



NEBRASKA CENTER FOR EXCELLENCE IN ELECTRONICS
4740 Discovery Drive
Lincoln, NE 68521
402-472-5880



Amendment to Test Report R040102-01A

Company: iSECUREtrac Corp
5022 S 114th Street
Omaha, NE, USA 68138

Contact: Jef Higgason

Product: 2100NC
FCC ID: OAM2100NC

Test Report No: R040102-01B

APPROVED BY:

Steve Cass
General Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Steve Cass", is written over a horizontal line.

Doug Kramer
Test Engineer

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Doug Kramer", is written over a horizontal line.

DATE: 30 April 2002
Total Pages: 28

The Nebraska Center for Excellence in Electronics (NCEE) authorizes the above named company to reproduce this report provided it is reproduced in its entirety for use by the company's employees only. Any use that a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. NCEE accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report. This report applies only to the items tested.

NCEE is a FCC registered lab. Registration #100875

Table of Contents

- 1.0 Summary of test results**
 - 1.1 Reason for Amendment
 - 1.2 Test Results
 - 1.3 Test Methods
 - 1.3.1 Conducted Emissions
 - 1.3.2 Radiated Emissions
- 2.0 Description**
 - 2.1 Equipment under test
 - 2.1.1 Identification
 - 2.1.2 EUT received date
 - 2.1.3 EUT tested dates
 - 2.1.4 Manufacturer
 - 2.1.5 Serial number
 - 2.2 Laboratory description
 - 2.3 Special equipment or setup
- 3.0 Test equipment used**
- 4.0 Detailed Results**
 - 4.1 FCC Part 15.203 unique connector for antenna
 - 4.2 FCC Part 15.207 Conducted Emissions
 - 4.3 FCC Part 15.209 Radiated Emissions
 - 4.4 FCC Part 15.231(e) Radiated Emissions
- Appendix A** – Test setup photos
- Appendix B** – Emissions plots
- Appendix C** – External photos
- Appendix D** – Sample calculation

1.0 Summary of test results**1.1 Reason for Amendment**

This report was amended to reflect changes in the circuit board design. A battery charging circuit was added, no changes were made to the RF portion. The firmware in the transmitter chip was also changed to increase the fundamental signal. Data has been added to further support compliance to Part 15.231(e)

1.2 Test Results

Test	Test Specification	Results
CFR 47, FCC Part 15.203	Part 15.203	Complies
CFR 47, FCC Part 15.207	Part 15.207, Class B	Complies
CFR 47, FCC Part 15.209	Part 15.209, Class B	Complies
CFR 47, FCC Part 15.231	Part 15.231	Complies

1.3 Test Methods**1.3.1 Conducted Emissions**

Measurements of conducted emissions to the limits set in CFR 47 Part 15.207 were conducted using the methods shown in ANSI/IEEE C63.4, 2001. Several configurations were examined the results presented represent a worst-case scenario. The EUT was placed on a wooden table approximately 80cm high, positioned 40cm from the vertical ground plane and 80cm or more away from any other conductive surface.

1.3.2 Radiated Emissions

Compliance to CFR 47 Parts 15.109/209 and 15.231(e) was tested in accordance with the methods of ANSI/IEEE C63.4, 2001. Several configurations were examined the results presented represent a worst-case scenario. The EUT was placed on a wooden table approximately 80cm high and centered on a 4m diameter turntable. The table was rotated to maximize emissions. All measurements were taken at a distance of 3m from the EUT. Measurements made above 1GHz on April 25, 2002 are shown to exceed the limits, previous results are included to support that this is not the case.

2.0 Description**2.1 Equipment under test**

The iSecuretrac personal tracking unit (PTU) and base station work in conjunction with a cuff (worn by the detainee) and software as part of a home arrest system. A variety of cuff transmitters are available from several different manufacturers (i.e. FCC ID: LSQ-TX500) and are not part of this application for certification. The base station receives a signal, decodes it, re-encodes it and transmits it out for reception by the PTU, which is positioned in the base station. If the PTU is not in the base station, the base station is effectively powered off.

