c <sub>i</sub> 10 gms	1gm u <sub>i</sub> (± %)	10gms u <sub>i</sub> (± %)	v <sub>i</sub>
<b>Measurement System</b>									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	6.6	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.6	6.6	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.25	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	0.4	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	5.1	N	1	1.0	1.0	5.1	5.1	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	1.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.6	∞
<b>Test Sample Related</b>									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	287
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.32	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.9	1.9	∞
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	∞
<b>Phantom &amp; Tissue Parameters</b>									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	3.8	N	1	0.64	0.43	2.4	1.6	6
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.5	N	1	0.60	0.49	2.7	2.2	6
<b>Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)</b>							RSS	12.4	12.0
<b>Expanded Uncertainty</b> (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)							k=2	24.7	24.0

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-2003

<b>Model:</b> SR450		<b>CERTIFICATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>SAR Filename:</b> 0610160898	<b>Test Dates:</b> 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	<b>EUT Type:</b> UHF Radio		Page 15 of 22



## 12

## SYSTEM VERIFICATION

### 12.1 Tissue Verification

**Table 12-1**  
**Measured Tissue Properties**


Calibrated Date:	10/30/06		10/30/06	
	450 Brain		450 Muscle	
	Target	Measured	Target	Measured
Dielectric Constant	43.5	44.8	56.7	54.6
Conductivity	0.87	0.90	0.94	0.96

### 12.2 Test System Verification

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to  $\pm 10\%$  of the specifications at 450 MHz by using the system validation kit(s). (Graphic Plots Attached)

**Table 12-2**  
**System Verification Results**



System Verification TARGET & MEASURED							
Date:	Amb. Temp (?C)	Liquid Temp(?C)	Input Power (W)	Tissue Frequency (Mhz)	Targeted SAR <sub>1g</sub> (mW)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (mW)	Deviation (%)
10/30/06	23.9	21.8	2.0	450 MHz	1.23	1.33	8.6%



**Figure 12-1**  
**System Verification Setup Diagram**



**Figure 12-2**  
**System Verification Setup Photo**

Model: SR450		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0610160898	Test Dates: 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	EUT Type: UHF Radio		Page 16 of 22

# 13


## SAR DATA SUMMARY



### 13.1 PPT Mode Body SAR Results – with Beltclip

MEASUREMENT RESULTS										
FREQUENCY		Mode	C_Power		Position	Spacing	Antenna Position	Battery	Power	SAR
MHz	Ch.		dBm							(W/kg)
446.40	Low	FM	32.97	32.99	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	H (2 W)	3.690
479.60	Mid	FM	32.99	32.96	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	H (2 W)	3.300
512.60	Low	FM	32.98	32.97	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	H (2 W)	2.660
446.40	Mid	FM	23.05	22.88	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	L (200 mW)	0.550
479.60	High	FM	23.83	23.91	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	L (200 mW)	0.360
512.60	Mid	FM	22.85	22.79	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	L (200 mW)	0.380
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT						Muscle				
Spatial Peak						8.0 W/kg (mW/g)				
Controlled Exposure/Occupational Population						averaged over 1 gram				

#### Notes:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries were tested..
4. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
5. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm.  $\pm$  0.1.
6. Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).

  
Randy Ortanez  
President


Model: SR450		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0610160898	Test Dates: 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	EUT Type: UHF Radio		Page 17 of 22



### 13.2 VOX Mode Body SAR Results – with Beltclip

MEASUREMENT RESULTS										
FREQUENCY		Mode	C_Power		Position	Spacing	Antenna Position	Battery	Power	SAR
MHz	Ch.		dBm							(W/kg)
446.40	Low	FM	32.99	32.96	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	H (2 W)	3.450
479.60	Mid	FM	33.00	32.98	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	H (2 W)	3.330
512.60	Low	FM	32.96	32.93	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	H (2 W)	2.660
446.40	Mid	FM	23.05	22.88	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	L (200 mW)	0.490
479.60	High	FM	23.83	23.91	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	L (200 mW)	0.380
512.60	Mid	FM	22.85	22.79	Body	1.4 cm	Fixed	Alkaline	L (200 mW)	0.360
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT						Muscle				
Spatial Peak						8.0 W/kg (mW/g)				
Controlled Exposure/Occupational Population						averaged over 1 gram				

#### Notes:

7. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [July 2001].
8. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
9. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries were tested..
10. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
11. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm.  $\pm$  0.1.
12. Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).



