



# SAR TEST REPORT

**REPORT NO.:** SA911203R02

**MODEL NO.:** WE600-BF

**RECEIVED:** Dec. 03, 2002

**TESTED:** Dec. 06, 2002

**APPLICANT:** BROMAX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

**ADDRESS:** No. 20, Kuang Fu Road, Hsin Chu Industrial Park, Hu Kou, Hsin Chu, Taiwan, R.O.C.

**ISSUED BY:** Advance Data Technology Corporation

**LAB LOCATION:** 47 14th Lin, Chiapau Tsun, Linko, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.

This test report consists of 13 pages in total except Appendix. It may be duplicated completely for legal use with the approval of the applicant. It should not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our laboratory. The client should not use it to claim product endorsement by CNLA, NVLAP or any government agencies. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample.

## Table of Contents

1.	CERTIFICATION .....	3
2.	GENERAL INFORMATION .....	4
2.1	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT .....	4
2.2	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLIED STANDARDS .....	4
3.	DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS .....	5
4.	DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS .....	6
5.	TEST RESULTS .....	7
5.1	TEST PROCEDURES .....	7
5.2	MEASURED SAR RESULT .....	7
5.3	SAR LIMITS .....	8
5.4	EUT CONDUCTED POWER VARIATION .....	8
5.5	TISSUE .....	9
5.6	TEST EQUIPMENT .....	9
6.	SYSTEM VALIDATION .....	10
7.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES .....	11
8.	INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES .....	13

APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA

APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

APPENDIX C: PHOTOGRAPHS OF SYSTEM VALIDATION

APPENDIX D: SYSTEM CERTIFICATE & CALIBRATION



## 1. CERTIFICATION

**PRODUCT :** 54M WLAN CardBus Adapter  
**MODEL NO. :** WE600-BF  
**BRAND :** BroMax  
**APPLICANT :** Bromax Communications, Inc.  
**STANDARDS :** 47 CFR Part 2 (Section 2.1093), FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01-01)

We, **Advance Data Technology Corporation**, hereby certify that one sample of the designation has been tested in our facility on 6<sup>th</sup> Dec. 2002. The test record, data evaluation and Equipment Under Test (EUT) configurations represented herein are true and accurate accounts for the measurements of the sample's EMC characteristics under the conditions herein specified.

**CHECKED BY:** Rennie Wang, **DATE:** December 11, 2002  
Rennie Wang

**APPROVED BY:** Alan Lane, **DATE:** December 11, 2002  
Dr. Alan Lane  
Manager

## 2. GENERAL INFORMATION

### 2.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT

<b>PRODUCT</b>	54M WLAN CardBus Adapter
<b>MODEL NO.</b>	WE600-BF
<b>POWER SUPPLY</b>	3.3VDC powered by host
<b>MODULATION TYPE</b>	DBPSK, QPSK, CCK, 16QAM, 64QAM
<b>RADIO TECHNOLOGY</b>	DSSS, OFDM
<b>TRANSFER RATE</b>	1/2/5.5/11Mbps
<b>FREQUENCY RANGE</b>	2412MHz ~ 2462MHz
<b>NUMBER OF CHANNEL</b>	11
<b>CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER</b>	15.24 dBm (33.42mW)
<b>ANTENNA TYPE</b>	Chip antenna
<b>PEAK SAR</b>	0.516 W/kg
<b>DATA CABLE</b>	NA
<b>I/O PORTS</b>	PCMCIA
<b>ASSOCIATED DEVICES</b>	NA

### 2.2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF APPLIED STANDARDS

According to the specifications of the manufacturer, this product must comply with the requirements of the following standards:

**FCC CFR 47 Part 2 (2.1093)**

**FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01- 01)**

All tests have been performed and recorded as per the above standards.

