

APPLIED WIRELESS ID GROUP, INC

UHF RFID READER

Model: UA-612



25 Nov 2008

Report No.: SL08102301-AWID-007(15.247) (UA-612)
(This report supersedes NONE)



Modifications made to the product : None

This Test Report is Issued Under the Authority of:

	
Snell Leong Test Engineer	Leslie Bai Engineering Reviewer

This test report may be reproduced in full only.
Test result presented in this test report is applicable to the representative sample only.

EMC Test Report

To: FCC Part 15.247 & IC RSS210

SIEMIC, INC.
Accessing global markets



SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: NVLAP Lab Code: 2742.01



THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR
LABORATORY ACCREDITATION

ACCREDITED LABORATORY

A2LA has accredited

SIEMIC LABORATORIES


San Jose, CA

for technical competence in the field of

Electrical Testing

This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognized International Standard ISO/IEC 17025:2005 *General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories*. This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (refer to joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communiqué dated 18 June 2005).

Presented this 11th day of July 2008.



President

For the Accreditation Council
Certificate Number 2742.01
Valid to September 30, 2010



For the tests or types of tests to which this accreditation applies,
please refer to the laboratory's Electrical Scope of Accreditation.

SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: ISO Guide 65 for US TCB



**THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR
LABORATORY ACCREDITATION**

ACCREDITED PRODUCT CERTIFICATION BODY


A2LA has accredited
SIEMIC INC.
San Jose, CA

for technical competence as a
Product Certification Body

This product certification body is accredited in accordance with the recognized International Standard ISO/IEC Guide 65:1996 *General requirements for bodies operating product certification systems*. This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a quality management system for a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB) meeting FCC (U.S.), IDA (Singapore) and IC (Canada) requirements.



Presented this 9th day of January 2009.



President
For the Accreditation Council
Certificate Number: 2742.02
Valid to: September 30, 2010

For the product certification schemes to which this accreditation applies,
please refer to the certification body's Scope of Accreditation.

SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION TO ISO/IEC GUIDE 65:1996

SIEMIC INC.
2206 Ringwood Ave.
San Jose, CA 95131
Mr. Snell Leong (Authorized Representative) Phone: 408 526 1188
www.sieminc.com

PRODUCT CERTIFICATION CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT BODY (CAB)

Valid to: September 30, 2010

Certificate Number: 2742.02

In recognition of the successful completion of the A2LA Certification Body Accreditation Program evaluation, including the US Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Industry Canada (IC) and Singapore (IDA) requirements for the indicated types of product certifications, accreditation is granted to this organization to perform the following product certification schemes:

Economy

Scope

Federal Communication Commission - (FCC)

Unlicensed Radio Frequency Devices	A1, A2, A3, A4
Licensed Radio Frequency Devices	B1, B2, B3, B4
Telephone Terminal Equipment	C

**Please refer to FCC TCB Program Roles and Responsibilities, v04, released February 14, 2008 detailing scopes, roles and responsibilities. <http://www.fcc.gov/oet/ea/FCC-Overview-TCB-Program.pdf>*

Industry Canada - (IC)

Radio	All Radio Standards Specifications (RSS) in Category I Equipment Standards List Radio
-------	--

**Please refer to Industry Canada (IC) website at: http://www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/h_sf01342e.html*

IDA - Singapore

Line Terminal Equipment	All Technical Specifications for Line Terminal Equipment – Table 1 of IDA MRA Recognition Scheme: 2008, Annex 2
Radio-Communication Equipment	All Technical Specifications for Radio-Communication Equipment – Table 2 of IDA MRA Recognition Scheme: 2008, Annex 2

**Please refer to Info-Communication Development Authority (IDA) Singapore website at:
http://www.ida.gov.sg/doc/Policies%20and%20Regulation/Policies_and_Regulation_Level2/20060609145118/MRARecScheme.pdf*

SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: FCC Registration No. 783147

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

**Laboratory Division
7435 Oakland Mills Road
Columbia, MD 21046**

December 20, 2007

Registration Number: 783147

SIEMIC Laboratories
2206 Ringwood Avenue,
San Jose, CA 95131

Attention: Leslie Bai

Re: Measurement facility located at San Jose
3 & 10 meter site
Date of Renewal: December 20, 2007

Dear Sir or Madam:

Your request for renewal of the registration of the subject measurement facility has been received. The information submitted has been placed in your file and the registration has been renewed. The name of your organization will remain on the list of facilities whose measurement data will be accepted in conjunction with applications for Certification under Parts 15 or 18 of the Commission's Rules. Please note that the file must be updated for any changes made to the facility and the registration must be renewed at least every three years.

Measurement facilities that have indicated that they are available to the public to perform measurement services on a fee basis may be found on the FCC website www.fcc.gov under E-Filing, OET Equipment Authorization Electronic Filing, Test Firms.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Parrish
Industry Analyst

SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: Industry of Canada Registration No. 4842-1



May 23rd, 2008

OUR FILE: 46405-4842

Submission No: 126429

Siemic Inc.
2206 Ringwood Ave.
San Jose CA 95131
USA

Attention: Leslie Bai

Dear Sir/Madame:

The Bureau has received your application for the registration / renewal of a 3/10m OATS. Be advised that the information received was satisfactory to Industry Canada. The following number(s) is now associated to the site(s) for which registration / renewal was sought (**4842A-1**). Please reference the appropriate site number in the body of test reports containing measurements performed on the site. In addition, please be informed that the Bureau is now utilizing a **new site numbering scheme** in order to simplify the electronic filing process. Our goal is to reduce the number of secondary codes associated to one particular company. The following changes have been made to your record.

- Your primary code is: **4842**
- The company number associated to the site(s) located at the above address is: **4842A**
- The table below is a summary of the changes made to the unique site registration number(s):

New Site Number	Obsolete Site Number	Description of Site	Expiry Date (YYYY-MM-DD)
4842A-1	4842-1	3m Chamber	2010-05-23

Furthermore, to obtain or renew a unique site number, the applicant shall demonstrate that the site has been accredited to ANSI C63.4-2003 or later. A scope of accreditation indicating the accreditation by a recognized accreditation body to ANSI C63.4-2003 shall be accepted. Please indicate in a letter the previous assigned site number if applicable and the type of site (example: 3 meter OATS or 3 meter chamber). If the test facility is not accredited to ANSI C63.4-2003 or later, the test facility shall submit test data demonstrating full compliance with the ANSI standard. The Bureau will evaluate the filing to determine if recognition shall be granted.