The PTU receives information from the cuff and relays it to a remote server via a Part 68 approved modem. The PTU is worn at the waist or stored in the base station when applicable. The transmitter in the base station serves the purpose of providing diversified reception of the cuff signal. In many housing environments, there are signal reflections and blockages that cause dead zones of reception. This is caused by reflected signals mixing with the direct path signal and creating nulls, and sometimes just the fact that metal objects like a refrigerator may block either the horizontal or vertical component of the signal. Depending on the position of the cuff being worn by the individual, the signal can vary from one orientation to the other and anything in-between. By providing a repeater receiver with an external antenna that is vertical, a PTU receiver that is horizontal when docked, and retransmitting with an antenna that is horizontal, signals of both horizontal and vertical polarization have an enhanced chance of reception, thus improving overall reception and minimizing reporting to the customer a false loss of signal conditions.

2.1.1 Identification

iSecuretrac iTracker 2100NC PTU

2.1.2 EUT received date: 1 April 2002, 25 April 2002

2.1.3 EUT tested dates: 1 April 2002, 25 April 2002

2.1.4 Manufacturer: iSecuretrac Corp, Omaha, NE

2.1.5 Serial number

PTU: 0028

Base station: FCCb

2.2 Laboratory description

All testing was performed at the NCEE Lincoln facility, which is a FCC registered lab. This site has been fully described in a report submitted to your office, and accepted in a letter dated May 4, 2001. Laboratory environmental conditions varied slightly throughout the tests:

Relative humidity of $46 \pm 4\%$

Temperature of $22 \pm 3^\circ$ Celsius

2.3 Special equipment or setup

The device was modified to enable the transmitter to be active continuously instead of waiting for a signal to repeat.

3.0 Test equipment used

<i>Serial #</i>	<i>Manufacturer</i>	<i>Model</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Last cal.</i>
1647	EMCO	3142B	Biconilog antenna	25-May-01
6415	EMCO	3115	DRG Horn	31-May-01
100037	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB26	EMI Test Receiver	11-Jun-01
100007	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB7	EMI Test Receiver	27-Aug-01
082001/003	Rohde & Schwarz	TS-PR18	Preamplifier	10-Aug-01
2575	Rohde & Schwarz	ES-K1	Software v1.60	N/A
100009	Rohde & Schwarz	ESH3-Z2	Pulse limiter	05-Jun-01
100023	Rohde & Schwarz	ESH3-Z5	Artificial Mains	21-May-01

4.0 Detailed Results

All measurement results are located in the corresponding interval with a probability of approximately 95% (coverage factor $k=2$). The interval for these measurements is U_x (expanded uncertainty).

Conducted Emissions, 150kHz – 30MHz: $U_x = \pm 3.1$ dB

Radiated Emissions, 30MHz – 1GHz, 3m distance: $U_x = \pm 3.4$ dB

Radiated Emissions, 1GHz – 4GHz, 3m distance: $U_x = \pm 3.5$ dB

Radiated emissions measurements were made by first using a spectrum analyzer getting a rough signal spectrum, any points were then measured using a CISPR 16 compliant receiver with the following bandwidth setting:

30MHz - 1GHz: 120kHz IF bandwidth, 60kHz steps

Above 1GHz: 1MHz IF bandwidth, 500kHz steps

Conducted measurements were made using a CISPR 16 compliant receiver with the IF bandwidth set to 9kHz taking 5kHz steps through the range 450kHz to 30MHz.

All results shown are corrected to incorporate cables losses, antenna factors, and any amplification.

4.1 FCC Part 15.203 unique connector for antenna

The antenna for the transmitter portion is a strip antenna that is a part of the circuit board itself and cannot be removed from the system. The antenna for the receiver portion of the device is attached via a screw to the circuit board.