### 3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST MODES AND CONFIGURATIONS

<b>CARRIER MODULATION UNDER TEST</b>	Un-modulated CW Carrier
<b>CREST FACTOR</b>	1.0
<b>CHANNEL FREQUENCIES UNDER TEST AND ITS CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER</b>	Ch. 1: 2412MHz / 15.12 dBm Ch. 6: 2437MHz / 15.24 dBm Ch. 11: 2462MHz / 15.14 dBm
<b>ANTENNA CONFIGURATION</b>	Chip
<b>EUT POWER SOURCE</b>	From Host Notebook
<b>HOST POWER SOURCE</b>	Fully Charged Battery

The following test configurations have been applied in this test report:

Mode 1: EUT in the top PCMCIA slot of the notebook, the keyboard face of the notebook contact the bottom of the flat phantom with 0 cm separation distance.

Mode 2: EUT in the bottom PCMCIA slot of the notebook, the bottom of the notebook contact the bottom of the flat phantom with 0 cm separation distance.

Mode 3: EUT in the bottom PCMCIA slot of the notebook, the keyboard face of the notebook is perpendicular to the bottom of the flat phantom and the EUT is located between notebook and phantom. The separation distance is 0 cm between the tip of the EUT and the bottom of the flat phantom.

**Note 1:** Please reference “APPENDIX A” for the photos of test configuration.

**Note 2:** The output power of the un-modulated CW carrier has been adjusted to be the same with that of modulated signal.



#### 4. DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS

The EUT has been tested as an independent unit together with other necessary accessories or support units. The following support units or accessories were used to form a representative test configuration during the tests.

NO.	PRODUCT	BRAND	MODEL NO.	SERIAL NO.	FCC ID
1	NOTEBOOK	DELL	PP01L	TW-09C748-12800-19O-B220	FCC DoC APPROVED

NO.	SIGNAL CABLE DESCRIPTION OF THE ABOVE SUPPORT UNITS
1	NA

**NOTE:** All power cords of the above support units are non shielded (1.8m).

## 5. TEST RESULTS

### 5.1 TEST PROCEDURES

The SAR value was calculated via the 3D spline interpolation algorithm which has been implemented in the software of DASY3 SAR measurement system manufactured and calibrated by Schmid & Partner.

A coarse scan with 20mm x 20mm grid was performed for the highest spatial SAR location. A fine scan with 5mm x 5mm x 7mm volume was performed for SAR value averaged over 1g and 10g spatial volumes.

### 5.2 MEASURED SAR RESULT

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDICTION		24 degree C 60% Humidity	TESTED BY	Bunny Yao
CHANNEL	FREQUENCY (MHz)	MODE	MEASURED 1g SAR (W/kg)	
1	2412	1	0.072	
6	2437	1	0.074	
11	2462	1	0.069	
1	2412	2	0.341	
6	2437	2	0.342	
11	2462	2	0.383	
1	2412	3	0.473	
6	2437	3	0.516	
11	2462	3	0.499	

Note: Test configuration of each mode is described in section 3.

Note: In this testing, the limit for General Population Spatial Peak averaged over 1g, **1.6 W/kg**, is applied.

Note: Please see the Appendix for the photo of the test configuration and also the data.

### 5.3 SAR LIMITS

HUMAN EXPOSURE	SAR (W/kg)	
	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average ( whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak (averaged over 1 g)	<b>1.6</b>	8.0
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0

### 5.4 EUT CONDUCTED POWER VARIATION

The variation of the EUT conducted power measured before and after SAR testing should not over 5%. The test procedures for conducted power level is described in FCC rule part 2.1046.

The maximum variation in this testing is listed in the following table.

Channel	Mode	Conducted Power (Before)	Conducted Power (After)	Variation (%)
1	3	15.12 dBm	14.99 dBm	3

## 5.5 TISSUE

The tissue of 2450MHz for brain and body was well prepared according to the standard procedures. The required and measured dielectric parameters are listed in this table.

	Brain		Muscle	
	Required	Measured	Required	Measured
Permitivity ( $\epsilon_r$ )	39.2±5%	NA	52.7±5%	52.86
Conductivity ( $\sigma$ )	1.8±5%	NA	1.95±5%	1.965

The measured parameters of the used tissue.