The frequency for re-validation of the test site and the information that is required to be filed or retained by the testing party shall comply with the requirements established by the accrediting organization. However, in all cases, test site re-validation shall occur on an interval not to exceed two years. There is no fee or form associated with an OATS filing. OATS submissions are encouraged to be submitted electronically to the Bureau using the following URL;
http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/epic/internet/inceb-bhst.nsf/en/h_tt00052e.html.

If you have any questions, you may contact the Bureau by e-mail at certification_bureau@ic.gc.ca
Please reference our file and submission number above for all correspondence.

Yours sincerely,



S. Proulx
Test & Measurement Specialist
Certification and Engineering Bureau
3701 Carling Ave., Building 94
Ottawa, Ontario K2H 8S2

SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: Australia CAB ID : US0160

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

November 20, 2008

Mr. Leslie Bai
SIEMIC, Inc.
2206 Ringwood Avenue
San Jose, CA 95131


Dear Mr. Bai:

NIST is pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been recognized by the Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation for Telecommunications Equipment Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APEC Tel MRA). Your laboratory is now designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, **Phase I** Procedures, of the APEC Tel MRA. The pertinent information about your laboratory's designation is as follows:

CAB Name:	Siemic, Inc.
Physical Location:	2206 Ringwood Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131
Identification No.:	US0160
Recognized Scope:	<u>EMC</u> : AS/NZS 4251.1 (until 5/31/2009), AS/NZS 4251.2 (until 5/31/2009), AS/NZS CISPR 11, AS/NZS CISPR 14.1, AS/NZS CISPR 22, AS/NZS 61000.6.3, AS/NZS 61000.6.4 <u>Radiocommunications</u> : AS/NZS 4281, AS/NZS 4268, AS/NZS 4280.1, AS/NZS 4280.2, AS/NZS 4295, AS/NZS 4582, AS/NZS 4583, AS/NZS 4769.1, AS/NZS 4769.2, AS/NZS 4770, AS/NZS 4771 <u>Telecommunications</u> : AS/ACIF S002:05, AS/ACIF S003:06, AS/ACIF S004:06, AS/ACIF S006:01, AS/ACIF S016:01, AS/ACIF S031:01, AS/ACIF S038:01, AS/ACIF S040:01, AS/ACIF S041:05, AS/ACIF S043.2:06, AS/NZS 60950.1

You may submit test data to ACMA to verify that the equipment to be imported into Australia satisfies the applicable requirements. The designation of your organization will remain in force as long as its accreditation for the designated scope remains valid and comply with the designation requirements. Recognized CABs are listed on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra>. Please contact Ms. Ramona Saar, at (301) 975-5521 or ramona.saar@nist.gov if you have questions.

Sincerely,



David F. Alderman
Group Leader, Standards Coordination and Conformity Group
Standards Services Division

Enclosure

cc: Snell Leong, Siemic, Inc.; Ramona Saar, NIST



SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: Korea CAB ID: US0160

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899.

October 1, 2008

Mr. Leslie Bai
SIEMIC, Inc.
2206 Ringwood Avenue
San Jose, CA 95131

Dear Mr. Bai:

NIST is pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been recognized by the Radio Research Agency (RRA) Korea Communications Commission (KCC) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation for Telecommunications Equipment Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APEC Tel MRA). Your laboratory is now designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, **Phase I** Procedures, of the APEC Tel MRA. The pertinent information about your laboratory's designation is as follows:

CAB Name: SIEMIC, Inc.
Physical Location: 2206 Ringwood Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131
Identification No.: US0160
Recognized Scope: **EMI:** KCC Notice 2008-39, RRL Notice 2008-3: CA Procedures for EMI
KN22: Test Method for EMI
EMS: KCC Notice 2008-38, RRL Notice 2008-4: CA Procedures for EMS
KN24, KN-61000-4-2, -4-3, -4-4, -4-5, -4-6, -4-8, -4-11: Test Method for EMS
Wireless: RRL Notice 2008-26, RRL Notice 2008-2, RRL Notice 2008-10,
RRL Notice 2007-49, RRL Notice 2007-20, RRL Notice 2007-21,
RRL Notice 2007-80, RRL Notice 2004-68
Wired: President Notice 20664, RRL Notice 2007-30,
RRL Notice 2008-7 with attachments 1, 3, 5, 6
President Notice 20664, RRL Notice 2008-7 with attachment 4

You may submit test data to RRA/KCC to verify that the equipment to be imported into Korea satisfies the applicable requirements. The designation of your organization will remain in force as long as its accreditation for the designated scope remains valid and comply with the designation requirements.

Recognized CABs are listed on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra>. If you have any questions please contact Ramona Saar at (301) 975-5521 or ramona.saar@nist.gov.

Sincerely,



David F. Alderman
Group Leader, Standards Coordination and Conformity Group
Standards Services Division

Enclosure

cc: Ramona Saar



SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: Taiwan BSMI Accreditation No. SL2-IN-E-1130R

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

May 3, 2006

Mr. Leslie Bai
SIEMIC Laboratories
2206 Ringwood Avenue
San Jose, CA 95131

Dear Mr. Bai:

I am pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been recognized by the Chinese Taipei's Bureau of Standards, Metrology, and Inspection (BSMI) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA). Your laboratory is now designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, **Phase I** Procedures, of the APEC Tel MRA. You may submit test data to BSMI to verify that the equipment to be imported into Chinese Taipei satisfies the applicable requirements. The designation of your organization will remain in force as long as its accreditation for the designated scope remains valid and comply with the designation requirements. The pertinent designation information is as follows:

- BSMI number: **SL2-IN-E-1130R** (Must be applied to the test reports)
- U.S. Identification No: **US0160**
- Scope of Designation: **CNS 13438**
- Authorized signatory: **Mr. Leslie Bai**

The names of all recognized CABs will be posted on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra>. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Dhillon at 301-975-5521. We appreciate your continued interest in our international conformity assessment activities.