4.2 FCC Part 15.207 Conducted Emissions

The EUT was tested in two modes; one was with the transmitter continuously operating and the other, normal mode of operation, when no signal is present to be repeated. The setup can be seen in Figures 1 and 2. The continuously transmitting mode provided the highest emissions and those results can be seen in Figure 5. No emissions were detected within 20dB of the limit.

4.3 FCC Part 15.209 Radiated Emissions

The EUT was found to not produce any emissions that exceeded the Class ‘B’ limits. The test setup can be seen in Figures 3 and 4. More information on the radiated emissions can be found in Section 4.4. The plots of the results can be seen in Figures 6 and 7. The maximum values as measured with the quasi-peak detector are shown below. The transmitter was not active for these measurements.

Frequency MHz	Level dBμV/m	Limit dBμV/m	Margin dB	Height cm	Azimuth deg	Polarization
3879.5	41.19	53.9	12.7	249	337	VERTICAL
2535	37.76	53.9	16.1	249	162	HORIZONTAL
1246.5	33.92	53.9	20	162	55	VERTICAL
112.02	29.59	43.5	13.9	100	258	VERTICAL
3991.5	28.84	53.9	25.1	246	226	VERTICAL
3862	28.61	53.9	25.3	399	313	VERTICAL
3955	28.03	53.9	25.9	281	183	HORIZONTAL
3913.5	27.66	53.9	26.2	252	181	VERTICAL
30.48	25.3	40	14.7	150	235	HORIZONTAL
31.98	22.27	40	17.7	99	252	VERTICAL
30.66	20.14	40	19.9	101	202	HORIZONTAL
30.9	20.12	40	19.9	346	230	HORIZONTAL
31.32	20.09	40	19.9	181	253	HORIZONTAL
30.06	20.07	40	19.9	350	227	HORIZONTAL
32.46	19.44	40	20.6	400	21	VERTICAL

The results from 15.209 were not repeated as the EUT displayed similar out of band performance.

4.4 FCC Part 15.231(e) Radiated Emissions

The EUT was tested in two modes; one was with the transmitter continuously operating and the other normal mode of operation when no signal is present to be repeated. Figures 12 and 13 show the bandwidth of the EUT set in continuous transmit mode is less than 200kHz, which is less than the 795kHz requirement of Part 15.231(c). Several values, as measured with a peak detector (30MHz – 1GHz) or an average detector (above 1GHz), are shown below.

Frequency MHz	Peak Level dBμV/m	PK Limit dBμV/m	Margin dB	Height cm	Azimuth deg	Polarization
30.36	19.8	40	20.2	301	49	HORIZONTAL
31.74	21.3	40	18.7	249	40	HORIZONTAL
36	26.12	40	13.88	149	118	VERTICAL
40.02	21.9	40	18.1	100	2	VERTICAL
43.98	26.4	40	13.6	99	303	VERTICAL
112.02	19.08	43.5	24.42	100	237	VERTICAL
317.94	57.27	87.8	30.53	99	100	HORIZONTAL
391.98	25.55	46	20.45	128	355	VERTICAL
954.12	43.54	67.8	24.26	100	0	VERTICAL
1590	51.4	67.8	16.4	109	319	VERTICAL
1908	42.1	67.8	25.7	99	188	VERTICAL
1937	40.2	53.9	13.7	250	329	HORIZONTAL
2226	49.72	67.8	18.08	100	108	VERTICAL
2862	54.11	67.8	13.69	165	207	HORIZONTAL
3498.5	48.32	67.8	19.48	172	276	HORIZONTAL
4001	44.1	53.9	9.8	100	61	HORIZONTAL