Tissue Prepared and Measured on 6 <sup>th</sup> Dec. 2002				
	Brain		Muscle	
	Value	Freq. (MHz)	Value	Freq.(MHz)
Max Permitivity	NA	NA	53.07	2400
Min. Permitivity	NA	NA	52.74	2500
Max Conductivity	NA	NA	2.035	2500
Min Conductivity	NA	NA	1.899	2400

## 5.6 TEST EQUIPMENT FOR TISSUE PROPERTY

Item	Name	Provider	Type	Series No.	Calibrated Until
1	Network Analyzer	Agilent	8720ES	NA	May 6, 2003
2	Dielectric Probe	Agilent	85070C	NA	NA

## 6. SYSTEM VALIDATION

The system validation was performed in the flat phantom with equipment listed in the following table. Since the SAR value is calculated from the measured electric field, dielectric constant and conductivity of the body tissue, and the SAR is proportional to the square of the electric field. So, the SAR value will be also proportional to the RF power input to the system validation dipole under the same test environment. In our system validation test, 50mW RF input power was used instead of 250mW used by Schmid & Partner, then the measured SAR will be linearly extrapolated to that of 250mW RF power.

### 6.1 TEST EQUIPMENT

Item	Name	Provider	Type	Series No.	Calibrated Until
1	SAM Phantom	S & P	QD000 P40 CA	PT-1150	NA
2	Validation Dipole	S & P	D2450V2	716	Sept. 25, 2004
3	Signal Generator	R & S	SMP04	10001	May 5, 2003
4	E-Field Probe	S & P	ET3DV6	1687	Sept. 27, 2003
5	DAE	S & P	DAE3 V1	510	April 10, 2004
6	Robot Positioner	Staubli Unimation	NA	NA	NA

### 6.2 VALIDATION RESULT

Environmental Condition	24 degree C 60% Humidity	Test Engineer	Bunny Yao
2450MHz System Validation Test in Body Tissue			
Required	Measured	Deviation (%)	Separation Distance
14.30 (1g)	13.75	3.8	1.0 cm
6.74 (10g)	6.60	2.1	1.0 cm

Note: Please see Appendix for the photo of system validation test.

## 7. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

	Uncertainty Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	$C_i$	Standard Uncertainty
<b>Test Sample Related</b>					
Test Sample Positioning	$\pm 6\%$	Normal	1	1	$\pm 6\%$
Drift of Output Power	$\pm 5\%$	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 2.9\%$
<b>Phantom and Setup</b>					
Phantom Uncertainty	$\pm 0\%$	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 0\%$
Liquid Conductivity(target)	$\pm 5\%$	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	$\pm 1.4\%$
Liquid Conductivity(meas.)	$\pm 10\%$	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	$\pm 2.9\%$
Liquid Permittivity(target)	$\pm 5\%$	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	$\pm 1.4\%$
Liquid Permittivity(meas.)	$\pm 5\%$	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	$\pm 1.4\%$
RF Ambient Conditions	$\pm 3\%$	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 1.7\%$
<b>System Check</b>					
Calibration	$\pm 2.6\%$	normal	1	1	$\pm 2.6\%$
Axial isotropy	$\pm 2.3\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	$\pm 0.9\%$
Hemispherical isotropy	$\pm 9.6\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{cp}$	$\pm 3.9\%$
Spatial resolution	$\pm 0.5\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 0.3\%$
Boundary effect	$\pm 4.0\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 6.4\%$
Linearity	$\pm 4.7\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 2.7\%$
Detection Limit	$\pm 2.0\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 1.2\%$
Readout Electronics	$\pm 1.0\%$	normal	1	1	$\pm 1.0\%$
Mechanical Constrains of Robot	$\pm 0.4\%$	normal	1	1	$\pm 0.4\%$
Probe positioning	$\pm 5.0\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 2.9\%$
Extrapolation/Integration	$\pm 3.9\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 2.3\%$
Dipole/Liquid Distance	$\pm 1.0\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	$\pm 0.6\%$
Dipole Input Power	$\pm 4.7\%$		1	1	$\pm 4.7\%$
Liquid conductivity (target)	$\pm 5.0\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	$\pm 1.7\%$
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	$\pm 10\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	$\pm 3.5\%$
Liquid permittivity (target)	$\pm 5.0\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	$\pm 1.7\%$
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	$\pm 5.0\%$	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	$\pm 1.7\%$