Sincerely,

David F. Alderman
Group Leader, Standards Coordination and Conformity Group

cc: Jogindar Dhillon

NIST

SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: Taiwan NCC CAB ID: US0160



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

November 25, 2008

Mr. Leslie Bai
SIEMIC, Inc.
2206 Ringwood Avenue
San Jose, CA 95131

Dear Mr. Bai:


NIST is pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been recognized by the National Communications Commission (NCC) for the requested scope expansion under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation for Telecommunications Equipment Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APEC Tel MRA). Your laboratory is designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, **Phase I** Procedures, of the APEC Tel MRA. The pertinent information about your laboratory's designation is as follows:

CAB Name: SIEMIC, Inc.
Physical Location: 2206 Ringwood Avenue, San Jose, CA 95131
Identification No.: US0160
Current Scope: LP0002
Additional Scope: PSTN01, ADSL01, ID0002, IS6100 and CNS 14336

You may submit test data to NCC to verify that the equipment to be imported into China satisfies the applicable requirements. The designation of your organization will remain in force as long as its accreditation for the designated scope remains valid and comply with the designation requirements.

Recognized CABs are listed on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra>. If you have any questions please contact Ramona Saar at (301) 975-5521 or ramona.saar@nist.gov.

Sincerely,



David F. Alderman
Group Leader, Standards Coordination and Conformity Group
Standards Services Division

Enclosure

cc: Ramona Saar

NIST

SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: Mexico NOM Recognition

 CANIETI CAMARA NACIONAL DE LA INDUSTRIA ELECTRONICA, DE TELECOMUNICACIONES E INFORMACION	<h3>Laboratorio Valentin V. Rivero</h3> <p>México D.F. a 18 de octubre de 2008.</p>
	<p>LESLIE BAI DIRECTOR OF CERTIFICATION SIEMIC LABORATORIES, INC. ACCESSING GLOBAL MARKETS P R E S E N T E</p> <p>En contestación a su escrito de fecha 5 de septiembre del año en curso, le comento que estamos muy interesados en su intención de firmar un Acuerdo de Reconocimiento Mutuo, para lo cual adjunto a este escrito encontrara el Acuerdo en idioma ingles y español prellenado de los cuales le pido sea revisado y en su caso corregido, para que si este de acuerdo poder firmarlo para mandarlo con las autoridades Mexicanas para su visto bueno y así poder ejercer dicho acuerdo.</p> <p>Aprovecho este escrito para mencionarle que nuestro intermediario gestor será la empresa Isabel de México, S. A. de C. V., empresa que ha colaborado durante mucho tiempo con nosotros en lo relacionado a la evaluación de la conformidad y que cuenta con amplia experiencia en la gestión de la certificación de cumplimiento con Normas Oficiales Mexicanas de producto en México.</p> <p>Me despido de usted enviándole un cordial saludo y esperando sus comentarios al Acuerdo que nos ocupa.</p> <p>Atentamente:</p> <div></div> <p>Ing. Faustino Gomez Gonzalez Gerente Técnico del Laboratorio de CANIETI</p> <p><small>Calle 17 Hacienda Coahuila 06100 México, D.F. Tel: 5266 0000 con 12 líneas Fax: 5264 1000 www.canietit.org</small></p>

SIEMIC ACREDITATION DETAILS: Hong Kong OFTA CAB ID : US0160



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899-

December 8, 2008

Mr. Leslie Bai
SIEMIC, Inc.
2206 Ringwood Avenue
San Jose, CA 95131

Dear Mr. Bai:

NIST is pleased to inform you that your laboratory has been recognized by the Office of the Telecommunications Authority (OFTA) under the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation for Telecommunications Equipment Mutual Recognition Arrangement (APEC Tel MRA). Your laboratory is now designated to act as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) under Appendix B, **Phase I** Procedures, of the APEC Tel MRA. The pertinent information about your laboratory's designation is as follows:

CAB Name: SIEMIC, Inc.
Physical Location: 2206 Ringwood Avenue, San Jose, California 95131 USA
Identification No.: US0160
Recognized Scope: **Radio:** HKTA 1002, 1007, 1008, 1010, 1015, 1016, 1020, 1022, 1026, 1027, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1039, 1041, 1042, 1043, 1044, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1051
Telecom: HKTA 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2017, 2018, 2022, 2024, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033

You may submit test data to OFTA to verify that the equipment to be imported into Hong Kong satisfies the applicable requirements. The designation of your organization will remain in force as long as its accreditation for the designated scope remains valid and comply with the designation requirements.

Recognized CABs are listed on the NIST website at <http://ts.nist.gov/mra>. If you have any questions please contact Ramona Saar at (301) 975-5521 or ramona.saar@nist.gov.

Sincerely,



David F. Alderman
Group Leader, Standards Coordination and Conformity Group
Standards Services Division

Enclosure

cc: Ramona Saar

NIST

CONTENTS

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY & EUT INFORMATION.....	16
2	TECHNICAL DETAILS.....	17
3	MODIFICATION	18
4	TEST SUMMARY	19
5	MEASUREMENTS, EXAMINATION AND DERIVED RESULTS	20
	ANNEX A. TEST INSTRUMENT & METHOD	58
	ANNEX B EUT AND TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS.....	62
	ANNEX C. TEST SETUP AND SUPPORTING EQUIPMENT	62
	ANNEX D USER MANUAL, BLOCK & CIRCUIT DIAGRAM	66

This page has been left blank intentionally.

1 Executive Summary & EUT information

The purpose of this test programme was to demonstrate compliance of the Zebra Technologies Corp., model: UA-612 against the current Stipulated Standards. The Thermal Card Printer have demonstrated compliance with the FCC 15.247 2008 & IC RSS210 Issue 7: 2007.