  
 Randy Ortanez  
 President

Model: SR450		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0610160898	Test Dates: 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	EUT Type: UHF Radio		Page 18 of 22

Manufacturer	Model / Equipment	Calibration Date	Cal Interval	Calibration Due	Serial No.
Agilent	8753E (30kHz-6GHz) Network Analyzer	5/25/2006	Annual	5/25/2007	JP38020182
Agilent	N4010A Wireless Connectivity Test Set	6/11/2006	Annual	6/11/2007	GB46170464
Agilent	E5515C Wireless Communications Test Set	7/27/2006	Annual	7/27/2007	GB41450275
Agilent	E5515C Wireless Communications Test Set	10/6/2006	Annual	10/6/2007	GB43193972
Agilent	8648D (9kHz-4GHz) Signal Generator	10/1/2006	Annual	10/1/2007	3613A00315
Gigatronics	8657A Universal Power Meter	4/7/2006	Annual	4/7/2007	8650319
Gigatronics	80701A (0.05-18GHz) Power Sensor	4/11/2006	Annual	4/11/2007	1833460
Rohde & Schwarz	NRVS Power Meter	6/1/2005	Biennial	6/1/2007	835360/079
Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z53 Power Sensor	6/1/2005	Biennial	6/1/2007	846076/007
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200 Base Station Simulator	11/8/2006	Annual	11/8/2007	107826
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200 Base Station Simulator	7/26/2006	Annual	7/26/2007	833855/010
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200 Base Station Simulator	4/20/2006	Annual	4/20/2007	836371/079
SPEAG	D1900V2 1900 MHz SAR Dipole	3/9/2005	Biennial	3/9/2007	502
SPEAG	D835V2 835MHz SAR Dipole	8/24/2005	Biennial	8/24/2007	4d026
SPEAG	D2450V2 2450 MHz SAR Dipole	9/25/2005	Biennial	9/25/2007	719
SPEAG	D5GHzV2 5 GHz SAR Dipole	10/5/2005	Biennial	10/5/2007	1007
SPEAG	EX3DV4 SAR Probe	1/18/2006	Annual	1/18/2007	3550
SPEAG	DAE4	6/1/2006	Annual	6/1/2007	704
SPEAG	EX3DV4 SAR Probe	7/14/2006	Annual	7/14/2007	3589
SPEAG	DAE4	9/4/2006	Annual	9/4/2007	665
SPEAG	ES3DV2 SAR Probe	9/20/2006	Annual	9/20/2007	3022
SPEAG	DAE3	10/16/2006	Annual	10/16/2007	455
Agilent	E8257D (250kHz-20GHz) Signal Generator	2/11/2006	Annual	2/11/2007	MY45470194
Agilent	E8257D (250kHz-20GHz) Signal Generator	3/30/2006	Annual	3/30/2007	MY44320964
Extech	421305 Digital Thermometer	N/A	Annual	N/A	426966

## Notes:



The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by the waveguide technique procedure. Dipole Validation measurement is performed by PCTEST prior to SAR evaluation. The brain simulating material is calibrated by PCTEST using the dielectric probe system and network analyzer to determine the conductivity and permittivity (dielectric constant) of the brain-equivalent material.

Model: SR450		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: 0610160898	Test Dates: 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	EUT Type: UHF Radio		Page 19 of 22



### 15.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [3]

<b>Model:</b> SR450		<b>CERTIFICATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>SAR Filename:</b> 0610160898	<b>Test Dates:</b> 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	<b>EUT Type:</b> UHF Radio		Page 20 of 22

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz, New York: IEEE, April 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.3 - 2003, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [4] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, June 2002.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2003, Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.



<b>Model:</b> SR450		<b>CERTIFICATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>SAR Filename:</b> 0610160898	<b>Test Dates:</b> 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	<b>EUT Type:</b> UHF Radio		Page 21 of 22

[17] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. Supplement C, Dec. 1997.

[18] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.

[19] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.

[20] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.

<b>Model:</b> SR450		<b>CERTIFICATION REPORT</b>		<b>Reviewed by:</b> Quality Manager
<b>SAR Filename:</b> 0610160898	<b>Test Dates:</b> 10/33/2006 - 10/31/2006	<b>EUT Type:</b> UHF Radio		Page 22 of 22



**APPENDIX A: SAR TEST DATA**

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Temco SR450; Type: UHF Radio Transceiver; Serial: SAR #1**

Communication System: SR450; Frequency: 446.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 450 Muscle ( $\sigma = 0.96$  mho/m,  $\epsilon_r = 54.6$ ,  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 10-30-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(8.61, 8.61, 8.61); Calibrated: 1/18/2006

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006

Phantom: PCT Flat; Type: Simple Box; Serial: PCT001

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

**Body, Low Ch, Fixed Antenna, AA Battery, High Power, VOX Mode**


**Area Scan (9x27x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 52.3 V/m

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.10 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 3.69 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.67 mW/g**



0 dB = 4.14mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Temco SR450; Type: UHF Radio Transceiver; Serial: SAR #1**

Communication System: SR450; Frequency: 446.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 450 Muscle (  $\sigma = 0.96$  mho/m,  $\epsilon_r = 54.6$ ,  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 10-30-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(8.61, 8.61, 8.61); Calibrated: 1/18/2006

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006

Phantom: PCT Flat; Type: Simple Box; Serial: PCT001

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

**Body, Low Ch, Fixed Antenna, AA Battery, High Power, PTT Mode**


**Area Scan (9x27x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 63.4 V/m

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 4.72 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 3.45 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.48 mW/g**



0 dB = 3.88mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Temco SR450; Type: UHF Radio Transceiver; Serial: SAR #1**