FCC ID: O6M-WE600BF



RF Ambient condition	$\pm 3.0 \%$	normal	1	1	$\pm 1.7 \%$
<b>Combined Standard Uncertainty</b>					$\pm 12.4 \%$
<b>Expanded Uncertainty (K=2)</b>					$\pm 24.9 \%$



## 8. INFORMATION ON THE TESTING LABORATORIES

We, ADT Corp., were founded in 1988 to provide our best service in EMC and Safety consultation. Our laboratories are accredited and approved by the following approval agencies according to ISO/IEC 17025, Guide 25 or EN 45001:

<b>USA</b>	FCC, NVLAP
<b>Germany</b>	TUV Rheinland
<b>Japan</b>	VCCI
<b>New Zealand</b>	MoC
<b>Norway</b>	NEMKO
<b>R.O.C.</b>	BSMI, DGT, CNLA

Copies of accreditation certificates of our laboratories obtained from approval agencies can be downloaded from our web site:

[www.adt.com.tw/index.5/phtml](http://www.adt.com.tw/index.5/phtml).

If you have any comments, please feel free to contact us at the following:

**Lin Kou EMC Lab:**

Tel: 886-2-26052180  
Fax: 886-2-26052943

**Hsin Chu EMC Lab:**

Tel: 886-35-935343  
Fax: 886-35-935342

**Lin Kou Safety Lab:**

Tel: 886-2-26093195  
Fax: 886-2-26093184

**Lin Kou RF&Telecom Lab**

Tel: 886-3-3270910  
Fax: 886-3-3270892

**Email:** [service@mail.adt.com.tw](mailto:service@mail.adt.com.tw)

**Web Site:** [www.adt.com.tw](http://www.adt.com.tw)

The address and road map of all our labs can be found in our web site also.



## APPENDIX A: TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND TEST DATA

### A1: TEST CONFIGURATION

#### Mode 1





## Mode 2





## Mode 3





## A2: TEST DATA

## 54M WLAN CardBus Adaptor ( mode 1)

Keyboard Face; Air temperature:24 degrees centigrade; Liquid temperature:22.6 degrees centigrade


SAM Phantom; Section; Position: ; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Antenna type: Chip

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1687; ConvF(4.40,4.40,4.40); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 2412 MHz:  $\sigma = 1.91 \text{ mho/m}$   $\epsilon_r = 53.0$   $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.0716 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.0400 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: -0.00 dB



## 54M WLAN CardBus Adaptor ( mode 1 )

Keyboard Face; Air temperature:24 degrees centigrade; Liquid temperature:22.6 degrees centigrade


SAM Phantom; Section; Position: ; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Antenna type: Chip

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1687; ConvF(4.40,4.40,4.40); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 2437 MHz:  $\sigma = 1.95 \text{ mho/m}$   $\epsilon_r = 52.9$   $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.0740 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.0412 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: 0.03 dB



## 54M WLAN CardBus Adaptor (mode 1)

Bottom Face; Air temperature:24 degrees centigrade; Liquid temperature:22.6 degrees centigrade


SAM Phantom; Section; Position: ; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Antenna type: Chip

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1687; ConvF(4.40,4.40,4.40); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 2462 MHz:  $\sigma = 1.98 \text{ mho/m}$   $\epsilon_r = 52.9$   $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.0689 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.0387 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: 0.06 dB