EUT Information

EUT	:	
Description	:	UA-612 is a Short range UHF RFID READER
Model No	:	UA-612
Serial No	:	N/A
Input Power	:	100~240 VAC
Classification Per Stipulated Test Standard	:	Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum / Device

2 TECHNICAL DETAILS

Purpose	Compliance testing of UHF RFID READER with stipulated standard
Applicant / Client	Applied Wireless ID Group, Inc
Manufacturer	Applied Wireless ID Group, Inc 18300 Sutter Blvd Morgan Hill, CA 95037 USA
Laboratory performing the tests	SIEMIC Laboratories
Test report reference number	SL08102301-AWID-007(15.247) (UA-612)
Date EUT received	10 Nov 2008
Standard applied	47 CFR §15.247: 2007 & RSS 210 Issue 7: 2007
Dates of test (from – to)	10 – 24 Nov 2008
No of Units:	2
Equipment Category:	DSS
Trade Name:	Applied Wireless ID Group, Inc
Model :	UA-612
RF Operating Frequency (ies)	902.60 to 927.40 MHz
Number of Channels :	125
Modulation :	Gen 2
FCC ID :	OGSUA612
IC ID :	6449A-UA612

3 MODIFICATION

NONE

4 TEST SUMMARY

The product was tested in accordance with the following specifications. All testing has been performed according to below product classification:

Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum / Device

Test Results Summary

Test Standard		Description	Pass / Fail
47 CFR Part 15.247: 2008	RSS 210 Issue 7: 2007		
15.203		Antenna Requirement	Pass
15.205	RSS210(A8.5)	Restricted Band of Operation	Pass
15.207(a)	RSS Gen (7.2.2)	AC Line Conducted Emissions Voltage	Pass
15.247(a) (1)	RSS210(A8.1)	Channel Separation	Pass
15.247(a)(1)	RSS210(A8.1)	Occupied Bandwidth	Pass
15.247(a) (2)	RSS210 (A8.2)	6dB Bandwidth	N/A
15.247(a) (1) (i)	RSS210(A8.1)	Number of Hopping Channels	Pass
15.247(a) (1) (i)	RSS210(A8.1)	Time of Occupancy	Pass
15.247(b) (2)	RSS210(A8.4)	Output Power	Pass
15.247(c)	RSS210(A8.4)	Antenna Gain > 6 dBi	Pass
15.247(d)	RSS210(A8.5)	Antenna Port Conducted Spurious Emissions	Pass
15.209; 15.247(d)	RSS210(A8.5)	Radiated Spurious Emissions	Pass
15.247(e)	RSS210(A8.3)	Power Spectral Density	N/A
15.247(f)	RSS210(A8.3)	Hybrid System Requirement	N/A
15.247(g)	RSS210(A8.1)	Hopping Capability	Pass
15.247(h)	RSS210(A8.1)	Hopping Coordination Requirement	Pass
15.247(i) §2.1091& §2.1093	RSSGen(5.5)	Maximum Permissible Exposure	Pass
15.247 (d)		100 kHz Bandwidth of Frequency Band Edge	Pass
	RSSGen(4.8)	Receiver Spurious Emissions	Pass

ANSI C63.4: 2003/ RSS-Gen Issue 2: 2007

PS: All measurement uncertainties are not taken into consideration for all presented test result.

5 MEASUREMENTS, EXAMINATION AND DERIVED RESULTS

5.1 Antenna Requirement

Requirement(s): 47 CFR §15.203

An intentional radiator shall be designed to ensure that no antenna other than that furnished by the responsible party shall be used with the device.

Antenna requirement must meet at least one of the following:

- a) Antenna must be permanently attached to the device.
 - b) Antenna must use a unique type of connector to attach to the device.
 - c) Device must be professionally installed. Installer shall be responsible for ensuring that the correct antenna is employed with the device.
- 1) The UHF antenna is integrate antenna and it is permanent attach to PCB inside on the chassis which meets the requirement.

5.2 Conducted Emissions Voltage

Requirement:

Frequency of emission (MHz)	Conducted limit (dBμV)	
	Quasi-peak	Average
0.15–0.5	66 to 56*	56 to 46*
0.5–5	56	46
5–30	60	50

*Decreases with the logarithm of the frequency.


Procedures:

- All possible modes of operation were investigated. Only the 6 worst case emissions measured, using the correct CISPR and Average detectors, are reported. All other emissions were relatively insignificant.
- A "-ve" margin indicates a PASS as it refers to the margin present below the limit line at the particular frequency.
- Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 9kHz – 30MHz (Average & Quasi-peak) is ±3.5dB.
- Environmental Conditions

Temperature	23°C - 25°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar

Test Date : 10~24 Nov 2008
Tested By :Snell Leong


Results:



120V, 60Hz, Neutral Line

Frequency (MHz)	QP Value (dBμV)	Class B Limit (dB)	Margin (dB)	Avg Value (dBμV)	Class B Limit (dB)	Margin (dB)	Line
0.18	50.37	64.74	-14.37	36.45	54.74	-18.29	Neutral
2.53	31.36	56.00	-24.64	25.66	46.00	-20.34	Neutral
0.30	40.80	60.38	-19.58	34.51	50.38	-15.86	Neutral
0.35	41.76	59.11	-17.35	38.81	49.11	-10.30	Neutral
0.59	36.56	56.00	-19.44	34.26	46.00	-11.74	Neutral
2.59	35.40	56.00	-20.60	29.17	46.00	-16.83	Neutral

Test Result :



110V, 60Hz, Phase Line

Frequency (MHz)	QP Value (dBμV)	Class B Limit (dB)	Margin (dB)	Avg Value (dBμV)	Class B Limit (dB)	Margin (dB)	Line
0.29	45.36	60.49	-15.13	40.64	50.49	-9.85	Phase
2.59	38.44	56.00	-17.56	31.52	46.00	-14.48	Phase
0.18	48.51	64.74	-16.23	36.79	54.74	-17.95	Phase
0.65	38.31	56.00	-17.69	36.37	46.00	-9.63	Phase
0.35	41.81	59.11	-17.30	38.68	49.11	-10.44	Phase
2.47	37.70	56.00	-18.30	31.99	46.00	-14.01	Phase

5.3 Channel Separation

1. Conducted Measurement
 EUT was set for low , mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.
 The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Environmental Conditions

Temperature	23°C - 25°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
3. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty
 All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 20GHz is ± 1.5 dB.
4. Test Date : 10~24 Nov 2008
 Tested By : Snell Leong


Requirement(s): 47 CFR §15.247(a)(1)(i)

Procedures: The Channel Separation was measured conducted using a spectrum analyzer at low, mid, and hi channels.


Frequency hopping systems in the 902-928 MHz shall have, hopping channel carrier frequencies separated by a minimum of 25 kHz or the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel, whichever is greater. The system shall hop to channel frequencies that are selected at the system hopping rate from a pseudo randomly ordered list of hopping frequencies.