Frequency MHz	AV Level dBμV/m	AV Limit dBμV/m	Margin dB	Height cm	Azimuth deg	Polarization
30.36	19.8	40	20.2	301	49	HORIZONTAL
31.74	21.3	40	18.7	249	40	HORIZONTAL
36	26.12	40	13.88	149	118	VERTICAL
40.02	21.9	40	18.1	100	2	VERTICAL
43.98	26.4	40	13.6	99	303	VERTICAL
112.02	19.08	43.5	24.42	100	237	VERTICAL
317.94	37.27	67.8	30.53	99	100	HORIZONTAL
391.98	25.55	46	20.45	128	355	VERTICAL
954.12	23.54	47.8	24.26	100	0	VERTICAL
1590	31.4	47.8	16.4	109	319	VERTICAL
1908	22.1	47.8	25.7	99	188	VERTICAL
1937	20.2	53.9	33.7	250	329	HORIZONTAL
2226	29.72	53.9	24.18	100	108	VERTICAL
2862	34.11	53.9	19.79	165	207	HORIZONTAL
3498.5	28.32	53.9	25.58	172	276	HORIZONTAL
4001	24.1	53.9	29.8	100	61	HORIZONTAL

The peak and quasi-peak measurements below 1GHz were found not to exceed the limits. For the average measurements above 1GHz an average correction

factor of -20dB was applied to the peak measurement thus resulting in compliance with the limits. The averaging factor was calculated by the following:

$$AverageFactor = 20\log\left(\frac{10}{100}\right) = -20dB$$

Where 10msec is the longest duration of any pulse train in a 100msec period, per the coding specifications for cuffs to work with this system. The transmit period of the system was verified by placing 11 cuff transmitters in proximity of the base station and monitoring the output of the base station. The results can be seen in Figure 13 with the base station transmissions marked, the other visible spikes are coming from the various cuffs. Note that the base is not repeating those signals.

Appendix A

Test setup photos



Figure 1 Conducted Emissions test setup



Figure 2 Conducted Emissions test setup




Figure 3 Radiated Emissions test setup




Figure 4 Radiated Emissions test setup

Appendix B

Emissions plots





Figure 5 Conducted Emissions plot



Page 1 4/1/2002 12:48PM

Figure 6 Radiated Emissions, 15.209, 30MHz - 1GHz




Figure 7 Radiated Emissions, 15.209, 1GHz - 4GHz




Figure 8 Radiated Emissions, 15.231(e), 30MHz - 1GHz (25 April 2002)




Figure 9 Radiated Emissions, 15.231(e), 30MHz - 1GHz (1 April 2002)




Figure 10 Radiated Emissions, 15.231(e), 1GHz – 3.5GHz (25 April 2002), preamp not used




Figure 11 Radiated Emissions, 15.231(e), 1GHz - 4GHz (1 April 2002)




Figure 12 Radiated Emissions, 15.231(c), bandwidth of transmitted signal (1 April 2002)





Figure 13 Radiated Emissions, 15.231(c), bandwidth of transmitted signal (25 April 2002)



Date: 25.APR.2002 16:18:28

Figure 14 Period of operation verification for 15.231(e)

Appendix C

External photos



Figure 15 PTU and base (switch on side for testing)



Figure 16 Base station



Figure 17 PTU



Figure 18 PTU rear



Figure 19 FCC label on back of PTU

Appendix D

Sample calculation

Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the Antenna Factor and Cable Factor, and subtracting the Amplifier Gain (if any) from the measured reading. The basic equation with a sample calculation is as follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG$$

where FS = Field Strength

RA = Receiver Amplitude

AF = Antenna Factor

CF = Cable Attenuation Factor

AG = Amplifier Gain

Assume a receiver reading of 55 dB μ V is obtained. The Antenna Factor of 12 and a Cable Factor of 1.1 is added. The Amplifier Gain of 20 dB is subtracted, giving a field strength of 48.1 dB μ V/m.

$$FS = 55 + 12 + 1.1 - 20 = 48.1 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m}$$

The 48.1 dB μ V/m value can be mathematically converted to its corresponding level in μ V/m.

$$\text{Level in } \mu\text{V/m} = \text{Common Antilogarithm } [(48.1 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m})/20] = 254.1 \mu\text{V/m}$$