## 54M WLAN CardBus Adaptor ( mode 2 )

Bottom Face; Air temperature:24 degrees centigrade; Liquid temperature:22.6 degrees centigrade


SAM Phantom; Section; Position: ; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Antenna type: Chip

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1687; ConvF(4.40,4.40,4.40); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 2412 MHz:  $\sigma = 1.91 \text{ mho/m}$   $\epsilon_r = 53.0$   $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.341 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.173 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: 0.03 dB



## 54M WLAN CardBus Adaptor ( mode 2 )

Bottom Face; Air temperature:24 degrees centigrade; Liquid temperature:22.6 degrees centigrade


SAM Phantom; Section; Position: ; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Antenna type: Chip

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1687; ConvF(4.40,4.40,4.40); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 2437 MHz:  $\sigma = 1.95 \text{ mho/m}$   $\epsilon_r = 52.9$   $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.342 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.177 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: -0.02 dB



## 54M WLAN CardBus Adaptor ( mode 2 )

Bottom Face; Air temperature:24 degrees centigrade; Liquid temperature:22.6 degrees centigrade


SAM Phantom; Section; Position: ; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Antenna type: Chip

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1687; ConvF(4.40,4.40,4.40); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 2462 MHz:  $\sigma = 1.98 \text{ mho/m}$   $\epsilon_r = 52.9$   $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.383 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.200 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: 0.09 dB



## 54M WLAN CardBus Adaptor ( mode 3 )

EUT Tip Face; Air temperature:24 degrees centigrade; Liquid temperature:22.6 degrees centigrade


SAM Phantom; Section; Position: ; Frequency: 2412 MHz; Antenna type: Chip

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1687; ConvF(4.40,4.40,4.40); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 2412 MHz:  $\sigma = 1.91 \text{ mho/m}$   $\epsilon_r = 53.0$   $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.473 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.224 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: -0.13 dB



## 54M WLAN CardBus Adaptor ( mode 3 )

EUT Tip Face; Air temperature:24 degrees centigrade; Liquid temperature:22.6 degrees centigrade


SAM Phantom; Section; Position: ; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Antenna type: Chip

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1687; ConvF(4.40,4.40,4.40); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 2437 MHz:  $\sigma = 1.95 \text{ mho/m}$   $\epsilon_r = 52.9$   $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.516 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.244 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: 0.10 dB



## 54M WLAN CardBus Adaptor ( mode 3 )

EUT Tip Face; Air temperature:24 degrees centigrade; Liquid temperature:22.6 degrees centigrade


SAM Phantom; Section; Position: ; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Antenna type: Chip

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1687; ConvF(4.40,4.40,4.40); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 2462 MHz:  $\sigma = 1.98 \text{ mho/m}$   $\epsilon_r = 52.9$   $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.499 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.231 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: -0.05 dB



## 54M WLAN CardBus Adaptor ( Z-axis SAR value of max SAR point )

EUT Tip Face; Air temperature:24 degrees centigrade; Liquid temperature:22.6 degrees centigrade


SAM Phantom; Section; Position: ; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Antenna type: Chip

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1687; ConvF(4.40,4.40,4.40); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 2437 MHz:  $\sigma = 1.95 \text{ mho/m}$   $\epsilon_r = 52.9$   $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.516 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.244 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: 0.10 dB





### **A3: VALIDATION TEST DATA**

## Validation Dipole D2450V2 SN:716,d=10mm


SAM; Flat; Air temperature:24 degrees centigrade; Liquid temperature:22.8 degrees centigrade

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1687; ConvF(4.40,4.40,4.40); Crest factor: 1.0; Body 2450 MHz:  $\sigma = 1.96 \text{ mho/m}$   $\epsilon_r = 52.9$   $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cubes (2): Peak: 5.44 mW/g  $\pm 0.02$  dB, SAR (1g): 2.73 mW/g  $\pm 0.03$  dB, SAR (10g): 1.29 mW/g  $\pm 0.05$  dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 7.7 (7.1, 8.9) [mm]