Channel	Channel Frequency (MHz)	Channel Separation (KHz)	20 dB Channel Bandwidth (KHz)
Low	902.60	200.8	97.2
Mid	915.00	200.8	96.2
High	927.40	200.8	96.2


Channel Separation - Low Channel



Channel Separation - Mid Channel



Channel Separation – High Channel



5.4 20dB Occupied Bandwidth

1. Conducted Measurement
EUT was set for low, mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.
The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Environmental Conditions

Temperature	23°C - 25°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
3. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 20GHz is $\pm 1.5\text{dB}$.
4. Test Date : 10~24 Nov 2008
Tested By :Snell Leong

Requirement(s): 47 CFR §15.247(a)(1)(i) & RSS210


Procedures: The 20dB bandwidths were measured conducted using a spectrum analyzer at low, mid, and hi channels.

Note: The maximum allowed 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping is 500 kHz.


Channel	Channel Frequency (MHz)	20 dB Channel Bandwidth (KHz)	99% Channel Bandwidth (KHz)
Low	902.60	97.2	105.8
Mid	915.00	96.2	95.8
High	927.40	96.2	96.7

Refer to the attached plots.


20dB Bandwidth - Low Channel




99% Bandwidth - Low Channel




20dB Bandwidth – Mid Channel




99% Bandwidth - Mid Channel



20dB Bandwidth - High Channel



99% Bandwidth - High Channel



5.5 Number of Hopping Channel

1. Conducted Measurement
EUT was set for low , mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.
The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 20GHz is $\pm 1.5\text{dB}$.
3. Environmental Conditions

Temperature	23°C - 25°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4. Test Date : 10~24 Nov 2008
Tested By :Snell Leong

Standard Requirement: 47 CFR §15.247(a)(1)(iii) & RSS210

For frequency hopping systems operating in the 902–928 MHz band: if the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel is less than 250 kHz, the system shall use at least 50 hopping frequencies and the average time of occupancy on any frequency shall not be greater than 0.4 seconds within a 20 second period; if the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel is 250 kHz or greater, the system shall use at least 25 hopping frequencies and the average time of occupancy on any frequency shall not be greater than 0.4 seconds within a 10 second period. The maximum allowed 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel is 500 kHz.

Procedures: The Number of Hopping Channel measurement was taken conducted using a spectrum analyzer.



RBW=30 KHz, VBW > RBW



Test Result:



Total Channel: 125 Channels

Number of Hopping Channel

902 – 928 MHz: 125 Channels







5.6 Time of Occupancy

1. Conducted Measurement
EUT was set for low , mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.
The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 20GHz is $\pm 1.5\text{dB}$.
3. Environmental Conditions

Temperature	23°C - 25°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4. Test Date : 10~24 Nov 2008
Tested By :Snell Leong

Standard Requirement: 47 CFR §15.247(a)(1) & RSS210



For frequency hopping systems operating in the 902–928 MHz band: if the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel is less than 250 kHz, the system shall use at least 50 hopping frequencies and the average time of occupancy on any frequency shall not be greater than 0.4 seconds within a 20 second period; if the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel is 250 kHz or greater, the system shall use at least 25 hopping frequencies and the average time of occupancy on any frequency shall not be greater than 0.4 seconds within a 10 second period.

Procedures: The Time of Occupancy measurement was taken conducted using a spectrum analyzer.



Test Result:

Channel	Channel Frequency (MHz)	Dwell Time (sec)	Limit (sec)	Mode
Low	902.60	0.34417	0.4	A (125 CHs)
Mid	915.00	0.34417	0.4	A (125 CHs)
High	927.40	0.34417	0.4	A (125 CHs)
Low	902.60	0.34417	0.4	B (50 CHs)
Mid	915.00	0.34417	0.4	B (50 CHs)
High	927.40	0.34417	0.4	B (50 CHs)



Low Channel (Mode A)





Mid Channel (Mode A)





High Channel (Mode A)





Low Channel (Mode B)



Mid Channel (Mode B)



High Channel (Mode B)



5.7 Peak Output Power

1. Conducted Measurement
EUT was set for low , mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.
The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 40GHz is ±1.5dB.
3. Environmental Conditions

Temperature	23°C - 25°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4. Test Date : 10~24 Nov 2008
Tested By :Snell Leong

Standard Requirement : 47 CFR §15.247(b) & RSS210


Procedures: The peak output power was measured conducted using a spectrum analyzer at low, mid, and hi channels. Peak detector was set to measure the power output. The power is converted from watt to dBm, therefore, 1 watt = 30 dBm.

Note: For frequency hopping systems operating in the 902–928 MHz band: 1 watt for systems employing at least 50 hopping channels; and, 0.25 watts for systems employing less than 50 hopping channels, but at least 25 hopping channels, as permitted under paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section.


Test Result :

Channel	Channel Frequency (MHz)	Measured Output Power (dBm)	Peak Output Power Limit (dBm)
Low	902.60	21.50	30
Mid	915.00	19.67	30
High	927.40	19.50	30


Output Power Low Channel



Output Power Mid Channel



Output Power High Channel



5.8 100 KHz Bandwidth of Frequency Band Edge

1. Conducted Measurement
EUT was set for low, mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.
The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 20GHz is $\pm 1.5\text{dB}$.
3. Environmental Conditions


Temperature	23°C - 25°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4. Test Date : 10~24 Nov 2008
Tested By :Snell Leong

Standard Requirement : 47 CFR §15.247(b) & RSS210


Procedures: in any 100 kHz bandwidth outside the frequency band in which the spread spectrum intentional radiator is operating, the radio frequency power that is produced by the intentional radiator shall be at least 20 dB below that in the 100 kHz bandwidth within the band that contains the highest level of the desired power, based on either an RF conducted or a radiated measurement. Attenuation below the general limits specified in §15.209(a) is not required.

Test Result :

Low Channel



High Channel



5.9 Antenna Port Emission



1. Conducted Measurement
EUT was set for low , mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.
The spectrum analyzer was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 20GHz is $\pm 1.5\text{dB}$.
3. Environmental Conditions

Temperature	23°C - 25°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4. Test Date : 10~24 Nov 2008
Tested By :Snell Leong


Standard Requirement: 47 CFR §15.247(c) & RSS210

Procedures: The conducted spurious emissions were measured conducted using a spectrum analyzer at low, mid, and hi channels. The limit was determined by attenuating 20 dB of the RF peak power output


Test Result:




Antenna Port Emissions (MC)-1




Antenna Port Emission MC -2



ANTENNA PORT EMISSION HC-1



Antenna Port Emission HC -2



5.10 Radiated Spurious Emission < 1GHz

1. All possible modes of operation were investigated. Only the 6 worst case emissions measured, using the correct CISPR detectors, are reported. All other emissions were relatively insignificant.
2. A "-ve" margin indicates a PASS as it refers to the margin present below the limit line at the particular frequency.
3. Radiated Emissions Measurement Uncertainty
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 1GHz (QP only @ 3m & 10m) is +5.6dB/-4.5dB (for EUTs < 0.5m X 0.5m X 0.5m).
4. Environmental Conditions

Temperature	23°C - 25°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar

Test Date : 10~24 Nov 2008
 Tested By :Snell Leong

Standard Requirement: 47 CFR §15.247(c) & RSS 210


Procedures: Radiated emissions were measured according to ANSI C63.4. The EUT was set to transmit at the highest output power. The EUT was set to transmit at mid channel. Note that setting the channel other than mid, the spurious emissions are the same.