Communication System: SR450; Frequency: 446.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 450 Muscle ( $\sigma = 0.96$  mho/m,  $\epsilon_r = 54.6$ ,  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 10-30-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(8.61, 8.61, 8.61); Calibrated: 1/18/2006

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006

Phantom: PCT Flat; Type: Simple Box; Serial: PCT001

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

**Body, Low Ch, Fixed Antenna, AA Battery, High Power, VoxMode**


**Area Scan (9x27x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 52.3 V/m

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.10 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 3.69 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.67 mW/g**



## **APPENDIX B: DIPOLE VALIDATION**

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: 450MHz Dipole; Type: D450V2; Serial: 011**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 450 Brain ( $\sigma = 0.90$  mho/m,  $\epsilon_r = 44.80$ ,  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

### Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 10-30-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(8.28, 8.28, 8.28); Calibrated: 1/18/2006

**Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)**

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006

Phantom: PCT Flat; ; Type: Simple Box; Serial: PCT001

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

## 450MHz Dipole Validation


**Area Scan (7x21x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 24.0 dBm (250 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 1.33 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.868 mW/g**

Target SAR(1g) = 2.25 mW/g; Deviation = +8.57 %


$$0 \text{ dB} = 1.57 \text{ mW/g}$$

## **APPENDIX C: PROBE CALIBRATION**





Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **EX3-3550\_Jan06**

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **EX3DV4 - SN:3550**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v5, QA CAL-12.v4 and QA CAL-14.v3  
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **January 18, 2006**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature ( $22 \pm 3$ )°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00466)	May-06
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00466)	May-06
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00466)	May-06
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	11-Aug-05 (METAS, No. 251-00499)	Aug-06
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00467)	May-06
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	11-Aug-05 (METAS, No. 251-00500)	Aug-06
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	2-Jan-06 (SPEAG, No. ES3-3013_Jan06)	Jan-07
DAE4	SN: 654	27-Oct-05 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-654_Oct05)	Oct-06

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-05)	In house check: Nov-07
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-05)	In house check: Nov 06

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	R&D Director	

Issued: January 21, 2006

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation  
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

### Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>	sensitivity in free space
ConF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization $\varphi$	$\varphi$ rotation around probe axis
Polarization $\vartheta$	$\vartheta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz - 3 GHz), July 2001

### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub>:** Assessed for E-field polarization  $\vartheta = 0$  ( $f \leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$  MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> does not effect the  $E^2$ -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(f)<sub>x,y,z</sub> = NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* frequency\_response** (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- DCP<sub>x,y,z</sub>:** DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters:** Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \leq 800$  MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$  MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* *ConvF* whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm 50$  MHz to  $\pm 100$  MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy):** in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset:** The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

# Probe EX3DV4

## SN:3550

Manufactured:	May 19, 2004
Last calibrated:	October 26, 2004
Recalibrated:	January 18, 2006

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

## DASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 SN:3550

### Sensitivity in Free Space<sup>A</sup>

NormX	<b>0.483</b> ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormY	<b>0.485</b> ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormZ	<b>0.494</b> ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$

### Diode Compression<sup>B</sup>

DCP X	<b>92</b> mV
DCP Y	<b>92</b> mV
DCP Z	<b>92</b> mV

### Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

### Boundary Effect

**TSL**                      **900 MHz**      **Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		<b>2.0 mm</b>	<b>3.0 mm</b>
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	3.3	1.0
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.3

**TSL**                      **1810 MHz**      **Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		<b>2.0 mm</b>	<b>3.0 mm</b>
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	4.2	2.2
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.8	0.6

### Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center                      **1.0 mm**


The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor  $k=2$ , which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the  $E^2$ -field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).

<sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.




## Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)




Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field:  $\pm 6.3\%$  ( $k=2$ )



Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ),  $\theta = 0^\circ$ Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 0.5\%$  ( $k=2$ )



# Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (Waveguide R22, $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$ )



Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment:  $\pm 0.6\%$  ( $k=2$ )



## Conversion Factor Assessment




f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] <sup>c</sup>	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	43.5 ± 5%	0.87 ± 5%	0.15	2.73	7.91 ± 13.3% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.72	0.65	7.71 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.49	0.86	6.65 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.54	0.55	6.19 ± 11.8% (k=2)
5200	± 50 / ± 100	Head	36.0 ± 5%	4.76 ± 5%	0.52	1.05	4.39 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5800	± 50 / ± 100	Head	35.3 ± 5%	5.27 ± 5%	0.56	0.93	3.87 ± 13.1% (k=2)
450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	56.7 ± 5%	0.94 ± 5%	0.12	2.95	8.61 ± 13.3% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.37	0.86	7.56 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.11	4.07	6.30 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	1.73	0.34	6.27 ± 11.8% (k=2)
5200	± 50 / ± 100	Body	49.0 ± 5%	5.30 ± 5%	0.50	1.54	4.19 ± 13.1% (k=2)
5800	± 50 / ± 100	Body	48.2 ± 5%	6.00 ± 5%	0.51	1.48	3.79 ± 13.1% (k=2)

<sup>c</sup> The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

## Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error ( $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ),  $f = 900$  MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 2.6\%$  ( $k=2$ )