Powerdrift: 0.03 dB



## APPENDIX B: ADT SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM



## APPENDIX C: PHOTOGRAPHS OF SYSTEM VALIDATION





## **APPENDIX D: SYSTEM CERTIFICATE & CALIBRATION**

### **D1: SAM PHANTOM**

# Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

## Certificate of conformity / First Article Inspection

Item	SAM Twin Phantom V4.0
Type No	QD 000 P40 CA
Series No	TP-1150 and higher
Manufacturer / Origin	Untersee Composites Hauptstr. 69 CH-8559 Fruthwilen Switzerland

### Tests

The series production process used allows the limitation to test of first articles.

Complete tests were made on the pre-series Type No. QD 000 P40 AA, Serial No. TP-1001 and on the series first article Type No. QD 000 P40 BA, Serial No. TP-1006. Certain parameters have been retested using further series units (called samples).

Test	Requirement	Details	Units tested
Shape	Compliance with the geometry according to the CAD model.	IT'IS CAD File (*)	First article, Samples
Material thickness	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards	2mm +/- 0.2mm in specific areas	First article, Samples
Material parameters	Dielectric parameters for required frequencies	200 MHz – 3 GHz Relative permittivity < 5 Loss tangent < 0.05.	Material sample TP 104-5
Material resistivity	The material has been tested to be compatible with the liquids defined in the standards	Liquid type HSL 1800 and others according to the standard.	Pre-series, First article

### Standards

- [1] CENELEC EN 50361
- [2] IEEE P1528-200x draft 6.5
- [3] IEC PT 62209 draft 0.9

(\*) The IT'IS CAD file is derived from [2] and is also within the tolerance requirements of the shapes of [1] and [3].

### Conformity

Based on the sample tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the uncertainty requirements of SAR measurements specified in standard [1] and draft standards [2] and [3].


Date 28.02.2002

Signature / Stamp



Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, CH-8004 Zurich  
Tel. +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79





## **D2: 2450MHZ SYSTEM VALIDATION DIPOLE**

# **Schmid & Partner Engineering AG**

**Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79**

## **Calibration Certificate**

### **2450 MHz System Validation Dipole**

Type:

**D2450V2**

Serial Number:

**716**

Place of Calibration:

**Zurich**

Date of Calibration:

**September 26, 2002**

Calibration Interval:

**24 months**

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:

**N. Vellon**

Approved by:

**Oliver Kötter**

**Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**

---

**Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79**

---

**DASY**

**Dipole Validation Kit**

**Type: D2450V2**

**Serial: 716**

**Manufactured: September 10, 2002**

**Calibrated: September 26, 2002**

## 1. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the new SAM twin phantom filled with head simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 2450 MHz:

Relative permitivity	<b>37.7</b>	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	<b>1.88 mho/m</b>	$\pm 10\%$

The DASY System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, conversion factor 5.0 at 2450 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking and oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 20mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. Probe isotropy errors were cancelled by measuring the SAR with normal and 90° turned probe orientations and averaging.

The dipole input power (forward power) was  $250\text{mW} \pm 3\%$ . The results are normalized to 1W input power.

### 2.1. SAR Measurement with DASY3 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the worst-case extrapolation are:

averaged over  $1\text{ cm}^3$  (1 g) of tissue: **57.2 mW/g**

averaged over  $10\text{ cm}^3$  (10 g) of tissue: **26.4 mW/g**

### 2.2 SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over  $1\text{ cm}^3$  (1 g) of tissue: **54.0 mW/g**

averaged over  $10\text{ cm}^3$  (10 g) of tissue: **25.2 mW/g**

### **3. Dipole impedance and return loss**

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	<b>1.148 ns</b>	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	<b>0.982</b>	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 2450 MHz:  $\text{Re}\{Z\} = 54.1 \Omega$

$\text{Im}\{Z\} = 2.4 \Omega$

Return Loss at 2450 MHz **- 26.8 dB**

### **4. Measurement Conditions**

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the new SAM twin phantom filled with body simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 2450 MHz:

Relative permittivity	<b>52.4</b>	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	<b>1.99 mho/m</b>	$\pm 10\%$

The DASY System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, conversion factor 4.5 at 2450 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking and oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 20mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. Probe isotropy errors were cancelled by measuring the SAR with normal and 90° turned probe orientations and averaging.