The limit is converted from microvolts/meter to decibel microvolts/meter.

Sample Calculation: Corrected Amplitude = Raw Amplitude (dBµV/m) + ACF(dB) + Cable Loss(dB)


Test Result:

Radiated Emission Plot (Receive mode)



Frequency (MHz)	Corrected Amplitude @ 3m	Azimuth (degree)	Antenna Polarity	Antenna Height (Meter)	Antenna + cable Factor	Class B Limit@ 3m	Delta (dB)	Measure Detector
63.03	33.87	141.00	V	101.00	8.80	40.00	-6.13	QP
80.88	33.00	144.00	V	130.00	8.74	40.00	-7.00	QP
479.97	41.02	76.00	H	103.00	19.94	46.00	-4.98	QP
106.51	33.71	211.00	H	100.00	13.46	43.50	-9.79	QP
659.98	35.69	130.00	V	109.00	22.44	46.00	-10.31	QP
360.00	31.48	20.00	H	123.00	17.50	46.00	-14.52	QP

Radiated Emission Plot (Transmit mode)



Frequency (MHz)	Corrected Amplitude @ 3m	Azimuth (degree)	Antenna Polarity	Antenna Height (Meter)	Antenna + cable Factor	Class B Limit@ 3m	Delta (dB)	Measure Detector
63.55	35.56	191.00	H	138.00	8.80	40.00	-4.44	QP
80.86	33.99	181.00	H	145.00	8.74	40.00	-6.01	QP
479.98	39.72	1.00	H	186.00	19.94	46.00	-6.28	QP
78.06	33.32	176.00	H	155.00	8.51	40.00	-6.68	QP
58.58	27.21	327.00	H	107.00	8.49	40.00	-12.79	QP

5.12 Radiated Spurious Emissions > 1GHz

1. All possible modes of operation were investigated. Only the 6 worst case emissions measured, using the correct CISPR detectors, are reported. All other emissions were relatively insignificant.
2. A "-ve" margin indicates a PASS as it refers to the margin present below the limit line at the particular frequency.
3. Radiated Emissions Measurement Uncertainty
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 1GHz – 20GHz is +5.6dB/-4.5dB (for EUTs < 0.5m X 0.5m X 0.5m).
4. Environmental Conditions

Temperature	23°C - 25°C
Relative Humidity	50%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar

Test Date : 10~24 Nov 2008
 Tested By :Snell Leong

Standard Requirement: 47 CFR §15.247(d) & RSS 210

Procedures: Equipment was setup in a semi-anechoic chamber. For measurements above 1 GHz an average measurement was taken with a 10Hz video bandwidth. The EUT was tested at low, mid and high with the highest output power. Investigated up to 10th harmonics of the operating frequency.

Sample Calculation:

EUT Field Strength = Raw Amplitude (dBμV/m) – Amplifier Gain(dB) + Antenna Factor(dB) + Cable Loss(dB) + Filter Attenuation(dB, if used)

Test Result:

902.60MHz @ 3 Meter

Frequency	Reading	Direction	Height	Polar	Antenna Loss	Cable loss	Amplifier	Corrected Reading	15.247/15.209	15.247/15.209	
GHz	(dBuV/m)	Degree	Meter	H / V	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin	Comments
0.9026	82.96	275	123	v	15.5	0.32	0	98.78			Fund/Peak
0.9026	82.82	350	100	h	15.5	0.32	0	98.64			Fund/Peak
0.9026	80.33	180	155	v	15.5	0.32	0	96.15			Fund/Ave
0.9026	80.12	350	100	h	15.5	0.32	0	95.94			Fund/Ave
1.805	73.60	275.00	123.00	v	26.30	2.16	31.98	70.08	78.78*	-8.70	Peak
1.805	66.60	350.00	100	h	26.30	2.16	31.98	63.08	78.78*	-15.70	Peak
1.805	63.00	180.00	155.00	v	26.30	2.16	31.98	59.48	76.15*	-16.67	Ave
1.805	56.60	350.00	100	h	26.30	2.16	31.98	53.08	76.15*	-23.07	Ave
2.708	52.20	114.00	123.00	v	29.20	2.72	32.08	52.04	74.00	-21.96	Peak
2.708	55.60	89.00	132.00	h	29.20	2.72	32.08	55.44	74.00	-18.56	Peak
2.708	45.80	114.00	123.00	v	29.20	2.72	32.08	45.64	54.00	-8.36	Ave
2.708	49.30	89.00	132.00	h	29.20	2.72	32.08	49.14	54.00	-4.86	Ave
3.610	46.20	162.00	105.00	v	31.80	3.44	32.37	49.07	74.00	-24.94	Peak
3.610	52.10	80.00	155.00	h	31.80	3.44	32.37	54.97	74.00	-19.04	Peak
3.610	39.20	180.00	155.00	v	31.80	3.44	32.37	42.07	54.00	-11.94	Ave
3.610	46.20	80.00	155.00	h	31.80	3.44	32.37	49.07	54.00	-4.94	Ave
4.513	44.80	180.00	105.00	v	32.70	4.13	32.49	49.14	74.00	-24.87	Peak
4.513	46.60	180.00	155.00	h	32.70	4.13	32.49	50.94	74.00	-23.07	Peak
4.513	38.10	180.00	155.00	v	32.70	4.13	32.49	42.44	54.00	-11.57	Ave
4.513	38.90	180.00	155.00	h	32.70	4.13	32.49	43.24	54.00	-10.77	Ave

Emission was scanned up to 10GHz.

* Emission is not falling in restricted band, so its limit is allowed to be 20dB down from Fundamental.

915.00MHz @ 3 Meter

Frequency	Reading	Direction	Height	Polar	Antenna Loss	Cable loss	Amplifier	Corrected Reading	15.247/15.209	15.247/15.209	
GHz	(dBuV/m)	Degree	Meter	H / V	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin	Comments
0.915	78.72	275.00	123.00	v	15.50	0.32	0.00	94.54			Fund/Peak
0.915	78.32	350.00	100	h	15.50	0.32	0.00	94.14			Fund/Peak
0.915	75.82	180.00	155.00	v	15.50	0.32	0.00	91.64			Fund/Ave
0.915	75.12	350.00	100	h	15.50	0.32	0.00	90.94			Fund/Ave
1.830	61.70	275.00	123.00	v	26.30	2.16	31.98	58.18	74.54*	-16.36	Fund/Peak
1.830	57.50	350.00	100	h	26.30	2.16	31.98	53.98	74.54*	-20.56	Fund/Peak
1.830	54.90	180.00	155.00	v	26.30	2.16	31.98	51.38	71.64*	-20.26	Fund/Ave
1.830	51.60	350.00	100	h	26.30	2.16	31.98	48.08	71.64*	-23.56	Fund/Ave
2.745	46.50	114.00	123.00	v	29.20	2.72	32.08	46.34	74.00	-27.66	Peak
2.745	45.70	89.00	132.00	h	29.20	2.72	32.08	45.54	74.00	-28.46	Peak
2.745	40.20	114.00	123.00	v	29.20	2.72	32.08	40.04	54.00	-13.96	Ave
2.745	29.70	89.00	132.00	h	29.20	2.72	32.08	29.54	54.00	-24.46	Ave
3.660	47.50	162.00	105.00	v	31.80	3.44	32.37	50.37	74.00	-23.64	Peak
3.660	46.20	80.00	155.00	h	31.80	3.44	32.37	49.07	74.00	-24.94	Peak
3.660	41.40	180.00	155.00	v	31.80	3.44	32.37	44.27	54.00	-9.73	Ave
3.660	40.10	80.00	155.00	h	31.80	3.44	32.37	42.97	54.00	-11.04	Ave
4.575	44.50	180.00	105.00	v	32.70	4.13	32.49	48.84	74.00	-25.17	Peak
4.575	46.20	180.00	155.00	h	32.70	4.13	32.49	50.54	74.00	-23.47	Peak
4.575	37.89	180.00	155.00	v	32.70	4.13	32.49	42.23	54.00	-11.78	Ave
4.575	37.70	180.00	155.00	h	32.70	4.13	32.49	42.04	54.00	-11.97	Ave

Emission was scanned up to 10GHz.

* Emission is not falling in restricted band, so its limit is allowed to be 20dB down from Fundamental.

@ 927.40MHz @ 3Meter

Frequency	Reading	Direction	Height	Polar	Antenna Loss	Cable loss	Amplifier	Corrected Reading	15.247/15.209	15.247/15.209	
GHz	(dBuV/m)	Degree	Meter	H / V	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin	Comments
0.927	81.34	275.00	123.00	v	15.50	0.32	0.00	97.16			Fund/Peak
0.927	80.78	350.00	100	h	15.50	0.32	0.00	96.60			Fund/Peak
0.927	79.83	180.00	155.00	v	15.50	0.32	0.00	95.65			Fund/Ave
0.927	79.15	350.00	100	h	15.50	0.32	0.00	94.97			Fund/Ave
1.855	65.13	275.00	123.00	v	26.30	2.16	31.98	61.61	77.16*	-15.55	Fund/Peak
1.855	58.50	350.00	100	h	26.30	2.16	31.98	54.98	77.16*	-22.18	Fund/Peak
1.855	58.20	180.00	155.00	v	26.30	2.16	31.98	54.68	75.65*	-20.97	Fund/Ave
1.855	51.70	350.00	100	h	26.30	2.16	31.98	48.18	75.65*	-27.47	Fund/Ave
2.782	44.30	114.00	123.00	v	29.20	2.72	32.08	44.14	74.00	-29.86	Peak
2.782	47.40	89.00	132.00	h	29.20	2.72	32.08	47.24	74.00	-26.76	Peak
2.782	38.80	114.00	123.00	v	29.20	2.72	32.08	38.64	54.00	-15.36	Ave
2.782	41.70	89.00	132.00	h	29.20	2.72	32.08	41.54	54.00	-12.46	Ave
3.710	48.30	162.00	105.00	v	31.80	3.44	32.37	51.17	74.00	-22.84	Peak
3.710	49.20	80.00	155.00	h	31.80	3.44	32.37	52.07	74.00	-21.94	Peak
3.710	42.50	180.00	155.00	v	31.80	3.44	32.37	45.37	54.00	-8.64	Ave
3.710	43.30	80.00	155.00	h	31.80	3.44	32.37	46.17	54.00	-7.84	Ave
4.637	44.80	180.00	105.00	v	32.70	4.13	32.49	49.14	74.00	-24.87	Peak
4.637	46.60	180.00	155.00	h	32.70	4.13	32.49	50.94	74.00	-23.07	Peak
4.637	38.10	180.00	155.00	v	32.70	4.13	32.49	42.44	54.00	-11.57	Ave
4.637	38.90	180.00	155.00	h	32.70	4.13	32.49	43.24	54.00	-10.77	Ave

Emission was scanned up to 10GHz.

* Emission is not falling in restricted band, so its limit is allowed to be 20dB down from Fundamental.

Annex A. TEST INSTRUMENT & METHOD

Annex A.i. TEST INSTRUMENTATION & GENERAL PROCEDURES

Instrument	Manufacturer	Model	CAL Due Date
Spectrum Analyzer	HP	8564E	04/26/2009
EMI Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB 40	4/25/2009
R&S LISN	R&S	ESH2-Z5	04/24/2009
CHASE LISN	Chase	MN2050B	04/24/2009
Antenna(1 ~18GHz)	Emco	3115	01/04/2009
Antenna (30MHz-2GHz)	Sunol Sciences	JB1	01/04/2009
Chamber	Lingren	3m	04/18/2009
Pre-Amplifier(1 ~ 26GHz)	HP	8449	04/24/2009
Horn Antenna (18~40GHz)	Com Power	AH-840	03/19/2010
Microwave Pre-Amp (18~40GHz)	Com Power	PA-840	03/19/2010*

Note: No calibration required.