The dipole input power (forward power) was  $250\text{mW} \pm 3\%$ . The results are normalized to 1W input power.

## 5.1. SAR Measurement with DASY3 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 4. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the worst-case extrapolation are:

averaged over  $1 \text{ cm}^3$  (1 g) of tissue: **57.2 mW/g**

averaged over  $10 \text{ cm}^3$  (10 g) of tissue: **27.0 mW/g**

## 5.2 SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 4. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over  $1 \text{ cm}^3$  (1 g) of tissue: **51.6 mW/g**

averaged over  $10 \text{ cm}^3$  (10 g) of tissue: **25.0 mW/g**

## 6. Dipole impedance and return loss

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 4 (with body tissue inside the phantom) and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 2450 MHz:  **$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 49.6 \Omega$**

**$\text{Im } \{Z\} = 4.2 \Omega$**

Return Loss at 2450 MHz **- 27.5 dB**

CH1 S11 1 U FS

25 Sep 2002 11:22:10  
1: 54.092 a 2.3984 a 155.81 pH 2 450.000 000 MHz


De1

PRm

Cor

Avg

16




CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF 0 dB

1: -26.816 dB 2 450.000 000 MHz

PRm

Cor

↑



START 2 250.000 000 MHz

STOP 2 650.000 000 MHz



### **D3: DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE**

# **Schmid & Partner Engineering AG**

---

**Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79**

---

## **Calibration Certificate**

### **Dosimetric E-Field Probe**

Type:

**ET3DV6**

Serial Number:

**1687**

Place of Calibration:

**Zurich**

Date of Calibration:

**June 5, 2002**

Calibration Interval:

**12 months**

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:

*D. Kettler*

Approved by:

*Florian Kofler*

**Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**

---

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Telephone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79



---

# **Probe ET3DV6**

## **SN:1687**


**Manufactured:** May 28, 2002  
**Last calibration:** June 5, 2002

**Calibrated for System DASY3**



Isotropy Error ( $\Phi$ ),  $\theta = 0^\circ$ 

## Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM – Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)



## Dynamic Range f (SAR<sub>brain</sub>) (Waveguide R22)



# **Schmid & Partner Engineering AG**

**Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79**

## **Calibration Certificate**

### **Dosimetric E-Field Probe**

Type:

**ET3DV6**

Serial Number:

**1687**

Place of Calibration:

**Zurich**

Date of Calibration:

**September 28, 2002**

Calibration Interval:

**12 months**

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:

**D. Vellen**

Approved by:

**Reinhard Kellermann**

**Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**

---

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Telephone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

---

**Probe ET3DV6**

**SN:1687**


**Additonal Conversion Factors**

Calibrated: September 28, 2002

**Calibrated for DASY Systems**

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

## Conversion Factor Assessment



Head 2450 MHz  $\varepsilon_r = 39.2 \pm 5\%$   $\sigma = 1.80 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$

ConvF X	<b>4.9</b> $\pm$ 8.9% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	<b>4.9</b> $\pm$ 8.9% (k=2)	Alpha	<b>1.00</b>
ConvF Z	<b>4.9</b> $\pm$ 8.9% (k=2)	Depth	<b>1.70</b>

Body 2450 MHz  $\varepsilon_r = 52.7 \pm 5\%$   $\sigma = 1.95 \pm 5\%$  mho/m

ConvF X	<b>4.4</b> $\pm$ 8.9% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	<b>4.4</b> $\pm$ 8.9% (k=2)	Alpha	<b>1.00</b>
ConvF Z	<b>4.4</b> $\pm$ 8.9% (k=2)	Depth	<b>1.65</b>