Annex A.ii. CONDUCTED EMISSIONS TEST DESCRIPTION

Test Set-up

1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up in accordance with the requirements of the standard on top of a 1.5m x 1m x 0.8m high, non-metallic table, as shown in Annex B.
- 2.
3. The power supply for the EUT was fed through a 50Ω/50μH EUT LISN, connected to filtered mains.
- 4.
5. The RF OUT of the EUT LISN was connected to the EMI test receiver via a low-loss coaxial cable.
- 6.
7. All other supporting equipments were powered separately from another main supply.

Test Method

1. The EUT was switched on and allowed to warm up to its normal operating condition.
2. A scan was made on the NEUTRAL line (for AC mains) or Earth line (for DC power) over the required frequency range using an EMI test receiver.
3. High peaks, relative to the limit line, were then selected.
4. The EMI test receiver was then tuned to the selected frequencies and the necessary measurements made with a receiver bandwidth setting of 10 KHz. For FCC tests, only Quasi-peak measurements were made; while for CISPR/EN tests, both Quasi-peak and Average measurements were made.
5. Steps 2 to 4 were then repeated for the LIVE line (for AC mains) or DC line (for DC power).

Sample Calculation Example

At 20 MHz	limit = 250 μV = 47.96 dBμV
Transducer factor of LISN, pulse limiter & cable loss at 20 MHz = 11.20 dB	
Q-P reading obtained directly from EMI Receiver = 40.00 dBμV	
	(Calibrated for system losses)
Therefore, Q-P margin = 47.96 – 40.00 = 7.96	i.e. 7.96 dB below limit

Annex A. iii RADIATED EMISSIONS TEST DESCRIPTION


EUT Characterisation

EUT characterisation, over the frequency range from 30MHz to 10th Harmonic , was done in order to minimise radiated emissions testing time while still maintaining high confidence in the test results.

The EUT was placed in the chamber, at a height of about 0.8m on a turntable. Its radiated emissions frequency profile was observed, using a spectrum analyzer /receiver with the appropriate broadband antenna placed 3m away from the EUT. Radiated emissions from the EUT were maximised by rotating the turntable manually, changing the antenna polarisation and manipulating the EUT cables while observing the frequency profile on the spectrum analyzer / receiver. Frequency points at which maximum emissions occurred, clock frequencies and operating frequencies were then noted for the formal radiated emissions test at the Open Area Test Site (OATS).

Test Set-up

1. The EUT and supporting equipment were set up in accordance with the requirements of the standard on top of a 1.5m X 1.0m X 0.8m high, non-metallic table.
2. The filtered power supply for the EUT and supporting equipment were tapped from the appropriate power sockets located on the turntable.
3. The relevant broadband antenna was set at the required test distance away from the EUT and supporting equipment boundary.



Test Method

The following procedure was performed to determine the maximum emission axis of EUT:

1. With the receiving antenna is H polarization, rotate the EUT in turns with three orthogonal axes to determine the axis of maximum emission.
2. With the receiving antenna is V polarization, rotate the EUT in turns with three orthogonal axes to determine the axis of maximum emission.
3. Compare the results derived from above two steps. So, the axis of maximum emission from EUT was determined and the configuration was used to perform the final measurement.

Final Radiated Emission Measurement

1. Setup the configuration according to figure 1. Turn on EUT and make sure that it is in normal function.
2. For emission frequencies measured below 1 GHz, a pre-scan is performed in a shielded chamber to determine the accurate frequencies of higher emissions will be checked on a open test site. As the same purpose, for emission frequencies measured above 1 GHz, a pre-scan also be performed with a 1 meter measuring distance before final test.
3. For emission frequencies measured below and above 1 GHz, set the spectrum analyzer on a 100 kHz and 1 MHz resolution bandwidth respectively for each frequency measured in step 2.
4. The search antenna is to be raised and lowered over a range from 1 to 4 meters in horizontally polarized orientation. Position the highness when the highest value is indicated on spectrum analyzer, then change the orientation of EUT on test table over a range from 0_o to 360_o with a speed as slow as possible, and keep the azimuth that highest emission is indicated on the spectrum analyzer. Vary the antenna position again and record the highest value as a final reading.
5. Repeat step 4 until all frequencies need to be measured were complete.
6. Repeat step 5 with search antenna in vertical polarized orientations.

During the radiated emission test, the Spectrum Analyzer was set with the following configurations:

Frequency Band (MHz)	Function	Resolution bandwidth	Video Bandwidth
30 to 1000	Peak	100 kHz	100 kHz
Above 1000	Peak	1 MHz	1 MHz
	Average	1 MHz	10 Hz

Sample Calculation Example

The field strength is calculated by adding the Antenna Factor and Cable Factor, and subtracting the Amplifier Gain (if any) from the measured reading. For the limit is employed average value, therefore the peak value can be transferred to average value by subtracting the duty factor. The basic equation with a sample calculation is as follows:

$$\text{Peak} = \text{Reading} + \text{Corrected Factor}$$

where

Corr. Factor = Antenna Factor + Cable Factor - Amplifier Gain (if any)

And the average value is

$$\text{Average} = \text{Peak Value} + \text{Duty Factor or}$$

$$\text{Set RBW} = 1\text{MHz, VBW} = 10\text{Hz.}$$

Note :

If the measured frequencies are fall in the restricted frequency band, the limit employed must be quasi peak value when frequencies are below or equal to 1 GHz. And the measuring instrument is set to quasi peak detector function.

Annex B EUT AND TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

Please see the attachment

Annex C. TEST SETUP AND SUPPORTING EQUIPMENT


EUT TEST CONDITIONS

Annex C. i. SUPPORTING EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION


The following is a description of supporting equipment and details of cables used with the EUT.

Equipment Description (Including Brand Name)	Model & Serial Number	Cable Description (List Length, Type & Purpose)

Block Configuration Diagram for Radiated Emission



Block Configuration Diagram for Conducted Emission



Annex C.ii. EUT OPERATING CONDITIONS

The following is the description of how the EUT is exercised during testing.

Test	Description Of Operation
Emissions Testing	The EUT was controlled via PC Laptop using Testing Program provided by applicant.
Others Testing	The EUT was controlled via PC Laptop using Testing Program provided by applicant.

Annex D USER MANUAL, BLOCK & CIRCUIT DIAGRAM

Please see attachment